



On the Impact of Provenance Semiring Theory on the Design of a Provenance-Aware Database System

Pierre Senellart

► To cite this version:

Pierre Senellart. On the Impact of Provenance Semiring Theory on the Design of a Provenance-Aware Database System. Antoine Amarilli; Alin Deutsch. The Provenance of Elegance in Computation - Essays Dedicated to Val Tannen, Schloss Dagstuhl, 2024, OpenAccess Series in Informatics, 10.4230/OASIs.Tannen.2024.12 . hal-04561331

HAL Id: hal-04561331

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-04561331>

Submitted on 26 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

On the Impact of Provenance Semiring Theory on the Design of a Provenance-Aware Database System

Pierre Senellart   

DI ENS, ENS, PSL University, CNRS, Paris, France

Inria, Paris, France

Institut Universitaire de France

CNRS@CREATE LTD, Singapore

IPAL, CNRS, Singapore

Abstract

We report on the impact that the theory of provenance semirings, developed by Val Tannen and his collaborators, has had on the design of a practical system for maintaining the provenance of query results over a relational database, namely ProvSQL.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Data provenance; Information systems → Database management system engines

Keywords and phrases provenance, provenance semiring, ProvSQL

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/OASICS.Tannen.2024.12

Funding This work was funded in part by the French government under management of Agence Nationale de la Recherche as part of the “Investissements d’avenir” program, reference ANR-19-P3IA-0001 (PRAIRIE 3IA Institute). It is also part of the program DesCartes and is supported by the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister’s Office, Singapore under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) program.

Acknowledgements ProvSQL is a collective effort; I acknowledge the contributions of Yann Ramusat, Silviu Maniu, Louis Jachiet, and Baptiste Lafosse to the development of the system.

1 Introduction

The important issue of keeping track of data throughout a complex process gave rise to the study of the *provenance* [4] of data, also sometimes called *lineage* [5]: extra information attached to query results which relates them to input data items. In a seminal work in 2007 [9], Todd J. Green, Grigoris Karvounarakis, and Val Tannen put forward *provenance semirings* as an algebraic framework to express a range of different forms of provenance over relational database systems, including the *data lineage* from [5], the *why-provenance* from [4], the *Boolean provenance* implicit in the early model of incomplete information of c-tables [12] (and made explicit in [10]), and many more. This work has had a considerable impact on the understanding of what data provenance is and how it can be computed, and was largely celebrated by the research community [11]. Val Tannen, in collaboration with a number of his colleagues, then further developed the theory of provenance semirings in other works, covering topics such as compact representation of provenance for recursive queries [7], provenance of non-monotone queries [2, 6], provenance of aggregate queries [3]. This line of work was also extended to other settings than the relational one and resulted in many different applications [18].

In 2016, inspired by this beautiful theoretical framework, motivated by applications of provenance to probabilistic databases [21, 10], and frustrated by the lack of maintained software that would implement provenance semirings, we embarked on the development of



© Pierre Senellart;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

The Provenance of Elegance in Computation – Essays Dedicated to Val Tannen.

Editors: Antoine Amarilli and Alin Deutsch; Article No. 12; pp. 12:1–12:10

OpenAccess Series in Informatics



OASICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

ProvSQL [19], a PostgreSQL extension that supports computation of semiring provenance and their extensions for SQL queries over relational databases. ProvSQL has first been demonstrated in 2018 [20] and has been updated and improved ever since.

In this paper, in honor of Val Tannen and the groundbreaking theory of provenance semirings, we want to reflect on the impact that theoretical research on provenance semirings has had on the design of ProvSQL: where ProvSQL directly implements the theory, where practical concerns require deviating from it, and when development is still lagging behind the theoretical framework.

We introduce semiring provenance and the way it is implemented in ProvSQL in Section 2, while in Section 3 we discuss extensions that go beyond semiring provenance.

2 Semiring Provenance for Positive Relational Algebra Queries

We now discuss the semiring provenance framework from [9] for the positive relational algebra and how it is implemented in ProvSQL, in terms of data model, query evaluation, as well as representations of provenance expressions. We assume basic knowledge of the relational model and the relational algebra, see [1] for a primer.

2.1 Data Model

Theory

A *semiring* is an algebraic structure $(\mathbb{K}, \oplus, \otimes, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ where $(\mathbb{K}, \oplus, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbb{K}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ are *monoids* (a set equipped with an associative binary operation and a neutral element), \oplus is commutative, \otimes distributes over \oplus , and $\mathbf{0}$ is an absorbing element for \otimes (i.e., $\forall a \in \mathbb{K}, a \otimes \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \otimes a = \mathbf{0}$). The semiring is *commutative* if \otimes is commutative. The *semiring* is often referred to by \mathbb{K} when the binary operations and neutral elements are clear. Given two semirings \mathbb{K} and \mathbb{K}' , a *semiring homomorphism* from \mathbb{K} to \mathbb{K}' is a function from \mathbb{K} to \mathbb{K}' that maps neutral elements of \mathbb{K} to the corresponding neutral elements of \mathbb{K}' and that preserves the binary operations of the semirings.

► **Example 1.** The following are classical examples of semirings, with applications to provenance:

- $(\mathbb{B} = \{\perp, \top\}, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is the semiring of Booleans;
- $(\mathbb{N}, +, \times, 0, 1)$ is the *counting* semiring;
- $(\mathbb{S} = \{\text{unclassified} < \text{restricted} < \text{confidential} < \text{secret} < \text{top_secret} < \text{unavailable}\}, \min, \max, \text{unavailable}, \text{unclassified})$ is the *security semiring* of security clearance levels;
- For any finite set X of variables, $(\mathbb{N}[X], +, \times, 0, 1)$ is the *integer polynomial semiring* that is sometimes also called *how-semiring*.

See [17] for many more examples and their application to provenance.

Given a commutative semiring $(\mathbb{K}, \oplus, \otimes, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$, [9] introduces a \mathbb{K} -*relation* (or *relation annotated by \mathbb{K}*) over a finite set of attributes A as a function R that maps tuples over A to an element of \mathbb{K} such that $\{t \mid R(t) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ is finite. Homomorphisms are extended to \mathbb{K} -relations: for a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}'$ and a \mathbb{K} -relation R , $h \circ R$ is a \mathbb{K}' -relation. Finally, \mathbb{K} -databases are databases formed of (labeled) \mathbb{K} -relations, and semiring homomorphisms extend to \mathbb{K} -databases in the natural way.

► **Example 2.** \mathbb{B} -relations over a set of attributes A are simply relations in the usual sense: finite set of tuples over A .

■ **Table 1** Relation **personnel** for the personnel of an intelligence agency, used as a running example, from [17]

id	name	position	city	classification	
1	John	Director	New York	unclassified	t_1
2	Paul	Janitor	New York	restricted	t_2
3	Dave	Analyst	Paris	confidential	t_3
4	Ellen	Field agent	Berlin	secret	t_4
5	Magdalen	Double agent	Paris	top_secret	t_5
6	Nancy	HR	Paris	restricted	t_6
7	Susan	Analyst	Berlin	secret	t_7

Consider the example **personnel** relation in Table 1. If t_1, \dots, t_7 are elements of a semiring \mathbb{K} distinct from 0 , then this depicts a \mathbb{K} -relation where every tuple of the relation is associated with a non- 0 element of \mathbb{K} . For example, assume that for every $1 \leq i \leq 7$, t_i is set to the value of the **classification** attribute of the corresponding tuple; then this is a \mathbb{S} -relation.

The integer polynomial semiring plays an important role in the theory of provenance semirings as it is *universal* in the following sense [9, Proposition 4.2]: for any commutative semiring \mathbb{K} , any set X of variables, and any valuation $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ of these variables to element of \mathbb{K} , there exists a unique homomorphism of semirings h from $\mathbb{N}[X]$ to \mathbb{K} such that $h(x) = v(x)$.

► **Example 3.** For example, take $X = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7\}$ and the valuation v that maps each of these tuple ids to the corresponding security level from the **classification** attribute in Table 1. Then the unique homomorphism $h : \mathbb{N}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ preserving this valuation is the one that maps $t_1 t_2 + t_4^2 t_5$ to

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\max(v(t_1), v(t_2)), \max(v(t_4), v(t_4), v(t_5))) &= \min(\max(v(t_1), v(t_2)), \max(v(t_4), v(t_5))) \\ &= \min(\text{restricted}, \text{top_secret}) \\ &= \text{restricted}. \end{aligned}$$

Implementation in ProVSQL

ProVSQL relies on the universality of the integer polynomial semiring by representing every relation as an $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ -relation where \mathcal{U} is a set of unique identifiers of base tuples. One major difference with the theoretical framework is that relations in SQL are not sets of tuples but multisets: the definition of a \mathbb{K} -relation thus needs to be modified to allow multiple annotations for the same tuple, resulting in multiple occurrences of this tuple.

To create an $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ -relation, ProVSQL provides an **add_provenance** function, that takes as input a regular PostgreSQL relation and modifies it to add to this relation an additional **provenance** attribute initialized with universally unique identifiers (UUIDs), generated at random.

When one wants to interpret such an annotated relation as a \mathbb{K} -relation for a semiring \mathbb{K} , it suffices to provide the valuation $v : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ (called in ProVSQL a *provenance mapping*) as a PostgreSQL relation, as well as a description of the homomorphism from $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ to \mathbb{K} , which amounts to explaining how to interpret in the semiring \mathbb{K} the $0, 1$ elements of $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$, as well as the binary operations $+$ and \times of $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$. ProVSQL provides a **provenance_evaluate** function

for this purpose; operations of the semirings are typically coded as PL/pgSQL functions, PostgreSQL’s user-defined function language.

2.2 Positive Relational Algebra Query Evaluation

Theory

The same paper [9] shows how the different operators of the positive relational algebra (selection, projection, union, projection, cross product or join, and renaming) can be defined on \mathbb{K} -relations: selection and renaming have no effect on the annotations; tuples merged as a result of a projection or a union are combined with the \oplus operation of the semiring; tuples jointly participating in producing a new tuple in a product or join are combined with the \otimes operation of the semiring. Given a query q of the positive relational algebra and a \mathbb{K} -relation R , $q(R)$ is the \mathbb{K} -relation obtained by inductively applying these operations.

► **Example 4.** Consider the Boolean query

$$\Pi_{\emptyset}(\sigma_{id < id2}(\text{personnel} \bowtie_{\text{city}} \Pi_{id2, \text{city}}(\rho_{id \rightarrow id2}(\text{personnel}))))$$

over the running example schema which returns whether there exists a city with two distinct individuals. The result of evaluating this query over the $\mathbb{N}[X]$ -relation from Table 1 is the annotated relation with a single nullary tuple annotated with the polynomial $t_1t_2 + t_3t_5 + t_3t_6 + t_3t_6 + t_4t_7$. If instead this is a \mathbb{S} -relation with the annotation from the `classification` attribute, the resulting annotation is $\min(\text{restricted}, \text{secret}, \text{top_secret}, \text{top_secret}, \text{secret}) = \text{restricted}$.

The reason why this is the right definition is given by two results from [9]. First, some standard identities of the relational algebra are preserved [9, Proposition 3.4]. Second, *query evaluation commutes with semiring homomorphism* [9, Proposition 3.5]: if h is a homomorphism from \mathbb{K} to \mathbb{K}' , q a positive relational algebra query and R a \mathbb{K} -relation, then $h \circ q = q \circ h$.

Implementation in ProvSQL

Again, the theory needs to be adapted to reflect the fact that SQL uses a multiset semantics and not a set semantics. This has an impact for projections (which does not imply duplicate eliminations in SQL) and for **UNION ALL** unions: they do not change the provenance annotations. On the other hand, **DISTINCT** and **GROUP BY** operators in SQL result in duplicate elimination and thus in the application of the \oplus operator of the semiring.

All operations are done in the $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ semiring. Because of the commutativity of semiring homomorphisms and query evaluation, it is possible to evaluate the result of a query in a different semiring by first evaluating it in the $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ semiring and then apply the semiring homomorphism.

In ProvSQL, the \oplus and \otimes operations of the semiring are respectively implemented by a `provenance_plus` and `provenance_times` user-defined function. At planning time, the query sent to PostgreSQL is rewritten so that the resulting relation includes a `provsql` column whose content is computed using these two functions, following the operations specified in the query.

► **Example 5.** Consider the following SQL query, which uses the usual **SELECT DISTINCT** 1 trick to mimic the behavior of the Boolean query from Example 4:

```

SELECT DISTINCT 1 FROM (
  SELECT p1.city
  FROM personnel p1
  JOIN personnel p2 ON p1.city=p2.city
  WHERE p1.id<p2.id
  GROUP BY p1.city
) inner_query;

```

In ProvSQL, this query gets rewritten to the following one so as to produce in a new `provsql` attribute the correct provenance annotation: `provenance_times` gets called to reflect the join, while `provenance_plus` gets called to reflect both the `GROUP BY` and `DISTINCT` operators (the latter being converted to a `GROUP BY`).

```

SELECT 1, provenance_plus(ARRAY_AGG(provsql)) AS provsql FROM (
  SELECT p1.city, provenance_plus(
    ARRAY_AGG(provenance_times(p1.provsql,p2.provsql))) AS provsql
  FROM personnel p1 JOIN personnel p2 ON p1.city=p2.city
  WHERE p1.id<p2.id
  GROUP BY p1.city
) inner_query
GROUP BY 1;

```

Another challenge of the practical implementation is that PostgreSQL's internal data structures do not fully match the abstract view of the relational algebra; instead, every operator that exists in the SQL language gets reflected in a special way, which requires handling many subcases (and which means SQL support in ProvSQL is still not complete to this date).

2.3 Provenance Representations

Theory

Though [9] does not explicitly give complexity results, it is clear that provenance tracking can be done in polynomial-time. The exact complexity, however, depends on how costly the \oplus and \otimes operations of the semiring are. If they can be reasonably counted to be in $O(1)$ for certain application semirings (e.g., the security semiring or even the counting semiring if integers involved are bounded), one needs to be more careful about the complexity of operations in more complex semirings such as the integer polynomial semiring. Indeed, if one were to require expanding every polynomial to a sum of monomial, it is easy to construct examples where this results in exponentially-sized expressions.

The question of compact representation of provenance led Daniel Deutch, Tova Milo, Sudeepa Roy, and Val Tannen to propose in [7] *arithmetic circuits* to represent provenance annotations in a way that allows sharing and does not require copying entire subexpressions or expanding them. This was done in the context of recursive queries (see 3.1) but is also useful for non-recursive ones.

Implementation in ProvSQL

Since all provenance in ProvSQL is $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ provenance, compact representation is paramount for efficiency of query evaluation as well as reduced use of storage. ProvSQL thus stores provenance as an arithmetic circuit, whose internal gates are the semiring operators and

leaves are base UUIDs. This way, the `provsql` column of provenance-aware relations can simply be pointers to the corresponding gates in the circuit (in practice, we also use UUIDs as identifiers of internal gates, and these UUIDs are stored in the `provsql` columns). The `provenance_plus` and `provenance_times` functions add new gates to the circuit. This raises the question of where to store the circuit. We have successively experimented with three different storage mechanisms:

1. Initially, the provenance circuit was stored as a table within the same database, managed by the database engine. Unfortunately, this is extremely inefficient, as this means that every query results in many different updates (each time a gate is created in the circuit) on the provenance circuit table; this was also a nightmare in terms of concurrency control as every query turned into a batch of updates.
2. We then moved to storing the circuit in main memory, using the shared memory buffers of PostgreSQL. This was much more efficient and made it easier to address concurrency issues, but this was not a viable solution either, as the amount of shared memory buffers is limited, and this solution does not provide any way to ensure persistence of storage of the circuit.
3. In our latest implementation, the circuit is stored on disk, in memory-mapped files that are accessed through a single process. This solution resolves the issues of persistence and concurrency control, while memory mapping helps with keeping access to the circuit efficient in practice.

3 Beyond Positive Relational Algebra Queries and Semirings

We now briefly discuss theoretical ways that have been proposed to go beyond the positive relational algebra and the semiring frameworks, and their influence in the design of ProvSQL.

3.1 Recursive Queries

Theory

The original paper on the semiring framework [9] also dealt with recursive queries in the form of Datalog programs. Green, Karvounarakis, and Tannen showed that their provenance could be captured by semirings, as long as those satisfied some technical conditions (in particular, being ω -continuous). In this setting, most of the results for the positive relational algebra can be recovered: commutativity of Datalog queries and semiring homomorphisms, as well as the existence of a universal semiring, i.e., the semiring of formal power series. Algorithms for computing the provenance of recursive queries were then refined in [7] with the introduction of provenance circuits. Recent work by other authors [14] study in more detail conditions for convergence of Datalog queries involving provenance.

Implementation in ProvSQL

Unfortunately, support for recursive queries cannot be added to ProvSQL in a straightforward way. This is due to the fact that the computation of the provenance annotation in the special `provsql` columns requires aggregation to combine annotations of different tuples, and that SQL forbids aggregation within `WITH RECURSIVE` recursive queries. There does not seem to be any easy way around this without reimplementing a query evaluation engine, which is out of the scope of the ProvSQL project. Note, however, that together with Yann Ramusat and Silviu Maniu, we have proposed and experimented various algorithms for evaluation of the

provenance of recursive queries [15, 16], inspired by [9, 7], but in a simpler setting outside of a database engine.

3.2 Non-Monotone Queries

Theory

A natural direction beyond the positive relational algebra is to add negation, by adding the difference operator of the full relational algebra. One way to add them to the framework of provenance semirings is to extend semirings with a *monus* \ominus operator (which results in what is called *m-semirings*), as proposed by Floris Geerts and Antonella Poggi [8]; for example, in the Boolean semiring it is as expected defined as $a \ominus b = a \wedge \neg b$ and in the counting semiring as $a \ominus b = \max(0, a - b)$. However, Yael Amsterdamer, Daniel Deutch, Tova Milo, and Val Tannen have showed in [2] that this definition results in some counter-intuitive results (some common axioms, such as distributivity over \otimes over \ominus , fail); in addition, $\mathbb{N}[X]$ is not a universal semiring with monus [8].

As an alternative to semirings with monus, Katrin M. Dannert, Erich Grädel, Matthias Naaf, and Val Tannen have proposed [6] a very general logical framework for computing the provenance of recursive queries (in the form of fixpoint logics) with negation. This is based on a trick of associating with every positive provenance token a corresponding negative one and considering the semiring of integer polynomials (or formal series in the recursive case) with variables both positive and negative tokens.

A final alternative for provenance with difference is given by the work on provenance aggregate [3] that we discuss in the next section.

Implementation in ProvSQL

ProvSQL follows the m-semiring approach, despite its limitations identified in [2]. Since $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{U}]$ is not universal any longer, we need to work with the actual universal m-semiring, which is simply the free m-semiring [8], i.e., the m-semiring of free terms constructed using \oplus , \otimes , \ominus , quotiented by the equivalence relations imposed by the m-semiring structure. In practice, this means adding a `provenance_monus` function, used when the `EXCEPT` SQL keyword is used, that adds a \ominus gate in the provenance circuit.

3.3 Aggregate Queries

Theory

Another very commonly used query feature that goes beyond the relational algebra is *aggregates*. Yael Amsterdamer, Daniel Deutch, and Val Tannen have proposed a solution [3] in the form of *provenance semimodules* for the case of aggregate functions that are associative and commutative, such as `min`, `max`, `sum`, or `count`. The scalar aggregate values form a monoid, which is combined with the provenance semiring to form a semimodule. Note that the resulting semimodule values are now annotating attribute values instead of annotating tuples. In addition to these semimodule attribute values, [3] introduces an additional δ operator to the provenance semiring that is used to determine the tuple annotation of tuples that include an aggregate computation (see [3]). Finally, when selection can be done on the result on an aggregation, additional comparison operators are introduced to build provenance annotations (these operators can then be used to define a semantics for difference).

► **Example 6.** Consider the query that counts the number of distinct cities in the running example schema. When evaluating this query over the $\mathbb{N}[X]$ -relation from Table 1 we obtain a unary tuple with semimodule value

$$(t_1 \oplus t_2) \star 1 + (t_3 \oplus t_5 \oplus t_6) \star 1 + (t_4 \oplus t_7) \star 1$$

where \star is a tensor product allowing combining elements of the aggregation monoid with elements of the provenance semiring and $+$ is the aggregation monoid operation (here, addition). The provenance annotation of this tuple is 1 (the 1-element of the semiring) as it is always present. In the security semiring, this is:

$$\text{unclassified} \star 1 + \text{confidential} \star 1 + \text{secret} \star 1$$

with provenance annotation “unclassified”.

Implementation in ProvSQL

ProvSQL carefully follows the theoretical framework of [3] to support provenance computation of aggregate queries. At the moment, aggregates are only supported when they are the final operation performed, though we have plans of adding support of nested aggregates in the future. In order to keep a compact representation of the aggregate values, these are also added to the provenance circuit, using extra gate types for the tensor product and monoid aggregate operators.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented the tremendous impact that the work of Val Tannen and his collaborators on provenance semirings has had on the design of a practical system for computing the provenance of query results. It is remarkable that so many of these theoretical works lead themselves to practical implementations that can be made efficient.

Though ProvSQL is already usable as is (and, in addition to provenance, provides features for computations of probabilities [17] and Shapley(-like) values [13]), there remains a number of features to implement and optimizations to perform. The most direct given the previous discussion is the support for nested aggregates; recursive queries are unfortunately unlikely to be supported in a near future. Performing all computations in the universal semiring (or the universal m-semiring) has the advantage of being a generic approach, but means that many optimizations that are possible in a given semiring cannot be applied – some engineering is required to allow a user to request ProvSQL to capture only specific forms of provenance and ensure all possible optimizations for this particular algebraic structure are performed.

References

- 1 Serge Abiteboul, Richard Hull, and Victor Vianu. *Foundations of Databases*. Addison-Wesley, 1995. URL: <http://webdam.inria.fr/Alice/>.
- 2 Yael Amerdamer, Daniel Deutch, and Val Tannen. On the limitations of provenance for queries with difference. In Peter Buneman and Juliana Freire, editors, *3rd Workshop on the Theory and Practice of Provenance, TaPP'11, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, June 20-21, 2011*. USENIX Association, 2011. URL: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/tapp11/limitations-provenance-queries-difference>.

- 3 Yael Amerdamer, Daniel Deutch, and Val Tannen. Provenance for aggregate queries. In Maurizio Lenzerini and Thomas Schwentick, editors, *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS 2011, June 12-16, 2011, Athens, Greece*, pages 153–164. ACM, 2011. doi:10.1145/1989284.1989302.
- 4 Peter Buneman, Sanjeev Khanna, and Wang Chiew Tan. Why and where: A characterization of data provenance. In Jan Van den Bussche and Victor Vianu, editors, *Database Theory - ICDT 2001, 8th International Conference, London, UK, January 4-6, 2001, Proceedings*, volume 1973 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 316–330. Springer, 2001. doi:10.1007/3-540-44503-X_20.
- 5 Yingwei Cui and Jennifer Widom. Practical lineage tracing in data warehouses. In David B. Lomet and Gerhard Weikum, editors, *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Data Engineering, San Diego, California, USA, February 28 - March 3, 2000*, pages 367–378. IEEE Computer Society, 2000. doi:10.1109/ICDE.2000.839437.
- 6 Katrin M. Dannert, Erich Grädel, Matthias Naaf, and Val Tannen. Semiring provenance for fixed-point logic. In Christel Baier and Jean Goubault-Larrecq, editors, *29th EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic, CSL 2021, January 25-28, 2021, Ljubljana, Slovenia (Virtual Conference)*, volume 183 of *LIPIcs*, pages 17:1–17:22. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.CSL.2021.17.
- 7 Daniel Deutch, Tova Milo, Sudeepa Roy, and Val Tannen. Circuits for Datalog provenance. In Nicole Schweikardt, Vassilis Christophides, and Vincent Leroy, editors, *Proc. 17th International Conference on Database Theory (ICDT), Athens, Greece, March 24-28, 2014*, pages 201–212. OpenProceedings.org, 2014. doi:10.5441/002/icdt.2014.22.
- 8 Floris Geerts and Antonella Poggi. On database query languages for k-relations. *J. Appl. Log.*, 8(2):173–185, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.jal.2009.09.001.
- 9 Todd J. Green, Gregory Karvounarakis, and Val Tannen. Provenance semirings. In Leonid Libkin, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, June 11-13, 2007, Beijing, China*, pages 31–40. ACM, 2007. doi:10.1145/1265530.1265535.
- 10 Todd J. Green and Val Tannen. Models for incomplete and probabilistic information. In Torsten Grust, Hagen Höpfner, Arantza Illarramendi, Stefan Jablonski, Marco Mesiti, Sascha Müller, Paula-Lavinia Patranjan, Kai-Uwe Sattler, Myra Spiliopoulou, and Jef Wijsen, editors, *Current Trends in Database Technology - EDBT 2006, EDBT 2006 Workshops PhD, DataX, IIDB, IIHA, ICSNW, QLQP, PIM, PaRMA, and Reactivity on the Web, Munich, Germany, March 26-31, 2006, Revised Selected Papers*, volume 4254 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 278–296. Springer, 2006. doi:10.1007/11896548_24.
- 11 Todd J. Green and Val Tannen. The semiring framework for database provenance. In Emanuel Sallinger, Jan Van den Bussche, and Floris Geerts, editors, *Proceedings of the 36th ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGAI Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS 2017, Chicago, IL, USA, May 14-19, 2017*, pages 93–99. ACM, 2017. doi:10.1145/3034786.3056125.
- 12 Tomasz Imielinski and Witold Lipski Jr. Incomplete information in relational databases. *J. ACM*, 31(4):761–791, 1984. doi:10.1145/1634.1886.
- 13 Pratik Karmakar, Mikaël Monet, Pierre Senellart, and Stéphane Bressan. Expected Shapley-like scores of boolean functions: Complexity and applications to probabilistic databases. *Proc. ACM Manag. Data*, 2(2 (PODS)), 2024. doi:10.1145/3651593.
- 14 Mahmoud Abo Khamis, Hung Q. Ngo, Reinhard Pichler, Dan Suciu, and Yisu Remy Wang. Convergence of datalog over (pre-) semirings. *SIGMOD Rec.*, 52(1):75–82, 2023. doi:10.1145/3604437.3604454.
- 15 Yann Ramusat, Silviu Maniu, and Pierre Senellart. Provenance-based algorithms for rich queries over graph databases. In Yannis Velegrakis, Demetris Zeinalipour-Yazti, Panos K. Chrysanthis, and Francesco Guerra, editors, *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT 2021, Nicosia, Cyprus, March 23 - 26, 2021*, pages 73–84. OpenProceedings.org, 2021. doi:10.5441/002/EDBT.2021.08.

- 16 Yann Ramusat, Silviu Maniu, and Pierre Senellart. Efficient provenance-aware querying of graph databases with datalog. In Vasiliki Kalavri and Semih Salihoglu, editors, *GRADES-NDA '22: Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGMOD Joint International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences & Systems (GRADES) and Network Data Analytics (NDA)*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, 12 June 2022, pages 4:1–4:9. ACM, 2022. doi:10.1145/3534540.3534689.
- 17 Pierre Senellart. Provenance and probabilities in relational databases. *SIGMOD Rec.*, 46(4):5–15, 2017. doi:10.1145/3186549.3186551.
- 18 Pierre Senellart. Provenance in databases: Principles and applications. In Markus Krötzsch and Daria Stepanova, editors, *Reasoning Web. Explainable Artificial Intelligence - 15th International Summer School 2019, Bolzano, Italy, September 20-24, 2019, Tutorial Lectures*, volume 11810 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 104–109. Springer, 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-31423-1_3.
- 19 Pierre Senellart. ProvSQL. <https://github.com/PierreSenellart/provsql>, 2024.
- 20 Pierre Senellart, Louis Jachiet, Silviu Maniu, and Yann Ramusat. ProvSQL: Provenance and probability management in PostgreSQL. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 11(12):2034–2037, 2018. doi:10.14778/3229863.3236253.
- 21 Dan Suciu, Dan Olteanu, Christopher Ré, and Christoph Koch. *Probabilistic Databases*. Synthesis Lectures on Data Management. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2011. doi:10.2200/S00362ED1V01Y201105DTM016.