

# **On the construction of Patchy Feedbacks for stabilization and optimization problems**

Fabio Ancona

## **To cite this version:**

Fabio Ancona. On the construction of Patchy Feedbacks for stabilization and optimization problems. SADCO Kick off, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00585711

## **HAL Id: inria-00585711 <https://inria.hal.science/inria-00585711>**

Submitted on 13 Apr 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the construction of Patchy Feedbacks for stabilization and optimization problems

## **Fabio ANCONA**

University of Padova

## ITN SADCO - Kick off Meeting

Ensta Paris Tech, Paris March 3 - 4, 2011

<span id="page-1-0"></span>イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

## **Outline**



- **[General Setting](#page-3-0)**
- **[Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)**
- 2 [Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)
	- [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0)
	- [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

### <sup>3</sup> [Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)

- **O** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- **o** [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## **Outline**



• [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

**[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) **• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

**[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)** 

- **•** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

<span id="page-3-0"></span> $298$ 

Consider a control system

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1}
$$

• *f* smooth with sublinear growth

 $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |x|) \quad \forall x, u$ 

(I) Asymptotic Feedback Stabilization: Seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all trajectories of

$$
\dot{x} = g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x)) \tag{2}
$$

approach the origin as  $t \to \infty$  (and the origin is Lyapunov stable).

(II) Optimal Feedback Control: Given compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all sol'ns of [\(1\)](#page-4-0) reach given target set S in minimum time.

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[General Setting](#page-3-0)

Consider a control system

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1}
$$

• *f* smooth with sublinear growth

 $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |x|) \quad \forall x, u$ 

(I) Asymptotic Feedback Stabilization: Seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all trajectories of

$$
\dot{x} = g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x)) \tag{2}
$$

**approach the origin as**  $t \to \infty$  (and the origin is Lyapunov stable).

(II) Optimal Feedback Control: Given compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all sol'ns of [\(1\)](#page-4-0) reach given target set S in minimum time.

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[General Setting](#page-3-0)

Consider a control system

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1}
$$

• *f* smooth with sublinear growth

 $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |x|) \quad \forall x, u$ 

(I) Asymptotic Feedback Stabilization: Seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all trajectories of

$$
\dot{x} = g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x)) \tag{2}
$$

approach the origin as  $t \to \infty$  (and the origin is Lyapunov stable).

(II) Optimal Feedback Control: Given compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all sol'ns of [\(1\)](#page-4-0) reach given target set S in minimum time.

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[General Setting](#page-3-0)

Consider a control system

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1}
$$

• *f* smooth with sublinear growth

 $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |x|) \quad \forall x, u$ 

(I) Asymptotic Feedback Stabilization: Seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all trajectories of

$$
\dot{x} = g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x)) \tag{2}
$$

approach the origin as  $t \to \infty$  (and the origin is Lyapunov stable).

(II) Optimal Feedback Control: Given compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , seek feedback control  $u = U(x)$  s.t. all sol'ns of [\(1\)](#page-4-0) reach given target set *S* in minimum time.

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## **Outline**



**[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) **• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

**[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)** 

- **•** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

<span id="page-8-0"></span> $298$ 

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90)

Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

- $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\overline{z}$ >;  $\stackrel{.}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$
- (II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ トー

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90)

Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator



(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

 $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathsf{I}$  $\left| \right|$  $\stackrel{\text{.}}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

 $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathsf{I}$  $\left| \right|$  $\stackrel{\text{.}}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

 $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathsf{I}$  $\left| \right|$  $\stackrel{\text{.}}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

 $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathsf{I}$  $\left| \right|$  $\stackrel{\text{.}}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

 $\dot{x}_1 = u_1$  $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$  $\dot{x}_3 = x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathsf{I}$  $\left| \right|$  $\stackrel{\text{.}}{=} f(x, u)$  $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \to f(x, u)$ not open at zero :  $(0, 0, x_3) \notin Im(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

- Smooth feedback controls that solve (I)-(II) in general may not exist
	- (I) Topological obstructions may prevent the existence of smooth (or even continuous) stabilizing feedback (SUSSMANN '79; SONTAG & SUSSMANN '80; BROCKETT '83; CORON '90) Ex: Brockett's nonholonomic integrator

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x}_1 &= u_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= u_2 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= x_1 u_2 - x_2 u_1\n\end{aligned}\n\right\} = f(x, u)
$$
\n $(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \rightarrow f(x, u)$ \nnot open at zero :

\n $(0, 0, x_3) \notin \text{Im}(f)$  when  $x_3 \neq 0$ 

(II) Optimal feedback can be discontinuous with quite complicated structure, while optimal (open-loop) controls may have infinitely many switchings

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

• Discontinuous stabilizing (or optimal) feedback in general are not robust w.r.t. (inner and outer) perturbations

$$
\dot{x}=f(x,\;U(x+e_1(t)))+e_2(t)\qquad \qquad (3)
$$

 $(e_1:$  measurement error,  $e_2:$  external disturbance)

achieves much worse performance than the stabilizing (or optimal) one.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $299$ 

• Discontinuous stabilizing (or optimal) feedback in general are not robust w.r.t. (inner and outer) perturbations

$$
\dot{x}=f(x,\;U(x+e_1(t)))+e_2(t)\qquad \qquad (3)
$$

 $(e_1:$  measurement error,  $e_2:$  external disturbance)

achieves much worse performance than the stabilizing (or optimal) one.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $298$ 

## Two possible approaches

### in dealing with stabilization and optimization problems for

 $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)).$ 

- 1. Allow arbitrary discontinuous feedback controls  $u = U(x)$  and work with weak or generalized solutions of discontinuous ODEs.
- 2. Use a particular class of feedback controls *U*(*x*) whose discontinuities are sufficiently tame so to guarantee the existence of Carathéodory

$$
x(t) = \overline{x} + \int_0^t f(x(s), U(x(s)) ds).
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

## Two possible approaches

in dealing with stabilization and optimization problems for

 $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)).$ 

- 1. Allow arbitrary discontinuous feedback controls  $u = U(x)$  and work with weak or generalized solutions of discontinuous ODEs.
- 2. Use a particular class of feedback controls *U*(*x*) whose discontinuities are sufficiently tame so to guarantee the existence of Carathéodory

$$
x(t) = \overline{x} + \int_0^t f(x(s), U(x(s)) ds).
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

## Two possible approaches

in dealing with stabilization and optimization problems for

 $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)).$ 

- 1. Allow arbitrary discontinuous feedback controls  $u = U(x)$  and work with weak or generalized solutions of discontinuous ODEs.
- 2. Use a particular class of feedback controls *U*(*x*) whose discontinuities are sufficiently tame so to guarantee the existence of Carathéodory solutions

$$
x(t) = \overline{x} + \int_0^t f(x(s), U(x(s)) ds).
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## How to interpret solutions to discontinuous ODEs ?

#### • Define weaker concept of solution

For example, one can consider Krasovskii sol'ns, i.e. trajectories of the differential inclusion

$$
\dot{x} \in G(x) \doteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{co} \Big\{ g(y); \ |y - x| < \varepsilon \Big\}, \qquad g(y) \doteq f(x, U(x)). \tag{4}
$$

Drawback: too many sol'ns are obtained. Not all enjoy desired properties.

• Introduce suitable generalized solutions:

"Sample-and-hold-solutions" & "Euler solutions" : successfully implemented for problems (I)-(II)

(CLARKE, LEDYAEV, SONTAG & SUBBOTIN '97; RIFFORD 2000, 2002)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## How to interpret solutions to discontinuous ODEs ?

#### • Define weaker concept of solution

For example, one can consider Krasovskii sol'ns, i.e. trajectories of the differential inclusion

$$
\dot{x} \in G(x) \doteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{co} \Big\{ g(y); \ |y - x| < \varepsilon \Big\}, \qquad g(y) \doteq f(x, U(x)). \tag{4}
$$

Drawback: too many sol'ns are obtained. Not all enjoy desired properties.

• Introduce suitable generalized solutions:

"Sample-and-hold-solutions" & "Euler solutions" : successfully implemented for problems (I)-(II)

(CLARKE, LEDYAEV, SONTAG & SUBBOTIN '97; RIFFORD 2000, 2002)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## S-a-h solutions & Euler solutions of  $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x))$

#### S-a-h solution *x*(*t*) recursively defined as follows.

- 1. fix a time mesh  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_i < \ldots$ , with size  $\delta \doteq \sup |t_{i+1} t_i|$ ;
- 2. sample  $U(x(t_i)) \doteq u_i$  and compute  $x(t) \doteq x_{i+1}(t), t \in ]t_i, t_{i+1}]$  solving

$$
\dot{x}_{i+1} = f(x_{i+1}, u_i), \ x_{i+1}(t_i) = x_i(t_i) \qquad \text{on } [t_i, t_{i+1}].
$$

Euler solution is a (uniform) limit of S-a-h solutions as size  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## S-a-h solutions & Euler solutions of  $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x))$

S-a-h solution *x*(*t*) recursively defined as follows.

- 1. fix a time mesh  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_i < \ldots$ , with size  $\delta \doteq \sup |t_{i+1} t_i|$ ;
- 2. sample  $U(x(t_i)) \doteq u_i$  and compute  $x(t) \doteq x_{i+1}(t), t \in ]t_i, t_{i+1}]$  solving

$$
\dot{x}_{i+1} = f(x_{i+1}, u_i), x_{i+1}(t_i) = x_i(t_i) \quad \text{on } [t_i, t_{i+1}].
$$

Euler solution is a (uniform) limit of S-a-h solutions as size  $\delta \to 0$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ ト

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## S-a-h solutions & Euler solutions of  $\dot{x} = f(x, U(x))$

S-a-h solution *x*(*t*) recursively defined as follows.

- 1. fix a time mesh  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_i < \ldots$ , with size  $\delta \doteq \sup |t_{i+1} t_i|$ ;
- 2. sample  $U(x(t_i)) \doteq u_i$  and compute  $x(t) \doteq x_{i+1}(t), t \in ]t_i, t_{i+1}]$  solving

$$
\dot{x}_{i+1} = f(x_{i+1}, u_i), x_{i+1}(t_i) = x_i(t_i) \quad \text{on } [t_i, t_{i+1}].
$$

Euler solution is a (uniform) limit of S-a-h solutions as size  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[General Setting](#page-3-0) [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## An alternative approach

- 1. Introduce a class of discontinuous, piecewise smooth vector fields "Patchy Vector Fields" (PVF) which admit (forward) Carathéodory solutions, and analyze their properties.
- 2. Construct piecewise smooth stabilizing or optimal feedback  $U = U(x)$  so that corresponding vector field  $g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x))$  belongs to such class of PVF.
- 3. Establish robustness properties of stabilizing or optimal feedback in this class, relative to external disturbances and internal measurement errors.
- (A. & BRESSAN '99-2008; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008; A. & PRIULI 2011)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

## An alternative approach

- 1. Introduce a class of discontinuous, piecewise smooth vector fields "Patchy Vector Fields" (PVF) which admit (forward) Carathéodory solutions, and analyze their properties.
- 2. Construct piecewise smooth stabilizing or optimal feedback  $U = U(x)$  so that corresponding vector field  $g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x))$  belongs to such class of PVF.
- 3. Establish robustness properties of stabilizing or optimal feedback in this class, relative to external disturbances and internal measurement errors.

(A. & BRESSAN '99-2008; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008; A. & PRIULI 2011)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

## An alternative approach

- 1. Introduce a class of discontinuous, piecewise smooth vector fields "Patchy Vector Fields" (PVF) which admit (forward) Carathéodory solutions, and analyze their properties.
- 2. Construct piecewise smooth stabilizing or optimal feedback  $U = U(x)$  so that corresponding vector field  $g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x))$  belongs to such class of PVF.
- 3. Establish robustness properties of stabilizing or optimal feedback in this class, relative to external disturbances and internal measurement errors.

(A. & BRESSAN '99-2008; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008; A. & PRIULI 2011)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

## An alternative approach

- 1. Introduce a class of discontinuous, piecewise smooth vector fields "Patchy Vector Fields" (PVF) which admit (forward) Carathéodory solutions, and analyze their properties.
- 2. Construct piecewise smooth stabilizing or optimal feedback  $U = U(x)$  so that corresponding vector field  $g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x))$  belongs to such class of PVF.
- 3. Establish robustness properties of stabilizing or optimal feedback in this class, relative to external disturbances and internal measurement errors.
- (A. & BRESSAN '99-2008; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008; A. & PRIULI 2011)

( ロ ) ( 何 ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ

## An alternative approach

- 1. Introduce a class of discontinuous, piecewise smooth vector fields "Patchy Vector Fields" (PVF) which admit (forward) Carathéodory solutions, and analyze their properties.
- 2. Construct piecewise smooth stabilizing or optimal feedback  $U = U(x)$  so that corresponding vector field  $g(x) \doteq f(x, U(x))$  belongs to such class of PVF.
- 3. Establish robustness properties of stabilizing or optimal feedback in this class, relative to external disturbances and internal measurement errors.
- (A. & BRESSAN '99-2008; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008; A. & PRIULI 2011)

( ロ ) ( 何 ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

## **Outline**

#### **[Introduction](#page-3-0) [General Setting](#page-3-0) • [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)**

- 2 [Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0) • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0)
	- **[Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)**

## **[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)**

- [Definition](#page-55-0)  $\sim$
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

<span id="page-32-0"></span> $298$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

## • Patches

 $(\Omega, g)$  is said to be a patch if:

- $\texttt{-} \; \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open domain with (piecewise) smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$
- *g* smooth vector field on a neighborhood of ∂Ω, that points strictly inward at each point *x* ∈ ∂Ω

 $\langle g(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle < 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega$ 



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

## • Patches

 $(\Omega, g)$  is said to be a patch if:

- $\texttt{-} \; \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open domain with (piecewise) smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$
- *g* smooth vector field on a neighborhood of ∂Ω, that points strictly inward at each point *x* ∈ ∂Ω

$$
\big\langle g(x),\mathbf{n}(x)\big\rangle<0\qquad\forall\;x\in\partial\Omega
$$



4 D F 14  $\sim$ **A** 

画家

- 4 E

## • Patches

 $(\Omega, g)$  is said to be a patch if:

- $\texttt{-} \; \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open domain with (piecewise) smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$
- *g* smooth vector field on a neighborhood of ∂Ω, that points strictly inward at each point *x* ∈ ∂Ω

$$
\big\langle g(x),\mathbf{n}(x)\big\rangle<0\qquad\forall\;x\in\partial\Omega
$$



4 D F 14  $\sim$ **A** 

画家

- 4 E
[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

#### • Patchy Vector Fields

locally finite covering in terms of patches  $(Ω<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>)$ ,  $i ∈ Z$ .  $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a patchy vector field if:



# $\implies$   $g(x) = g_{i^*(x)}(x), i^*(x) \doteq \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in \Omega_i\}$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

#### • Patchy Vector Fields

locally finite covering in terms of patches  $(Ω<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>)$ ,  $i ∈ Z$ .  $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a patchy vector field if:



 $\implies$   $g(x) = g_{i^*(x)}(x), i^*(x) \doteq \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in \Omega_i\}$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

#### • Patchy Vector Fields

locally finite covering in terms of patches  $(Ω<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>)$ ,  $i ∈ Z$ .  $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a patchy vector field if:



 $\implies$   $g(x) = g_{i^*(x)}(x), i^*(x) \doteq \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in \Omega_i\}$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

#### • Patchy Vector Fields

locally finite covering in terms of patches  $(Ω<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>)$ ,  $i ∈ Z$ .  $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a patchy vector field if:



 $\implies$   $g(x) = g_{i^*(x)}(x), i^*(x) \doteq \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in \Omega_i\}$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

## Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

### ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let *g* be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x} \in \Omega$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x} = g(x) \\
x(0) = \overline{x}\n\end{cases}
$$
 has 
$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{at least 1 (local) forward} \\
\text{at most 1 backward}\n\end{cases}
$$

Carathéodory soln

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

- ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.
- iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x} \in \Omega$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x} = g(x) \\
x(0) = \overline{x}\n\end{cases}
$$
 has at least 1 (local) forward  
at most 1 backward

Carathéodory soln

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

- ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.
- iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x}$  ∈ Ω



Carathéodory soln

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

- ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.
- iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x}$  ∈ Ω



Carathéodory soln

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

- ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.
- iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x}$  ∈ Ω

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x} = g(x) \\
x(0) = \overline{x}\n\end{cases}
$$
 has 
$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{at least 1 (local) forward} \\
\text{at most 1 backward}\n\end{cases}
$$

Carathéodory soln

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

 $\Omega$ 

ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.

iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Properties of Patchy Vector Fields

$$
\dot{x}=g(x)=g_{i^*(x)}(x)
$$

ODEs with patchy right hand side (A. & BRESSAN '99)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following holds.

i) For every  $\overline{x}$  ∈ Ω

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x} = g(x) \\
x(0) = \overline{x}\n\end{cases}
$$
 has 
$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{at least 1 (local) forward} \\
\text{at most 1 backward}\n\end{cases}
$$

Carathéodory soln

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

- ii) sol'ns *x*(*t*) are piecewise smooth, with derivatives having finite set of jumps on any compact time interval. The map  $t \mapsto i_*(x(t))$  is piecewise constant, non-decreasing.
- iii) Set of Carathéodory sol'ns is closed in the topology of uniform convergence.

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

## Properties of Patchy Vector Fields ... continued

#### Note: in general, the set of Carathéodory solutions to a Cauchy problem is not connected, not acyclic.

This is GOOD, because it avoids topological obstructions toward the existence of stabilizing (or optimal) patchy feedbacks.



イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

## Properties of Patchy Vector Fields ... continued

Note: in general, the set of Carathéodory solutions to a Cauchy problem is not connected, not acyclic.

This is GOOD, because it avoids topological obstructions toward the existence of stabilizing (or optimal) patchy feedbacks.



医毛囊 医牙骨

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

## **Outline**



**• [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)** 

## 2 [Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)

- [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0)
- [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

#### **[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)**

- **•** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

<span id="page-48-0"></span> $298$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

<span id="page-49-0"></span>
$$
\dot{y} = g(y + e_1(t)) + e_2(t) \tag{5}
$$

#### Flow stability of a Patchy Vector Field (A. & BRESSAN 2003, 2004)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n.$  Given any compact subset  $\mathcal{C}_0\subset\Omega,$ and any  $T, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds. If *y* :  $[0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  is a solution of the perturbed system [\(5\)](#page-49-0), with  $y(0) \in C_0$ , and

$$
||e_1||_{BV} \le \delta
$$
,  $||e_2||_{L^1} \le \delta$ ,

then there exists a solution  $x : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  of the unperturbed equation

$$
\dot{x}=g(x),
$$

with

$$
\big|x(t)-y(t)\big|<\varepsilon\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T]\,.
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

$$
\dot{y} = g(y + e_1(t)) + e_2(t) \tag{5}
$$

Flow stability of a Patchy Vector Field (A. & BRESSAN 2003, 2004)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n.$  Given any compact subset  $\mathcal{C}_0\subset\Omega,$ and any  $T, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds.

If *y* :  $[0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  is a solution of the perturbed system [\(5\)](#page-49-0), with  $y(0) \in C_0$ , and

$$
||e_1||_{BV} \le \delta
$$
,  $||e_2||_{L^1} \le \delta$ ,

then there exists a solution  $x : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  of the unperturbed equation

$$
\dot{x}=g(x),
$$

with

$$
\big|x(t)-y(t)\big|<\varepsilon\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T]\,.
$$

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁

$$
\dot{y} = g(y + e_1(t)) + e_2(t) \tag{5}
$$

Flow stability of a Patchy Vector Field (A. & BRESSAN 2003, 2004)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n.$  Given any compact subset  $\mathcal{C}_0\subset\Omega,$ and any  $T, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds.

If  $y : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  is a solution of the perturbed system [\(5\)](#page-49-0), with  $y(0) \in C_0$ , and

$$
\|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{BV}\leq \delta\,,\qquad\qquad \|\mathbf{e}_2\|_{\mathsf{L}^1}\leq \delta\,,
$$

then there exists a solution  $x : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  of the unperturbed equation

$$
\dot{x}=g(x),
$$

with

$$
\big|x(t)-y(t)\big|<\varepsilon\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T]\,.
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

$$
\dot{y} = g(y + e_1(t)) + e_2(t) \tag{5}
$$

Flow stability of a Patchy Vector Field (A. & BRESSAN 2003, 2004)

Let  $g$  be a patchy vector field on  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n.$  Given any compact subset  $\mathcal{C}_0\subset\Omega,$ and any  $T, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds. If  $y : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  is a solution of the perturbed system [\(5\)](#page-49-0), with  $y(0) \in C_0$ , and

$$
\|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{BV}\leq \delta\,,\qquad\qquad \|\mathbf{e}_2\|_{\mathsf{L}^1}\leq \delta\,,
$$

then there exists a solution  $x : [0, T] \mapsto \Omega$  of the unperturbed equation

$$
\dot{x}=g(x),
$$

with

$$
\big|x(t)-y(t)\big|<\varepsilon\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T]\,.
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

Note: Since Cauchy problem for PVF lacks of forward uniqueness & continuous dependence, one cannot expect to have single sol'ns of PVF be stable w.r.t. perturbations.

Here we have stability property involving whole Carathéodory solution set: upper semicontinuity property of the solution set.



4 D F  $\sim$   $\sim$  $\sim$ 

 $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$ 

[Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)

メロメメ 御き メミメメ 急する 差し

[Definition](#page-55-0) [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## **Outline**

- **[Introduction](#page-3-0)** 
	- **[General Setting](#page-3-0)**
	- [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

**[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) **• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

<sup>3</sup> [Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0) **O** [Definition](#page-55-0)

- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

<span id="page-55-0"></span>イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

A piecewise smooth map  $U$  :  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a patchy feedback control on open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if:

- $\vdash$  there exist  $U_i: \Omega_i \to \mathbb{R}^m, \, i \in \mathbb{Z},$  smooth maps, with  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  locally finite covering of  $Ω$ ;
- (Ω*i*, *g<sup>i</sup>* ), with

 $g_i(x) \doteq f(x, U_i(x)), \quad x \in \Omega_i$ 

is a patch for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$
U(x) = U_i(x) \qquad \forall \ x \in \Omega_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i} \Omega_j.
$$

$$
f(x, U(x)) = f(x, U_{i^*(x)}(x))
$$

is a patchy vector field on  $Ω$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ トー

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

A piecewise smooth map  $U$  :  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a patchy feedback control on open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if:

- $\vdash$  there exist  $U_i: \Omega_i \to \mathbb{R}^m, \, i \in \mathbb{Z},$  smooth maps, with  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  locally finite covering of  $Ω$ ;
- (Ω*i*, *g<sup>i</sup>* ), with

 $g_i(x) \doteq f(x, U_i(x)), \quad x \in \Omega_i$ 

is a patch for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$
U(x) = U_i(x) \qquad \forall \ x \in \Omega_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i} \Omega_j
$$

$$
f(x, U(x)) = f(x, U_{i^*(x)}(x))
$$

is a patchy vector field on  $Ω$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ ト

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

A piecewise smooth map  $U$  :  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a patchy feedback control on open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if:

- $\vdash$  there exist  $U_i: \Omega_i \to \mathbb{R}^m, \, i \in \mathbb{Z},$  smooth maps, with  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  locally finite covering of  $Ω$ ;
- (Ω*i*, *g<sup>i</sup>* ), with

-

 $g_i(x) \doteq f(x, U_i(x)), \quad x \in \Omega_i$ 

is a patch for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$
U(x) = U_i(x) \qquad \forall \ x \in \Omega_i \setminus \bigcup_{j > i} \Omega_j.
$$

$$
f(x, U(x)) = f(x, U_{i^*(x)}(x))
$$

is a patchy vector field on  $Ω$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ ト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## **Outline**

#### **[Introduction](#page-3-0) [General Setting](#page-3-0)**

- 
- [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

## **[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0)

**• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

## **[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)**

**•** [Definition](#page-55-0)

## • [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)

- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

<span id="page-59-0"></span>イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

<span id="page-60-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

### Stabilization by Patchy Feedback (A. & BRESSAN 1999)

**Assume:** [\(6\)](#page-60-0) is globally asymptotically controllable to the origin:

- 1. Attractiveness: ∀  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ∃ admissible open-loop control  $u^{x_0}(t)$  s.t. the trajectory  $x(\cdot,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})$  starting at  $x_0$  is defined  $\forall$   $t\geq 0$  and satisfies  $x(t, u^{x_0}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- 2. Lyapunov stability:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ ,  $\exists$  admissible control  $u^{\mathsf{x}_0}(t)$  as in 1., with  $|x(t,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})|\leq \varepsilon,~\forall~t\geq 0.$



(6)

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

## Stabilization by Patchy Feedback (A. & BRESSAN 1999) **Assume:** [\(6\)](#page-60-0) is globally asymptotically controllable to the origin:

- 1. Attractiveness: ∀  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ∃ admissible open-loop control  $u^{x_0}(t)$  s.t. the trajectory  $x(\cdot,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})$  starting at  $x_0$  is defined  $\forall$   $t\geq 0$  and satisfies  $x(t, u^{x_0}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- 2. Lyapunov stability:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ ,  $\exists$  admissible control  $u^{\mathsf{x}_0}(t)$  as in 1., with  $|x(t,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})|\leq \varepsilon,~\forall~t\geq 0.$



[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{\mathsf{x}} = \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{u}), \qquad \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \mathsf{u} \in \mathsf{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

Stabilization by Patchy Feedback (A. & BRESSAN 1999) **Assume:** [\(6\)](#page-60-0) is globally asymptotically controllable to the origin:

- 1. Attractiveness: ∀  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ∃ admissible open-loop control  $u^{x_0}(t)$  s.t. the trajectory  $x(\cdot,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})$  starting at  $\mathsf{x}_0$  is defined  $\forall$   $t\geq 0$  and satisfies  $x(t, u^{x_0}) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- 2. Lyapunov stability:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ ,  $\exists$  admissible control  $u^{\mathsf{x}_0}(t)$  as in 1., with  $|x(t,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})|\leq \varepsilon,~\forall~t\geq 0.$



(6)

つへへ

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

(6)

つへへ

$$
\dot{\mathsf{x}} = \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{u}), \qquad \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \mathsf{u} \in \mathsf{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

Stabilization by Patchy Feedback (A. & BRESSAN 1999) **Assume:** [\(6\)](#page-60-0) is globally asymptotically controllable to the origin:

- 1. Attractiveness: ∀  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ∃ admissible open-loop control  $u^{x_0}(t)$  s.t. the trajectory  $x(\cdot,u^{\mathsf{x}_0})$  starting at  $\mathsf{x}_0$  is defined  $\forall$   $t\geq 0$  and satisfies  $x(t, u^{x_0}) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- 2. Lyapunov stability:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ ,  $\exists$  admissible control  $u^{\mathsf{x}_0}(t)$  as in 1., with  $|x(t, u^{\mathsf{x}_0})| \leq \varepsilon, \; \forall \; t \geq 0.$



→ **K 로 ⊁ K 로** 

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## Stabilization by Patchy Feedback ... continued

**Then:** there exists a patchy feedback control  $U(x)$  that makes asymptotically stable the closed-loop system

<span id="page-64-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)) \tag{7}
$$

every Carathéodory solution of [\(7\)](#page-64-0) either tends asymptotically to the origin, or reaches the origin in finite time. System enjoys [\(7\)](#page-64-0) Lyapunov stability.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

[Introduction](#page-3-0) [Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0) [Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0) [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

#### Construction of a stabilizing patchy feedback by patching together open-loop controls



Fabio Ancona [Patchy Feedbacks for stabilization and optimization problems](#page-1-0)

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Semi-global practical stabilization by a patchy feedback Construct a patchy feedback that steers every point of a ball *B* inside a smaller ball  $B' \subsetneq B$ , within finite time.



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## **Outline**

- **[Introduction](#page-3-0)** 
	- **[General Setting](#page-3-0)**
	- [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

**[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) **• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

## **[Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)**

- **•** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

<span id="page-67-0"></span>イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

- *f* smooth with sublinear growth
- $T(y)$  = minimum time to steer the system from *y* to the origin

(A. & BRESSAN 2007; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a sub-optimal patchy feedback  $u = U(x)$ defined on sub-level set

$$
R_{\tau} = \{y: T(y) \leq \tau\},\
$$

such that  $\forall v \in R_{\tau}$ ,  $|v| > \varepsilon$ , any (Carathéodory) solution of

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)) \qquad x(0) = y,
$$

reaches the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_{\varepsilon} = \{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$  within time  $T(y) + \varepsilon$ .

イロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

- *f* smooth with sublinear growth
- $T(y)$  = minimum time to steer the system from *y* to the origin

(A. & BRESSAN 2007; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a sub-optimal patchy feedback  $u = U(x)$ defined on sub-level set

$$
R_{\tau} = \{y: T(y) \leq \tau\},\
$$

such that  $\forall v \in R_{\tau}$ ,  $|v| > \varepsilon$ , any (Carathéodory) solution of

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)) \qquad x(0) = y,
$$

reaches the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_{\varepsilon} = \{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$  within time  $T(y) + \varepsilon$ .

イロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

- *f* smooth with sublinear growth
- $T(y)$  = minimum time to steer the system from *y* to the origin

## Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

### (A. & BRESSAN 2007; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008)

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a sub-optimal patchy feedback  $u = U(x)$ defined on sub-level set

$$
R_{\tau} = \{y: T(y) \leq \tau\},\
$$

such that  $\forall v \in R_{\tau}$ ,  $|v| > \varepsilon$ , any (Carathéodory) solution of

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)) \qquad x(0) = y,
$$

reaches the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_{\varepsilon} = \{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$  within time  $T(y) + \varepsilon$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ トー

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

- *f* smooth with sublinear growth
- $T(y)$  = minimum time to steer the system from *y* to the origin

## Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

(A. & BRESSAN 2007; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a sub-optimal patchy feedback  $u = U(x)$ defined on sub-level set

$$
R_{\tau} = \{y: T(y) \leq \tau\},\
$$

such that  $\forall v \in R_{\tau}$ ,  $|v| > \varepsilon$ , any (Carathéodory) solution of

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, U(x)) \qquad x(0) = y,
$$

reaches the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_{\varepsilon} = \{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$  within time  $T(y) + \varepsilon$ .

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ トー
**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

$$
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad u \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^m
$$

- *f* smooth with sublinear growth
- $T(y)$  = minimum time to steer the system from *y* to the origin

#### Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

(A. & BRESSAN 2007; BRESSAN & PRIULI 2008) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a sub-optimal patchy feedback  $u = U(x)$ defined on sub-level set

$$
R_{\tau} = \{y: T(y) \leq \tau\},\
$$

such that  $\forall$   $\gamma \in R_{\tau}$ ,  $|\gamma| > \varepsilon$ , any (Carathéodory) solution of

$$
\dot{x}=f(x,U(x))\qquad x(0)=y,
$$

reaches the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_{\varepsilon} = \{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$  within time  $T(y) + \varepsilon$ .

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

 $QQ$ 

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Note: patching together nearly-optimal open-loop controls does not work!



Alternative construction: work with the value function

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $299$ 

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Note: patching together nearly-optimal open-loop controls does not work!



Alternative construction: work with the value function

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $299$ 

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## Construction of Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

• Approximate the minimum time function  $T(·)$  with a semi-concave, piecewise quadratic function:

$$
\widetilde{T}(x) = \min_{j=1,\dots,N} \left\{ a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\} + M \cdot |x|^2.
$$

Set of points where  $\widetilde{T}$  not differentiable is contained in finite union of hyperplanes

$$
\Gamma_{i,j} \doteq \left\{ a_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot x = a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\}.
$$

- Level sets  $\widetilde{T}(x)$  = const. contained in union of spherical surfaces.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Construction of Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

• Approximate the minimum time function  $T(\cdot)$  with a semi-concave, piecewise quadratic function:

$$
\widetilde{T}(x) = \min_{j=1,\dots,N} \left\{ a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\} + M \cdot |x|^2.
$$

- Set of points where  $\widetilde{T}$  not differentiable is contained in finite union of hyperplanes

$$
\Gamma_{i,j} \doteq \left\{ a_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot x = a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\}.
$$

- Level sets  $\widetilde{T}(x)$  = const. contained in union of spherical surfaces.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $QQ$ 

**[Definition](#page-55-0)** [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Construction of Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback

• Approximate the minimum time function  $T(\cdot)$  with a semi-concave, piecewise quadratic function:

$$
\widetilde{T}(x) = \min_{j=1,\dots,N} \left\{ a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\} + M \cdot |x|^2.
$$

- Set of points where  $\widetilde{T}$  not differentiable is contained in finite union of hyperplanes

$$
\Gamma_{i,j} \doteq \left\{ a_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot x = a_j + \mathbf{b}_j \cdot x \right\}.
$$

- Level sets  $\widetilde{T}(x)$  = const. contained in union of spherical surfaces.

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Construction of Nearly Time Optimal Patchy Feedback ... continued

• Construct the patchy feedback by induction, progressively working on larger sub-level sets of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ 



イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

つへへ

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## **Outline**

- **[Introduction](#page-3-0) [General Setting](#page-3-0)** 
	- [Main Difficulties](#page-8-0)

**[Patchy Vector Fields](#page-32-0)** • [Definition and Basic Properties](#page-32-0) **• [Robustness of Patchy Vector Fields](#page-48-0)** 

### [Patchy Feedbacks](#page-55-0)

- **•** [Definition](#page-55-0)
- [Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0)
- [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0)
- **o** [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

<span id="page-79-0"></span>イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

ISS Stability: robustness of stabilization in presence of large external perturbations (SONTAG 1989)

#### • In presence of large external disturbances, solutions remain in a ball around the origin of the same size of the **L** <sup>∞</sup>-strength of the perturbation

• If there exists a continuous stabilizing feedback control  $U(x)$ , then one can easily modify U so that the resulting system becomes ISS

• systems with a quadratic scalar control may not admit (even discontinuous) ISS stabilizing feedback

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

ISS Stability: robustness of stabilization in presence of large external perturbations (SONTAG 1989)

• In presence of large external disturbances, solutions remain in a ball around the origin of the same size of the **L** <sup>∞</sup>-strength of the perturbation

• If there exists a continuous stabilizing feedback control  $U(x)$ , then one can easily modify U so that the resulting system becomes ISS

• systems with a quadratic scalar control may not admit (even discontinuous) ISS stabilizing feedback

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

ISS Stability: robustness of stabilization in presence of large external perturbations (SONTAG 1989)

• In presence of large external disturbances, solutions remain in a ball around the origin of the same size of the **L** <sup>∞</sup>-strength of the perturbation

• If there exists a continuous stabilizing feedback control  $U(x)$ , then one can easily modify U so that the resulting system becomes ISS

• systems with a quadratic scalar control may not admit (even discontinuous) ISS stabilizing feedback

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Consider affine system

$$
f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

ISS Stability S-a-h solns (MALISOFF, RIFFORD & SONTAG 2004)

$$
\dot{x}=f_0(x)+\sum_{i=1}^m\Big(U_i(x+\zeta(t))+d_i(t)\Big)f_i(x)
$$

(A. & PRIULI 2011)

メロトメ 倒 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

∍

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

Consider affine system

$$
f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

ISS Stability S-a-h solns (MALISOFF, RIFFORD & SONTAG 2004)

$$
\dot{x}=f_0(x)+\sum_{i=1}^m\Big(U_i(x+\zeta(t))+d_i(t)\Big)f_i(x)
$$

ISS Stabilizing Patchy Feedback for Carathéodory solns (A. & PRIULI 2011)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

#### Stabilizing Patchy Feedback for Nonholonomic Integrator



メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トッ

 $299$ 

高

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

#### ISS Stabilizing Patchy Feedback for Nonholonomic Integrator



メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

 $299$ 

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

#### ISS Stabilizing Patchy Feedback for Nonholonomic Integrator



メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

 $299$ 

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## Future directions

#### • Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal patchy feedback for control problems with constraints.

• Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal hybrid patchy feedback. (GOEBEL, PRIEUR, TEEL)

• Introduction of numeric schemes to solve HJ or HJB equations, based on patchy decomposition technique.

(NEVASCA & KRENER 2007-2008; FALCONE & PICARELLI 2011)

**≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## Future directions

• Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal patchy feedback for control problems with constraints.

• Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal hybrid patchy feedback. (GOEBEL, PRIEUR, TEEL)

• Introduction of numeric schemes to solve HJ or HJB equations, based on patchy decomposition technique.

(NEVASCA & KRENER 2007-2008; FALCONE & PICARELLI 2011)

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ ト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## Future directions

• Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal patchy feedback for control problems with constraints.

• Costruction of stabilizing or sub-optimal hybrid patchy feedback. (GOEBEL, PRIEUR, TEEL)

• Introduction of numeric schemes to solve HJ or HJB equations, based on patchy decomposition technique.

(NEVASCA & KRENER 2007-2008; FALCONE & PICARELLI 2011)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

[Stabilizing Patchy Feedback](#page-59-0) [Nearly Optimal Patchy Feedback](#page-67-0) [ISS Patchy Feedback](#page-79-0)

## et finalement....

# Merci de votre attention!

Fabio Ancona [Patchy Feedbacks for stabilization and optimization problems](#page-1-0)

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

 $299$