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Abstract

We propose a new circularity measure inspired from Arkin [1], Latecki [9] tools of shape matching that is constructed in a tangent space. We then introduce a linear algorithm that uses this measure for circularity measuring. This method can also be regarded as a method for circular object recognition. Experimental results show the robustness of this simple method.

1 Introduction

Digital circle is a basic object that is an interesting topic in image analysis and discrete geometry. In the literature, there are two well-known problems concerning digital circles: circle recognition and circularity measuring. The first problem decides if a digital curve is a digitization of a circle. The second one decides how far a digital curve is from a digitization of a circle.

Many methods for circle recognition have been proposed. Kim [7] detects if a set of grid points in a N x N image is a digital disk with a complexity in O(n³). Later, he [8] reduced this complexity to O(n²). Coeurjolly [2] transformed the problem of circle recognition into the search of a 2D point that belongs to the intersection of n² half-planes. It can be solved in O(n⁴/³ log n) time. Sauer [14] (resp. Damaschke [3]) used a sophisticated tool in linear programming [11] to propose a linear method for circle (resp. arc) recognition.

Beside the problem of circle recognition, circularity measure (or compactness measure) is also an interesting problem that was presented firstly by Rosenfeld [12]. He proposed the square of the perimeter of a figure divided by its area, P²/A, to measure the non-compactness of the figure. Haralick [6] introduced four principal properties to construct a good measure for the circularity of simple closed figures. Later, Kim [8] presented a new circularity measure for convex digital regions. It is constructed from his algorithm of disk segmentation. Considering a convex region P, P₀ denotes the smallest disk containing P, A₀ (resp. Aₚ₀) denotes the areas of P (resp. P₀). He introduced mₚ = Aₚ/Aₚ₀ as compactness measure. An algorithm that uses this measure was also introduced in O(n³/√n). Roussillon [13] gave a new circularity measure for digital curves based on the separating circle problem. From a digital 4-connected curve, he constructed two finite sets of points S and T by regarding the interior points of this curve. To verify whether S and T are separable by a circle, he transformed them into S′ and T′ in the dual space. The minimum height between the two convex hulls CH(S′) and CH(T’′) was given as a circularity measure. Based on this measure, an algorithm for circularity measuring [13] is proposed in O(n log n).

In this paper, we present a new circularity measure for a digital curve. We will show that it satisfies the four principal Haralick’s properties to be a good circularity measure. We also introduce a linear algorithm for circularity measuring of closed curves based on this measure. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The next section recalls tangent space representation and tools of similarity measure related to this space. Section 3 mentions the representation of real circles in the tangent space. Section 4 proposes a new circularity measure and presents a linear algorithm for circularity measuring based on this measure. The last section introduces some experimentations and a conclusion.

2 Tangent space and shape matching

Latecki proposed a space, named tangent space, to represent polygonal curves [9]. By using this representation, a similarity measure was also proposed in this work as a tool of shape matching. They are recalled in this section.

2.1 Tangent space representation

Let ζ(zi)i=0 be a polygonal curve, αᵢ = ∠(CiCi₋₁Ci₊₁) and lᵢ the length of the segment CiCi₊₁, i ∈ {0,...,n - 1}. We have αᵢ > 0 if Ci₊₁ is on the right of CiCi₊₁, αᵢ < 0 otherwise.

L = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} lᵢ, lᵢᵢ = \frac{lᵢ}{2}, i ∈ {0,...,n - 1}

We consider the transformation that associates a polygon ζ of Z² in a polygon of R² that is constituted by the segments TᵢTᵢ₊₁Tᵢ₊₁ for i ∈ {0,...,n - 1} with:
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Proof 1 Let us study the tangent space representation $T(\zeta)$ of a $n$-sided convex regular polygon $\zeta = \{C_i\}_{i=0}^{\ldots}$ (clockwise direction) that is inscribed in a circle of radius $R$ noted as $\zeta(R)$ (see Fig. 2.a). Fig. 2.b shows the tangent space representation of the regular polygon and its circumcircle.

Considering the two points at the extremities of $T(\zeta)$: $T_{02} = O(0, 0)$, $T_{n2} = E(1, 2\pi)$. Thanks to the regular property of this polygon, the length at each side, and the angle at each vertex of it are the same. Therefore, in the corresponding tangent space representation of this polygon $T(\zeta)$ (see Fig. 2.b), we have: $T_{02}T_{11} = \ldots = T_{(i-1)2}T_{i1} = \ldots = T_{(n-1)2}T_{n1}$, and $T_{11}T_{12} = \ldots = T_{i1}T_{i2} = \ldots = T_{(n-1)1}T_{(n-1)2}$. Thanks to Thales’ theorem, $T1 = \{T_{1+i1}\}$ and $T2 = \{T_{2i}\}$ are two sets of colinear points. We consider the two real lines: line 1, and line 2 that contain these two sets (see Fig. 2.b). Let $h$ be the perpendicular distance between line 1 and line 2. It is trivial that $0 \leq h < T_{11}T_{21} = \frac{2\pi}{n}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Thanks to $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2\pi}{n} = 0$, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h = 0$. In addition, line 1 always passes through the two points $O(0,0)$ and $E(1, 2\pi)$ thanks to remark 1. It means that when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ line 1 and line 2 become the unique line that passes through $O(0,0)$ and $E(1, 2\pi)$. Because $T(\zeta)$ is between line 1 and line 2, so when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have: $T(\zeta) \rightarrow OE$.

Therefore, we have this corollary below.

Corollary 1 The tangent space function of a real circle is $T(s) = 2\pi s$, $s \in [0, 1]$.

4 Circularity measuring

4.1 Circularity measure

4.1.1 How is a good circularity measure?

In the pioneer work [6] on circularity measuring, Haralick defined some main properties of a good measure for the circularity of a digital figure.

1. The more a figure becomes circular, the more the measure of its circularity increases.
2. The values for digital figures follow the values for the corresponding continuous figures.
3. It is orientation independent.
4. It is area independent.

As the existing circularity measures don’t give the same range of value, we change property 1 as follows: “The more a figure becomes circular, the more the circularity measure approaches the actual value.”
4.1.2 Proposed circularity measure

We propose a novel circularity measure by using the similarity measure of polygons given by Latecki et al. [9]. The main idea is to determine the similarity between an input discrete curve $\zeta$ and a real circle. Thanks to Corollary 1, the tangent space representation of a real circle is determined. Our circularity measure is proposed as follows.

$$CM_\zeta = \int_0^1 (T(\zeta)(s) - 2\pi s + \theta_0)^2 \, ds$$

(2)

where $\theta_0$ is defined by lemma 3 in [1] as follows.

$$\theta_0 = \int_0^1 T(\zeta)(s) \, ds - \pi$$

(3)

Because the tangent representations of all real circles are the same thanks to Theorem 1, and in addition, we want to measure the similarity between the input curve and any real circle, so we remove in equation (1) the difference of length of the corresponding parts [9].

4.1.3 Invariant properties

We will show that our measure fulfills the necessary invariant properties that was proposed by Haralick for circularity measure (see section 4.1.1).

1. $CM_\zeta$ is a metric [1, 9] based on $L_2$ distance. So, when $\zeta$ becomes circular, $CM_\zeta \to 0$.

2. The second Haralick’s property is satisfied because $CM_\zeta$ measure the similarity between $\zeta$ and a real circle, thanks to Corollary 1.

3. If $\zeta$ is considered in the counter-clockwise direction, we consider the representation of a real circle in the tangent space as $T'(s) = -2\pi s$. So, the third Haralick’s property is satisfied.

4. Thanks to theorem 1, all real circles have the same representation in the tangent space. So, $CM_\zeta$ is area independent.

4.2 Proposed algorithm

**Algorithm:** Based on the above theoretical study, we propose in Algorithm 1 a method for measuring the circularity of a closed curve. We suggest to work indirectly on a approximated polygon to reduce distortion effect on similarity metric but it isn’t an obligatory step.

**Complexity:** The transformation of a polygonal curve into the tangent space is done in linear time. It is evident that $\theta_0$ is determined in linear time.

$$\theta_0 + \pi = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{T_2 \cdot x}^{T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x} (T_{(i+1)2} \cdot y) \, ds = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T_{(i+1)2} \cdot y) \left| \frac{T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x}{T_{2 \cdot x}} \right|$$

On the other hand, $CM_\zeta$ can be also determined in linear time based on the notion of critical events presented in [1]. Let us suppose that $T'(P)$ is represented by the sequence of segments $T_2 I_{(i+1)} T_{(i+1)} I_{(i+2)} T_{(i+2)2}$. So, $CM_\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{T_2 \cdot x}^{T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x} (T_{(i+1)2} \cdot y) \, ds = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T_{(i+1)2} \cdot y) \left| \frac{T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x}{T_{2 \cdot x}} \right|$.

Algorithm 1: Circularity measure of a closed curve.

**Data:** $\zeta = \{ P_i \}_{i=0}^n$ a closed curve (clockwise direction).

**Result:** $CM_\zeta$ - circularity degree of curve $\zeta$

begin

Polygonalize $\zeta$ as $P$ by using algorithm [4];

Represent $P$ in the tangent space by $T(P)$ (see section 2.1); $\theta_0 = -\pi$; $CM_\zeta = 0$;

for $i = 0$ to $n - 1$ do

$\theta_0 + = T_2 \cdot y(T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x - T_{2 \cdot x})$;

for $i = 0$ to $n - 1$ do

$a_i = T_{(i+1)2} \cdot y + \theta_0$; $d_i = T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x - T_{2 \cdot x}$;

$d_2i = T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x^2 - T_{2 \cdot x^2}$;

$d_3i = T_{(i+1)2} \cdot x^3 - T_{2 \cdot x^3}$;

$CM_\zeta = a_i^2 d_i - 2\pi a_i d_2i + 4\pi^2/3d_3i$;

return $CM_\zeta$

end

**5 Experimentation**

5.1 Experimental results

We have developed this robust method. Figs. 3 and 4, Tab. 1 show an experimentation on fruit images and on non-circle objects.

![Fruit images and their contours](image)

Figure 3. Fruit images and their contours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig.</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>15.385</td>
<td>1.591</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>7.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.622</td>
<td>4.076</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>7.203</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Circularity measure on Figs. 3, 4.
5.2 Application to circular object recognition

We note that this method can also be regarded as a method of circular object recognition if we use a threshold on the obtained result. So, this method can also recognize a circular object in linear time. Fig. 5 presents profiles of circularity measure on a set of 98 regular polygons whose the number of sides varies from 3 to 100. Fig. 6 shows a profile of circularity measure obtained on different discrete circles whose radius varies from 150 to 250. According to Tab. 1, Figs. 5, 6, we choose 0.08 as a threshold for circular object recognition in which an example is presented in Fig. 7.

5.3 Comparison with existing methods

The proposed method of circularity measure is simple to implement. Its linear complexity is better than Kim et al.’s method [8] \(O(n^3)\), and than Roussillon et al.’s method [13] \(O(n \log n)\).

Concerning the circle recognition problem, in the literature there are only two linear methods proposed by Sauer [14] and Damaschke [3]. These 2 methods used a sophisticated tool in linear programming [11]. So, in the contexte of circular object recognition, the proposed method is better than the others: Kim [7] \(O(n^3)\), Kim [8] \(O(n^2)\), Coeurjolly [2] \(O(n^{4/3} \log n)\), Fisk [5] \(O(n^2)\), . . .

6 Conclusion

We have presented a new circularity measure that fulfils the necessary properties of Haralick [6]. A linear algorithm, based on this measure, is also proposed to measure the circularity of closed curves. In addition, it is simple and robust to implement. Of course, its efficiency depends on the efficiency of the similarity measure proposed by Latecki.
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