

Fuel-Optimal Trajectories for Continuous-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous with Path Constraints

Richard Epenoy

To cite this version:

Richard Epenoy. Fuel-Optimal Trajectories for Continuous-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous with Path Constraints. SADCO A2CO, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00577164

HAL Id: inria-00577164 <https://inria.hal.science/inria-00577164>

Submitted on 16 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

> Fuel-Optimal Trajectories for Continuous-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous with Path Constraints

> > Richard Epenoy Richard.Epenoy@cnes.fr

Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales 18, avenue Edouard Belin 31401 Toulouse Cedex 9, France

Aerospace Applications of Control and Optimization, ENSTA ParisTech, Paris, March 2, 2011

Outline

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- 2 Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem
	- Smoothing the bang-off-bang control
	- A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- **Statement of the test case**
	- Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects

Problem statement

1 Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- **Statement of the test case**
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Conclusion and future prospects

Richard Epenoy Fuel-Optimal Trajectories for Path-Constrained Rendezvous

Dynamical equations Optimal control formulation

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects

Problem statement

Dynamical equations

1 Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- Statement of the test case
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Dynamical equations Optimal control formulation

Tschauner-Hempel equations in Hill's frame

- Keplerian motions Small intersatellite distance
- \bullet (a, e, v): semi-major axis, eccentricity and true anomaly of the target satellite
- \bullet $X(v)$, $Y(v)$, $Z(v)$: relative coordinates of the chaser

$$
\begin{bmatrix} x_1(v) \\ x_2(v) \\ x_3(v) \end{bmatrix} = (1 + e\cos(v)) \begin{bmatrix} X(v) \\ Y(v) \\ Z(v) \end{bmatrix}
$$

• $x_4(v)$, $x_5(v)$, $x_6(v)$: derivatives of $x_i(v)$, $(i = 1, ..., 3)$ w.r.t. v

- $m(v)$: mass of the chaser at true anomaly v
- \bullet $u(v)$: normalized thrust vector of the chaser at true anomaly v

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Dynamical equations Optimal control formulation

Problem statement

Optimal control formulation

1 Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- Statement of the test case
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Dynamical equations Optimal control formulation

State-constrained minimum-fuel rendezvous (1/2)

The problem to solve

$$
(P)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{Find } \bar{u} = \underset{u}{\text{argmin}} \ J(u) = -m(v_f) \\
\text{s.t.} \\
\dot{x}(v) = A(v)x(v) + B(v) \ \frac{u(v)}{m(v)} \\
\dot{m}(v) = -c(v) ||u(v)|| \\
\|u(v)|| \le 1 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f] \\
g(v, x(v)) \le 0 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f] \\
x(v_0) = x_0 \qquad h(x(v_f)) = 0 \\
m(v_0) = m_0\n\end{cases}
$$

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Dynamical equations Optimal control formulation

State-constrained minimum-fuel rendezvous (2/2)

Collision avoidance constraint

$$
g\left(v,x(v)\right)=1-\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}(v)^{2}+x_{2}(v)^{2}+x_{3}(v)^{2}}}{d_{min}(1+ecos(v))}\leq0\quad v\in[v_{0},v_{f}]
$$

Key parameter

 d_{min} : minimum safety distance between the chaser and the target

Main issues for shooting methods

- The control is bang-off-bang \implies numerical difficulties
- State constraint \implies the number and location of constrained arcs must be defined beforehand in order to build the MPBVP

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- 2 Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control
	- A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- **Statement of the test case**
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- 2 Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control
	- A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- Statement of the test case
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Problem regularization (1/2)

A logarithmic barrier approach

 (P)

Find
$$
\overline{u}_{\delta} = \underset{u}{\text{argmin}} J_{\delta}(u) = J(u) - \delta \int_{v_0}^{v_f} F(v, u(v)) dv
$$

\ns.t.
\n
$$
\dot{x}(v) = A(v)x(v) + B(v) \frac{u(v)}{m(v)}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{m}(v) = -c(v) ||u(v)||
$$
\n
$$
||u(v)|| \le 1 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f]
$$
\n
$$
g(v, x(v)) \le 0 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f]
$$
\n
$$
x(v_0) = x_0 \qquad h(x(v_f)) = 0
$$
\n
$$
m(v_0) = m_0
$$

 $F(v, u(v)) = c(v) (log(||u(v)||) + log(1 - ||u(v)||))$

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Problem regularization (2/2)

Convergence results - No state constraint

 $J_{\delta}(\overline{u}_{\delta}) \rightarrow J(\overline{u})$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ $\overline{u}_\delta\to\overline{u}_\mathrm{~~}$ for the weak-* topology on $L^\infty\left(\left[v_0,v_f\right],\mathbb{R}^3\right)$ as $\delta\to0$

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Conclusion and future prospects Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Problem regularization (2/2)

Reference

R. Epenoy and R. Bertrand: New Smoothing Techniques for Solving Bang-Bang Optimal Control Problems - Numerical Results and Statistical Interpretation, Optimal Control Applications and Methods, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2002, pp. 171-197.

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem

A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- 2 Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control
	- A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- Statement of the test case
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

The smoothed exact penalty method

The penalized problem $(P)^2_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}$

Find
$$
u_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}^2
$$
 = argmin $J_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}^2(u) = J_{\delta}(u) + \int_{v_0}^{v_f} \psi_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon} (g(v,x(v))) dv$
s.t.
\n
$$
\dot{x}(v) = A(v)x(v) + B(v) \frac{u(v)}{m(v)}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{m}(v) = -c(v) ||u(v)||
$$
\n
$$
||u(v)|| \le 1 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f]
$$
\n
$$
x(v_0) = x_0 \qquad h(x(v_f)) = 0
$$
\n
$$
m(v_0) = m_0
$$

$$
\psi_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}(z) = \sigma \log \left(1 + \exp \left[\frac{z}{\sigma \epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha - z}\right)\right]\right) \xrightarrow[\sigma \to 0]{} \text{Max} \left\{0, \frac{z}{\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha - z}\right)\right\} \Bigg]
$$

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

The smoothed exact penalty method

The penalized problem $(P)^2_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}$

Find
$$
u_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}^2 = \underset{u}{\text{argmin}} J_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}^2(u) = J_{\delta}(u) + \int_{v_0}^{v_f} \psi_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon} (g(v, x(v))) dv
$$

s.t.
\n
$$
\dot{x}(v) = A(v)x(v) + B(v) \frac{u(v)}{m(v)}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{m}(v) = -c(v) ||u(v)||
$$
\n
$$
||u(v)|| \le 1 \quad v \in [v_0, v_f]
$$
\n
$$
x(v_0) = x_0 \qquad h(x(v_f)) = 0
$$
\n
$$
m(v_0) = m_0
$$

G. Liuzzi and S. Lucidi: A Derivative-Free Algorithm for Inequality Constrained Nonlinear Programming via Smoothing of an I_{∞} Penalty Function, SIAM Journal on Optimization, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-29.

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Algorithm

Let $0 < q_1 < q_2 < 1$, $0 < \alpha_{lim} < \alpha_0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\sigma_0 > \alpha_{lim}^{q_1}$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < \tau < 1$ Let $k = 0$, end = false WHILE (end $=$ false) Solve problem $(P)_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}^2 \to \left(x_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}^2,m_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}^2,u_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}^2\right)$ IF $(\alpha_k < \alpha_{lim})$ THEN $end = true$ $(\overline{x}_{\delta}, \overline{m}_{\delta}, \overline{u}_{\delta}) \leftarrow (x_{\sigma_k, \alpha_k, \epsilon_k}^2, m_{\sigma_k, \alpha_k, \epsilon_k}^2, u_{\sigma_k, \alpha_k, \epsilon_k}^2)$ ELSE IF Min $\left\{\epsilon_k, \int_{v_0}^{v_f} Max\left(0, g(v, x_{\sigma_k, \alpha_k, \epsilon_k}^2(v))\right) dv\right\} > \frac{\alpha_k^{q_2}}{\sigma_k}$ THEN $\epsilon_{k+1} = \tau \frac{\alpha_k^{q_2}}{\sigma_k}$ ELSE $\epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_k$ ENDIF $\alpha_{k+1} = \theta \alpha_k$ $\sigma_{k+1} = \text{Min} \left\{ \sigma_k, \alpha_{k+1}^{q_1} \right\}$ $k = k + 1$ ENDIF END WHILE

Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

Convergence results

Lemma

- Let $\alpha > 0$, $z < \alpha$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then, $(\sigma \to \psi_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}(z))$ is strictly increasing on $]0, +\infty[$
- Let $z < 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ be given. Then, $(\alpha \rightarrow \psi_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon}(z))$ is strictly increasing on $]0, +\infty[$

Convergence theorem - See Epenoy (2011) in JGCD

$$
\quad \bullet \ \ J^2_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}\left(u^2_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}\right) \rightarrow \ J_{\delta}(\overline{u}_{\delta}) \ \ \text{as} \ k \rightarrow \infty
$$

- $\mu^2_{\sigma_k, \alpha_k, \epsilon_k} \to \bar{u}_\delta$ according to the weak-* topology on $L^{\infty}(\hat{v}_0, v_f], \mathbb{R}^3)$ as $k \to \infty$
- $\chi^2_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}\to\ \overline{\chi}_\delta\;$ uniformly on $[\mathsf{v}_0,\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{f}]$ as $k\to\infty$
- $m^2_{\sigma_k,\alpha_k,\epsilon_k}\to\ \overline{m}_\delta\;$ uniformly on $[\nu_0,\nu_f]$ as $k\to\infty$

Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- **Statement of the test case**
	- Unconstrained rendezvous
	- **•** Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Statement of the test case

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- Statement of the test case
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Numerical data - SIMBOL-X project

Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit Unconstrained rendezvous

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit • Statement of the test case
	- Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Norm of the normalized thrust vector

$||u_c^0(t)||$ ($\delta = 9.879 \times 10^{-9}$)

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Normalized thrust vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Intersatellite distance

Distance between the two satellites

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit

Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem • Smoothing the bang-off-bang control A new approach to deal with the state constraint

3 Numerical results - A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit

- Statement of the test case
- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Parameters of the algorithm

Smoothing parameter: The same as for the unconstrained problem

 $\delta = 9.879 \times 10^{-9}$

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Fuel consumption vs. iteration index k

Richard Epenoy Fuel-Optimal Trajectories for Path-Constrained Rendezvous

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Minimum distance vs. iteration index k

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Norm of the normalized thrust vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Intersatellite distance

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Normalized thrust vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Relative position vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 1 - Relative velocity vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 2 - Norm of the normalized thrust vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 2 - Intersatellite distance

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 2 - Normalized thrust vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 2 - Relative position vector

Statement of the test case Unconstrained rendezvous Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Case 2 - Relative velocity vector

Conclusion and future prospects

Problem statement

- Dynamical equations
- Optimal control formulation
- Solving the path-constrained rendezvous problem **• Smoothing the bang-off-bang control** A new approach to deal with the state constraint
- 3 Numerical results A rendezvous in Highly Elliptical Orbit
	- **Statement of the test case**
	- **•** Unconstrained rendezvous
	- Rendezvous under collision avoidance constraint

Conclusion

The smoothed exact penalty approach

- Applied for the first time to an optimal control problem
- **•** Efficient to deal with the collision avoidance constraint
- Implies solving a sequence of state constraint-free problems
- More theoretically based than other penalization techniques
- Can be used to solve a large class of problems

A just published paper

R. Epenoy: Fuel Optimization for Continuous-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous with Collision Avoidance Constraint, Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics, Vol. 34, No. 2, March-April 2011.

Future prospects

Minimum-fuel rendezvous in perturbed environment

Necessity to modify the dynamical equations

Low Earth Orbit applications $\implies J_2$ term of the Earth's potential

Application to other state-constrained problems

Reentry trajectories under thermal flux constraint

Interplanetary trajectories with minimum flyby altitude constraint

Toward closing the loop

Model Predictive Control methodology

Neighboring extremal paths in the presence of state constraints

Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation for state-constrained problems

Thank you for your attention