

An imperative programming language characterizing FBQP

Emmanuel Hainry, Romain Péchoux, Mário Silva

▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuel Hainry, Romain Péchoux, Mário Silva. An imperative programming language characterizing FBQP. QPL 2022 - Quantum Physics and Logic, Jun 2022, Oxford, United Kingdom. . hal-03895106

HAL Id: hal-03895106 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03895106v1

Submitted on 12 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Introduction

Quantum programming languages are a useful tool, not only for writing complex algorithms, but also for abstracting and reasoning about their properties and to learn more about what can be done efficiently by quantum computers [1].

However, merely being able to describe a quantum program does not inform us on its complexity, meaning that it may not ultimately be efficiently run on a quantum computer. Consequently, there is a need for static analysis tools and techniques for reasoning about and certifying the complexity of these quantum algorithms.

We introduce an imperative programming language called QPT (Quantum Poly-Time) with recursion rules that captures the complexity class FBQP (Functions Bounded-error Quantum Polytime), the class of functions computable in polynomial time by a quantum Turing machine with at most 1/3 probability of error, commonly accepted as the class of feasible problems for quantum computers. Our result takes advantage of a function algebra proposed by Yamakami [2] characterizing FBQP.

Syntax

$$P \triangleq D :: S$$

$$i, j \triangleq n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |\bar{q}|$$

$$b \triangleq i < j \mid i = j$$

$$D \triangleq \varepsilon \mid \operatorname{Proc} \operatorname{proc}(m, \bar{p}) \{S[m]\}, D$$

$$\sigma \triangleq \emptyset \mid \bar{q} \mid \sigma[i] \mid \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 \mid \operatorname{remove}(\sigma, i)$$

$$U \triangleq NOT \mid ROT_{\theta} \mid PHASE_{\theta}$$

$$S \triangleq \operatorname{skip}$$

$$\mid if \ b \ then \ S$$

$$\mid \sigma[i]* = U$$

$$\mid S_1; S_2$$

$$\mid \operatorname{Case} \ C(\sigma[i]) = m \ then \ S_m$$

$$\mid \operatorname{call} \operatorname{proc}(i, \sigma)$$

Sets σ are sorted sets of qubits together with basic operations. The **Case** structure implements a quantum choice: controlled on the state of qubit $\sigma[i]$, we apply S_0 or S_1 to the remainder of the qubits.

An imperative programming language characterizing FBQP

Emmanuel Hainry, Romain Péchoux, Mário Silva

Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA, F-54000 Nancy, France

Rule for termination (R1)

Let $proc_i \sim proc_j$ mean that $proc_i$ and $proc_j$ are	W						
mutually recursive procedures. The following condi-	ca						
tion ensures that a program with procedure decla-							
rations D will always terminate:	in						
$\forall \operatorname{Proc} proc_i(\bar{p})\{S_i\} \in D,$							
$\forall \text{ call } proc_j(\sigma) \in S_i,$							
$proc_i \sim proc_j \Rightarrow \sigma$ is a proper subset of \bar{p} ,	i e						
i.e., any call to a mutually recursive function must	fe						
strictly decrease the amount of qubits available.							

$QPT \sim FBQP$

Soundness: For any program P in QPT following rules $\mathbf{R1}$ and $\mathbf{R2}$, there exists a poly-sized uniform family of circuits $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for each input size n that simulates P.

Completeness: For any function f in FBQP with size-bounding polynomial p, and any constant $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/2)$, there exists a program P in QPT following rules **R1** and **R2** such that, running P on polynomially extended input state ρ_x^p , for $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, a measurement of the first |f(x)| of the output qubits will result in f(x) with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$.

Building poly-sized circuits

Dealing with procedures that include recursive	Fo
branching, a straightforward approach to building	is
the circuit will reasily require an exponential num-	
ber of gates, e.g. in the following procedure:	$ar{q}[1$
	$ 0\rangle_{1}$

```
Proc f(\bar{p}){
     if |\bar{p}| > 1:
            Case C(\bar{p}[1]) = 0 then
                  call f(\text{remove}(\bar{p}, 1))
            else Case C(\bar{p}[2]) = 1 then
                  call f(\text{remove}(\bar{p}, \{1, 2\}))
      else \bar{p}[1] * = U \} ::
call f(\bar{q})
```

As a proof of **Soundness**, we provide an algorithm to build all programs following rule **R2** with a polynomially large set of gates and wires, such as in the example of Figure 1 for the above function applied on an input \bar{q} of size n = 5.

Figure 1: Example circuit of a recursive quantum function fapplied on a set of 5 qubits.

Rule for poly-time (R2)

Ve prevent an exponential number of procedure alls by limiting the number of recursive calls in each ranch of a **Case**. Let $RCalls(\cdot)$ represent the maxnum number of recursive calls for any branch, then

 $\forall \operatorname{Proc} proc_i(\bar{p}) \{S_i\} \in D, \operatorname{RCalls}(proc_i) \leq 1,$

e., multiple recursive calls can be done only on difrent branches of the **Case** structure.





The quantum Fourier transform (QFT) is in FBQP, appearing as a subroutine of Shor's algorithm. It contains two recursive patterns, following rules **R1** and **R2**, highlighted in the circuit of Figure 2.

The circuit can be implemented with size $O(n^2)$ gates, for an input with n qubits.

 $ar{q}[1]$

 $\bar{q}[2]$

 $\bar{q}[3]$

 $\bar{q}[n-1]$ $\overline{q}[n]$

Figure 2: Representation of two recursive patterns with decreasing qubit set in the QFT.



Example: QFT

Proc $QFT(\bar{p})$ { $\bar{p}[1] * = H;$ call $Chain(2, \bar{p});$ call $QTF(remove(\bar{p}, 1))\},\$

Proc $Chain(m, \bar{p})$ { **Case** $C(\bar{p}[2]) = 1$ **then** $\bar{p}[1] * = R_m$; **call** $Chain(m+1, remove(\bar{p}, 2))\}$::

call $QFT(\bar{q})$

- <u>H</u>	R_2] []	23	\cdot	· · · ·
					$H \longrightarrow R_2 \longrightarrow \cdots$
			·····,		
					· · · ·
	•		:		• • • • • •
					 ····
 					·····

References

[1] Peter Selinger.

Towards a quantum programming language. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 14(4):527–586, 2004.

[2] Tomoyuki Yamakami.

A schematic definition of quantum polynomial time computability.

J. Symb. Log., 85(4):1546–1587, 2020.