

Maintaining Visibility Information of Planar Point Sets with a Moving Viewpoint

Olivier Devillers, Vida Dujmovic, Hazel Everett, Samuel Hornus, Steve

Wismath, Sue Whitesides

To cite this version:

Olivier Devillers, Vida Dujmovic, Hazel Everett, Samuel Hornus, Steve Wismath, et al.. Maintaining Visibility Information of Planar Point Sets with a Moving Viewpoint. 17th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry - CCCG'2005, Aug 2005, Windsor, Canada. inria-00000569

HAL Id: inria-00000569 <https://inria.hal.science/inria-00000569>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Maintaining Visibility Information of Planar Point Sets with ^a Moving Viewpoint

Olivier Devillers Vida Dujmovi ^y

Hazel Everett^{\ddagger}

Samuel Hornus §

Sue Whitesides[¶]

Steve Wismath^{||}

Abstract

Given a set of n points in the plane, we consider the problem of computing the circular ordering of the points about a viewpoint q and efficiently maintaining this ordering information as q moves.

1 Introduction

Let $P = \{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}\$ be a set of points in the plane. A point p is *visible* from a viewpoint q if the line segment pq contains no other point of P . If p coincides with q then p is not visible. The view of P from a viewpoint q is the clockwise circular ordering of all points in P that are visible from q . Given P , the view from q is easily computed in $O(n \log n)$ time.

In this paper we are interested in maintaining the view when q moves. More precisely, we would like to answer the following *moving viewpoint* queries efficiently: Given a trajectory σ , report, as q moves along σ , all of the different views in the order that they are seen by q. We assume that σ is given on-line. We don't know ahead of time the entire trajectory; we would like to compute the new views as the trajectory becomes known to us. For simplicity, here we assume the trajectory is a single segment σ , that does not pass through any points of P , and we assume no three points of P are ollinear.

This problem is motivated by the graphi
s problem of maintaining the view during an interactive walkthrough of a 3D scene. Here we give an efficient solution for a 2D s
ene onsisting of points.

Our main result is:

Theorem 1 Let P be a set of n points in the plane. After $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time and using $O(n)$ space, moving viewpoint queries along a specified line segment σ can be answered in $O(k \log n + s)$ amortized or $O(k \log^2 n + s)$ worst-case time where k is the number

of different views seen from σ and s is the size of the output.

Notice that, if each time the view changes the entire α . The output is the output is stated in the output is stated in the output is restri
ted to the two points that must be swapped then ^s = -(k).

Similar problems have been onsidered in both on-line and off-line mode for objects other than points. Ghali and Stewart $[3, 4]$ developed an on-line algorithm for maintaining the view of a set of *line segments*. Pocchiola $[7]$ presented a solution to the off-line version of the problem for a set of n objects. Roughly speaking, if these two results are scaled down to n points then the space requirements are $O(n^-)$ since they concentrate on cases with many occlusions among the given objects. The algorithm of Ghali and Stewart $[3]$ is however similar to ours and they have implemented the algorithm. See $[5]$ for an overview of other related results.

2 Preliminaries

We start with some simple properties pertaining to the view of a moving point.

Lemma 2 Let q and q ve two points such that segment qq intersects time ab, $a, v \in F$, and no other line through two points of **P** . If sequent *qquaterieseds* segment ab then the view from q is the same as the view from q. Otherwise the views are the same ex
ept that ^a and ^b are inverted. (Refer to Figure 1.)

The *chipped line* through two points a and b is the line defined by a and b minus the (closed) line segment \overline{ab} . The points a and b are not on the chipped line. The following observation states that if q moves across the line \overline{ab} then the view changes if only if q does not cross segment ab.

The *CL*-arrangement induced by a set of points is onstru
ted by drawing a hipped line through ea
h pair of points. The ells of this arrangement are verti
es, open edges and open fa
es. See Figure 2. The following lemma follows from Lemma 2.

Lemma 3 Any two points in the same cell of a CL arrangement have the same view.

INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, Olivier.Devillerssophia.inria.fr

[†]Carleton University, vida@scs.carleton.ca

^z LORIA University Nan
y 2, everettloria.fr

^x INRIA Rh^one-Alpes, Samuel.Hornusinria.fr I McGill University, sue@cs.mcgill.ca

University of Lethbridge, wismath@cs.uleth.ca

Figure 1: The view from q and q is (a, e, f, b, a, c) from w it is (e, f, a, v, a, c) and from w it is (e, f, v, a, a, c) .

Figure 2: A CL-arrangement

Lemma 3 leads to the following straightforward solution to our problem. In a preprocessing step, compute the \cup -arrangement of P . There are \cup (n $^+$) cens in this arrangement which can be computed in $O(n^*)$ time [2]. Preprocess the arrangement for point location queries. To answer a moving viewpoint query for a segment $\sigma = \overline{qr}$, first compute the view from q by sorting the points around q . Find the cell C containing q using the point location structure. Shoot a ray from q along \overline{qr} to find the first intersection point of \overline{qr} with \cup ; say $q\bar{r}$ intersects \cup at q on an edge defined by the $\lim\limits_{\longrightarrow}$ through $ca.$ Compute the view from this point q -by removing all the points on line \overline{cd} except for those visible to the viewpoint. Compute the view from a point in the neighboring cell of C into which the viewpoint moves. Repeat this process until the viewpoint arrives

at the ell ontaining r.

Clearly the problem with this approach is the $O(n)$ spa
e and prepro
essing time needed to ompute the CL-arrangement. We show how to reduce this signifiantly in se
tion 3.

Another, less naive approa
h to solve the moving viewpoint query pro
eeds as follows: Re
all that our goal is to maintain the ir
ularly ordered set of points around q . The idea is to sort them first (as a preprocess), then, for each of the *n* pairs of circularly consecutive points, insert an event in an event-queue that tells when the swapping of 2 onse
utive points should happen (if ever). The update is as follows: (A) Pop an event. (B) Update the circular ordering by swapping two onse
utive elements. (C) Insert up to 3 new events in the queue, while deleting 2 old events. Special care is required when the viewpoint q moves out of the convex hull of P , but this does not increase the complexity. Overall, for a given line segment trajectory, the complexity is $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time, and then $O(n \log n + k \log n + s)$ time. The $O(n \log n)$ term is required because, as the new trajectory is known, it is ne
essary to empty the event-queue, ompute the event times for *n* pairs of points, and insert $O(n)$ events in the queue.

In the next section, we show how to remove this $O(n \log n)$ term.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Rather than omputing the entire arrangement, we will ompute the ells of the arrangement only when we need them. Since the size of each cell is $O(n)$, it is the ability to perform updates efficiently without computing the entire CL-arrangement that is critical.

It will be onvenient to rid ourselves of the non onvex ells of the CL-arrangement whi
h, as the following lemma indicates, can be done by adding to the arrangement the edges of the convex hull of P . For example ray shooting is more easily performed in onvex regions.

Lemma 4 A vertex of a CL -arrangement is reflex in some cell if and only if it is a point on the convex hull.

Proof. First notice that a reflex vertex must be one of the n points; indeed, all other arrangement vertices are at intersections of lines. Let p be a point corresponding to a reflex vertex. Now, since p is reflex in some cell, all of the $n-1$ rays emanating from p lie on one half-plane defined by a line through p and thus all of the other $n-1$ other points lie in the other half-plane which is exactly the condition for p to be on the convex hull. \Box

In the following, we assume that the algorithm is operating in the CL-arrangement whi
h has been augmented to include the edges of the convex hull of p .

The following lemma will be useful for efficiently computing a ell given a view.

Lemma 5 The edges of a fully-dimensional cell C of the CL-arrangement are determined by consecutive points of the view from any point ^q in C.

Proof. Let s be a point on an edge of C and let a and b be the points generating the line containing s. We will distinguish the cases where s is on a chipped line or a onvex hull edge.

Case 1: Chipped line.

Without loss of generality, say s is on the ray emanating from b . It suffices to show that a and b are onse
utive points of the view of q. Suppose not. Then there is a point x of P in the wedge aqb . We first show that x is not in triangle aqb . See Figure 3. If it was then the ray emanating from x in direction \overline{ax} intersects the segment \overline{qs} , which is entirely inside C by convexity, at a point p . But then the points b, x and a are ordered around any point on the segment \overline{qp} in the order bxa and about any point on segment \overline{ps} in the order bax which contradicts Lemma 3. Similarly, there is no point x anywhere else in the wedge aqb since then the ray emanating from x in direction \overline{xb} intersects the segment \overline{qs} . See Figure 4.

Case 2: Convex hull edge.

Then s is on the segment \overline{ab} . First if q is inside the convex hull and if a and b are not consecutive then there is a point x in the wedge, and since \overline{ab} is a convex hull edge, x belongs to triangle aqb . A ray from x crosses segment \overline{qs} which should be inside C giving a contradiction; this ray is issued either from b as in Figure 5 or from a depending on the location of x with respect to segment \overline{qs} . If q is outside the convex hull, then either all the points are in the wedge aqb (outside the triangle) and ^a and ^b are onse
utive, or a ray from ^b separates q and a giving a contradiction as in Figure 6 (or a ray from a separates q from b). \Box

Lemma 5 implies that, in order to compute a cell it suffices to know the view from a point in that cell; each pair of consecutive points in the circular ordering ontributes a half-plane, the interse
tion of whi
h gives the ell. Now from Lemma 2 we know that to ompute a cell from a neighboring cell, it suffices to delete two half-planes and insert two new ones. These operations can be accomplished in $O(\log n)$ amortized or $O(\log^2 n)$ worst-case time $[1, 6]$.

The algorithm, whi
h implies Theorem 1, is given in Figure 7. The input to the algorithm is a point set P and a query segment \overline{qr} . The algorithm is similar to the less efficient version suggested in the previous section, however note that the space requirements are contained to $O(n)$ as the point q -moves from q to r encountering the cells of the CL-arrangement without first computing the arrangement.

Figure 3: If point s is on chipped line \overline{ab} , there is no point in triangle aqb.

Figure 4: If point s is on chipped line \overline{ab} , there is no point in wedge aqb.

Figure 5: If point s is on convex hull edge \overline{ab} , there is no point in triangle aqb.

4 Extensions

The same approach works when the trajectory σ is not a line segment, the only difference being in the resulting omplexity.

Theorem 6 Let P be a set of n points in the plane. After $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time, moving viewpoint queries along a specified curve σ can be answered in $O(f(n) + k \log n + s)$ amortized or $O(f(n) + k \log^2 n + s)$ $worst-case time, where k is the number of different views$ seen from σ , s is the size of the output, and $f(n)$ is the

Figure 6: If point s is on convex hull edge \overline{ab} , there is no point outside wedge aqb.

Algorithm: Moving Point Query (P, \overline{qr})

1. Set
$$
q' = q
$$
.

- 2. Construct the view around $q. -Q(n \log n)$.
- 3. Construct the cell C containing $q_i O(n \log n)$.
- 4. While $q < r$ do
	- \bullet shoot a ray from q in the direction $q\bar{r}$ mitting \cup at point $q = \cup$ (log n).
	- $q = q$
	- Update and output the view at $q = U(\log n)$.
	- Update the cell $U_z = U(\log n)$ or $U(\log_2 n)$.

Figure 7: The algorithm and analysis

time required to perform ray-shooting in a convex n-gon along curves of the same type as σ .

Suppose as q moves from s to t along segment \overline{st} , the view at s is known, and that only the view at t is required, and not the intermediate views. The view at ^t an be omputed by running our algorithm and a sorting algorithm in parallel. Then the time to compute the view at t is $\min\{O(n \log n), O(m \log n)\}\$ where m is the number of ells that are traversed.

Finally, if ^P is the set of endpoints of a set of disjoint line segments, then the actual view of the segments can be obtained easily from the view of the points in linear time, thus making our algorithm useful in the ase when few segments are occluded.

Distance-sort maintenance. Interestingly, the same algorithm an be used to maintain the set of points in P sorted according to their distance to a moving query point q . In this ordering, two consecutive points are swapped when q crosses their bisector. Thus, the CL-arrangement is repla
ed by an arrangement of

the $n(n-1)$ bisectors called a *B*-arrangement. We present only a critical lemma to support our claim.

Lemma 7 Each edge of a cell in the B -arrangement is supported by the bisector of two consecutive points in the distanceordering.

Proof. Let line ^L be the bisector defined by points a and b supporting one edge

of a cell C containing the query point v . Assume that, in the distance-ordering, there is a point r between a and b. Then the circumcircle of a, b and r has center c , the point of intersection of the 3 bisectors defined by (a,b) (b,r) and (r,a). We have $\overline{vb} < \overline{vr} < \overline{va}$. Therefore v lies in the yellow shaded region. Hence the line L cannot support an edge of C , which is a contradiction. П

By redefining the viewpoint query of theorem 1 to report hanges in the distan
e-ordering, we obtain the same omplexity result.

5 Con
lusion

We present here the first solution to the moving viewpoint query problem among points in 2D with provable worstase and amortized omplexity. Solving the problem in 3D remains a difficult open problem.

Referen
es

- $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$, we have a second $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ measure \mathbf{r} and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ Pro
. 43rd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer S
ien
e, 2002, 617-626.
- [2℄ H. Edelsbrunner and L. Guibas. Topologi
ally Sweeping an arrangement, J. of Computer and System S
ien
es 39, 165-194, 1989
- remental distribution of the step of the Stewart. In the step of t Visibility Map as Seen by ^a Moving Viewpoint in Two Dimensions, Eurographi
s 7th Workshop on Computer Animation and Simulation, 1996.
- [4℄ S. Ghali and J. Stewart. Maintenan
e of the Set of Segments Visible from ^a Moving Viewpoint in Two Dimensions, Pro
. of ACM Symposium on Computational $Geometry, V3-V4, May 1996.$
- is or hall-holt. Photostation, Motostation, Php. Photostation, Php. 2014. Stanford University, 2002.
- [6℄ M. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Maintenan
e of on ing in the plane. In the plane of the plane with system of the plane. In the system of the system of the system ------
- [7℄ M. Po

hiola. Graphi
s in Flatland revisited. Pro
. 2nd S
and. Workshop Algorithm Theory, LNCS Vol 447, Springer-Verlag 85{96, 1990.