Claim: The vector $B_{P}^{\prime}$ goes in the direction of $R$, that is $B_{P}^{\prime} \cdot P R \geq 0$.
Proof:

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(B_{P}^{\prime} \cdot P R\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\left(B_{P}-N_{P}\right) \cdot P R\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(B_{P} \cdot P R-N_{P} \cdot P R\right)
$$

- $N_{P} \cdot P R$ is negative, It can be decomposed in $N_{P}=N_{P}^{\prime}+N_{P}^{\prime \perp}$ such that $N_{P}^{\prime}$ belongs to the plane $P R N_{R}$ and $N_{P}^{\prime \perp}$ is orthogonal to that plane. Then, $N_{P}^{\prime \perp} \cdot P R$ is zero by definition and $N_{P}^{\prime} \cdot P R$ is negative by convexity of the cross-section of the object by plane $P R N_{R}$.
- It suffices to prove that $B_{P} \cdot P R$ is positive to ensure the result. This is equivalent to prove that $P K_{P} \cdot P R$ is positive, being $K_{P}$ the intersection of line $P+B_{P}$ and line $O V$. We remark (lemma below) that the set of points $P$ such that $K_{P}=K$, for a given $K$, is the sphere through $K$ such that $O$ and $V$ correspond by inversion.


Choose $P_{0}$ on a line tangent to the object in $R$ in plane $O V R$ and such that $K_{P_{0}}=K_{P}$. Then, if the angle $R P_{0} K_{P}$ is smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2}$, moving from $P_{0}$ to $P$ on the sphere of points having the same point $K$ and on the relevant side of the tangent plane to the object in $R$ (since the object is convex) will only make this angle decrease.

The fact that $R P_{0} K_{P}$ is smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ is granted since it is smaller than $D_{P} P_{0} K_{P}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, if $P_{0}$ is on the right of $P$ (see Fig. right) and smaller than $\pi-D_{P} P_{0} K_{P}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, if $P_{0}$ is on the left of $P$ (see Fig. left).

Lemma: The set of points $P$ such that $K_{P}=K$ for a given $K$ is the sphere through $K$ such that $O$ and $V$ correspond by inversion.

## Proof:



We consider colinear points $C, O, V, K$ such that $C O \cdot C V=C K^{2}$ and a point $P$ on the sphere of center $C$ through $K$, The bissector of OPV actually goes through $K$. Let denote $\alpha$ the angle $O P K, \alpha^{\prime}$ the angle $K P V \beta$ the angle $O V P$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ the angle $C P O$. Considering triangle $P K V$, we get $O K P=\alpha+\beta$. Considering the isocele triangle $C K P$, we get $O K P=\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}=\alpha+\beta$. The triangles $C P O$ and $C P V$ have a common angle in $C$ and the same length ratio $\frac{C P}{C O}=\frac{C K}{C O}=\frac{C V}{C K}=\frac{C V}{C P}$ thus, they are isothetic and $\beta=\beta^{\prime}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$, that is $P K$ is the claimed bissector.

