



**HAL**  
open science

# Equivalence between classes of state-quadratic Lyapunov functions for discrete-time linear polytopic and switched systems

Paolo Mason, Mario Sigalotti, Jamal Daafouz

► **To cite this version:**

Paolo Mason, Mario Sigalotti, Jamal Daafouz. Equivalence between classes of state-quadratic Lyapunov functions for discrete-time linear polytopic and switched systems. 2011. inria-00629245v1

**HAL Id: inria-00629245**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00629245v1>**

Preprint submitted on 5 Oct 2011 (v1), last revised 30 Jul 2012 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Equivalence between classes of state-quadratic Lyapunov functions for discrete-time linear polytopic and switched systems <sup>\*</sup>

Paolo Mason<sup>†</sup>, Mario Sigalotti<sup>‡</sup>, Jamal Daafouz<sup>§</sup>

October 5, 2011

## Abstract

The paper deals with the stability properties of linear discrete-time switched systems with polytopic sets of modes. The most classical and viable way of studying the uniform asymptotic stability of such a system is to check for the existence of a quadratic Lyapunov function. It is known from the literature that letting the Lyapunov function depend on the time-varying switching parameter improves the chance that a quadratic Lyapunov function exists. The contribution of this paper is twofold. We first prove that under a non-degeneracy assumption the dependence on the switching function can be actually assumed to be linear with no prejudice on the effectiveness of the method. Moreover, we show that no gain is obtained even if we allow the Lyapunov function to depend on the time. Second, we introduce the notion of eventual accessible sets and we show that, in the degenerate case, it leads to a relaxation of the LMI conditions to check stability of switched linear systems. As a consequence, equivalence between different notions of quadratic stability can still be established under an additional assumption but, in general, allowing the Lyapunov function to depend on time leads to less conservative LMI conditions, as we explicitly show through an example. We also discuss the case where the variation of the switching parameter is bounded by a prescribed constant between two subsequent times.

**Keywords:** discrete-time; linear switched system; mode-dependent Lyapunov function; quadratic Lyapunov function; linear matrix inequality.

---

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the French ANR grant ArHyCo, Programme ARPEGE, contract number ANR-2008 SEGI 004 01-30011459.

<sup>†</sup>P. Mason is with CNRS-LSS-Supélec, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. E-mail: [paolo.mason@lss.supelec.fr](mailto:paolo.mason@lss.supelec.fr).

<sup>‡</sup>M. Sigalotti is with INRIA Saclay-Île-de-France, Team GECO, and CMAP, UMR 7641, École Polytechnique, Route de Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France. E-Mail: [mario.sigalotti@inria.fr](mailto:mario.sigalotti@inria.fr).

<sup>§</sup>J. Daafouz is with CRAN, 2 avenue de la forêt de Haye, 54516 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy cedex, France. E-mail: [Jamal.Daafouz@ensem.inpl-nancy.fr](mailto:Jamal.Daafouz@ensem.inpl-nancy.fr).

# 1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to linear discrete-time systems

$$x(k+1) = A_{\xi(k)}x(k), \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi(k) \in \Xi$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . We will refer to  $k \mapsto \xi(k)$  as to a *switching function* ( $\xi(k)$  being the *switching parameter*). We denote by  $A_{\Xi}$  the set of admissible modes, i.e.,  $A_{\Xi} = \{A_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \Xi\}$ . Most of the paper will deal either with the case where  $A_{\Xi}$  is finite or with the case where  $A_{\Xi}$  is a convex polytope, i.e., the convex hull of finitely many matrices. Dynamical systems described by (1) with  $A_{\Xi}$  a convex polytope are also called polytopic discrete-time systems in the literature [3, 9]. At the very beginning, stability of this class of dynamical systems has been analyzed using the concept of quadratic stability, which we shall call static quadratic stability to avoid confusion with what follows. This notion was inspired by [1] where Lyapunov functions quadratic in the state and independent of the switching parameter were used for the first time. The main advantage in using such a particular Lyapunov function is the fact that necessary and sufficient conditions for quadratic stability can be formulated in terms of algebraic Riccati equations or linear matrix inequalities (LMI) [5]. The available solvers make the solutions proposed in this context numerically tractable. Looking for more general Lyapunov functionals has received special attention during the last decades in order to derive stability conditions that are weaker than static quadratic stability. LMI stability conditions using Lyapunov functions quadratic in the state but with a linear dependence with respect to the switching parameter have been developed in [6]. These conditions are proved to be necessary and sufficient for the existence of this kind of Lyapunov functions and can also be used for design problems (control, state reconstruction, etc). At the expense of more computational effort, less conservative conditions have been proposed in [11] using quadratic Lyapunov functions depending on several past values of the switching parameters. Recently, stability analysis has also been carried out in the framework of the so-called joint spectral radius: a measure of the maximal asymptotic growth rate [4, 10]. Despite its natural interpretation and the fact that it leads to a necessary and sufficient stability condition, the joint spectral radius is difficult to compute. A procedure for approximating the joint spectral radius with arbitrary high accuracy is provided for the case of finite sets of matrices; however, of course, higher accuracy comes at larger computational cost.

A question of interest is the following: can one expect an improvement of the results in [6] by considering other quadratic Lyapunov functions (not necessarily linear with respect to the switching parameter and not necessarily time-independent)? In the case of linear time-varying (LTV) systems ( $k \mapsto \xi(k)$  fixed) it is known that stability is equivalent to the existence of a time-varying quadratic Lyapunov function (see [16]). For LTV's, therefore, time-varying quadratic Lyapunov functions are not equivalent to time-invariant ones as a tools to check stability. Answering whether this is still the case for switched linear discrete-time systems does not seem to be immediate. To this end, we focus in this paper on three criterions of stability. The first one is called Parameter Dependent quadratic stability (PD-quadratic stability). It refers to checking stability by mean of Lyapunov function quadratic in the state and dependent on the switching parameter but without any specified structure. The second one is called Parameter and Time Dependent quadratic stability (PTD-quadratic stability). It refers to Lyapunov functions that are quadratic in the state and depend explicitly on both the time and the parameters. The last one, and *a priori* the less costlier to check, is the so-called

poly-quadratic stability used in [6] and which refers to Lyapunov functions quadratic in the state and linear in the switching parameter. The contribution of this paper is twofold. First, we prove that all these criteria are equivalent in the degenerate case, namely when there exists  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $A_{\bar{\xi}}$  is invertible. Such an assumption is not restrictive when (1) is obtained by discretization of a continuous-time system. Second, in the degenerate case, we introduce the notion of eventual accessible sets and we show that it leads to a relaxation of the LMI conditions to check stability of switched linear systems that are not PD-quadratically stable. As a consequence, we provide a comparison between the previous different notions of quadratic stability. We also discuss the case where parameter variation is bounded.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the main definitions and we discuss the equivalence of asymptotic stability under convexification of the set of state-space matrices. In Section 3.1, we prove the equivalence between the stability criteria introduced above and we discuss the case where parameter variation is bounded. We also illustrate in the discrete time setting the well-known fact that uniformly asymptotically stable switched systems which do not admit quadratic Lyapunov functions exist showing that a system can be uniformly asymptotically stable without being poly-quadratically stable (or PD-quadratically stable, nor PTD-quadratically stable). The notion of eventual accessible sets and the relaxed LMIs conditions are introduced in Section 4. This allows to compare the previous notions of quadratic stability in the general case. We end the paper by a conclusion.

## 2 Preliminaries

Fix  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and denote by  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  the set of all real  $d \times d$  matrices. Recall that a partial order on  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  is defined as follows: Given  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$ , we write  $A \leq B$  if  $A - B$  is negative semidefinite. A function with values in  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  is said to be convex if it is so with respect to such order. We say that  $A > 0$  on a subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  if  $x^T A x > 0$  for every  $x \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\}$ . The Euclidian norm in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and that induced in  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  are both denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . A function  $w : \varepsilon \mapsto w(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  defined for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  is said to be of order  $k$  ( $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ) if  $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|w(\varepsilon)\| \varepsilon^{-k}$  is finite. In this case we write  $w(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^k)$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\Xi$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and  $A : \xi \mapsto A_{\xi}$  be a map from  $\Xi$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$ . As stated in the introduction, we consider the dynamical system (1) with  $A_{\Xi}$  the set of admissible modes, i.e.,  $A_{\Xi} = \{A_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \Xi\}$ . Without loss of generality, in the case where  $A_{\Xi}$  is finite, we assume that  $m = 1$  and  $\Xi = \{1, \dots, M\}$  for some  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $A_{\Xi} = \{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$  and we say that (1) is *finite*. In the case where  $A_{\Xi}$  is a convex polytope, we take as  $A_{\Xi}$  the simplex  $\text{conv}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$  for some  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  and we assume that  $m = M$ ,  $\Xi = \text{conv}\{e_1, \dots, e_M\}$ , and that  $A$  is the linear map satisfying  $A_i = A_{e_i}$ . In this case we say that system (1) is *polytopic*.

The notion of uniform asymptotic stability of a discrete-time switched system is recalled in the following definition.

**Definition 1** *We say that (1) is uniformly asymptotically stable (UAS) if for every  $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^d$  the solution to (1) converges to zero uniformly with respect to  $\{\xi(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \Xi$  (i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $K \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for every  $\{\xi(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \Xi$  we have  $\|x(k)\| < \varepsilon$  for  $k \geq K$ ) and if, moreover, for every  $R > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\|x(k)\| < R$  for every  $\{\xi(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \Xi$  and every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , provided that  $\|x(0)\| < r$ .*

Because of the linear nature of the dynamics of (1), it is well known that (1) is UAS if and only if it is uniformly exponentially stable.

## 2.1 Lyapunov functions

A classical sufficient condition for the UAS of (1) is the existence of a (parameter-dependent) quadratic Lyapunov function.

**Definition 2** *We say that (1) is parameter-dependent quadratically stable (PD-quadratically stable) if there exist three positive constants  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and a Lyapunov function*

$$V(x, \xi) = x^T P_\xi x \quad (2)$$

with  $\Xi \ni \xi \mapsto P_\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  such that

$$\alpha_1 \|x\|^2 \leq V(x, \xi) \leq \alpha_2 \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad \xi \in \Xi, \quad (3)$$

and

$$V(A_\xi x, \eta) - V(x, \xi) \leq -\alpha_0 \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad \xi, \eta \in \Xi. \quad (4)$$

We recall below the notion of poly-quadratic stability, introduced in [6], which corresponds to the special case where, in the definition above, system (1) is polytopic and  $V$  is linear with respect to  $\xi$ .

**Definition 3** *Let (1) be polytopic. We say that (1) is poly-quadratically stable if there exists a function  $V$  satisfying (2), (3) and (4) that is linear with respect to  $\xi$ , i.e.,  $P_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^M \xi_i P_{e_i}$ .*

For polytopic systems it turns out that, on the one hand, UAS is equivalent to the same property for the system having as modes the vertices of  $A_\Xi$  and, on the other hand, PD-quadratic stability and poly-quadratic stability are equivalent.

**Proposition 4** *Let (1) be polytopic. Then (1) is UAS if and only if the finite system with modes  $\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$  is UAS. Moreover, (1) is poly-quadratically stable if and only if there exist a scalar  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and  $M$  symmetric matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  such that*

$$P_i > 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, M\} \quad (5)$$

$$A_i^T P_j A_i - P_i \leq -\alpha_0 \text{Id}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\} \quad (6)$$

*In particular, (1) is poly-quadratically stable if and only if it is PD-quadratically stable.*

The first part of the statement follows from classical results characterizing stability of discrete-time switched systems in terms of the joint spectral radius. It is well known that for a bounded set of matrices  $A_\Xi$  the uniform asymptotic stability of (1) is equivalent to the property that the joint spectral radius

$$\rho(A_\Xi) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^h \in \Xi} \|A_{\xi^1} \cdots A_{\xi^h}\|^{\frac{1}{h}}$$

is strictly smaller than one. The claimed equivalence then follows from the equality

$$\rho(\text{conv}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}) = \rho(\{A_1 \dots A_M\}),$$

which has been observed in [15] (see also [10, 14] and, for related discussions [2, 12]).

The second part of the statement, which expresses poly-quadratic stability in terms of finitely many LMIs, trivially follows from [7, Theorem 2].

Finally, concerning the last part of the statement, PD-quadratic stability implies by definition conditions (5)-(6) and therefore poly-quadratic stability.

Motivated by the characterization of asymptotic stability of LTV's in terms of existence of time-varying quadratic Lyapunov functions (see [16]), we introduce the following (*a priori* weaker) notion.

**Definition 5** *We say that (1) is parameter- and time-dependent quadratically stable (PTD-quadratically stable) if there exist three positive constants  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and a Lyapunov function*

$$V(k, x, \xi) = x^T P_{k, \xi} x \tag{7}$$

such that

$$\alpha_1 \|x\|^2 \leq V(k, x, \xi) \leq \alpha_2 \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad \xi \in \Xi, \tag{8}$$

and for every  $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , every  $\{\xi(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \Xi$ , and every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$V(k+1, x(k+1), \xi(k+1)) - V(k, x(k), \xi(k)) \leq -\alpha_0 \|x(k)\|^2. \tag{9}$$

### 3 The nondegenerate case

In this section we investigate quadratic stability under the hypothesis that a mode of system (1) is nondegenerate. Notice that such hypothesis is very natural since it is always satisfied when (1) is obtained by discretization of a continuous-time system. We first compare the notions introduced in the previous section and we then adapt the result to systems satisfying particular constraints on the switching laws.

#### 3.1 Equivalence between different notions of quadratic stability

The following theorem states the equivalence between the three notions of quadratic stability introduced in the previous section, under the hypothesis that a mode of system (1) is nondegenerate. The case where the hypothesis does not necessarily hold is considered in Section 4. Motivated by the first part of Proposition 4, we state the result only for finite systems. A straightforward adaptation to the polytopic case is given in Remark 7.

**Theorem 6** *Let (1) be finite. Assume that there exists  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $A_{\bar{\xi}}$  is invertible. Then (1) is PTD-quadratically stable if and only if it is PD-quadratically stable.*

*Proof.* It is clear by the definitions given in Section 2 that if (1) is PD-quadratically stable then it is PTD-quadratically stable. (Notice that we do not need, for this part of the argument, to assume the existence of  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $\det(A_{\bar{\xi}}) \neq 0$ .)

Assume then that (1) is PTD-quadratically stable and fix  $(k, \xi, x) \mapsto V(k, \xi, x)$  and  $(k, \xi) \mapsto P_{k, \xi}$  as in Definition 5. We are left to prove that (1) is PD-quadratically stable.

Given  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , take  $\xi(j) = \bar{\xi}$  for  $j < k$  so that for every  $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^d$  we can choose  $x(0)$  in such a way that the solution of (1) satisfies  $x(k) = \bar{x}$ . Considering any choice of  $\xi(k), \xi(k+1)$  in  $\{1, \dots, M\}$ , we deduce from (9) and the arbitrariness of  $\bar{x}$  that

$$A_i^T P_{k+1, j} A_i - P_{k, i} \leq -\alpha_0 \text{Id}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}. \quad (10)$$

Define  $\Omega(k) = (P_{k, 1}, \dots, P_{k, M})$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Notice that  $\{\Omega(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $(\mathcal{M}^{d \times d})^M$ , due to (8). We can thus extract a converging subsequence  $\{\Omega(k_l)\}_{l \in \mathbf{N}}$ .

For every  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , let us consider a time-independent  $M$ -uple of symmetric positive definite matrices of the form

$$P_j^{l, *} = \sum_{k=k_l}^{k_{l+1}-1} P_{k, j}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, M\}.$$

Taking  $l$  large enough, we can assume

$$-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} \text{Id} \leq A_i^T (P_{k_{l+1}, j} - P_{k_l, j}) A_i \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \text{Id}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}.$$

Then, for every  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  and every  $l$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^T P_j^{l, *} A_i - P_i^{l, *} &= A_i^T P_{k_l, j} A_i - P_{k_{l+1}-1, i} + \sum_{k=k_l}^{k_{l+1}-2} (A_i^T P_{k+1, j} A_i - P_{k, i}) \\ &\leq A_i^T (P_{k_l, j} - P_{k_{l+1}, j}) A_i + \sum_{k=k_l}^{k_{l+1}-1} (A_i^T P_{k+1, j} A_i - P_{k, i}) \\ &\leq -\left(k_{l+1} - k_l - \frac{1}{2}\right) \alpha_0 \text{Id} \leq -\frac{\alpha_0}{2} \text{Id}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha_0/2$  and  $P_i^* = P_i^{l, *}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, M$  and  $l$  large (independent of  $i$  and  $j$ ), we have

$$A_i^T P_j^* A_i - P_i^* \leq -\hat{\alpha} \text{Id}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}, \quad (11)$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 6.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 7** *Theorem 6 can be extended to the case where (1) is polytopic. Indeed, assume that there exists  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi = \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_M)$  such that  $\det A_{\bar{\xi}} \neq 0$  and that (1) is PTD-quadratically stable. Then the finite system having  $A_{e_1}, \dots, A_{e_M}, A_{\bar{\xi}}$  as modes is PTD-quadratically stable and, by Theorem 6, PD-quadratically stable. It follows by Proposition 4 that (1) is PD-quadratically stable.*

### 3.2 $\delta$ -stability

We consider in this section the problem of detecting through quadratic Lyapunov functions the stability of polytopic systems whose switching functions have some common bound on the speed of variation. More precisely, given  $\delta > 0$ , we say that  $\xi : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \Xi$  is a  $\delta$ -switching function if  $\|\xi(k+1) - \xi(k)\| < \delta$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .



We say that (1) is  $\delta$ -UAS if it is uniformly asymptotically stable with respect to the class of  $\delta$ -switching functions. Analogously, the notion of PTD-quadratic stability admits a straightforward counterpart for  $\delta$ -switching functions: we speak of  $\delta$ -PTD-quadratic stability. As for PD-quadratic stability, we can define the corresponding notion of  $\delta$ -PD-quadratic stability by replacing (4) by

$$V(A_\xi x, \eta) - V(x, \xi) \leq -\alpha_0 \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad \xi, \eta \in \Xi, \quad \|\xi - \eta\| < \delta. \quad (12)$$

Finally, in order to extend the notion of poly-quadratic stability to the case of a polytopic system (1), we replace the assumption that the Lyapunov function is linear on  $\Xi$  by the requirement that it is just piecewise affine, in the following sense. We say that (1) is  $(\delta, \rho)$ -poly-quadratically stable if it is  $\delta$ -PD-quadratically stable with a Lyapunov function which is continuous on  $\Xi$  and affine on every sub-simplex of a tessellation of  $\Xi$  whose sub-simplexes have all diameter smaller than  $\rho$ .

We can prove the following.

**Theorem 8** *Let (1) be polytopic and assume that there exists  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $A_{\bar{\xi}}$  is invertible. If (1) is  $(\delta, \rho)$ -poly-quadratically stable then it is  $\delta$ -PD-quadratically stable and  $\delta$ -PTD-quadratically stable. Moreover, if (1) is  $\delta$ -PTD-quadratically stable then, for every  $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ , there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that (1) is  $(\delta', \rho)$ -poly-quadratically stable.*

*Proof.* The first part of the statement being trivial, assume that (1) is  $\delta$ -PTD-quadratically stable and fix  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ ,  $(k, \xi) \mapsto P_{k, \xi}$  and  $V(k, x, \xi) = x^T P_{k, \xi} x$  such that  $\alpha_1 \text{Id} \leq P_{k, \xi} \leq \alpha_2 \text{Id}$  for every  $\xi \in \Xi$  and

$$V(k+1, x(k+1), \xi(k+1)) - V(k, x(k), \xi(k)) \leq -\alpha_0 \|x(k)\|^2, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

for every solution  $x(\cdot)$  of (1) corresponding to a  $\delta$ -switching function  $\xi(\cdot)$ .

Fix  $\delta'$  belonging to  $(0, \delta)$ . Choose  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\delta' + \rho < \delta. \quad (13)$$

Fix a tessellation of  $\Xi$  such that the diameter of each sub-simplex is smaller than  $\rho$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the set of simplexes of the tessellation and by  $\Lambda$  the set of its vertices.

Since the function  $\xi \mapsto \det(A_\xi)$  is analytic and, by hypothesis, it does not vanish identically, we deduce that for almost every  $\xi \in \Xi$  the matrix  $A_\xi$  is invertible. Hence, for every  $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , every  $\xi \in \Xi$  and every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  there exist  $x(0)$  and a  $\delta$ -switching function  $\xi : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \Xi$  such that the corresponding trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  of (1) satisfies  $x(k) = \bar{x}$ ,  $\xi(k) = \xi$ .

Following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 6 we can show that there exist  $\hat{\alpha} > 0$  and a family of positive definite matrices  $P_e^*$ ,  $e \in \Lambda$ , such that

$$A_\xi^T P_\eta^* A_\xi - P_\xi^* \leq -\hat{\alpha} \text{Id} \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^d, \quad (14)$$

for every  $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$  such that  $\|\xi - \eta\| < \delta$ .

Extend  $P^*$ , seen as a matrix-valued function defined on  $\Lambda$ , to the piecewise affine function  $\Pi$  on  $\Xi$  defined by

$$\Pi_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P_{e_i}^*,$$



where  $e_1^\xi, \dots, e_M^\xi \in \Lambda$  are the vertex of a simplex in  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i e_i^\xi = \xi$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, M$ .

Consider  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\Xi$  such that  $\|\xi - \eta\| < \delta'$ . Take  $e_1^\xi, \dots, e_M^\xi, e_1^\eta, \dots, e_M^\eta \in \Lambda$  as above, with  $\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i e_i^\xi = \xi$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^M \mu_i e_i^\eta = \eta$ . Recall that  $\rho$  has been chosen in such a way that (13) holds true. Hence  $\|e_i^\xi - e_j^\eta\| < \delta$  for every  $i, j = 1, \dots, M$ .

Let  $j$  belong to  $\{1, \dots, M\}$ . Notice that the map  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d} \ni C \mapsto C^T P_{e_j^\eta}^* C$  is convex. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\xi^T P_{e_j^\eta}^* A_\xi - \Pi_\xi &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i A_{e_i^\xi}^T \right) P_{e_j^\eta}^* \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i A_{e_i^\xi} - \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P_{e_i^\xi}^* \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \left( A_{e_i^\xi}^T P_{e_j^\eta}^* A_{e_i^\xi} - P_{e_i^\xi}^* \right) \leq -\hat{\alpha} \text{Id}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (14). Since the above inequality holds for every  $j$ , we conclude that

$$A_\xi^T \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \mu_j P_{e_j^\eta}^* \right) A_\xi - \Pi_\xi = A_\xi^T \Pi_\eta A_\xi - \Pi_\xi \leq -\hat{\alpha} \text{Id},$$

as required. ■

**Remark 9** *The proof of the theorem (see, in particular, (13)) shows the following tradeoff in the choice of  $\delta'$  and  $\rho$ , for a given  $\delta$ -PTD-quadratically stable system: As  $\delta'$  gets close to  $\delta$ ,  $\rho$  gets small in general; conversely, decreasing  $\delta'$ , we can increase  $\rho$ , reducing the number of LMIs to be tested.*

### 3.3 Asymptotic vs quadratic stability

We go back here to the general case, without bounds on the speed of variation of the switching parameter  $\xi$ . As already mentioned, the equivalent conditions appearing in the statement of Theorem 6 are sufficient for the uniform asymptotic stability of (1). However, they are not necessary. A numerical evidence for this fact was already given in [11], where the authors consider quadratic Lyapunov functions depending on several past values of the switching parameters, that is,

$$V(x(k), \xi(k), \xi(k-1), \dots, \xi(k-m)) = x(k)^T P_{\xi(k), \xi(k-1), \dots, \xi(k-m)} x(k). \quad (15)$$

It is proved in [11, Theorem 9] that UAS is equivalent to the existence of  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m) \mapsto P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m} > 0$  satisfying the LMIs

$$A_{\xi_0}^T P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1}} A_{\xi_0} - P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m} < 0, \quad \xi_0, \dots, \xi_{m+1} \in \{1, \dots, M\}. \quad (16)$$

Clearly, if there exists a solution of the system of LMIs (16) for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , then solutions exist for every  $m' \geq m$ . In [11, Example 29] Lee and Dullerud present a specific 1-parameter family of UAS switched systems and compute numerically the minimal  $m$  required to test the stability of the system. The computations show that  $m = 0$  is a conservative choice, that is, it does not allow to characterize uniform asymptotic stability. The chosen example ‘‘saturates’’ at  $m = 7$ , that is, the maximal integer  $m$  required to check UAS is 7. It is natural

to ask whether examples can be found where the “saturating”  $m$  is arbitrarily large (similarly to what happens for the minimal degree of a common polynomial Lyapunov function in the continuous-time case, see [13]). The following proposition gives a positive answer to such question and proposes a construction of UAS systems for which (16) has no solution (for a fixed  $m$ ).

**Proposition 10** *For any fixed integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist stable systems of type (1) which do not admit Lyapunov functions of the type (15) satisfying (16).*

*Proof.* The proof works by contradiction. The idea is to consider a continuous-time switched system with suitable properties and to time-discretize it. For every time-step the system that is obtained is stable, but the assumption that all such systems satisfy equations of the form (16) leads to a contradiction when the time-step goes to zero (and the discrete systems converge, morally, to the continuous one).

Take  $d = 2$  and  $\Xi = \{1, 2\}$  and assume by contradiction that if (1) is UAS, then there exist positive definite matrices  $P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}$  for every  $(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m) \in \{1, 2\}^{m+1}$  such that (16) holds true.

It is well known that there exist uniformly asymptotically stable continuous linear switched systems of the type

$$\dot{x} = u(t)C_1x + (1 - u(t))C_2x, \quad u(t) \in \{0, 1\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2, \quad (17)$$

such that there exist no positive definite matrix  $P$  such that  $C_i^T P + PC_i \leq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  (see, e.g., [8]). It is possible, moreover, to assume that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have non-real eigenvalues. (Notice that the exact result appearing in [8] proves the possible nonexistence, for a uniformly asymptotically stable system, of a quadratic Lyapunov function, i.e., of  $P > 0$  satisfying  $C_i^T P + PC_i < 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Here we impose a slightly stronger property, since we want to rule out the possibility of a positive definite quadratic function which is *non-increasing* along trajectories of (17). The result, however, directly follows from the reasoning in [8].)

Let us define, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$A_1^\varepsilon = e^{\varepsilon C_1}, \quad A_2^\varepsilon = e^{\varepsilon C_2},$$

and consider the corresponding family of discrete systems

$$x(k+1) = A_{\xi(k)}^\varepsilon x(k), \quad \xi(k) \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (18)$$

Every such system is obviously stable, because of the uniform exponential stability of (17). According to the contradiction hypothesis, let us assume that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}^\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m) \in \{1, 2\}^{m+1}$ , such that (16) holds true. Up to a rescaling we can assume  $\max_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m} \|P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}^\varepsilon\| = 1$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus, by compactness, we can find a suitable sequence  $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$  such that  $P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}^{\varepsilon_h} \rightarrow P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}$  for every  $(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m) \in \{1, 2\}^{m+1}$  for some positive semidefinite matrices  $P_{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m+1}}$ . Moreover, there exists at least one  $(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m) \in \{1, 2\}^{m+1}$  such that  $P_{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_m}$  has norm equal to one.

Since, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, we have  $A_i^\varepsilon = \text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we deduce that

$$(A_{\xi_0}^\varepsilon)^T P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1}}^\varepsilon A_{\xi_0}^\varepsilon - P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m}^\varepsilon = P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1}}^\varepsilon - P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m}^\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) < 0$$

for every  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{m+1}) \in \{1, 2\}^{m+2}$ , so that, passing to the limit along the sequence  $\varepsilon_h$ , we get

$$P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1}} \leq P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m}.$$

Iterating this inequality  $m + 1$  times we get

$$P_{\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}} \leq P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m}$$

for every  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}) \in \{1, 2\}^{2m+2}$ . Thus it actually holds

$$P_{\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}} = P_{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m} =: P$$

for every  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}) \in \{1, 2\}^{2m+2}$ , and  $\|P\| = 1$ .

On the other hand, since, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, we have  $A_i^\varepsilon = \text{Id} + \varepsilon C_i + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we deduce that

$$(A_i^\varepsilon)^T P_{i, \dots, i}^\varepsilon A_i^\varepsilon - P_{i, \dots, i}^\varepsilon = \varepsilon(C_i^T P_{i, \dots, i}^\varepsilon + P_{i, \dots, i}^\varepsilon C_i) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) < 0,$$

and dividing by  $\varepsilon$  and passing to the limit along the sequence  $\varepsilon_h$ , we get

$$C_i^T P + P C_i \leq 0$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Observe that  $P$  is not only semidefinite, but it must be positive definite. Indeed, since  $\|P\| = 1$ , if it is semidefinite then there exists a one-dimensional subspace  $\Lambda$  such that  $x^T P x > 0$  if and only if  $x \notin \Lambda$ . Then the only way not to increase  $x^T P x$  along a trajectory starting from  $\Lambda$  would be to stay on  $\Lambda$ , which is impossible because  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have non-real eigenvalues.

Thus  $P$  is positive definite and satisfies  $C_i^T P + P C_i \leq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This contradicts the initial assumption made on  $C_1, C_2$  and the proposition is proved.  $\blacksquare$

## 4 The degenerate case

We consider here the case in which the non-degeneracy hypothesis appearing in Theorem 6, namely, the existence of  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $A_{\bar{\xi}}$  is invertible, does not hold. The perfect analogous of Theorem 6 is false in this case, as we will see by a counterexample at the end of this section. Nevertheless, equivalence between different notions of quadratic stability can still be established. A special role is played by LMIs which hold on the *eventual* accessible set, which is introduced in the next section. We show in particular that such LMIs can be used to detect the stability of switched linear systems which are not PD-quadratically stable.

### 4.1 Eventual accessible sets and relaxation of the LMI conditions for stability

Fix  $A_1, \dots, A_M$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$ . Define  $\Sigma_0 = \mathbf{R}^d$  and

$$\Sigma_k = \cup_{i=1}^M A_i(\Sigma_{k-1}), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then  $\Sigma_k$  is the set of all points of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  that can be obtained as evaluation at the time  $k$  of a trajectory of the finite system (1).

**Lemma 11** Fix  $A_1, \dots, A_M$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  and define  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , as above. Then there exists  $\bar{k} \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\Sigma_k = \Sigma_{\bar{k}}$  for every  $k \geq \bar{k}$ .

*Proof.* By construction, each  $\Sigma_k$  is the union of finitely many linear subspaces of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . We say that a linear subspace  $L$  of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is a *component* of  $\Sigma_k$  if every linear subspace containing  $L$  and contained in  $\Sigma_k$  coincides with  $L$ .

We associate with each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and each  $\delta \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , the number  $\nu(\delta, k)$  of components of  $\Sigma_k$  of dimension  $\delta$ . For every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , consider the set  $D_k = \{\delta \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \nu(\delta, k) \geq 1\}$ , and rewrite its terms in decreasing order  $D_k = \{d_1^k > d_2^k > \dots > d_{l_k}^k\} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

A simple inductive argument shows that  $\Sigma_{k+1}$  is contained in  $\Sigma_k$ . In particular  $d_1^k$  is non-increasing as a function of  $k$  and there exists therefore  $\bar{k}$  such that  $d_1^k$  is constant for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . Moreover,  $\nu(d_1^k, k)$  is non-increasing for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . Henceforth, up to eventually taking a larger  $\bar{k}$ ,  $\nu(d_1^k, k)$  is also constant for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . That means that the union of the components of  $\Sigma_k$  of maximal dimension is a constant set for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . In particular, its image through  $A_i$  is constant for every  $i$ , implying that  $d_2^k$  is non-increasing for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . The same argument as above shows that, up to increasing  $\bar{k}$ , the union of the components of  $\Sigma_k$  of dimension  $d_2^k$  is a constant set for  $k \geq \bar{k}$ . By finite recurrence,  $\Sigma_k$  is constant for  $k$  large enough. ■

Lemma 11 allows to associate with a finite family of matrices  $\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{d \times d}$  the *eventual reachable set*  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M) = \Sigma_{\bar{k}}$ , where  $\bar{k}$  is as in the statement of the lemma. By construction,  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  is invariant for  $A_1, \dots, A_M$  and, moreover,

$$\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M) = \cup_{i=1}^M A_i(\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)).$$

**Remark 12** Following the procedure of the proof of Lemma 11, one can explicitly find an upper bound on  $\bar{k}$  which depends on  $d$  and  $M$  only. Hence,  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  can be computed algorithmically in finitely many steps.

Let (1) be finite. Since, by definition, every trajectory of (1) lies inside  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  after a finite number of steps, the existence of a Lyapunov function defined only on  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  guarantees the asymptotic stability of the system. This observation leads to the following result which introduces a relaxed version of the LMIs corresponding to (5)-(6) in the degenerate case.

**Proposition 13** Let (1) be finite. Let us write  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M) = \cup_{h=1}^s V_h$  where  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $V_h = T_h(\mathbf{R}^{d_h})$  and  $T_h : \mathbf{R}^{d_h} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  is a linear immersion for  $h = 1, \dots, s$ . If there exist  $M$  symmetric matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  such that

$$T_h^T P_i T_h > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, M, \quad h = 1, \dots, s, \quad (19)$$

$$T_h^T (A_i^T P_j A_i - P_i) T_h < 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M, \quad h = 1, \dots, s, \quad (20)$$

then system (1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

## 4.2 Equivalence between different notions of quadratic stability in the degenerate case

Similarly to what happens for nondegenerate systems (see Section 3.1), it is possible to prove that in the degenerate case PTD-quadratic stability is equivalent to a quadratic stability

notion related to the one introduced in Proposition 13 (namely, condition (19) is replaced by the stronger requirement that each  $P_i$  is positive definite). The equivalent condition allows in particular to test PTD-quadratic stability by means of LMIs.

**Theorem 14** *Let (1) be finite. Then (1) is PTD-quadratically stable if and only if there exist  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  positive definite such that (20) holds true.*

*Proof.* Assume first that there exist  $P_1, \dots, P_M > 0$  satisfying (20). Define  $v(x, \xi) = x^T P_\xi x$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $\xi = 1, \dots, M$ . Let  $\bar{k}$  be as in the statement of Lemma 11. In order to show that (1) is PTD-quadratically stable it is then enough to take the Lyapunov function  $(k, x, \xi) \mapsto V(k, x, \xi)$  of the form  $V(k, x, \xi) = \varphi(k)v(x, \xi)$  with  $\varphi(k) = \beta^{\max(k-k, 0)}$  and  $\beta$  large enough.

Now assume that (1) is PTD-quadratically stable and fix  $(k, \xi, x) \mapsto V(k, \xi, x)$  and  $(k, \xi) \mapsto P_{k, \xi}$  as in Definition 5. Denote by  $\Sigma_\infty$  the set  $\Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$ . The proof can then be concluded following exactly the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 6: equation (10) can be proved to hold on  $\Sigma_\infty$  and the same compactness argument which is used to prove (11) implies that there exist  $\hat{\alpha} > 0$  and  $P_1^*, \dots, P_M^* > 0$  such that  $A_i^T P_j^* A_i - P_i^* \leq -\hat{\alpha} \text{Id}$  on  $\Sigma_\infty$  for every  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ , proving (20).  $\blacksquare$

When  $\Sigma_\infty$  is linear, *PD-quadratic stability on  $\Sigma_\infty$*  (in the sense of Proposition 13) is equivalent to PD-quadratic stability on the entire  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , as proved below. The same is not true in general, as illustrated by a counterexample at the end of this section.

**Proposition 15** *Let (1) be finite. Assume that  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Assume that there exist  $M$  symmetric matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  satisfying (19) and (20). Then (1) is PD-quadratically stable.*

*Proof.* Define recursively  $\Sigma_\infty^k$ , for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , in such a way that  $\Sigma_\infty^0 = \Sigma_\infty$  and

$$\Sigma_\infty^{k+1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^M A_i^{-1}(\Sigma_\infty^k), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Notice that  $A_i(\Sigma_\infty) \subset \Sigma_\infty$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, M$ . Hence,  $\Sigma_\infty^0 \subset \Sigma_\infty^1$ . By recurrence we get  $\Sigma_\infty^k \subset \Sigma_\infty^{k+1}$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Moreover, the non-decreasing sequence of linear spaces  $\Sigma_\infty^k$  reaches  $\mathbf{R}^d$  in a finite number of steps. Indeed, let  $k$  be such that  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \Sigma_\infty^j = \Sigma_\infty^k$  and assume by contradiction that  $\Sigma_\infty^k \neq \mathbf{R}^d$ . Hence, for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \Sigma_\infty^k$  one has  $x \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^M A_i^{-1}(\Sigma_\infty^k)$ , so that there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  such that  $A_i x \notin \Sigma_\infty^k$ . This means that, starting from any point  $x$  outside  $\Sigma_\infty^k$  there exists a sequence  $\{i_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$  such that  $A_{i_j} A_{i_{j-1}} \cdots A_{i_1} x \notin \Sigma_\infty^k$  for every  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . This contradicts the characterization of  $\Sigma_\infty$  given in Lemma 11, which would imply that there exist  $\bar{k} \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$A_{i_{\bar{k}}} A_{i_{\bar{k}-1}} \cdots A_{i_1} x \in \Sigma_\infty \subset \Sigma_\infty^k.$$

We claim that for every  $k$  there exist  $P_1^{(k)}, \dots, P_M^{(k)} > 0$  such that  $A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)} < 0$  on  $\Sigma_\infty^k$  for every  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ . The thesis of the proposition follows taking  $k = \bar{k}$ .

For  $k = 0$  the assertion is true because of the hypothesis of the proposition. Indeed one can set  $P_i^{(0)} = P_i + \hat{P}_i$  where the positive semidefinite symmetric matrix  $\hat{P}_i$  satisfies  $\hat{P}_i = 0$  on  $\Sigma_\infty$  and  $\hat{P}_i > \|P_i\| \text{Id}$  on the orthogonal space to  $\Sigma_\infty$ , denoted by  $\Sigma_\infty^\perp$ . Assume that the assertion is true for a given  $k \geq 0$ . We look for matrices  $P_1^{(k+1)}, \dots, P_M^{(k+1)} > 0$  in the form

$P_j^{(k+1)} = P_j^{(k)} + \hat{P}_j^{(k+1)}$ , where  $\hat{P}_j^{(k+1)}$  is positive semidefinite and  $\hat{P}_j^{(k+1)} = 0$  on  $\Sigma_\infty^k$ . Let  $\varepsilon_k, R_k > 0$  be such that  $A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)} \leq -\varepsilon_k \text{Id}$  on  $\Sigma_\infty^k$  and  $\|A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)}\| \leq R_k$  for every  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^T (A_i^T P_j^{(k+1)} A_i - P_i^{(k+1)}) x &= x_1^T (A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)}) x_1 + x_2^T (A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)}) x_2 \\ &\quad + 2x_1^T (A_i^T P_j^{(k)} A_i - P_i^{(k)}) x_2 - x_2^T \hat{P}_i^{(k+1)} x_2 \\ &\leq -\varepsilon_k \|x_1\|^2 + R_k (\|x_2\|^2 + 2\|x_1\| \|x_2\|) - x_2^T \hat{P}_i^{(k+1)} x_2, \end{aligned}$$

where, for  $x \in \Sigma_\infty^{k+1}$ , we considered the decomposition  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , with  $x_1 \in \Sigma_\infty^k$  and  $x_2 \in \Sigma_\infty^{k+1} \cap (\Sigma_\infty^k)^\perp$ . Thus, by choosing  $\hat{P}_i^{(k+1)}$  in such a way that  $\hat{P}_i^{(k+1)} > (R_k + R_k^2/\varepsilon_k) \text{Id}$  on  $\Sigma_\infty^{k+1} \cap (\Sigma_\infty^k)^\perp$  we get that  $A_i^T P_j^{(k+1)} A_i - P_i^{(k+1)} < 0$  on  $\Sigma_\infty^{(k+1)}$ , completing the proof of the proposition.  $\blacksquare$

Proposition 15 has the following corollary, in the spirit of Theorem 6.

**Corollary 16** *Let (1) be finite and assume that  $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma_\infty(A_1, \dots, A_M)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Then (1) is PTD-quadratically stable if and only if it is PD-quadratically stable if and only if there exist  $M$  symmetric matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  satisfying (19) and (20).*

*Proof.* Let (1) be PTD-quadratically stable. Notice that the restriction of (1) to  $\Sigma_\infty$  is a well-defined, nondegenerate, PTD-quadratically stable system. Theorem 6 then implies that it is PD-quadratically stable. By extending the quadratic forms yielding PD-quadratic stability by zero on  $\Sigma_\infty^\perp$ , we get  $M$  symmetric matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_M$  satisfying (19) and (20). Proposition 15 implies that system (1) is PD-quadratically stable.

The converse implication being trivial, the corollary is proved.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 17** *We conclude the section by noticing that Proposition 15 cannot be extended in general to the case where  $\Sigma_\infty$  is not linear. A counterexample can be constructed as follows. Take  $d = 3$  and*

$$A_\Xi = \{A_1, A_2\}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0.3 & -3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 1.5 \\ 0 & -0.3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Clearly, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $\Sigma_\infty$  is the union of the plane  $\text{span}\{-2e_1 + e_2, e_1 - e_3\}$  and the line  $\mathbf{R}e_1$ .*

*It can be checked numerically (for instance by using the package `yalmip` for `matlab`) that if  $\lambda \geq 0.863$  then the system is not PD-quadratically stable. On the other hand, by taking the positive definite matrices*

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 10.6 & 5.4 & 1.3 \\ 5.4 & 18.3 & -0.2 \\ 1.3 & -0.2 & 20.2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 12.4 & 9.6 & 17.9 \\ 9.6 & 38.3 & -22.8 \\ 17.9 & -22.8 & 89.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

*one can check that, if  $|\lambda| \leq 0.868$ , then (20) is satisfied with  $s = 2$  and*

$$T_1 = e_1, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$



In particular if  $\lambda \in [0.863, 0.868]$  then the system is PTD-quadratically stable but not PD-quadratically stable.

Consider now the polytopic system corresponding to  $A_{\Xi} = \text{conv}\{A_1, A_2\}$ , where  $A_1, A_2$  are defined as above. For every  $\lambda \neq 0$  this system is nondegenerate, in the sense of Remark 7. Hence PTD-quadratic stability and PD-quadratic stability (and poly-quadratic stability) are equivalent. In particular, they fail to hold for  $\lambda \in [0.863, 0.868]$ . However, the uniform asymptotic stability of the system can be deduced from Proposition 4.

In general, then, the uniform asymptotic stability of a polytopic system can be tested by the LMIs (19) and (20) which are less conservative than the usual inequalities (5) and (6).

## Conclusion

We investigated the equivalence between different notions of stability for discrete-time switched systems of type (1). We first proved (Section 3.1) that, if there exists  $\bar{\xi} \in \Xi$  such that  $A_{\bar{\xi}}$  is invertible, looking for a quadratic Lyapunov function in its more general form  $V(k, \xi, x) = x^T P(k, \xi)x$  (that is, in a class which depends on an infinite number of parameters) is equivalent to looking for it in the much smaller class  $V(\xi, x) = x^T (\sum_{i=1}^M \xi_i P_i)x$  (which depends on finitely many parameters). Using the notion of eventual accessible set, we proposed a relaxation of the LMI conditions to check stability of systems for which the modes corresponding to the vertices of  $\Xi$  are non-degenerate. We also discussed the problem of detecting through quadratic Lyapunov functions the stability of polytopic switched systems whose switching functions have some common bound on the speed of variation.

## References

- [1] R. Barmish. Stabilization of uncertain systems via linear control. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, 28(8):848–850, 1983.
- [2] P. H. Bauer, K. Premaratne, and J. Durán. A necessary and sufficient condition for robust asymptotic stability of time-variant discrete systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 38(9):1427–1430, 1993.
- [3] F. Blanchini and S. Miani. Stabilization of LPV systems: State feedback, state estimation, and duality. *SIAM journal on control and optimization*, 40(1):76–97, 2004.
- [4] V. D. Blondel and Y. Nesterov. Computationally efficient approximations of the joint spectral radius. *SIAM Journal of Matrix Analysis*, 27(1):256–272, 2005.
- [5] S. Boyd, L. E. Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan. *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*. SIAM, Studies in Applied Mathematics, 1994.
- [6] J. Daafouz and J. Bernussou. Parameter dependent Lyapunov functions for discrete time systems with time varying. *Systems and Control Letters*, 43(8):355–359, 2001.
- [7] J. Daafouz, P. Riedinger, and C. Iung. Stability analysis and control synthesis for switched systems: a switched Lyapunov function approach. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 47(11):1883–1887, 2002.



- [8] W. P. Dayawansa and C. F. Martin. A converse Lyapunov theorem for a class of dynamical systems which undergo switching. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 44:751–760, 1999.
- [9] J. C. Geromel and P. Colaneri. Robust stability of time varying polytopic systems. *Systems and Control Letters*, 55(1):81–85, 2006.
- [10] R. Jungers. *The joint spectral radius*, volume 385 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Theory and applications.
- [11] J.-W. Lee and G. E. Dullerud. Uniform stabilization of discrete-time switched and Markovian jump linear systems. *Automatica J. IFAC*, 42(2):205–218, 2006.
- [12] H. Lin and P. J. Antsaklis. Stability and stabilizability of switched linear systems: a survey of recent results. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 54(2):308–322, 2009.
- [13] P. Mason, U. Boscain, and Y. Chitour. Common polynomial Lyapunov functions for linear switched systems. *SIAM journal on control and optimization*, 45:226–245, 2006.
- [14] R. Shorten, F. Wirth, O. Mason, K. Wulff, and C. King. Stability criteria for switched and hybrid systems. *SIAM Review*, 49:545–592, 2005.
- [15] J. Theys. Joint spectral radius: Theory and approximations, 2005. PhD Thesis.
- [16] J. L. Willems. *Stability Theory of Dynamical Systems*. NELSON, 1970.