

Viable Trajectories and Optimal Synthesis in Optimal Control under State Constraints

Hélène Frankowska

▶ To cite this version:

Hélène Frankowska. Viable Trajectories and Optimal Synthesis in Optimal Control under State Constraints. SADCO Kick off, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00585871

HAL Id: inria-00585871 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00585871

Submitted on 14 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Viable Trajectories and Optimal Synthesis in Optimal Control under State Constraints

Hélène Frankowska

CNRS AND UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE

Kick Off Meeting SADCO

Paris, March 3-4, 2011

イロト イポト イヨト イヨー

Control System under State Constraints

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ u(t) \in U & \text{a.e. in } [0, 1] \\ x(0) = x_0 \\ x(t) \in K & \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

U is a complete separable metric space, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed

$$f:[0,1] imes \mathbb{R}^n imes U o \mathbb{R}^n, \ x_0\in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Controls are Lebesgue measurable functions $u(\cdot): [0,1] \rightarrow U$

Control System under State Constraints

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ u(t) \in U & \text{a.e. in } [0, 1] \\ x(0) = x_0 \\ x(t) \in K & \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

U is a complete separable metric space, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed

$$f:[0,1] imes \mathbb{R}^n imes U o \mathbb{R}^n, \ x_0\in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Controls are Lebesgue measurable functions $u(\cdot) : [0,1] \rightarrow U$

A viable trajectory $x(\cdot)$ of control system is an absolutely continuous function satisfying $x(0) = x_0$,

x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)) almost everywhere in [0, 1]

for some control $u(\cdot)$ and $x(t) \in K$ for all $t \in [0, 1]_{>}$

Control Systems under State Constraints

Tangent Vectors



 $f(a_0, u_1)$, $f(b_0, u_2)$, $f(c_0, u_2)$ are tangent to K at a_0 , b_0 and c_0 . At d_0 there is no $u \in U$ such that $f(d_0, u)$ is tangent to K.

The **contingent** cone to K at $x \in K$ is defined by

$$T_{K}(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \liminf_{h \to 0+} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x + hv; K)}{h} = 0 \}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Control Systems under State Constraints

Tangent Vectors



 $f(a_0, u_1)$, $f(b_0, u_2)$, $f(c_0, u_2)$ are tangent to K at a_0 , b_0 and c_0 . At d_0 there is no $u \in U$ such that $f(d_0, u)$ is tangent to K.

The **contingent** cone to *K* at $x \in K$ is defined by

$$T_{\mathcal{K}}(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \liminf_{h \to 0+} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x + hv; \mathcal{K})}{h} = 0 \}$$

Viability Theorem

 $S_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)$ – set of all viable trajectories starting at x_0 ,

$$W(t,x) := \{u \in U \mid f(t,x,u) \in T_{\mathcal{K}}(x)\}$$

Then $x(\cdot) \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)$ if and only if $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(\cdot)$ is a trajectory of the following control system

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ u(t) \in W(t, x(t))$$
 a.e. in [0,1]

for some control $u(\cdot)$ If $x \rightsquigarrow f(x, U) := \bigcup_{u \in U} \{f(x, u)\}$ is "Marchaud", f is continuous and K is closed, then the following statements are equivalent

(i)
$$S_{K}(x_{0}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x_{0} \in K$$

(ii) $f(x, U) \cap T_{K}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in \partial K$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨー

Existence Lipschitz Dependence on Initial Conditions

Viability Theorem

J. W. Bebernes & J. D. Schuur (1970)
For functional differential inclusions G. Haddad (1981)
For measurable time dependence of dynamics
HF & S. Plaskacz (1994); R. Vinter & A. Rapaport (1996)

There exist also extensions of the above theorem to stochastic control systems and to infinite dimensional control systems J.-P. Aubin & G. Da Prato; S. Shuzhong; M.Bardi & R. Jensen; R. Buckhdahn, R. Peng, M. Quincampoix & C. Rainer, ...

When K is not viable one considers its **Viability Kernel** - the largest viable subset of K J.-P. Aubin

In general however there is no Lipschitz dependence of viable trajectories on the initial conditions.



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Existence Lipschitz Dependence on Initial Conditions

Viability Theorem

J. W. Bebernes & J. D. Schuur (1970)
For functional differential inclusions G. Haddad (1981)
For measurable time dependence of dynamics
HF & S. Plaskacz (1994); R. Vinter & A. Rapaport (1996)

There exist also extensions of the above theorem to stochastic control systems and to infinite dimensional control systems J.-P. Aubin & G. Da Prato; S. Shuzhong; M.Bardi & R. Jensen; R. Buckhdahn, R. Peng, M. Quincampoix & C. Rainer, ...

When K is not viable one considers its **Viability Kernel** - the largest viable subset of K J.-P. Aubin

In general however there is no Lipschitz dependence of viable trajectories on the initial conditions.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Example : Milyutin, 2000 (also for higher order)

In the presence of state constraints optimal trajectories may behave quite badly.

$$\begin{cases} \min \int_0^T \left(x(t) + \frac{|u(t)|^p}{p} \right) dt, \ p > 1 \\ x'''(t) = u(t), \ x(0) = \xi_0, \ x'(0) = \xi_1, \ x''(0) = \xi_2 \\ u(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \ x(t) \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

If an initial condition (ξ_0, ξ_1, ξ_2) is admissible, then the optimal solution exists and is unique.

For "most" of the admissible initial conditions (ξ_0, ξ_1, ξ_2) there exist $T = T(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2) > 0$ such that the optimal trajectory reaches the boundary of constraints an infinite (countable) number of times with T being their only accumulation point.

Lipschitz Dependence on Initial Conditions

$$C_{\mathcal{K}}(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lim_{h \to 0+, \ \mathcal{K} \ni y \to x} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(y + hv; \mathcal{K})}{h} = 0 \}$$

Inward Pointing Condition $f(t, x, U) \cap \text{Int } C_{\kappa}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in \partial K$ Assume f(t, x, U) are closed, $f(t, x, \cdot)$ is continuous, $f(\cdot, \cdot, u)$ is locally Lipschitz (uniformly in u), $\sup_{u \in U} |f(t, x, u)| \leq \gamma(1 + |x|)$

Theorem (HF & Rampazzo, 2000; FP4 1994-1998)

If the **inward pointing condition** holds true, then the set-valued map $S_{K}(\cdot)$ is locally C-Lipschitz on K.

 $\mathcal{S}_{K}(\cdot)$ is \mathcal{C} -Lipschitz at $x_{0} \in K$ if it is Lipschitz as a set-valued map from a neighborhood of x_{0} in K into $\mathcal{C} = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^{n})$: $\exists L \geq 0, \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in K$ close to $x_{0}, \forall \bar{x}_{1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{K}(x_{1}),$ $\exists \bar{x}_{2}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{K}(x_{2})$ satisfying $\|\bar{x}_{1}(\cdot) - \bar{x}_{2}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq L \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{\mathbb{R}}$

Lipschitz Dependence on Initial Conditions

$$C_{\mathcal{K}}(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lim_{h \to 0+, \ \mathcal{K} \ni y \to x} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(y + hv; \mathcal{K})}{h} = 0 \}$$

Inward Pointing Condition $f(t, x, U) \cap \text{Int } C_{\kappa}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in \partial K$ Assume f(t, x, U) are closed, $f(t, x, \cdot)$ is continuous, $f(\cdot, \cdot, u)$ is locally Lipschitz (uniformly in u), $\sup_{u \in U} |f(t, x, u)| \leq \gamma(1 + |x|)$

Theorem (HF & Rampazzo, 2000; FP4 1994-1998)

If the **inward pointing condition** holds true, then the set-valued map $S_{K}(\cdot)$ is locally C-Lipschitz on K.

 $\mathcal{S}_{K}(\cdot)$ is \mathcal{C} -Lipschitz at $x_{0} \in K$ if it is Lipschitz as a set-valued map from a neighborhood of x_{0} in K into $\mathcal{C} = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^{n})$: $\exists L \geq 0, \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in K$ close to $x_{0}, \forall \bar{x}_{1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{K}(x_{1}),$ $\exists \bar{x}_{2}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{K}(x_{2})$ satisfying $\|\bar{x}_{1}(\cdot) - \bar{x}_{2}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq L\|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{\mathbb{R}}$, $x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

Lipschitz Dependence on Initial Conditions

$$C_{\mathcal{K}}(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lim_{h \to 0+, \ \mathcal{K} \ni y \to x} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(y + hv; \mathcal{K})}{h} = 0 \}$$

Inward Pointing Condition $f(t, x, U) \cap \text{Int } C_{\kappa}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in \partial K$ Assume f(t, x, U) are closed, $f(t, x, \cdot)$ is continuous, $f(\cdot, \cdot, u)$ is locally Lipschitz (uniformly in u), $\sup_{u \in U} |f(t, x, u)| \leq \gamma(1 + |x|)$

Theorem (HF & Rampazzo, 2000; FP4 1994-1998)

If the **inward pointing condition** holds true, then the set-valued map $S_{K}(\cdot)$ is locally C-Lipschitz on K.

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(\cdot) \text{ is } \mathcal{C}-\text{Lipschitz at } x_{0} \in \mathcal{K} \text{ if it is Lipschitz as a set-valued} \\ &\text{map from a neighborhood of } x_{0} \text{ in } \mathcal{K} \text{ into } \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}([0,1];\mathbb{R}^{n}):\\ &\exists \ L\geq 0, \ \forall \ x_{1}, \ x_{2}\in \mathcal{K} \text{ close to } x_{0}, \ \forall \ \bar{x}_{1}(\cdot)\in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(x_{1}),\\ &\exists \ \bar{x}_{2}(\cdot)\in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(x_{2}) \text{ satisfying } \|\bar{x}_{1}(\cdot)-\bar{x}_{2}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{C}}\leq \underline{L}\|x_{1}-x_{2}\|_{\mathcal{L}} \end{aligned}$

State Constraints with Smooth Boundaries

When the boundary of K is smooth and f depends on time in a measurable way a Neighboring Feasible Trajectory Theorem (NFT) proved via a constructive argument implies Lipschitz dependence of viable trajectories on initial conditions.

If K is an intersection of closed sets $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundaries

$$K = \bigcap_{j=1}^m K_j$$

a counterexample to (NFT) was recently given by P. Bettiol, A. Bressan & R. Vinter (2010)

Below d_j denotes the oriented distance to K_j and J(x) the set of all active indices at x.



State Constraints with Smooth Boundaries

When the boundary of K is smooth and f depends on time in a measurable way a Neighboring Feasible Trajectory Theorem (NFT) proved via a constructive argument implies Lipschitz dependence of viable trajectories on initial conditions.

If K is an intersection of closed sets $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundaries

$$K = \cap_{j=1}^m K_j$$

a counterexample to (NFT) was recently given by P. Bettiol, A. Bressan & R. Vinter (2010)

Below d_j denotes the oriented distance to K_j and J(x) the set of all active indices at x.



State Constraints with Smooth Boundaries

When the boundary of K is smooth and f depends on time in a measurable way a Neighboring Feasible Trajectory Theorem (NFT) proved via a constructive argument implies Lipschitz dependence of viable trajectories on initial conditions.

If K is an intersection of closed sets $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundaries

$$K = \cap_{j=1}^m K_j$$

a counterexample to (NFT) was recently given by

P. Bettiol, A. Bressan & R. Vinter (2010)

Below d_j denotes the oriented distance to K_j and J(x) the set of all active indices at x.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Assumptions

Assume $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and has $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^{1,1}$ -boundary for every *j*.

(i)
$$f(\cdot, x, u)$$
 is measurable $\forall x, u$;

(*ii*)
$$f(t, x, \cdot)$$
 is continuous $\forall t, x$;

(iii)
$$\forall r > 0, \exists \lambda_r > 0$$
 such that $\forall t, u$

 $f(t, \cdot, u)$ is λ_r -Lipschitz on rB;

(iv)
$$\exists \gamma > 0$$
 such that $\forall t, x, \max_{u \in U} |f(t, x, u)| \le \gamma (1 + |x|);$

(v)
$$f(t, x, U)$$
 is closed $\forall t, x$;

(vi)
$$0 \notin conv \{ \nabla d_j(x) \mid j \in J(x) \}$$
 transversality condition.

Then

$$\mathsf{N}_{\mathcal{K}}(x) := \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}(x)^{-} = \mathbb{R}_{+} \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \nabla d_{j}(x) \mid j \in J(x) \right\}$$

Multiple State Constraints $K = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} K_j$

Relaxed Inward Pointing Condition : $\exists \rho > 0 \ \forall x \in \partial K, \ \forall t$

 $\exists \ v_{t,x} \in \textit{cof}(t,x,U), \ \langle \textit{n}_x,\textit{v}_{t,x} \rangle \leq -\rho \ \forall \ \textit{n}_x \in \textit{N}_{\textit{K}}(x), \ |\textit{n}_x| = 1$

Theorem (HF & Bettiol, to appear, DCDS-A, FP6 2002-06)

Assume the **relaxed inward pointing condition** and that either f is differentiable with respect to x or the sets f(t, x, U) are convex. Then the set-valued map $S_{\mathcal{K}}(\cdot)$ is locally \mathcal{C} -Lipschitz on Int K.

Corollary (Approximation from the interior)

Assume that the **relaxed inward pointing condition** holds true, that f is differentiable with respect to x and let $x_0 \in Int K$. Then for every $\bar{x} \in S_K(x_0)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x_{\varepsilon} \in S_K(x_0)$ such that $||x_{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}||_{\mathcal{C}} < \varepsilon$ and $x_{\varepsilon}(t) \in Int K$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Value Function of the Mayer Problem

minimize
$$\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)\}$$

$\varphi: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Dom} \varphi \neq \emptyset$.

 $x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), u(t) \in U$ a.e. in $[t_0, 1], x(t_0) = y_0$ (1)

 $V(t_0, y_0) = \inf\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \text{ satisfies } (1), \ x(t) \in K \ \forall \ t \in [t_0, 1]\}$

Even for smooth data and in the absence of state constraints the value function is, in general, nonsmooth. For this reason derivatives of V are replaced by "generalized" derivatives.



Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Value Function of the Mayer Problem

minimize
$$\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)\}$$

 $\varphi: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Dom} \varphi \neq \emptyset$.

 $x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), u(t) \in U$ a.e. in $[t_0, 1], x(t_0) = y_0$ (1)

$V(t_0, y_0) = \inf\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \text{ satisfies } (1), x(t) \in K \ \forall \ t \in [t_0, 1]\}$

Even for smooth data and in the absence of state constraints the value function is, in general, nonsmooth. For this reason derivatives of V are replaced by "generalized" derivatives.

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Value Function of the Mayer Problem

minimize
$$\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)\}$$

 $\varphi: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Dom} \varphi \neq \emptyset$.

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), u(t) \in U$$
 a.e. in $[t_0, 1], x(t_0) = y_0$ (1)

 $V(t_0, y_0) = \inf\{\varphi(x(1)) \mid x(\cdot) \text{ satisfies } (1), x(t) \in K \ \forall \ t \in [t_0, 1]\}$

Even for smooth data and in the absence of state constraints the value function is, in general, nonsmooth. For this reason derivatives of V are replaced by "generalized" derivatives.

Value Function and Optimal Synthesis

If $K = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V \in C^1$, then optimal trajectories are those satisfying

$$x'(t) \in f(t, x(t), \Lambda(t, x(t)))$$
 a.e., $x(0) = x_0$,

$$\Lambda(t,y) := \{u \in U \mid H(t,y,-V'_x(t,y)) = \langle -V'_x(t,y), f(t,y,u) \rangle \}$$

and a trajectory-control pair $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ is optimal if and only if

$$\bar{u}(t) \in \Lambda(t, \bar{x}(t))$$
 a.e.

Generalizations to more natural nonsmooth value functions lead to highly irregular $\Lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, \cdot, \Lambda(\cdot, \cdot))$.

There is **no** analogous characterization for the state constrained case, but some sufficient conditions are available.

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function

Assume that $K = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} K_j$, $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed with $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^{1,1}$ -boundary and satisfy the transversality condition.

Theorem (m=1)

If the (relaxed) inward pointing condition holds true and φ is locally Lipschitz, then V is locally Lipschitz on $[0,1] \times K$.

Theorem (m > 1)

Assume that the **relaxed inward pointing condition** holds true, that φ is locally Lipschitz and that either f is differentiable with respect to x, or f(t, x, U) is convex for every $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then V is locally Lipschitz on $[0, 1] \times \text{Int } K$.



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Optimal Synthesis - necessity

 $\forall t \in [0, T), x \in K$ such that $V(t, x) \neq +\infty$ and all $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define the directional derivative in the direction $(1, \bar{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$D_{\uparrow}V(t,x)(1,ar{v}) := \liminf_{h \to 0+, \ v \to ar{v}} rac{V(t+h,x+hv) - V(t,x)}{h}$$

and consider the sets

$$F(t,x) = \{ u \in U \mid D_{\uparrow}V(t,x)(1,f(t,x,u)) \le 0 \}.$$

Then the dynamic programming principle implies that if $x(\cdot) \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(x_0)$ is optimal for the Mayer problem and $u(\cdot)$ is a corresponding optimal control, then

$$u(t)\in F(t,x(t)) \ \text{a.e. in } [0,T].$$

In other words, $x(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$x'(t) \in f(t, x(t), F(t, x(t)))$$
 a.e., $x(0) = x_0$.



Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Optimal Synthesis - sufficiency

The above condition is also sufficient when V is locally Lipschitz on K.

Assume that $K = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} K_j$, that for every j, $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed with $C_{loc}^{1,1}$ -boundary and that the transversality condition holds true.

Theorem (Sufficient optimality condition)

Assume that φ is locally Lipschitz, that the relaxed inward pointing condition holds true and that V is continuous on $[0,1] \times K$. Let $\bar{x} \in W^{1,1}$ satisfy

 $x'(t) \in f(t, x(t), F(t, x(t)))$ a.e., $x(0) = x_0$.

If the set $\{t \in [0, T] | \bar{x}(t) \in \partial K\}$ is finite, then $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ is an optimal solution to the Mayer problem.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Optimal Trajectories

$$epi(V) = \{(t, x, r) \in [0, 1] \times K \times \mathbb{R} \mid r \geq V(t, x)\}$$

Assume that $V(0, x_0) < +\infty$ and consider the viability problem

$$\begin{cases} s'(t) = 1, \ s(0) = 0\\ x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ u(t) \in U \text{ a.e.}, \ x(0) = x_0\\ z'(t) = 0, \ z(0) = V(0, x_0)\\ (s(t), x(t), z(t)) \in epi(V) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

Then a trajectory $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ is optimal if and only if the mapping $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (t,\bar{x}(t), V(0,x_0))$ satisfies (2) on [0,1].

Some algorithms were developed to get epi(V) as the viability kernel of an auxiliary control system under state constraints.



Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Optimal Trajectories

$$epi(V) = \{(t, x, r) \in [0, 1] \times K \times \mathbb{R} \mid r \geq V(t, x)\}$$

Assume that $V(0, x_0) < +\infty$ and consider the viability problem

$$\begin{cases} s'(t) = 1, \ s(0) = 0 \\ x'(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ u(t) \in U \text{ a.e.}, \ x(0) = x_0 \\ z'(t) = 0, \ z(0) = V(0, x_0) \\ (s(t), x(t), z(t)) \in epi(V) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

Then a trajectory $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ is optimal if and only if the mapping $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (t,\bar{x}(t), V(0,x_0))$ satisfies (2) on [0,1].

Some algorithms were developed to get epi(V) as the viability kernel of an auxiliary control system under state constraints.

Maximum Principle + Sensitivity Relation

Let $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ be optimal and $V(0, \cdot)$ be locally Lipschitz at x_0 . Assume that transversality condition on K_j holds true, that φ is differentiable and f is differentiable with respect to x. Then $\exists \lambda \in \{0, 1\}, \psi(\cdot) \in NBV([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $(\lambda, p, \psi) \neq 0$ satisfying the sensitivity relation $-p(0) \in \lambda \partial_x V(0, x_0)$ (generalized gradient of $V(0, \cdot)$ at x_0) and the maximum principle

$$-p'(t) = rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))^*(p(t) + \psi(t))$$
 a.e. in $[0, 1],$

 $\langle p(t)+\psi(t), f(t,\bar{x}(t),\bar{u}(t))\rangle = \max_{u\in U}(\langle p(t)+\psi(t), f(t,\bar{x}(t),u)\rangle$ a.e.

$$-p(1)-\psi(1)=\lambda
abla arphi(ar{x}(1)), \hspace{0.2cm} \psi(t)=\int_{[0,t]}
u(s)d\mu(s) \hspace{0.2cm}orall \hspace{0.2cm} t\in(0,1].$$

for a positive Radon measure μ on [0,1] and a Borel measurable $\nu(s) \in N_{\mathcal{K}}(\bar{x}(s)) \cap B$ μ -a.e.

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Normality of the Maximum principle

Assume that $K = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} K_j$, that for every j, $K_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed with $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^{1,1}$ -boundary and that the transversality condition holds true.

Theorem

Assume that $x_0 \in \text{Int } K$ and the **relaxed inward pointing** condition holds true. Then $V(0, \cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz at x_0 and in the maximum principle $\lambda = 1$.

Sensitivity relations link the value function and the maximum principle and imply some sufficient optimality conditions.



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Local Lipschitz Continuity of Value Function Optimal Synthesis Optimal Trajectories Maximum Principle

Thank you for your attention!

The many w spasible Merci "7 XIÈXIE Fack så mychel Arigato GRACIAT Dank Grazie Mahalo Chanyawaad



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨ