

Word Association: Engagement of Teenagers in a Co-design Process

Vanessa Cesário, António Coelho, Valentina Nisi

▶ To cite this version:

Vanessa Cesário, António Coelho, Valentina Nisi. Word Association: Engagement of Teenagers in a Co-design Process. 17th IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (INTERACT), Sep 2019, Paphos, Cyprus. pp.693-697, 10.1007/978-3-030-29390-1_65. hal-02878631

HAL Id: hal-02878631 https://inria.hal.science/hal-02878631v1

Submitted on 23 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Word Association: Engagement of Teenagers in a Codesign Process

Vanessa Cesário^{1,2}, António Coelho^{2,4}, and Valentina Nisi^{1,4}

¹ ITI/LARSyS, 9020-105, Portugal
² Faculty of Engineering of University of Porto, 4200-465, Portugal
³ INESC TEC, 4200-465, Portugal
⁴ University of Madeira, 9000-208, Portugal
{vanessa.cesario}{valentina.nisi}@m-iti.org
acoelho@fe.up.pt

Abstract. This submission describes the analysis of an evaluation of 155 teenagers (15-19 years old) who took part in a co-design session centred around how mobile technology might enhance their own experiences in a natural history museum. At the end, participants were required to make a word association to evaluate the session. An analysis of how teen participants responded to the design session was conducted using thematic analysis to show the different categories of adjectives used by participants in their evaluations. The goal for the evaluation was mainly to pilot the design session process and if teens enjoyed participating in it. We believe this is of interest to designers and cultural heritage professionals.

Keywords: Co-design, Evaluation, Thematic analysis, Teenagers, Museums.

1 Introduction

We follow the framework presented in our previous work [1] to engage teenage audiences in the design of interactive experiences for museums. We designed for a single session and engaged 155 participants in short bursts of co-design sessions [2-4] to gather ideas to be examined later for trends. We used the data from the sessions to gather feedback and reveal insights on how teens think mobile interactive technologies could enhance their overall experience at a museum. In total, 155 participants aged 15-19 took part in the studies. In each session, the students were divided into groups, and we ended up with a total of 46 groups with an average of 3-4 gender mixed students (49 females, 106 males). The sessions took place in their usual classrooms and took 90 minutes to complete, and the following topics were addressed: 1) introduction, 2) 45min co-design session, 3) evaluation of the session by the participants (word association). At the end of the 45 minutes of co-design activity, the participants were invited to describe the co-design session in one word on a piece of paper, which would remain anonymous, in order to rapidly identify their feedback on the co-design session carried. Even though the participants were old enough to apply a questionnaire to gather their feedback on the session, we opted to use a word association to evaluate it, as Carl Jung

[5] theorised that people connect thoughts, feelings, experiences and information by way of association. In the Association Test, a test used in psychology to study the organisation of mental life, the subject is instructed to state the first word that comes to mind in response to a word, concept, or other stimuli. In this study, the participants were told to report the first word that comes to mind in response to the co-design session carried. For this contribution, we are going to focus on the qualitative analysis of the word association made by the participants.

Code	Count	Subtheme	Theme	Total
coolness notable fun	51 34 7	Interesting	POSITIVE	136
interaction	12	Collaboration		
collaborative	9			
learnable	2			
creative innovative	13 6	Innovation		
easy	2	Simple		
tricky	6	Complex	NEGATIVE	11
uninteresting	2	Unexciting		
not appealing	1			
uncomfortable	1	Obtrusive		
repetitive	1			
different	6	Diverse	NEUTRAL	8
indifferent	1	Somewhat		
more or less	1			

Table 1. Map of the thematic analysis conducted over the teens assessment of the session: the one-word evaluation by participants generated codes (column "count" shows us how many adjectives each code encompasses), then these codes generated subthemes, and finally themes. Column "total" indicates how many adjectives each theme comprises.

2 Thematic analysis

All words were brought together to identify the categories and themes about the engagement of teenagers in participating in a co-design session. We used thematic analysis to report the data gathered. This technique is used for identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns within data. It minimally organises and describes the data set in detail [6]. NVivo 11 was used to organise the analysis. A detailed analysis of the words was conducted to evaluate the teenagers' enjoyment in taking part on the sessions. We firstly started coding the words. All words were transcribed into NVivo 11 (we refer to them as <u>adjectives</u>) and then categorised into 17 preliminary <u>codes</u> that had the same meaning, highlighting patterns and trends emerging from participants' adjectives. Then, these codes were sorted into 9 <u>subthemes</u>, and finally, the codes were grouped into 3 overreaching <u>themes</u>. The relation between codes and themes was double checked by the research team to guarantee the same meaning. A thematic map with codes, subthemes and themes was generated from this step (Table 1). We organised the data into

the 3 main themes shown in the Results section, where each of the three overarching themes, subthemes and codes are described.

3 Results

3.1 Positive evaluation

Inside the *Positive Evaluation* theme (136 evaluations), we inserted all the subthemes which are related to positive experiences about the co-design sessions that teens took part in. We coded 4 subthemes for this theme: 1) interesting, 2) collaboration, 3) innovation, and 4) simple. For the subtheme "interesting" we coded 1) the adjectives that related to the "coolness" of the session, and aesthetic qualities of attitude, behavior, comportment, appearance and style which is generally admired, such as: amazing and appealing; 2) the adjectives that describe the co-design session as "notable", worthy of attention or notice, such as: impressive and remarkable; and 3) the adjectives related to having "fun", enjoyment, amusement, or light-hearted pleasure within the session, such as hilarious. For the subtheme "collaboration" we coded 1) the adjectives regarding "interaction", reciprocal action within the session and the other participants, such as: dynamic and interactive; 2) the adjectives regarding "collaborative" behaviours, where two or more parties work together, such as: brainstorming and sociable; 3) and the adjectives related to "learn", gain or acquire knowledge of something through experience, or being taught together with the other participants, such as thoughtful and educational. For the subtheme "innovation", we coded 1) the adjectives that defined the session as something "creative", relating to or involving the imagination or original ideas, especially in the production of an artistic work, such as original and unique; and 2) the adjectives concerning the session as "innovative", featuring new methods – advanced and original, such as evolution and future. For the subtheme "simple", we coded the adjectives which featured the experience as "easy" to take, presenting no difficulty, such as approachable and easy.

3.2 Negative evaluation

Inside the *Negative Evaluation* theme (11 evaluations), we inserted all the subthemes which are related to negative experiences regarding the co-design sessions in which participants took part. We coded 3 subthemes for this theme: 1) complex, 2) unexciting, and 3) obtrusive. For the subtheme "complex" we coded the adjectives that described the session as "tricky", requiring care and skill because difficult or awkward, such as *complicated* and *complex*. For the subtheme "unexciting" we coded 1) the adjectives that referred to the session as "uninteresting", not arousing curiosity or interest; and 2) the ones concerning the session as "not appealing" such as *awful*. For the subtheme "obtrusive" we coded 1) the adjectives that described the session as "uncomfortable", causing or feeling slight discomfort, such as *annoying*; and 2) the ones that described the session as "repetitive", the action of repeating something that has already been said or written, such as *repetition*.

3.3 Neutral evaluation

Inside the *Negative Evaluation* theme (8 evaluations), we inserted all the subthemes which refer to the co-design session as impartial, not helping or supporting either side – positive nor negative. We coded 2 subthemes for this theme: 1) diverse, and 2) somewhat. For the subtheme "diverse" we coded the adjectives that described the session as "different", not the same as another similar activity – unlike in nature, form, or quality that the participants are usually used, such as *different* and *fishes*. For the subtheme "somewhat" we coded 1) the adjectives that described the session as "indifferent", having no particular interest or sympathy, such as *unconcerned*; and 2) the ones that described the session as "more or less", neither very good nor very bad, such as *so-so*.

4 Concluding Remarks

It is essential to have the perception of the participants on the co-design conducted to understand if teenagers are willing to jointly contribute with their thoughts for a common idea – in this case, for designing mobile technology to enhance their own experiences in a natural history museum. The good thing about applying a word association to gather feedback on the sessions from the participants it is because not only it is faster, but also it is a procedure for investigating which word meanings related to the session are stored in memory. Judging from the overall positive evaluation of the experience, participants enjoyed designing their ideal experiences in museums in a co-design session. However, as a limitation of this analysis, we cannot infer that all participants enjoyed the sessions because of its method or if they enjoyed it because it was conducted instead of a regular lecture. **Acknowledgments**: ARDITI, project number M14-20-09-5369-FSE-000001.

References

- Cesário, V., Matos, S., Radeta, M., Nisi, V.: Designing Interactive Technologies for Interpretive Exhibitions: Enabling Teen Participation Through User-Driven Innovation. In: Human-Computer Interaction INTERACT 2017. pp. 232–241. Springer, Cham (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67744-6 16.
- Cesário, V., Coelho, A., Nisi, V.: Cultural Heritage Professionals Developing Digital Experiences Targeted at Teenagers in Museum Settings: Lessons Learned. In: 32nd British Human Computer Interaction Conference. pp. 1–12 (2018). https://doi.org/10.14236/ewic/HCI2018.58.
- 3. Cesário, V.: Analysing Texts and Drawings: The Teenage Perspective on Enjoyable Museum Experiences. In: 32nd British Human Computer Interaction Conference. pp. 1–3 (2018).
- Cesário, V., Coelho, A., Nisi, V.: Design Patterns to Enhance Teens' Museum Experiences. In: 32nd British Human Computer Interaction Conference. pp. 1–5 (2018). https://doi.org/10.14236/ewic/HCI2018.160.
- 5. Jung, C.G.: Studies in Word-association. London, Routledge & K. Paul (1969).
- Braun, V., Clarke, V.: Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology. 3, 77–101 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.