HAL open science

Path Planning for Fixed-Wing UAVs with Small Onboard Computers

Walter Fichter

To cite this version:

Walter Fichter. Path Planning for Fixed-Wing UAVs with Small Onboard Computers. SADCO A2CO, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00585611

HAL Id: inria-00585611

https://inria.hal.science/inria-00585611

Submitted on 13 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Path Planning for Fixed-Wing UAVs with Small Onboard Computers

Prof. Walter Fichter
Alexander Joos

Institute of Flight Mechanics and Control
Universität Stuttgart

SADCO Meeting, Paris, 2 March 2011

Small Fixed-Wing UAVs

- Fixed-wing UAV
  - < 5 kg (< 25 kg)
  - wing span typically 1.5 m
- Small onboard computer
  - microcontroller, microprocessor
  - < 150 g (120 g), 10 W
  - limited computer power

Onboard System



- Full functionality
  - processor(s)
  - FPGA
  - „full" instrumentation
  - camera + ground station + link
- Limited sensor performance
- Limited computation power

(Small) UAV Flight Path in Practice



total flight time 24 h, 17 flights
path length 260 km
area 8 km^2
ground resolution < 2.6cm
7600 pictures



Motion Planning – Towards Autonomy

- 3 dimensional, considering 6 degrees of freedom, 12 states
- From a given initial state („in flight") to a final state („landing")
- Under constraints of
  - position (obstacles)
  - velocitiy (flight dynamics)
  - attitude (flight dynamics)
  - rates (flight dynamics)
- Real-time implementation ← ! → Limited computer power

Motion Planning Research – Overview

- Goerzen/Kong/Mettler, J Intell Robot Syst (2010) 57
  A Survey of Motion Planning Algorithms from the Perspective of Autonomous UAV Guidance
  - uncertainties and robustness has not been studied much..
  - ..majority of methods do not include much discussion about practical application.
  - When they do, they often only provide simulation results..
  - ..most of the practical implementations of UAV guidance have been of the hierarchical decoupled control type..

Probabilistic Methods

- Waypoint RRT and bounding boxes
  - straight line and steady-state turns





- RRTs with motion primitives
  - becomes complex with non-steady motion primitives

- RRTs with closed loop dynamics included („towards" NMPC)

NMPC Method(s)

- „Embedded" into control system
- Kang&Hedrick, Linear Tracking of a Fixed-Wing UAV Using Nonlinear MPC, IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technology, Sept 2009
  - 2D (horizontal) motion
  - fixed wing
  - PC104
  - real-time testbench results
- Rest of the presentation: NMPC with limited computational effort

NMPC – Computational Approach

1: propagate model with a given set of inputs from $k \cdot T_s$ .. $k \cdot T_s + T_p$
2: $J_{min,k} \leftarrow$ minimum cost function
3: **if** $J_{min,k} < J_{min,k-1}$, **then**
4: apply input with minimum cost
5: **else**
6: apply previous input
7: **end**
8: $k \leftarrow k+1$

cost function

$$J(\bar{u}) = \sum_{i=t_0}^{t_0+T_p} F(\bar{x}(i), \bar{u}(i))$$



Design Parameters

- Control system
  - plant model, required underlying control loops
  - control signals
    - number
    - quantization
    - discretization
  - cost function

- Implementation, i.e.
  - tailored hardware
  - parallel models, optimization

Vehicle States



Control Loops





Design Plant – Kinematics

$$
\begin{pmatrix} {}_E\dot{x} \\ {}_E\dot{y} \\ {}_E\dot{z} \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
c\theta c\psi & -c\phi s\psi + s\phi s\theta c\psi & s\phi s\psi + c\phi s\theta c\psi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c\theta s\psi & c\phi c\psi + s\phi s\theta s\psi & -s\phi c\psi + c\phi s\theta s\psi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-s\theta & s\phi c\theta & c\phi c\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & s\phi s\theta / c\theta & c\phi s\theta / c\theta \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c\phi & -s\phi \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s\phi / c\theta & c\phi / c\theta
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix} {}_f u \\ {}_f v \\ {}_f w \\ p \\ q \\ r \end{pmatrix}
$$

d/dt {positions}
d/dt {attitude}
„geodetic"

velocities
rates
body-fixed

- Design plant for NMPC now reduced to 6 states
- Exact
- Still 3D, nonlinear, 6 inputs

Input (Control) Signal Selection

- Minimize # of inputs: select
  - roll rate p , pitch rate q
  - yaw rate $r = 0$

- But: conventional approach, i.e. „flight mechanics", requires $r \neq 0$

  → check controllability



Controllability

- Attitude subsystem $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\Phi = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot u_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot u_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot p + \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\phi)\sin(\theta)/\cos(\theta) \\ \cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi)/\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \cdot q$$

  – controllability matrix $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2])$

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sin(\phi)\sin(\theta)/\cos(\theta) & \cos(\phi)\sin(\theta)/\cos(\theta) \\ 0 & \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) \\ 0 & \sin(\phi)/\cos(\theta) & \cos(\phi)/\cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \neq 0$$

new direction =
actuation direction from yaw rate *r*

- Position subsystem
  - constant velocity vector can be oriented through angular controllability

Control Signals

- Prediction horizon T_p
- d quantization values of controls, simplest case: +1, 0, -1
- m intervals with constant controls, simplest case: Δt_1, Δt_2
- n control signals, simplest case: p and q (roll/pitch rate)

  - $d^{n \cdot m}$ control options

- Example:



Cost Function

control error | constraints (flight mechanics) | terminal constraint | obstacle

$$J(\bar{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bar{x}(t_i)^T Q(t_i)\, \bar{x}(t_i) + c_\phi(t_i) + c_\theta(t_i) + c_{pq}(t_i) + c_t(t_i) + \frac{k(t_i)}{\bar{o}(t_i)} \right)$$

$$t_i = t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta t_j, \quad i \in N \wedge 1 \le i \le m$$

$$m = \#\text{ of time intervals with constant input}$$

$$c_j = \begin{cases} k_j > 0 & \text{if constraint violated} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad j \in \{\phi, \theta, pq, t\}$$

Simulation Results (1)

- Prediction over 8 sec
- Sample rate 10 Hz
- $d=81=3^{2\cdot 2}$ control options
  - 2 controls (p and q)
  - 3 constant values each (+1/0/-1)
  - 2 time intervals ($\Delta t_1+\Delta t_2=T_p$)





  - within constraints, obstacle avoided

Simulation Results (2)







Simulation Results (3)

- Examples for transitions





  - difficult to achieve with motion primitives (non-stationary)

Simulation Results (4)

- NMPC1
  - d=3, # of quantized control values
  - n = m = 2
  - $d^{n \cdot m}$ = 81 control options



- NMPC2
  - d=9, # of quantized control value
  - n = m = 2
  - $d^{n \cdot m}$ = 6561 control options



Implementation – Use of FPGA



FPGA Spartan-3E 1600

Microblaze
- Navigation
- Cost Function Evaluation

IP Core „Interface“

IP Core „NMPC“
- parallel model predictions

RC | Sensors | Actuators

C-code on a microcontroller

C-code implemented in hardware (Handel-C)

Implementation – „Synthesis“ versus „Software“

	Hardware IP Core on FPGA	Software Microblaze on FPGA
1 multiplication	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ sec	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ sec
9 multiplications	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ sec	$2.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$ sec

@ 83.33MHz

More:

F. Weimer, M. Trittler, A. Joos, M. Gros, A. Posch, W. Fichter, FPGA-Based Onboard Computer System for Mini Aerial Vehicles, International Micro Air Vehicle Conference and Flight Competition, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

Implementation – Current Status

- d=81 control options
  - 81 model predictions over Tp
- 8 numerical integration steps / model
  - Euler
- 9 parallel multiplications (Spartan-3E 1600)
  - 1st generation board



- Total computation <1 msec
  - includes communication from IP Core to Microblaze

Implementation – Potential

- Model: kinematics, 6 states, nonlinear
- # of numerical integration steps /sec = $c \cdot p/l = 3.2 \cdot 10^7$ 1/sec
  - clock rate $c \approx 1/25.44 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot 1/s$
  - number of multiplicators p = 81 (FPGA of 2nd generation computer)
  - number of lines of code l = 100
- Assume 10 integration steps / prediction horizon: 10^6 control options
- Caution: upper bound, does not include communication!

Current and Future Work

- Real-time testing → flight testing
- On-board
  - variable speed, with constraints
  - additional inputs
  - response to disturbances (wind)
  - refined optimization schemes
- Offline
  - benchmarks
- Hardware
  - larger FPGAs: 2nd generation (avaible now) and 3rd generation (available 2012) boards, improve communication