



## PhD Thesis Defense

## Radio Access and Core Functionalities in Self-deployable Mobile Networks

## Jad Oueis

Supervised by: Razvan Stanica, Fabrice Valois

November 27, 2018

## Outline

#### Introduction

- Self-deployable mobile networks
- Setting Up the Radio Access Network
  - Frequency & power allocation scheme
- Setting Up the Local Core Network
  - Local CN functions placement
- Connecting Users
  - Network-aware user association policy
- Conclusion & Perspectives

Introduction

## **Classical Cellular Networks**



## PMR: Professional (Private) Mobile Radio

- Serve a closed group of users belonging to the same organization
- Transportation, military, medical services, public safety

### Mission-critical oriented services

- Push-to-talk
- Group communication
- Direct mode operation

#### Based on legacy standards

- Voice-centric
- Very low data rates
- Gap with commercial mobile networks











#### • Bringing PMR to LTE with a high data rate federated network

- Dedicated PMR/LTE Networks
- Mobile virtual network operator on existing LTE infrastructure
- Legacy PMR networks
- Rapidly deployable base stations

## **Rapidly Deployable Base Station**

- Lightweight, Easily transportable, rapidly-deployable
  - Radio access network (RAN) functions
  - Core network (CN) functions
  - Application servers (AS)
  - Possible connection to an external packet data network (PDN)



www.air-lynx.com/

J. Oueis et al., "Overview of LTE isolated EUTRAN operation for public safety", IEEE Communications Standards Magazine, 1(2), July 2017



7/49

Introduction -

## Self-deployable Mobile Networks



## Self-deployable Mobile Networks: Use Cases



## Self-deployable Mobile Networks: Use Cases



## Self-deployable Mobile Networks: Properties & Contributions



Setting up the RAN

Setting up the Local CN

**Connecting users** 

## Frequency & Power Allocation Scheme



## Frequency & Power Allocation Scheme

• Self-deployable networks requirements

- Suitable for irregular network topologies
- No a priori knowledge of upcoming number of users & distribution
- Robust :
  - Performs well despite varying user number & distribution during operation

## State of the Art: Static & Dynamic Allocation Schemes



#### Static:

- Based on hexagonal grid topology
- No adaptation to dynamic changes

#### Dynamic:

#### Communication aver

Communication overhead & latency

Setting up the RAN -

14/49

Implementation complexity

A. Hamza et al., "A survey on ICI coordination techniques in OFDMA-based cellular networks," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 15(4), Mar. 2013.

## Frequency & Power Allocation Scheme

#### • Flexible SFR

- Spectrum divided into sub-bands (not necessarily 3)
- BSs can transmit on any sub-band with any power level (not necessarily 2)
- **Power Map** : with which power each BS transmits on each sub-band

• Computed offline, based on geometric programming

## System Model

- J: set of base stations
- *M* orthogonal channels
- Spectrum divided into **b** sub-bands, **S**: set of sub-bands
- Each sub-band  $s \in S$  has K = M/b sub-channels
- **Power Map:** each BS  $j \in J$  transmits on the channels of each sub-band s with a power  $P_i^s$
- Maximum transmission power of a BS =  $P_{BS}$

$$\sum_{s \in S} P_j^s \le P_{BS} , \forall j \in J$$

M = 45 channels b = 5 sub-bands



## Finding the Power Map

- Known topology
- Unknown upcoming users
  - Generate a set of calibration realizations  $oldsymbol{\Omega}$
  - For each realization  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}$  :
    - Number of users per realization  $N_{\omega}$
    - Random deployment of  $N_{\omega}$  UEs  $U(\omega)$
    - Known user association  $U_i(\omega)$





- Find  $P_j^s$ ,  $\forall j \in J, \forall s \in S$
- For each realization  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}$  :
  - Geometric mean (GM) throughput

$$\Phi = \left(\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \phi_u\right)^{1/|\mathcal{U}|}$$

• Arithmetic mean of all the GM throughputs

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi(\omega)}{|\Omega|}$$

$$\max_{\substack{P_j^s \ p_j}} \mathcal{Z}$$

• Objective function

#### For each realization $\omega \in \Omega$

• Compute for each pair  $(u \in U_i(\omega), j)$ 

• Channel gain 
$$G_{u,j} = 10^{(G^a - E - \Gamma_{u,j} - N(0,sd))/10}$$

- Channel gain
  - Antenna gain G<sup>a</sup>
  - Equipment losses E
  - Path loss  $\Gamma_{u,j} = a + b \cdot \log(d_{u,j})$
  - Shadow fading N(0, sd)

#### For each realization $\omega \ \epsilon \ \Omega$

- Compute for each pair ( $u \in U_i(\omega), j$ )
  - Channel gain  $G_{u,j} = 10^{(G^a E \Gamma_{u,j} N(0,sd))/10}$
  - SINR

$$\gamma_{u,j}^{s} = \frac{\frac{P_{j}^{s}}{k} G_{u,j}}{N_{0} + \sum_{h \in J, h \neq j} \frac{P_{h}^{s}}{k} G_{u,h}}$$

- Channel gain
  - Antenna gain G<sup>a</sup>
  - Equipment losses E
  - Path loss  $\Gamma_{u,j} = a + b \cdot \log(d_{u,j})$
  - Shadow fading N(0, sd)
- Noise  $\mathcal{N}_0$

• Power per sub-band 
$$P_i^s$$

#### For each realization $\omega \ \epsilon \ \Omega$

• Compute for each pair  $(u \in U_j(\omega), j)$ 

• Channel gain 
$$G_{u,j} = 10^{(G^a - E - \Gamma_{u,j} - N(0,sd))/10}$$

SINR

Rate

•

$$\gamma_{u,j}^{s} = \frac{\frac{P_{j}^{s}}{k} G_{u,j}}{N_{0} + \sum_{h \in J, h \neq j} \frac{P_{h}^{s}}{k} G_{u,h}}$$
$$R_{u,j}^{s} = k. \Psi(\gamma_{u,j}^{s})$$

Approximation of the rate step function:

$$R_{u,j}^{s} = k \cdot \min\left(\nu\left(\gamma_{u,j}^{s}\right)^{\Delta}, R_{max}\right)$$



 $R_{max} = 963$  Kb/s,  $\nu = 0.168$  and  $\Delta = 0.43$ .

Setting up the RAN - 21/49

#### For each realization $\omega \ \epsilon \ \Omega$

• Compute for each pair  $(u \in U_i(\omega), j)$ 

• Channel gain 
$$G_{u,j} = 10^{(G^a - E - \Gamma_{u,j} - N(0,sd))/10}$$

SINR

$$\gamma_{u,j}^{s} = \frac{\frac{P_{j}^{s}}{k} G_{u,j}}{N_{0} + \sum_{h \in J, h \neq j} \frac{P_{h}^{s}}{k} G_{u,h}}$$

Rate

$$R_{u,j}^s = k. \Psi(\gamma_{u,j}^s)$$

$$\phi_u = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_{u,j}^s \cdot R_{u,j}^s$$

• User scheduling within a sub-band

 $\alpha_{u,j}^s$  = the proportion of time during which the channels in subband s are allocated to user *u* in BS

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_j} \alpha_{u,j}^s \leq 1 , \; \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \; \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$$

#### For each realization $\omega \ \epsilon \ \Omega$

• Compute for each pair  $(u \in U_j(\omega), j)$ 

γ

• Channel gain 
$$G_{u,j} = 10^{(G^a - E - \Gamma_{u,j} - N(0,sd))/10}$$

SINR

$$F_{u,j}^{s} = \frac{\frac{P_j^s}{k} G_{u,j}}{N_0 + \sum_{h \in J, h \neq j} \frac{P_h^s}{k} G_{u,h}}$$

Rate

$$\phi_u = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_{u,j}^s \, . \, R_{u,j}^s$$

 $R_{u,j}^s = k. \Psi(\gamma_{u,j}^s)$ 

• GM throughput

$$\Phi = \left(\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \phi_u\right)^{1/|\mathcal{U}|}$$

Arithmetic mean of all the GM throughputs

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi(\omega)}{|\Omega|}$$

$$\max_{\substack{P_j^s, \alpha_{u,j}^s(\omega)}} \mathcal{Z}$$

**Power Map** 

## Finding the Power Map: Problem Formulation

Objective	(3.17)	$\max_{P^s, \alpha^s, (\omega)} \mathcal{Z}$
Arithmetic mean	(3.18)	$\frac{\mathcal{Z} \cdot  \Omega }{\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \left( \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\omega)} \phi_u(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{ \mathcal{U}(\omega) }} \le 1$ Complementary GP
SINR	(3.19)	$\frac{\gamma_{u,j}^s(\omega) \cdot k \cdot \mathcal{N}_0 + \gamma_{u,j}^s(\omega) \cdot k \cdot \sum_{h \in \mathcal{J}, h \neq j} P_h^s \cdot G_{u,h}^s}{P_j^s \cdot G_{u,j}(\omega)} \le 1 ,$
Rate	(3.20)	$ \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_j(\omega), \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ \forall \omega \in \Omega $ $ \frac{R_{u,j}^s(\omega)}{k \cdot \nu \cdot \left(\gamma_{u,j}^s(\omega)\right)^{\Delta}} \leq 1, \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_j(\omega), \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ \forall \omega \in \Omega $
Rate	(3.21)	$\frac{R_{u,j}^{s}(\omega)}{k \cdot R_{max}} \leq 1 , \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{j}(\omega), \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ \forall \omega \in \Omega$
Throughput	(3.22)	$\frac{\phi_u(\omega)}{\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_{u,j}^s(\omega) \cdot R_{u,j}^s(\omega)} \le 1, \ \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_j(\omega), \ \forall \omega \in \Omega$
Power constraint	(3.23)	$\frac{\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} P_j^s}{P_{BS}} \le 1 , \; \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$
Scheduling constraint	(3.24)	$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_j(\omega)} \alpha_{u,j}^s(\omega) \le 1 , \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \ \forall \omega \in \Omega$

Setting up the RAN - 24/49

## Finding the Power Map: Solving the Problem

• Geometric Program (GP):

Minimize 
$$g_0(x)$$
 $g(x) = \sum_k h_k(x)$  : PosynomialSubject to  $g_i(x) \le 1$  $h_k(x) = 1$  $h_k(x) = d_k x_1^{a_k^{(1)}} x_2^{a_k^{(2)}} \dots x_n^{a_k^{(n)}}$  : Monomial

• Transformed in a **convex** problem through a **logarithmic transformation** of the variables

• Complementary GP

• Contains a constraint of the form:  $g_1(x)/g_2(x) \le 1$ 

#### Not a posynomial

• Transformed into a **GP** via iterative algorithm

S. Boyd et al., "A tutorial on geometric programming," Optimization and Engineering, 8(1), March 2007

## Finding the Power Map: Solving the Problem

- Non- posynomials constraints are transformed into posynomials
  - Approximate the denominator g(x) with a monomial  $\widetilde{g}(x)$
  - Find the point  $x_0$  for which  $g(x) \approx \widetilde{g}(x)$  via iterative algorithm

#### Algorithm 1 Single condensation method for GP

- 1: Find an initial feasible point  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$
- 2: At the *i*<sup>th</sup> iteration, approximate g(x) with  $\tilde{g}(x)$  around the point  $\mathbf{x}^{(i-1)}$
- 3: Form the  $i^{th}$  approximated GP problem using the approximated  $\widetilde{g}(x)$
- Solve the *i<sup>th</sup>* approximated GP problem (by turning it into a convex problem through a logarithmic change of variables) to obtain x<sup>(i)</sup>.

Setting up the RAN -

26/49

5: if 
$$||\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(i-1)}|| < \epsilon$$
 then

- 6: End
- 7: **else**
- 8: Go to step 2
- 9: **end if**

## Finding the Power Map: Numerical Example

- **b** = 5 sub-bands,  $|\Omega| = 10$  calibration realizations ,  $N_{\omega} = 100$  users
- Using open source solver "Bonmin"



Solving time = 97 min

- At least 1 sub-band/BS with higher power
- All other sub-bands with lower powers
- Few channels with high power to users farthest from BS
- More channels with lower power to users closest to the BS

• Testing of the obtained power map on snapshots with  $N_{\pi}$  = 100 users each



• Gain is due to an increase in all the users' throughputs

- Number of users in each calibration realization  $\mathbf{N}_{\omega}$
- Number of users in the test realization  $N_{\pi}$  on which PM is tested



 $5 BSs , b = 5 , |\Omega| = 10$ 

• Number of sub-bands **b** 

5 BSs ,  $|\Omega|=10$  ,  $N_{\omega}=100$  ,  $N_{\pi}=100$ 



Setting up the RAN

Setting up the Local CN

**Connecting users** 

# Local CN Functions Placement



## **Local CN Functions Placement**

- Main core network functions
  - MME: session management, authentication, paging, S-GW selection
  - S-GW: routing, data buffering, mobility anchor



- Local CN
  - Virtualized set of core network functions co-located with the BSs
  - One Local CN per network/ One or multiple instances per function

- Problem
  - How many instances of each function are needed?
  - Where to place each instance?

## **Local CN Functions Placement**

- All traffic routed on inter-BS backhaul
  - Main signaling traffic: BS ← − → MME & MME <-----> S-GW
  - Data traffic:  $BS_u \iff S-GW(u) \iff S-GW(v) \iff BS_v$



(a) Centralized

(b) Distributed

## **Centralized Placement**

- All Local CN functions co-located with **1 BS**
- State of the art centrality metrics: degree, weighted degree, closeness, betweenness



- Proposal of a novel centrality metric: Flow centrality
  - Capacity of a node in receiving the total amount of flows, represented by the maximum traffic that can be sent simultaneously by every other node in the network towards this node, under load distribution and backhaul capacity constraints.

J. Oueis et al., "Core network function placement in self-deployable mobile networks," Computer Communication, Jan. 2019

## **Centralized Vs. Distributed Placement**

- P<sub>c</sub>: Centralized placement
  - MME & S-GW co-located
  - Optimally placed
- P<sub>d</sub>: Distributed placement
  - 1 MME optimally placed
  - Each BS co-located with S-GW
- P<sub>o</sub>: Optimal placement
  - 1 MME optimally placed
  - S-GW(s) optimally placed



As percentage of data traffic

• Solve MILP & compare overall backhaul bandwidth consumption from data and signaling

Setting up the RAN

Setting up the Local CN

**Connecting users** 

# Network-Aware User Association Policy



#### Association policies

- Mostly based on RAN/Downlink (DL) metric (Best SINR)
- Backhaul (BH) assumed to be over-provisioned
- Uplink (UL) ignored

#### Self-deployable networks requirements

- BH-aware: network topology & limited backhaul bandwidth
- UL-aware: UL-heavy traffic
- User-aware: guaranteed throughput

D. Liu et al., "User association in 5G networks: a survey and an outlook," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 18(2), April 2016

## **Network-Aware User Association Scheme**

- Network-aware user association scheme
  - Flow admission control
  - The remaining resources on the **DL** of the RAN
  - The remaining resources on the **UL** of the RAN
  - The remaining resources on the **BH** network
  - The requested throughput

- Objectives
  - Maximize the remaining network resources after a user is associated
  - Reduce the flow blocking probability

## **Network-Aware User Association Scheme**





## Association & Routing Decision

- Select a pair (i, j) from set of feasible pairs  $\mathbb F$
- Select a path P(i, j) and a path P(j, i) from the set of feasible paths  $|\mathcal{P}_{i,j}|$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_{j,i}|$
- **DL** Metric representing the remaining DL channels if (*i*, *j*) was selected

 $L_{i,j}^{DL} \in [0,1], \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathbb{F}$ 

• UL - Metric representing the remaining UL channels if (*i*, *j*) was selected

 $L_{i,j}^{UL} \in [0,1], \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathbb{F}$ 

• **BH** - Metrics representing the remaining BH capacity if P(i, j) and P(j, i) were selected  $L_{P(i,j)}^{BH} \in [0,1], \forall P(i,j) \in \mathcal{P}_{i,j}$   $L_{P(j,i)}^{BH} \in [0,1], \forall P(j,i) \in \mathcal{P}_{j,i}$ 

• Association & routing metric:

$$\max_{\substack{P(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}_{i,j}\\P(j,i)\in\mathcal{P}_{j,i}\\(i,j)\in\mathbb{F}}} L_{P(i,j)}^{BH} \cdot L_{P(j,i)}^{BH} \cdot L_{i,j}^{DL} \cdot L_{i,j}^{UL}$$

#### Python simulation

- UEs distributed in the area
- Flows arrive according to Poisson process between 2 random UEs
  - Average flow arrival rate  $\pmb{\lambda}$
  - Average flow duration  $\mu$  = 180 s
- Flow data rate **d** = 1 Mb/s

• Benchmark: Best SINR association







#### (1) Well-provisioned backhaul: C<sub>l</sub> = 100 Mb/s

(2) Limited backhaul: C<sub>l</sub> = 1 Mb/s



Conclusion & Perspectives



## **Contributions Summary**

#### Self-deployable Mobile Networks

- Rapidly deployable, easily transportable, small-sized
- Deployed & operated on demand, anywhere, anytime
- Mission critical situations, isolated locations, crowded events



## **Contributions Summary**

#### Setting up the RAN

- SFR-based power map: with which power each BS transmits on each sub-band?
- Computed offline, prior to network operation, based on geometric programming
- Gain > 40% in user throughput with respect to EP-R1

#### Setting up the Local CN

- Local CN functions placement: with which BS(s) each function is placed?
- Centralized vs. distributed placement, proposal of flow centrality metric and solving MILP
- Each BS must be co-located with one S-GW; Gain > 80% in backhaul capacity

#### **Connecting users**

- Network-aware user association: with which BS each arriving user must associate?
- **Metric** accounting for guaranteed user throughput, DL, UL and BH resources
- Reduces flow blocking x9 times with respect to DL-based policy

## **Perspectives**

#### Real-life deployment

- Platforms: aerial (drones, balloons), terrestrial (vehicles, robots, humans)
- Protocols: RAN, Backhaul, CN

- BSs mobility: convoys, BSs in backpacks, drone networks
  - Two-sided mobility management
  - Topology changes
  - Maintaining inter-BS backhaul & network connectivity
  - Network reconfiguration

#### • 6G & Beyond

- Flexible cellular networks: transportable, virtualized, autonomous, on-demand
- Integrated access & backhaul
- Single stratum

• Thales Communications & Security - Paris

- Vania Conan
- Damien Lavaux

- University of Waterloo Canada
  - Catherine Rosenberg

• Members of the Jury















# The End.

jad.oueis@insa-lyon.fr

http://perso.citi.insa-lyon.fr/joueis/

