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## 1. Introduction

The observed binocular disparity field is deter mined by the scene structure and the gaze configuration.
nocular mechanisms in area V 1 are tuned to a small range of disparities

## Questions

How does the 3-D geometric representation in fluence the observed disparity field?
How can the gaze component of the disparity field be analyzed in a physiologically plausible way?
3. Representation
-The fixation point defines a reference plane $\mathcal{P}$ orthogonal to the Cyclopean gaze direction.

- The Cyclopean direction of scene point $\bar{q}$ deter mines a reference point $\overline{\boldsymbol{p}} \in \mathcal{P}$
- The scene point $\bar{q}$ projects to $q_{\ell}$ and $q$
- The reference point $\bar{p}$ projects to $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$.
- The geometry is shown below, with optical centres $c_{\ell}$ and $c_{r}$, where $\left|c_{r}-c_{\ell}\right|=1$.

- The absolute disparity, at retinal location $q_{\ell}$, is $q_{r}-q_{l}$
he epipolar disparity is $d=\boldsymbol{q}_{\ell}-\boldsymbol{p}_{\ell}$.
The local direction of the epipolar disparity is $u_{c}$ which determines an epipolar line $\mathcal{U}_{\ell}$.


## 4. Experiments

- Scene Points $i=1 \cdots M$ were Normally dis tributed with high variance; $M=1000$, mean $(0,0,5)^{\top}, \operatorname{cov}=\operatorname{diag}(100,100,100)$

Fixation points $j=1 \cdots N$ were Normally distributed with low variance; $N=100$, mean $=$ $(0,0,5)^{\top}, \operatorname{cov}=\operatorname{diag}(1,0,1)$
Retinal Samples $k=1 \cdots K$ were arranged on a Cartesian lattice; $K=17 \times 17=289$
Two example disparity patterns ( $M$ reduced for plotting) are shown below:


Absolute (A) and epipolar (E) disparity fields, for the same scene, plotted in the left view
Use of a reference plane (E) reduces the aver age magnitude of the disparity.

## 5. Sampling

The retinal distribution of disparity information varies according to the gaze.
Problem: Direct smoothing introduces spurious minima in the flow, owing to the cancellation of oppositely directed vectors
Solution: The disparity vector $d_{i j}$ at point $q_{i j}$ is represented by a rank-one $2 \times 2$ matrix:

$$
D_{i j}^{q}=d_{i j} d_{i j}^{\top}
$$

The matrices encode orientation $(\bmod \pi)$, rather than direction $(\bmod 2 \pi)$.
The matrices are smoothed and re-sampled onto the retinal lattice $s_{k}$

$$
\boldsymbol{D}_{j k}^{s}=\sum_{i}^{M} \alpha_{i j}^{k} \boldsymbol{D}_{i j}^{q}
$$

The spatial weighting has an isotropic Gaussian profile:

$$
\alpha_{i j}^{k} \propto \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2 \delta^{2}}\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{i j}-s_{k}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

Each average disparity matrix is rank-two, with eigenvectors $u_{j k}$ and $v_{i k}$, and corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{j k} \geq \mu_{j k}$.
For fixation $j$, the disparity matrix at retinal position $s_{k}$ has the decomposition:
$\boldsymbol{D}_{j k}^{s}=\lambda_{j k} \boldsymbol{u}_{j k} \boldsymbol{u}_{j k}^{\top}+\mu_{j k} \boldsymbol{v}_{j k} \boldsymbol{v}_{j k}^{\top}$

- The vectors $\boldsymbol{u}_{j k}$ approximate the epipolar geom etry of fixation $j$, independently of the scene structure.
- Examples of the two averaging procedures are shown here

- Direction-average (DE), and orientation average (OE) of the epipolar disparity field shown in (E) Note the spurious contour in (DE), caused by av eraging oppositely-directed vectors.


## 6. Local Structure

- Question: What is the typical distribution of di parity at a given retinal point, over many fixa tions?
The gaze-averaged flow at retinal point $s_{k}$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{D}_{k}^{s}=\sum^{N} \beta_{j} \boldsymbol{D}_{j k}^{s}
$$

- The gaze weighting is defined here as $\beta_{j}=\frac{1}{N}$. - $D_{k}^{s}$ encodes the disparity fluctuation at retina position $s_{k}$, as the gaze varies.
- The inverse of $D_{k}^{s}$ can be used to construct a prior probability for the local binocular correspon dence.
- Iso-probability contours ( $K$ reduced for plotting) are shown below for absolute disparity (CA), and epipolar disparity (CE)

- Note that the variability is greatly reduced by th use of a reference plane (CE).


## 7. Global Structure

- The functional dependence between the left and right views is only approximate, after the resampling procedure.
Hence each binocular flow is represented as a column-vector of length $2 K+2 K$, containing $u_{j k}$ from the left and right images:

$$
f_{j} \in \mathrm{R}^{4 K}
$$

- The mean, $\boldsymbol{f}_{0}$, is subtracted from each vector
- The $N$ vectors are collected into a $4 K \times N$ data matrix $\boldsymbol{F}$.
- The singular value decomposition of the data is

$$
\boldsymbol{F}=\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{H}^{\top}
$$

basis flows $g_{i}$.

- $\Sigma$ is an $N \times N$ diagonal matrix of singular values, and $\boldsymbol{H}^{\top}$ is an $N \times N$ orthogonal matrix.
- Left (LO) and right (RO) parts of the mean flow, $f_{0}$, are shown below:



The pair ( $\mathrm{LO}, \mathrm{RO}$ ) represents the average epipolar geometry, over $N=100$ random fixations.
Left (L1) and right (R1) parts of the first basis function, $g_{1}$, are shown below:


- The pair (L1,R1) represents a differential scaling of the disparity field, over $N=100$ random fixations.

Left (L2) and right (R2) parts of the second basis function, $g_{2}$, are shown below.


- The pair (L2,R2) represents a hyperbolic defor mation of the disparity field, over $N=100$ ran dom fixations.


## 8. Dimensionality

- A given flow $f$ can be approximated by a linea combination of the first $n<N$ basis flows:

$$
\boldsymbol{f} \approx \boldsymbol{f}_{0}+\sum^{n} \gamma_{j} \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{j}}
$$

- The weights are projections $\gamma_{j}=\left(\boldsymbol{f}-\boldsymbol{f}_{0}\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{g}_{j}$. The proportion of variance explained by the firs $n$ components is

$$
\tau_{n}=\sum_{j}^{n} \sigma_{j}^{2} / \sum_{j}^{N} \sigma_{j}^{2}
$$

The proportion of gaze-variance explained by the first $n=10$ components, with $N=100$, is shown below:


The low dimensionality of the model reflects the fixation geometry (not the scene structure).

## 9. Conclusions

The geometric representation of disparity is im portant.
The gaze component of retinal disparity can be locally represented.
The distribution of the gaze component, ove many binocular fixations, is low-dimensional.
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