



**HAL**  
open science

## A proposal for the C++ standard: Bool\_set, multi-valued logic

Sylvain Pion, Guillaume Melquiond, Hervé Brönnimann

### ► To cite this version:

Sylvain Pion, Guillaume Melquiond, Hervé Brönnimann. A proposal for the C++ standard: Bool\_set, multi-valued logic. [Research Report] RR-5967, INRIA. 2006, pp.22. inria-00089230v2

**HAL Id: inria-00089230**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00089230v2>**

Submitted on 18 Aug 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

*A proposal for the C++ standard  
Bool\_set: multi-valued logic*

Hervé Brönnimann — Guillaume Melquiond — Sylvain Pion

**N° 5967**

August 2006

Thème SYM

*R*apport  
de recherche





## A proposal for the C++ standard Bool\_set: multi-valued logic

Hervé Brönnimann\* , Guillaume Melquiond† , Sylvain Pion‡

Thème SYM —Systèmes symboliques

Projets Geometrica & Arenaire

Rapport de recherche n° 5967 —August 2006 — 19 pages

**Abstract:** We propose a design for multi-valued logic, for integration into the C++ standard. The main motivation for this class comes from interval arithmetic, where it can be conveniently used as return type of comparison operators. It also has many other potential applications.

**Key-words:** C++, standardization, library, interval arithmetic, certification, multi-valued logic

\* CIS, Polytechnic University, Six Metrotech, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA. [hbr@poly.edu](mailto:hbr@poly.edu)

† Projet ARENAIRE, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France.  
[guillaume.melquiond@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:guillaume.melquiond@ens-lyon.fr)

‡ Projet GEOMETRICA, INRIA, BP 93, 06902 Sophia Antipolis cedex, France. [Sylvain.Pion@sophia.inria.fr](mailto:Sylvain.Pion@sophia.inria.fr)

## **A proposal for the C++ standard**

### **Bool\_set: multi-valued logic**

**Résumé :** Nous proposons une architecture logicielle pour la logique multi-valuée, en vue d'une intégration dans la bibliothèque standard C++. La motivation principale pour cette classe provient de l'arithmétique d'intervalles, où on peut l'utiliser de manière pratique comme type de retour des opérateurs de comparaisons des intervalles. Il y a aussi de nombreuses autres applications potentielles.

**Mots-clés :** C++, standardisation, bibliothèque, arithmétique d'intervalles, certification, logique multi-valuée

## Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I Motivation and Scope</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II Impact on the Standard</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>III Design Decisions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV Proposed Text for the Standard</b>	<b>8</b>
20.2.3 Boolean set . . . . .	9
22.2.2 The numeric category . . . . .	14
<b>V Examples of use</b>	<b>16</b>
V.1 Interval arithmetic . . . . .	16
V.2 Ternary logic . . . . .	16
V.3 Extended floating-point comparisons . . . . .	16
V.4 Multi-valued boolean algorithms . . . . .	17
V.5 Introducing non-determinism into C++ via <code>bool_set</code> . . . . .	18
<b>VI Acknowledgements</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>18</b>

## I Motivation and Scope

Multi-valued logic is a natural extension of two-valued binary logic. There are several variants, with ternary logic (encoding true, false, and a third value representing maybe) being one of the most fundamental, explored since the 1400s by William of Occam, and in the 1920s by Łukasiewicz [1]. It is used in the design of ternary circuits, which have the promise of using less power and manipulating larger numbers than binary circuits (such circuits have been patented and proposed long ago [7], and a whole ternary computer been realized in the 1950s by the Soviets [2]). It is used in microprocessor chips for embedded systems (microwaves, etc.).

In the context of the C++ standard library, we have recently had a need for multi-valued logic in our interval arithmetic proposal [3] to represent the return value of an interval comparison (with empty or overlapping intervals). There are other cases in applications which could use multi-valued logic, with a third value representing an indeterminate (several examples come to mind: static analysis to encode if two pointers can possibly be aliased - yes, no, or maybe; should a window be refreshed - yes, no, or partially; initialization of boolean variables - true, false, intentionally nothing, or uninitialized; etc.). The SQL language also provides a three valued logic whose semantic is covered by this proposal.

One may also envision using indeterminates when working with floating point numbers and not-a-number (NaN). For instance, it could be quite elegant to use a functor returning an indeterminate when comparing a number with a nan, and could make the treatment of NaNs much more uniform. In fact, it would probably make even more sense to have floating-point predicates with NaNs return the empty `bool_set`, and have comparisons of infinities return an indeterminate. See the example section for an illustration.

Why standardize it?

- Because the functionality is beneficial for other parts of the standard library (notably the proposed interval arithmetic).
- Because there is a strong history of this kind of multi-valued logic, and the potential user base is large (note that `Boost.Tribool` is cited several times in “who’s using Boost?”).

## II Impact on the Standard

*What does it depend on, and what depends on it? Is it a pure extension, or does it require changes to standard components? Can it be implemented using today’s compilers, or does it require language features that will only be available as part of C++0x?*

It is a pure extension to the standard library.

## III Design Decisions

*Why did you choose the specific design that you did? What alternatives did you consider, and what are the tradeoffs? What are the consequences of your choice, for users and implementers? What decisions are left up to implementers? If there are any similar libraries in use, how do their design decisions compare to yours?*

### **Design overview:**

We largely follow the design that we had for `interval<bool>` [3] and the one that is used in `Boost.tribool` [5]. We have added the empty set, because it is useful for intervals and makes it mathematically complete and consistent. Note that, however, the empty set will never be created by the other values under the logical operations, so *it is possible to use `bool_set` exactly like a `tribool` as far as boolean operations are concerned*. In fact, the restriction to the two boolean values is also stable under logical operators, so by the same token it is possible to use `bool_set` exactly like a `bool` but there is little benefit to that.

**Alternatives and trade-offs:**

As for the representation of a multi-valued logic, there are not many alternatives. Using a floating-point value in  $[0,1]$  allows for various degrees of indetermination and can always be done without any addition to the language, but does not allow the logic operators. We specifically needed a type that extends `bool` and has the same operators.

The most sticky point to decide was to allow an implicit conversion to `bool`. Explicit conversions are not yet part of the language so that was not an option. An alternative would have been to provide a member function `to_bool()`. We considered it and decided against it. See the rationale.

**Decisions left to implementers:**

The internal representation of a `bool_set` is completely unspecified and left to implementers.

**Comparison with existing libraries:**

This proposal is similar to `Boost.Tribool` [5]. Similar functionality can also be found in the `Uncertain<bool>` class of `CGAL` [8].

**Rationale**— **Why introduce `bool_set`?**

The choice was made in the interval proposal [3] to introduce `bool_set`, which is similar in spirit to `Boost.Tribool`, except that it throws an exception for invalid conversions to `bool` (à la `dynamic_cast`), and also supports the empty value.

Note that the conversion to `bool` *should* throw for an empty or indeterminate `bool_set` (see fourth item below), but it is possible for the user to test for these cases by hand and avoid exceptions altogether.

It is primarily used for the result of uncertain comparisons of types where comparisons can return a trivalent state (e.g., intervals can be compared or overlap), to avoid systematically throwing an exception when the comparison returns a result other than `true` or `false`.

— **Why introduce `bool_set` as a separate proposal from `interval<T>`?**

It can be useful independent of any numerical context. There are many uses for 3-valued boolean logic, motivating the `Boost.Tribool` library. Comparing values in a partial order is one of the main motivations, along with encoding a degree of uncertainty, both of which extend beyond the context of intervals.

— **Why not just three states as in `Boost.Tribool`?**

In addition to indeterminate, usage with `interval<T>` requires an empty state. Moreover, there is likely no penalty for having a fourth state in current systems, since the possibilities for space savings by having three instead of four are almost null. If the empty state is not needed,



there is no penalty for not using it, and it will not be created by the other boolean operations. In other words, the algebra consisting of true, false, and indeterminate states is stable.

— **Why a conversion to `bool` and why does it throw?**

`bool_set` is intended to be used in the same context as a `bool`. Thus it makes sense to have some way to cast a `bool_set` into a `bool`. The big question is what to do for conversion. We decided to allow an implicit conversion to `bool`, which throws an exception. In this way, `bool_set` can be used wherever a `bool` is used and the user can expect the same result except if an exception is raised, which she then has to handle.

Alternatives: `Boost.Tribool` has a direct conversion to `bool`, which does not throw (and maps indeterminate to false) and removes the possibility of the tribool to be cast into an `int` (since only two levels of implicit conversions are allowed).

Another alternative is not to allow a conversion to `bool` and let the user add an explicit conversion (e.g., in tests, `equals(..., true)`) everywhere. The benefits are that the code will not compile when `bool` is changed into `bool_set` and will trigger a code review. The problem is that it may not be possible for the programmer to modify the code if it belongs to a library (e.g. when `bool_set` is returned by an interval comparison in an external library—hence unmodifiable—function templated by a number type which can be a floating point type or an interval).

— **Why constructor `bool_set(bool)` is implicit and not explicit?**

There is no danger in the conversion, and we must enable the use of the keywords `true` and `false` as valid `bool_set` values, where the explicit conversion would be heavy.

— **Why both `bool_set::is_indeterminate()` and `is_indeterminate(bool_set)`?**

To allow `x.is_indeterminate()` as well as `is_indeterminate(x < y)` (the code `(x < y).is_indeterminate()` might look very strange to a novice). Furthermore, the latter expression may return a `bool_set` or a `bool` depending on the types of `x` and `y`, precluding a member function call whereas the free function will work in both cases. Thus, the non-member function is also useful for writing template code. Note that it is not forbidden (and even encouraged) to provide `inline bool has_true(bool x) { return x; }` for optimization (it is legal by the “as-if” rule as long as the semantic is the same as a conversion to `bool_set`).

— **Why `bool_set::is_emptyset()` and not `bool_set::empty()`?**

For uniformity and also to emphasize the fact that `bool_set` is not a container but still a set.

— **Why so many functions for testing the value of a `bool_set`?**

We feel that there should be a single function call for each situation (both for efficiency and for readability). It is possible to implement `x.equals(false)` by `x == false` but this involves a conversion to `bool` which may raise an exception, whereas `equals` will not.

— **Why set default constructed `bool_set` to false?**

By compatibility with `bool` when zero-initialized. If `bool_set` is intended to be used with a default constructed meaning ‘nothing known’, then it’s not clear whether it should be initial-

ized to indeterminate or empty instead. We think the performance gain of leaving the default constructed value undefined is not worth it.

— **Why no identity semantic for `std::operator==` on `bool_set`?**

There are two reasonable definitions of `operator==`, the logical one returning a `bool_set` (as a powerset extension of `operator==(bool, bool)` and the identity (expressed by `equals`). We decided to provide the former, for consistency with the semantic of all other operations on `bool_set` which correspond to functions over `bool`. Identity testing is offered as a free and a member functions `equals`.

— **Why no `operator<` defined for `bool_set`?**

We believe that the `operator<` on `bool` is not used much, so there is very little need to provide such an operator. However, if we decided to do so, it should have the same kind of semantic as `operator==`.

— **Why `operator&&` and `operator||` in addition to `operator&` and `operator|`?**

Despite the fact that the boolean logical operators (`&&` and `||`) are overloaded for `std::valarray<bool>`, we would have preferred to use the `&` and `|` operators. (This was also Lawrence Crowl's opinion.) Nevertheless, in a boolean context (where the result of the operators are going to be cast into a `bool`), not defining the logical operators (`&&` and `||`) will force a conversion to `bool` term-by-term, instead of a final conversion of the result, with funny side effects such as (`indeterminate() || true`) raising an exception instead of returning `true`. This forces us to define both `operator&&` and `operator||` as well as `operator&` and `operator|`.

— **Why provide I/O?**

I/O is already provided with the (throwing) conversion to `bool`. We provide an I/O which reads and writes indeterminates and emptysets as well as booleans. This I/O does not throw. In alpha mode, a user can easily provide his/her own facet to overrule the default names or even to throw an exception for non-boolean values in alpha mode, if desired. Another behavior could be to throw for non-booleans in numerical (non-alphabetical) mode. We have chosen to always allow I/O in numerical mode.

— **Why choose 2 and 3 for the I/O of indeterminate and emptyset?**

There is no canonical value in addition to 0 and 1 for `false` and `true`, although yet many libraries use the value 2 for indeterminates (other choices are -1, 0 and 1 for `false`, `indeterminate` and `true`, but this is incompatible with `bool`).

## IV Proposed Text for the Standard

In Chapter 20, General utilities library.

In 20.2/1, add :

```
// 20.2.3, bool_set:
class bool_set;

// 20.2.3.2 bool_set values:
bool contains(bool_set, bool_set);
bool equals(bool_set, bool_set);
bool is_emptyset(bool_set);
bool is_indeterminate(bool_set);
bool is_singleton(bool_set);
bool certainly(bool_set);
bool possibly(bool_set);

// 20.2.3.3 bool_set set operations:
bool_set set_union(bool, bool_set);
bool_set set_union(bool_set, bool);
bool_set set_union(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set set_intersection(bool, bool_set);
bool_set set_intersection(bool_set, bool);
bool_set set_intersection(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set set_complement(bool_set);

// 20.2.3.4 bool_set logical operators:
bool_set operator!(bool_set);

bool_set operator^(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator^(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator^(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set operator|(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator|(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator|(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set operator&(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator&(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator&(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set operator||(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator||(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator||(bool_set, bool_set);
```

$\wedge$	empty	false	indet.	true		empty	false	indet.	true	&	empty	false	indet.	true
empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty
false	empty	false	indet.	true	false	empty	false	indet.	true	false	empty	false	false	false
indet.	empty	indet.	indet.	indet.	indet.	empty	indet.	indet.	true	indet.	empty	false	indet.	indet.
true	empty	true	indet.	false	true	empty	true	true	true	true	empty	false	indet.	true

Table 1: The semantics of operator $\wedge$ , operator $|$  and operator $\&$ .

```

bool_set operator&&(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator&&(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator&&(bool_set, bool_set);

// 20.2.3.5 bool_set relational operators:
bool_set operator==(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator==(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator==(bool_set, bool_set);

bool_set operator!=(bool, bool_set);
bool_set operator!=(bool_set, bool);
bool_set operator!=(bool_set, bool_set);

```

### 20.2.3 Boolean set

**[lib.bool\_set]**

- 1 The type `bool_set` represents the power set of the Boolean set. An object of type `bool_set` represents therefore a set of boolean values and is thus one of the four distinct values  $\emptyset$  (empty),  $\{\text{false}\}$ ,  $\{\text{true}\}$  and  $\{\text{false}, \text{true}\}$ . The singletons are identified with their boolean value. The value  $\{\text{false}, \text{true}\}$  is used to represent an unknown boolean and is named an *indeterminate*.
- 2 `bool_set` shares the interface of `bool` as much as possible, with the general semantic of functions being naturally extended to the operations on sets. The semantics of the logical `bool_set` operations are given in Table 1.
- 3 `bool_set` also supports some query functions as well as set operations. Equality as set is offered by the `equals` function, while the equality operator follows the extended semantic by returning a `bool_set`, see Table 2.
- 4 None of the member functions throws, nor do value and operation functions, except for the conversion to `bool`.

```

namespace std {

struct bool_set
{
    bool_set();

```

==	empty	false	indet.	true
empty	empty	empty	empty	empty
false	empty	true	indet.	false
indet.	empty	indet.	indet.	indet.
true	empty	false	indet.	true

Table 2: The semantics of operator==.

```

bool_set(bool t);

bool contains(bool_set b) const;
bool equals(bool_set b) const;
bool is_emptyset() const;
bool is_indeterminate() const;
bool is_singleton() const;

operator bool() const;

static bool_set emptyset();
static bool_set indeterminate();
};

} // of namespace std

```

**bool\_set constructors****[lib.bool\_set.ctors]**

```
bool_set();
```

- 5 **Effects:** Constructs a false bool\_set.  
6 **Postcondition:** equals(false) == true.

```
bool_set(bool b);
```

- 7 **Effects:** Constructs a boolean-valued bool\_set equal to b.

**bool\_set member functions****[lib.bool\_set.members]**

```
bool contains(bool_set b) const;
```

- 8 **Returns:** true iff \*this contains the set of boolean values held by b.

```
bool equals(bool_set b) const;
```

- 9 **Returns:** true iff \*this and b contain the same state.

```
bool is_emptyset () const;
```

- 10 **Returns:** true iff \*this is the empty set.

```
bool is_indeterminate () const;
```

- 11 **Returns:** true iff \*this is the indeterminate set.

```
bool is_singleton () const;
```

- 12 **Returns:** true iff \*this contains only true or only false.

```
bool_set conversion to bool
```

**[lib.bool\_set.conversion]**

```
operator bool () const;
```

- 13 **Effects:** Returns b if this->equals(b), with b being a boolean value.

- 14 **Throws:** std::bad\_cast() otherwise.

```
bool_set set operations:
```

**[lib.bool\_set.set.operations]**

```
bool_set set_union(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

```
bool_set set_union(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
```

```
bool_set set_union(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

- 15 **Returns:** the union of lhs and rhs when viewed as a set of bools.

```
bool_set set_intersection(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

```
bool_set set_intersection(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
```

```
bool_set set_intersection(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

- 16 **Returns:** the intersection of lhs and rhs when viewed as a set of bools.

```
bool_set set_complement(bool_set x);
```

- 17 **Returns:** the complement of x when viewed as a set of bools.

```
bool_set values
```

**[lib.bool\_set.free]**

```
bool contains(bool_set a, bool_set b);
```

18 **Returns:** a.contains(b).

```
bool equals(bool_set a, bool_set b);
```

19 **Returns:** a.equals(b).

```
bool is_emptyset(bool_set a);
```

20 **Returns:** a.is\_emptyset().

```
bool is_indeterminate(bool_set a);
```

21 **Returns:** a.is\_indeterminate().

```
bool is_singleton(bool_set a);
```

22 **Returns:** a.is\_singleton().

```
bool certainly(bool_set a);
```

23 **Returns:** !a.contains(false).

```
bool possibly(bool_set a);
```

24 **Returns:** a.contains(true).

```
bool_set logical operators
```

**[lib.bool\_set.operators]**

```
bool_set operator!(bool_set x);
```

25 **Returns:** x if x is empty or indeterminate, and bool\_set(!bool(x)) otherwise.

```
bool_set operator^(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

```
bool_set operator^(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
```

```
bool_set operator^(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);
```

26 **Returns:** an empty bool\_set if any of lhs or rhs is empty, an indeterminate bool\_set if any of lhs or rhs is indeterminate, else bool(lhs) ^ bool(rhs). See Table 1.

```

bool_set operator|(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator|(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator|(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator||(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator||(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator||(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);

```

- 27 **Returns:** an empty `bool_set` if any of `lhs` or `rhs` is empty, else true if any of `lhs` or `rhs` is true, false if both `lhs` or `rhs` are false, and an indeterminate `bool_set` otherwise. See Table 1.

```

bool_set operator&(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator&(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator&(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator&&(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator&&(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator&&(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);

```

- 28 **Returns:** an empty `bool_set` if any of `lhs` or `rhs` is empty, else false if any of `lhs` or `rhs` is false, true if both `lhs` or `rhs` are true, and an indeterminate `bool_set` otherwise. See Table 1.

#### `bool_set` relational operators

[lib.bool\_set.rel]

```

bool_set operator==(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator==(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator==(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);

```

- 29 **Returns:** an empty `bool_set` if any `lhs` or `rhs` is empty, else an indeterminate `bool_set` if any of `lhs` or `rhs` is indeterminate, and `bool(lhs) == bool(rhs)` otherwise. See Table 2.

```

bool_set operator!=(bool_set lhs, bool_set rhs);
bool_set operator!=(bool_set lhs, bool rhs);
bool_set operator!=(bool lhs, bool_set rhs);

```

- 30 **Returns:** `!( lhs == rhs )`

#### `bool_set` static value operations

[lib.bool\_set.static.values]

```

static bool_set emptyset();

```

- 31 **Returns:** an empty `bool_set`.



```
static bool_set indeterminate();
```

- 32 **Returns:** an indeterminate `bool_set`.

### 22.2.2 The numeric category

[lib.category.numeric]

In Section 22.2.2.1, synopsis, add after the corresponding `bool&` overload:

```
iter_type get(iter_type in, iter_type end, ios_base&,
              ios_base::iostate& err, bool_set& v) const;
// ... and later, in: // virtual
virtual iter_type do_get(iter_type, iter_type, ios_base&,
                        ios_base::iostate& err, bool_set& v) const;
```

Likewise, add in Section 22.2.2.1.1, immediately after the corresponding `bool&` overload:

```
iter_type get(iter_type in, iter_type end, ios_base& str,
              ios_base::iostate& err, bool_set& val) const;
```

And at the end of Section 22.2.2.2.1:

```
virtual iter_type do_get(iter_type in, iter_type end, ios_base& str,
                        ios_base::iostate& err, bool_set& val) const;
```

- 10 **Effects:** If `(str.flags() & ios_base::boolalpha) == 0` then input proceeds as it would for a `bool` except that if a value is being stored into `val`, the value is determined according to the following: If the value to be stored is 0 then `false` is stored. If the value is 2 then `bool_set::indeterminate()` is stored. If the value is 1 then `true` is stored. If the value is 3 then `bool_set::emptyset()` is stored. Otherwise `err |= ios_base::failbit` is performed and no value is stored.
- 11 Otherwise target sequences are determined “as if” by calling the members `false_name()`, `true_name()`, `emptyset_name()`, and `indeterminate_name()` of the facet obtained by `use_facet<num_punct<charT>>(str.getloc())`. Successive characters in the range `[in, end)` (see 23.1.1) are obtained and matched against corresponding positions in the target sequences in the same fashion and with the same behavior.
- 12 **Returns:** `in`

In Section 22.2.2.2, synopsis, add after the corresponding `bool` overload:

```
iter_type put(iter_type s, ios_base& f, char_type fill, bool_set v) const;
// ... and later, in: // virtual
virtual iter_type do_put(iter_type, ios_base&, char_type fill,
                        bool_set v) const;
```

Likewise, add in Section 22.2.2.2.1, immediately after the corresponding `bool` overload:

```
iter_type put(iter_type out, ios_base& str, char_type fill,
             bool_set val) const;
```

And at the end of Section 22.2.2.2.1:

```
virtual iter_type do_put(iter_type, ios_base&, char_type fill,
                       bool_set v) const;
```

- 7 **Returns:** If `(str.flags() & ios_base::boolalpha) == 0` returns `do_put(out, str, fill, is_singleton(val) ? bool(val) : (is_indeterminate(val) ? 2 : 3))`, otherwise obtains a string `s` as if by

```
string_type s = val.equals(true) ? use_facet<ctype<charT>>(loc).truename() :
                val.equals(false) ? use_facet<ctype<charT>>(loc).falsename() :
                is_indeterminate(val) ? use_facet<ctype<charT>>(loc).indeterminatename()
                /* is_emptyset(val) */ : use_facet<ctype<charT>>(loc).emptysetname();
```

and then inserts each character `c` of `s` into `out` via `*out++ = c` and returns `out`.

#### 22.2.3.1.1 numpunct members

[lib.facet.numpunct.members]

Change paragraph:

```
string_type truename() const;
string_type falsename() const;
string_type indeterminatename() const;
string_type emptysetname() const;
```

- 4 **Returns:** `do_truename()`, `do_falsename()`, `do_indeterminatename()` or `do_emptysetname()`, respectively.

#### 22.2.3.1.2 numpunct virtual functions

[lib.facet.numpunct.virtuals]

Change paragraph:

```
string_type do_truename() const;
string_type do_falsename() const;
string_type do_indeterminatename() const;
string_type do_emptysetname() const;
```

- 5 **Returns:** A string representing the name of the boolean value `true` or `false`, or the `bool_set` values `bool_set::indeterminate()` or `bool_set::emptyset()` respectively.
- 6 In the base class implementation these names are `"true"`, `"false"`, `"indeterminate"`, and `"emptyset"`, or `L"true"`, `L"false"`, `L"indeterminate"`, and `L"emptyset"`.

## V Examples of use

### V.1 Interval arithmetic

In interval arithmetic, an interval  $x = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  represents the set of numbers  $\{t : \underline{x} \leq t \leq \bar{x}\}$ . We use the functions  $\text{inf}(x) = \underline{x}$  and  $\text{sup}(x) = \bar{x}$ . Interval comparisons may be done in a number of ways.

One comparison scheme is the ‘possibly’ or ‘certainly’ comparison, with the semantic  $\exists u \in x, v \in y, u < v$  for possibly, and  $\forall u \in x, v \in y, u < v$  for certainly. Both can be handled uniformly by a single comparison operator returning a `bool_set`. Such an interval comparison `x < y` returns an empty `bool_set` if either  $x$  or  $y$  is empty, `true` if  $\text{sup}(x) < \text{inf}(y)$ , `false` if  $\text{inf}(y) \leq \text{sup}(x)$ , and an indeterminate otherwise. With this comparison, `certainly_lt(x, y)` is simply implemented as `equals(x < y, true)` and `possibly_lt(x, y)` as `contains(x < y, true)`. Many other comparison schemes can be implemented in terms of this operator `<` with various negations, combinations, and parameter swapping. This is the original motivation behind having a type `bool_set` as return type of interval comparisons.

### V.2 Ternary logic

This example is taken from `Semple`, a static analysis tool developed at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute [6]. `Semple` uses `boost::tribool`, which is `bool_set` without the empty state, in several places. The `AbstractInterpreter` class uses 3-state boolean values to represent the values of boolean variables and to report the results of evaluating conditionals. Among the functions returning a `boost::tribool` are: `semple::analysis::pointer::TrivialPointsTo::null(Pointer p)` which returns a `tribool` encoding whether the pointer is always null, never null, or may be null. Another one in the same class is `semple::analysis::pointer::TrivialPointsTo::aliasing(Pointer p, Pointer q)` which determines the aliasing relationship between two pointers: if either of the pointer is unknown, then they may alias, otherwise, the pointers are either equivalent (and therefore must alias) or distinct (and therefore must not alias).

### V.3 Extended floating-point comparisons

Suppose one desires a floating point comparison that takes into account exceptional values such as infinities, NaNs, or signed zeroes, where these concepts are used to represent a set of numbers (those larger—for infinities—or smaller—for signed zeroes—in magnitude than representable numbers, and empty sets for NaNs). Following a constructivist point of view that 0 is never defined because we do not have infinite time to verify all the decimals, we treat 0 as  $0+$ . For instance, one may desire that  $+\infty == +\infty$  or  $0- == 0$  return an indeterminate, rather than `true`, rather than a single number. With this semantic, one may code:

```
template <class FloatingPointType >
```

```

struct extended_less {
    typedef FloatingPointType first_argument_type;
    typedef FloatingPointType second_argument_type;
    typedef bool_set result_type;
    result_type operator() (first_argument_type, second_argument_type) const;
};

```

which obeys the following semantic where  $x$  and  $y$  are positive, finite, representable numbers of type `FloatingPointType`:

<code>extended_less(a,b)</code>	$b = \text{nan}$	$b = -\infty$	$b = -x$	$b = 0-$	$b = 0$	$b = y$	$b = +\infty$
$a = \text{nan}$	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty	empty
$a = -\infty$	empty	indet.	true	true	true	true	true
$a = -x$	empty	false	indet.	true	true	true	true
$a = 0-$	empty	false	false	indet.	indet.	true	true
$a = 0$	empty	false	false	indet.	indet.	true	true
$a = y$	empty	false	false	false	false	indet.	true
$a = +\infty$	empty	false	false	false	false	false	indet.

Using this operator, one may write robust code (in the sense of the constructive theory of the reals) with floating point values, by handling special cases when a decision comes to an empty or indeterminates. For instance, one may trigger higher precision evaluation of the numbers, until all comparisons come out as boolean.

## V.4 Multi-valued boolean algorithms

As `bool_set` is the powerset of `bool`, this is the natural result when applying a predicate to every element of a set. The following algorithm returns true if each element of the set satisfies the predicate, false if none of the elements satisfies it, and indeterminate if some elements satisfy it while others do not.

```

template <typename InputIterator, typename Predicate>
bool_set check_if(InputIterator first, InputIterator last, Predicate pred) {
    bool_set result = bool_set::emptyset();
    for (; first != last; ++first) {
        result = set_union(result, pred(*first));
        if (result.is_indeterminate()) break;
    }
    return result;
}

```

The same feature could be achieved by using `count_if` STL algorithm and then comparing its result with zero and with the size of the set. But the program would be a bit awkward and not as efficient since it would require applying the predicate to all the elements, even when the result is already known to be indeterminate.

## V.5 Introducing non-determinism into C++ via `bool_set`

One intriguing possibility to introduce non-determinism using `bool_set` is to define a conversion from a `bool_set` `b` to `bool` returns a `bool` if `b.is_singleton()`, raises an exception if `b.is_emptyset()`, and which forks in case of indeterminate and returns true in one instantiation of the program and false in the other. Hence all execution paths with indeterminates would run in parallel and all possible execution paths would be eventually followed. This could be quite interesting for some applications (e.g. symbolic computations where the sign of a symbolic variable could not be formally determined at runtime, etc.)

One may even envision a language extension where a conversion in the context of a branch `if (bool_set x) then A; else B;` would execute `A` if `x.equals(true)`, `B` if `x.equals(false)`, and neither or both if `x` is empty or indeterminate. Unlike the situation in the previous paragraph which can already be simulated in current C++, it is not clear how to simulate such a four-branch non-determinism. But this raises intriguing possibilities. This could require either to have `bool_set` as a builtin type and special treatment of `if` statements, or the more general possibility to “overload” the behavior of `if`.

## VI Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Boost community for its support, and the deep peer review of the Boost.Interval library, together with the reliable computing community, all of whose comments led to this proposal. We also would like to thank Douglas Gregor as author of the Boost.Tribool library.

## References

- [1] Anonymous. Ternary Logic - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ternary\\_logic](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ternary_logic)
- [2] Anonymous. Setun - - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Setun>
- [3] H. Brönnimann, G. Melquiond, and S. Pion. A Proposal to add Interval Arithmetic to the C++ Standard Library. JTC1/SC22/WG21 - The C++ Standards Committee, Doc No: N1843=05-0103. <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2005/n1843.pdf>
- [4] H. Brönnimann, G. Melquiond, and S. Pion. The Boost interval arithmetic library. <http://www.boost.org/libs/numeric/interval/doc/interval.htm>
- [5] Douglas Gregor. Boost.Tribool. <http://boost.org/doc/html/tribool.html>

- [6] Douglas Gregor. Semple Analysis Engine Documentation.  
<http://www.cs.rpi.edu/~gregod/Semple/main.html>
- [7] Various authors. Several U.S. patents of interest:
  - #3,129,340 (04/14/64): Logical and Memory Circuits Utilizing Tri-Level Signals.
  - #3,176,154 (03/30/65): Three State Memory Device.
  - #3,207,922 (09/21/65): Three Level Inverter and Latch Circuits.
  - #3,660,678 (05/02/72): Basic Ternary Logic Circuits.
  - #3,671,763 (06/20/72): Ternary Latches.
  - #3,671,764 (06/20/72): Auto-Reset Latches.
  - #3,909,634 (09/30/75): Three State Latch.
- [8] CGAL. *Computational Geometry Algorithms Library*. <http://www.cgal.org/>



---

Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis  
2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes  
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique  
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

---

Éditeur  
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)  
<http://www.inria.fr>  
ISSN 0249-6399