

Incorporating low-thrust propulsion into the invariant manifolds technique for space trajectories design

Franco Bernelli-Zazzera

▶ To cite this version:

Franco Bernelli-Zazzera. Incorporating low-thrust propulsion into the invariant manifolds technique for space trajectories design. SADCO A2CO, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00582991

HAL Id: inria-00582991 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00582991v1

Submitted on 4 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Incorporating low-thrust propulsion into the invariant manifolds technique for space trajectories design

F. Bernelli-Zazzera, F. Topputo

Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Aerospaziale

G. Mingotti

Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik





Context

- Space trajectory design using non-Keplerian orbits
 - Transfers to libration point orbits

Aims

- Improve existing methods
 - Modify "invariant manifolds technique" to include low-thrust propulsion





- 1. Introduction to non-Keplerian orbits
- 2. Introduction to the restricted three body problem
- 3. Introduction to equilibrium points, Halo orbits and invariant manifolds
- 4. Dynamical system theory in space trajectory design
 - transfers to Lagrange point orbits
- 5. Introduction of low-thrust propulsion: controlled RTBP
- 6. Definition and manipulation of attainable sets
- 7. End-to-end trajectory optimization: controlled RFBP
- 8. Results and comparisons
 - low-thrust, stable-manifold transfers to halo orbits
- 9. Final remarks

Non-Keplerian Orbits in Space Trajectory Design



- Solution of *n*-body problems, $n \ge 3$
- Unique features
- Less propellant
- Accurate solutions
- Non-integrable systems
- Chaotic dynamics
- Long transfer times
- No systematic approach



- How can we design non-Keplerian orbits for space missions?
- Exploit knowledge of the phase space (e.g., equilibrium points, periodic orbits, invariant manifolds, etc.)

The restricted three-body problem (1)

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

- Two *primaries* act on a third body (of negligible mass)
- The third body does not affect the motion of the primaries
- The two primaries move in circular orbits around their center of mass (circular restricted three-body problem)



The motion of the primaries is *given*:

$$X_1 = -a \cos nt^*, \quad X_2 = b \cos nt^*,$$

 $Y_1 = -a \sin nt^*, \quad Y_2 = b \sin nt^*.$

The restricted three-body problem (2)



- the problem is *restricted* to the motion of the third body
- Newton equation written in *inertial* frame:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 X}{dt^{*2}} &= -G\Big[\frac{m_1(X + a\cos nt^*)}{R_1^3} + \frac{m_2(X - b\cos nt^*)}{R_2^3}\Big],\\ \frac{d^2 Y}{dt^{*2}} &= -G\Big[\frac{m_1(Y + a\sin nt^*)}{R_1^3} + \frac{m_2(Y - b\sin nt^*)}{R_2^3}\Big],\\ \frac{d^2 Z}{dt^{*2}} &= -G\Big[\frac{m_1 Z}{R_1^3} + \frac{m_2 Z}{R_2^3}\Big],\end{cases}$$



 $x^2 = 1 - \mu$

• Equations written in a *dimensionless* rotating (*synodic*) frame make the dynamical system autonomous



Mass parameter of the R3BP

 $m_2 = \mu$

POLITECNICO

The restricted three-body problem (4)

- The R3BP has only one integral of motion: the Jacobi integral
- The problem is not integrable! Qualitative studies only
- Allowed and forbidden regions are established (Hill's curves)



• Given x_0 , then $C_0 = C(x_0)$ and the allowed region will be (x,y,z) such that $\Omega(x,y,z) \ge C_0/2$

POLITECNICO

The restricted three-body problem (5)



- C = -2E
- The higher *C*, the lower energy!
- For C<3, the S/C is allowed to move in the whole configuration space



Equilibrium points (1)



• The differential system has 5 equilibrium points (libration or Lagrangian points).

• The "nature" of the points is given by their linear behaviour.

 $\dot{x} = f(x) \longrightarrow \dot{x} = Ax \longrightarrow \lambda_i$



$$L4, L5: \begin{cases} \lambda_{1/2} = \pm i\beta_1 \\ \lambda_{3/4} = \pm i\beta_2 \longrightarrow \text{Stable} \\ \lambda_{5/6} = \pm i\gamma & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 = 0.0385 \end{cases}$$

Note: equilibrium is relative to the synodic system!



Equilibrium points (2)

- Collinear points *L1* and *L2* are very important for space applications
 - associated to *low energy* values (high values of C)
 - have "fast" stable and unstable dynamics (strong instability)
- Saddle part (stable and unstable eigenvales)
 - Hyperbolic orbit near L1 and L2
- Center part (complex conjugate eigenvales)
 - Periodic orbits around L1 and L2
- Invariant manifolds of the points
 - \succ W^{s}_{Li} , stable manifold
 - \succ W^{u}_{Li} , unstable manifold
- The invariant manifolds of the points have interesting dynamical properties that can be exploited for space applications

$W^{s}_{Li} \equiv \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathsf{R}^{6} | \mathbf{X}(t) \to L_{i}, t \to +\infty \}$ $W^{u}_{Li} \equiv \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathsf{R}^{6} | \mathbf{X}(t) \to L_{i}, t \to -\infty \}$

invariant subsets such that

Sun-Jupiter system

- W^{s}_{L2} (blue)
- *W^u_{L2}* (red)
- The two 1D manifolds extend for more than 3 AU far from the equilibrium point.

Nonlinear invariant manifolds are defined in the phase space as 1D





Invariant manifolds of equilibrium points (2)

- If a point lies on the stable (unstable) manifold, in the phase space sense, it will move toward (away) the libration point
- Possibility to have free transfers in the solar system











- Large, 3D periodic orbits around collinear Lagrangian points
- $\omega = v$, forced by the higher order (nonlinear) terms
- Suitable for many missions (large out-of-plane excursions)
- Obtained through semi-analytical methods



Richardson's method to construct halo orbits:

- 1. Find a third-order analytical first guess solution
- 2. Differential correction under the nonlinear dynamics

The R3BP has the following symmetry

 $S: (x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, t) \rightarrow (x, -y, z, -\dot{x}, \dot{y}, -\dot{z}, -t)$

a trajectory that crosses perpendicularly the y=0 plane twice is a periodic orbit

Given the analytic initial guess, it is corrected through

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x_0} + \mathbf{\Delta x}, T_{1/2} + \Delta t) = \Phi(\mathbf{x_0}, T_{1/2}) + \left[\frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x_0}, T_{1/2})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{\Delta x} + \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x_0}, T_{1/2})}{\partial t} \cdot \Delta t$$

Invariant manifolds of Halo orbits (1)

- In a neighborhood of the equilibrium points there are also *invariant manifolds* associated to the periodic orbits!
- These manifolds are more complicated "objects" than the simple manifolds associated to the equilibrium points
- They are due to the *saddle x center x center* part
- They are 2-dimensional subsets!
- Stable manifold (definition) $W^{s}_{Li,p.o.} \equiv \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{6} | \mathbf{x}(t) \rightarrow p.o. , t \rightarrow +\infty \}$
- Unstable manifold (definition) $W^{u}_{Li,p.o.} \equiv \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{6} | \mathbf{x}(t) \rightarrow p.o. , t \rightarrow -\infty \}$

Invariant manifolds of Halo orbits (2)

- Periodic orbits have stable and unstable manifolds too!
- Viewed as equilibrium points on a Poincaré section

$$x \mapsto x_{\theta} + M(x - x_{\theta})$$

 They are given by the stable and unstable eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the monodromy matrix *M* associated to the periodic orbit

_		orbit (given by the Richardson's method)
$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$	integrate the dynamical system (of
$\dot{M} = \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right] M$	$, \ M(0) = \mathbf{I}$	order 42) for <i>0≤t≤T</i> to obtain x=x (t) and <i>M=M(t)</i>
$\mathbf{x}^i = \mathbf{x}(t_i),$	$M^i = M(t_i)$	generic point of the orbit

- \succ stable eigenvector of *M*
- initial condition to integrate backward to get the stable manifold of x_i

initial condition to generate a periodic

 \mathbf{v}_s^i

 $\mathbf{x}_{0,s}^{i} = \mathbf{x}^{i} \pm \varepsilon \mathbf{v}_{s}^{i}$

 \mathbf{x}_0

Example of invariant manifold associated to a periodic orbit around L2 in the Sun-Jupiter system

Dynamical System Theory in Space Trajectory Design

- Complete phase portrait
 - asymptotic orbits
 - periodic orbits
 - transit orbits (inside the tubes)
 - non-transit orbits

>Asymptotic orbits are useful for the trajectory design of libration point missions

Dynamical System Theory in Space Trajectory Design

- The phase space structure is exploited in a "natural" way.
- Equilibrium points, periodic orbits, invariant manifolds, etc. are used in place of orbital elements.

Typical case studies

- Transfers to halo orbits
- Low energy transfers to the Moon

- The concept of stable manifold is exploited for a transfer to Halo orbits
 - place the S/C on the stable manifold associated to that orbit
 - Wait (the S/C wraps on the final orbit)
 - > perform an orbit injection maneuver to stabilize in a halo

Transfer to Halo orbits (2)

Sun-Earth system

- $\Delta v \simeq 3200 \text{ m/s}$ (from LEO)
- Single-impulse transfer

Earth-Moon system

- $\Delta v = ?$
- No single-impulse transfer

- A point on the stable manifold has to be targeted (two-impulse strategy)
- Transfer to L₁

Transfer to Halo orbits (4)

- A point on the stable manifold has to be targeted (two-impulse strategy)
- Transfer to L₂

• In summary

Departing from circular, 200 km LEO

Туре	A _z (km)	∆t (days)	$\Delta v (m/s)$	$I_{sp}(s)$	m_p/m_0
L ₁	8000	67.3	3659	300	0.711
L_2	8000	65.0	3676	300	0.713

Dynamical system theory allows us to define solutions with

- Unique features (e.g., libration point orbits)
- Less propellant mass (e.g., low energy transfers)

Invariant manifolds technique is used to reduce Δv

Can we further reduce the propellant mass using low-thrust, high-*l_{sp}* systems? Can we further improve low-energy solutions? POLITECNICO

The effect of low-thrust systems is no longer modeled as instantaneous velocity changes !

Need to re-formulate existing "invariant manifolds technique" to incorporate low-thrust propulsion ...

Equations of motion including propulsion

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} = \frac{\partial\Omega_3}{\partial x} + \frac{T_x}{m}, \quad \ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} = \frac{\partial\Omega_3}{\partial y} + \frac{T_y}{m}, \quad \ddot{z} = \frac{\partial\Omega_3}{\partial z} + \frac{T_z}{m}, \quad \dot{m} = -\frac{T}{I_{sp} g_0}$$

Present thrust magnitude: 7

$$T = \sqrt{T_x^2 + T_y^2 + T_z^2}$$

Variations of spacecraft mass, *m*, are considered through last eq.

The system is singular when $m \rightarrow 0$

$$\Box T(t) = (T_x(t), T_y(t), T_z(t)), \ t \in [t_0, t_f]$$
?

The guidance law is not given, but rather it is an unknown of the optimal control problem ...

$$x_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0, \dot{x}_0, \dot{y}_0, \dot{z}_0, m_0)$$
 initial condition

 $\phi_{m{T}(au)}(m{x}_0,t_0;t)$ with $m{T}(au),\, au\in[t_0,t]$ controlled flow

 $m{x}(t) = \phi_{m{T}}(m{x}_0, t_0; t)$ with $m{T} = \overline{T} \ \hat{m{v}}$ tangential thrust flow

 $\gamma_{ar{T}}(x_0,t) = \{\phi_{ar{T}}(x_0,t_0; au) | au < t\}$ tangential thrust orbit

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}}(t) = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathcal{X}} \gamma_{\bar{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, t)$$

Attainable set

 A_T is associated to an admissible set of initial conditions X

• Contain a set of tangential low-thrust orbits associated to admissible initial conditions

- Tangential thrust is considered in this stage (preliminary solution later used as first guess of optimal control problem)
- Attainable sets are manipulated in the same fashion as invariant manifolds

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

Attainable Sets for Transfers to Halo Orbits

Trajectory Optimization (1)

- Improve preliminary solutions found with attainable sets
- Eliminate possible discontinuities
- More refined dynamical model (controlled, bicircular RFBP):

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} = \frac{\partial\Omega_4}{\partial x} + \frac{T_x}{m}, \quad \ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} = \frac{\partial\Omega_4}{\partial y} + \frac{T_y}{m}, \quad \ddot{z} = \frac{\partial\Omega_4}{\partial z} + \frac{T_z}{m}, \quad \dot{\theta} = \omega_S, \ \dot{m} = -\frac{T}{I_{sp} g_0}$$

Includes perturbation of the Sun in the EM model

$$\Omega_4(x,y) = \Omega_3(x,y,\mu_{EM}) + \frac{m_s}{r_s} - \frac{m_s}{\rho^2}(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta).$$

Sun-spacecraft distance

$$r_s^2 = (x - \rho \cos \theta)^2 + (y - \rho \sin \theta)^2$$

- Normalized Sun parameters:
 - Sun-EM distance $\rho = 3.8881 \ 10^2$
 - mass of the Sun
 - angular velocity relative to EM system

 $m_s = 3.2890 \ 10^5$

ω_s= -0.9251

OLITECNICO

Trajectory Optimization (2)

- **Objective function (propellant mass minimization**
- Path constraints (saturation of thrusters): $T(t) \leq T_{max}$
- Boundary conditions:
 - depart at periapsis of parking orbit
 - perigee and point on final halo orbit
- Solution by Direct Transcription and Multiple Shooting:
 - time domain split into N-1 intervals
 - each interval is split into M-1 segments
 - control discretized over the M nodes, using spline interpolation
 - nonuniform time grid

 $r^{t} T(\tau)$

$$x_f = x^W, \qquad x^W \in W^s_{\gamma_i}$$

n):
$$J = \int_{t_0} \frac{I(\tau)}{I_{sp} g_0} d\tau$$

$$x_f = x^W, \qquad x^W \in V$$

First Guess vs Optimal Solution

Transfer to halo orbit

Direct transcription and multiple shooting (RKF78 + cubic spline)

- end-to-end trajectory transcription
- non-uniform grid implementation

Results

- Departure orbit
- Arrival orbit
- Propellant mass fraction
- Transfer time

Comparisons

- Optimal low-thrust, invariant-manifold solutions vs
 - Solutions achieved with impulsive maneuvers
 - Existing solutions in literature

Low-Thrust, Stable-Manifold Transfers to Halos

- ~ 300 multiple shooting segments (i.e., ~5100 var., 3300 constr.)
- The signature of the preliminary solution is clearly visible

LTSM: Low-thrust, stable-manifold

HTSM: High-thrust, stable-manifold (impulsive maneuvers)

	Initial Orbit		Final Orbit				
Type	h_p^E [km]	e^E	A_z [km]	L_i	$I_{sp}\left[\mathbf{s}\right]$	m_p/m_0	$\varDelta t \; [\mathrm{days}]$
LTSM#1	200	0	8000	L_1	3000	0.171	178
LTSM#2	400	0.72	8000	L_1	3000	0.090	91
LTSM#3	200	0	8000	L_2	3000	0.183	195
LTSM#4	400	0.72	8000	L_2	3000	0.091	114
HTSM#1	200	0	8000	L_1	300	0.711	67
HTSM#2	200	0	8000	L_2	300	0.713	65

• LEO: h = 200 km, e = 0

• m₀ = 1000 kg

• GTO: hp = 400, e = 0.72

- A method to include low-thrust orbit pieces in the "standard" invariant manifolds frame has been derived
- Low-thrust attainable sets are manipulated in the same fashion as invariant manifolds
- Preliminary solutions are optimized in a four-body frame (end-toend optimization)
- Solutions reduce the propellant mass (due to higher *I*_{sp})
- Presented solutions are not achievable neither with patchedconics method nor with standard invariant manifolds technique

Suggested readings

- Szebehely, V., Theory of Orbits: The Restricted Problem of Three Bodies, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1967, pp. 16–22
- Farquhar, R., "Lunar Communications with Libration-Point Satellites," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 4, 1967, pp. 1383–1384
- Belbruno, E. and Miller, J., "Sun-Perturbed Earth-to-Moon Transfers with Ballistic Capture," Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol. 16, 1993, pp. 770–775
- Gomez, G., Simo, C., Masdemont, J., and Jorba, A., Dynamics and Mission Design near Libration Points: Vol. III Advanced Methods for Collinear Points, World Scientific, London, 2001, pp. 69–92
- Gomez, G., Koon, W., Lo, M., Marsden, J., Masdemont, J., and Ross, S., "Invariant Manifolds, the Spatial Three-Body Problem and Space Mission Design," Advances in the Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 109, 2001, pp. 3–22
- Howell, K. and Ozimek, M., "Low-Thrust Transfers in the Earth-Moon System Including Applications to Libration Point Orbits," Paper AAS 07-43, Proceedings of the AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialist Conference, Mackinac Island, MI, 2007
- Topputo, F., Low-Thrust Non-Keplerian Orbits: Analysis, Design, and Control, Ph.D. thesis, Politecnico di Milano, Italy, 2007.
- Mingotti, G., Trajectory Design and Optimization in Highly Nonlinear Astrodynamics, Ph.D. thesis, Politecnico di Milano, Italy, 2010

Incorporating low-thrust propulsion into the invariant manifolds technique for space trajectories design

F. Bernelli-Zazzera, F. Topputo

Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Aerospaziale

G. Mingotti

Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik