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Abstract

How can Implicit Surfaces be used in the context of high-end Computer Animation? This paper compares two different representations of field functions – the constructive approach and the field image approach. Their respective advantages and limitations for the definition of animation and morphing algorithms, and for the visualization of an animation are discussed. We show that efficient solutions to the animation of textured objects can be provided by hybrid methods that combine these representations together and/or with parametric surfaces. This point is illustrated by two case studies: the animation of deformable characters and the simulation of textured lava-flows.


Additional Key Words and Phrases: Implicit Surfaces, Constructive soft geometry, Field image representation.

1 Introduction

The use of implicit representations is quickly spreading over scientific visualization, geometric design and computer animation. Implicit surfaces bring several advantages over parametric forms: they ease the construction of smooth surfaces of any topology and geometry, provide an in/out function which can be used for testing intersections, and allow the modeling of large deformations including separations and fusions. These features have proved very convenient, in particular, in several applications of Computer Animation such as 3D morphing, character animation, and soft substances simulation. However, severe drawbacks, such as the difficulty to get an interactive display, and to map 2D textures on implicit surfaces, still prevent this representation from being really usable in high-end applications.

This paper tries to open a discussion: In which cases do we have good reasons for choosing Implicit Surfaces? Should they be used alone, or combined with a parametric representation? Should they be defined using a constructive approach (i.e. as the successive combination of primitives) or should we merely store a field function sampled on a 3D grid? Rather than trying to provide general answers, we focus here on the Computer Animation field, where the efficiency of computations is a key point, while the quality and novelty of effects are a constant challenge. In particular, we will discuss the problem of animating deformations, including for objects on which a 2D texture needs to be mapped.

Animating an implicit surface is an intricate process since the surface is defined as an iso-surface of a scalar field over the 3D space. Thus, animating the surface consists in animating the field function, which is a volumetric entity. While a constructive approach to implicit design defines the field function as the successive combination of implicit primitives, most implicit surfaces used in scientific visualization are defined from a 3D field sampled on a grid. The choice of one of these formulations is a key point in our discussion since the modeling, animation, and rendering steps of the process will be quite different. The remainder of this paper reviews these two approaches, compares their benefits for designing animation and morphing algorithms, and for rendering an animation. Hybrid solutions are then discussed in the context of the animation of textured objects that deform over time. This point is illustrated by two case studies, the animation of deformable characters, and the simulation of a visually-realistic lava-flow.

2 Constructive soft geometry versus field images

There are two main ways of representing the field function that defines an implicit surface. The first one relies on a constructive approach, while the second one directly stores a 3D “image” of the field, i.e. field values that are sampled over space.

2.1 Constructive soft Geometry

This approach, which is the most widely spread in design and animation applications, consists in successively combining field primitives using various operators such as blends (the simplest of which is summation), set-theoretic operations, and warping functions [25, 5]. Primitives may be defined either analytically, or using functions of the distance to a geometric “skeleton”. This last class includes both convolution surfaces [3], for which the distance function is integrated over the skeleton, and distance surfaces such as blobs, meta-balls, and soft objects [37, 4]. An example of object created with this approach is depicted at left of Figure 1.
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2.2 Field images

An alternative approach consists in directly storing the values of the field function sampled over the 3D space i.e. a “field image” (this terminology was introduced in [17]). From this representation, the field can be defined anywhere in space using the trilinear interpolation of the 8 nearest sampled values. This approach has been used for a long time in scientific visualization [18], since many datasets in medical imaging or hydrodynamic flow simulations consist in values defined over a 3D grid. It is also popular in 3D image processing, where “level-set approaches” rely on field images for animating a deformable iso-surface that fits and smooths scattered data [32]. Lastly, this representation is now emerging in modeling [19, 31, 17, 13] and animation [33, 11] applications. Figure 1 shows an example of object created from a virtual sculpturing system relying on the field image representation [13].

2.3 Evaluation time versus memory cost

Although both representations can be used for modeling and animating complex implicit surfaces, their performances regarding time and memory costs are very different.

The constructive approach offers a compact storage of the field function, since the field is stored as a hierarchical structure where leaves are primitives defined by a few coefficients and nodes in and out of each voxel over time. The image field representation, in which field values at fixed grid points are edited to model material behavior over the grid, belongs to the latter approach.

On the opposite, the field image approach insures that any field query will be answered in almost constant time, whatever the complexity and extend in space of the model. However, storing a full 3D grid of values may require too much memory. As noted in [32, 31, 33, 11], only storing grid nodes that belong to a tubular neighborhood of the iso-surface of interest is sufficient, since other field value do not affect this surface. An efficient solution is to use a search tree for storing the active grid nodes [13]. Alternative solutions would consist in storing them in an octree or in a multi-grid data-structure. In all these representations, we should note that the more complex the data-structure is, the more costly field evaluations will be, since field values at grid nodes need to be searched for in the structure before being interpolated. For instance, with the search tree implementation, the number of nodes that are stored proportional to the area of the iso-surface of interest (since the tubular neighborhood has a constant extend around this surface), and searching for a node is proportional to the logarithm of this number. For complex model, this approach is still much more efficient than evaluating a construction tree.

A last solution for offering a compact storage of the field image consists in using wavelet compression [31, 17]. In this approach, wavelet coefficients need to be stored instead of field values, and a specific “reconstruction” process is required for answering field queries. The wavelet representation has several advantages: it offers an analytical formulation of the field function, yields compression when the function is smooth, and may be used for multi-resolution rendering of the implicit surface. However, we do not discuss this representation in the remainder of this paper, since it has not been used yet in Computer Animation applications. We can simply guess that since wavelet coefficients need to be attached to fixed space locations, most features of this representation should be the same than for the field image solution.

3 Animation and morphing algorithms

Whatever their representation, implicit surface provide specific features that are very useful in Computer Animation applications:

- they allow the animation of large deformations including topological changes (separations, fusions) which would be very difficult to model using parametric surfaces [35]. This feature is essential both for animation and for morphing applications.
- the use of implicit representations accelerates collision detection, since in-out functions are provided. This makes them a good tool for physically-based simulation. Moreover, contact surfaces between soft colliding objects can be generated, both with the constructive and field image representations [7, 21, 13].

However, in addition to different performances in terms of time and memory cost, the representations of implicit surfaces greatly differ in the way motion and deformation can be defined and controlled. The following sections review these differences.

3.1 Controlling motion and deformations

A first remark is that constructive and image field models are respectively related to the Lagrangian versus Eulerian approaches for simulating motion: while Lagrangian methods follow the motion of the material, such as we do when we animate implicit primitives, Eulerian methods rely on a grid of voxels and capture what goes in and out of each voxel over time. The image field representation, where field values at fixed grid points are edited to model material motion over the grid, belongs to the latter approach.

The constructive representation is very popular in Computer Animation since it stores a structure (typically, a hierarchy of implicit primitives) which can be used for controlling the animation. Any motion or change of parameters of the primitives will immediately result into an adequate motion and deformation of the surface. Constructive soft geometry is thus very easily embedded into a layered model for Computer Animation [7, 6], where the motion of implicit primitives can be linked to any “inner structure” easy to animate (for instance, to an articulated skeleton to perform character animation, or to a physically-based particle system for animating soft substances experimenting separations and fusions).

At the other end of the spectrum, field images provide no structure at all. This does not mean that animation cannot be performed. However, it may be more intricate [33, 11]. Animating the iso-surface implies progressively modifying the sampled field
function that defines it. This can be done through the numerical integration of differential equations applied to the field values. Let \( f(X, t) \) be the time varying field function and \( S = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f(X, t) = 0 \} \) be the iso-surface of interest, of normal vectors \( n(X, t) = -\nabla f(X, t) / \| \nabla f(X, t) \| \). Suppose the motion we want to apply to the surface is defined by a velocity field \( V(X) \) (i.e., a vector field giving the desired speed vector for point \( X \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)). Then, assuming that the path of point \( X \) satisfies \( f(X, t) = 0 \) during motion yields:

\[
\frac{df}{dt}(X, t) = \frac{df}{dt}(X, t) + \nabla f(X, t) \cdot dX/dt = 0
\]

The time-variation we should apply to \( f \) in order to set \( df/dt \) to \( V(t) \) is thus:

\[
\frac{df}{dt}(X, t) = -\nabla f(X, t) \cdot V(t) = \| \nabla f(X, t) \| \cdot V(t) \cdot n(X, t)
\]

where \( \nabla f(X, t) \) is easily computed using finite differences. Simple motion strategies such as following an implicit target surface or smoothing the current surface have already been proposed [33, 11]. For instance, following a target surface \( T(X) = iso \) that may move over time can be done using:

\[
V(t) = \alpha(T(X) - iso) \cdot n(X)
\]

where \( n = -\nabla f / \| \nabla f \| \) is the normal vector to the field image iso-surface. This method was used to render mud-flow simulations while filtering the deformations of a popping iso-surface \( T(X) = iso \) defined by a time-varying number of skeleton-points [11, 16].

An idea for providing a more direct control on the animation would be to leave the user directly specify the motion (and thus the velocity vector) of some “control points” on the surface. This approach has been explored in a constructive soft geometry framework [34], where primitive parameters where optimized to make \( \Phi(X, t) \) obey equation 1 (then, the number of control points had to be directly related to the total number of primitive parameters). Experimenting with a similar approach in the image field case would be promising. Of course, the velocity field needs to be defined everywhere. The use of the smoothing strategy or of a constant curvature strategy for points that are not over the user’s control could be a solution.

### 3.2 Morphing applications

Implicit Surfaces allow easy morphing between 3D shapes of any, and may be different, topologies. A naïve approach consists into using linear interpolation between the field functions defining the initial and the final shapes. However, this generally results into incoherent intermediates shapes. For instance, transforming a man into a rabbit model (i.e. metamorphosis between quite “similar” objects) can yield intermediate shapes that are made of several disconnected components (see [2]).

Solutions for ensuring shape consistency during the transformation have been proposed both for the constructive and for the image field approaches.

For implicit surfaces built from a combination of primitives, the best method consists in associating primitives together (thus controlling which part of the object will morph to a specific part of the target object), and then progressively transforming all the primitives into their target primitives. When primitives are generated by skeletons such as points, line segments, polygons, or polyhedra, the weighted Minkowski sum of the initial and final skeletons can be used as the skeleton at the intermediate states [14]. A specific control may be needed to avoid “amorphous” intermediate shapes: trajectories including translation and rotation are assigned to skeletons, allowing to compute Minkowski sums in local coordinate systems thus avoiding changes of skeleton dimension during the transformation [15]. For instance, if the initial and final skeletons are two non-co-planar polygons, the sum is computed in a rotating plane which moves from the initial to the final polygon planes, yielding a planar Minkowski sum (the direct computation of this sum in the 3D space would have resulted into a polyhedral skeleton).

Performing fully automatic yet consistent 3D morphing is easier using the image field representation. Equation 1 is integrated using the target following strategy of Section 3.1, the target being given by the desired final shape. During the transformation, the initial object locally inflates where the target object is larger, and deflates where it is smaller, thus performing one of the shortest path transforms between the two shapes (see Figure 2, taken from [11]). The process is purely automatic, the only constraint being that the two initially object intersect.

![Figure 2: Two morphing examples using the image field representation.](image-url)
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4 Rendering an animation

Efficient rendering is a key point in Computer Animation: Interac-
seal visualization is highly desirable at early design stages, in order
give the animator a good feedback on what he is doing. More-
quent visualization is highly desirable at early design stages, in order
be the velocity field \(v(x)\) used in equation 1. We
should note that trying to use this strategy locally would not work:
the volume of a translating rigid object will be interpreted, in the
eld image representation, as locally increasing at one side, and de-
creasing at the other side. These local “volume variations” must not
be compensated, since the global volume is not actually changing!

4.1 Global versus local visualization methods

Usual methods for polygonizing implicit surfaces belong to the spa-
tial partitioning approach [37, 18], which consists into scanning the
eld function through a fixed 3D grid, and then triangulating the
oxels that intersect the surface. This approach is very relevant in-
deed to render field image models: the set of voxels intersecting the
urface and the associated field values at nodes being already com-
puting and stored, polygonisation can be performed efficiently, by
just incrementally editing the polygonisation in the regions where
the iso-surface is moving [11, 13]. This yield real-time perfor-
ances, as shown in [13].

In the case of implicit surface models built from the construct-
tive approach, the convenience of spatial partitioning algorithms
is more doubtful: basically, these methods will pre-convert the
construction-tree representing the object into a field image (by com-
puting field values at grid nodes) before performing triangulation.
As a consequence, they do not yield real-time performances any-
more. Moreover, the use of this methodology for rendering an
imation raises a number of drawbacks: the conversion to a field
image and subsequent polygonisation must be recomputed from scratch for each animation frame, even if the animator knows that
the implicit objects are just performing rigid displacements; moreover,
scanning such motions through a fixed grid often creates aliasing
artifacts on the surface shapes.

A totally different global visualization method consists in visu-
izing mutually repulsive particles, called “floaters”, that sample
the implicit surfaces [34]. The differential equation 1 is used again,
but in the other way: this time, the particles velocities are computed
from the time derivative of the field, so that the particles are con-
strained to stay onto the surface. Repulsive forces applied between
particles, together with a fission/death process, yield a regular sam-
ping even when the implicit surface experiences large deforma-
tions. This approach can be applied whatever the representation of
the implicit surface is, although polygonisation based on spatial partitioning still seems more appropriate for the field image repre-
sentation. Contrary to the spatial partitioning approach, particles
rendering allows to take benefits of temporal coherence during the

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \phi - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}) \]

This does not mean that a full field image needs to be stored; polygo-
nisation is usually performed “on the fly”, using a continuation method for following the voxels that intersect the iso-surface.

4.2 Textures

Modeling and animating textured objects is not straightforward us-
ing implicit surfaces. Indeed, a volumetric texture can be defined in
the global frame of a field image. However, the surface will just
move into the texture space when the object deforms, resulting into
strange visual effects. In the constructive soft geometry approach,
different 3D textures can be attached to each implicit primitive, and
blended together at surface points where several primitives have a
non-zero contribution [36]. However, animating the surface will
then result into visual artifacts such as time-varying interferences
between texture patterns. Anyway, being able to map and cohe-
rently animate a 2D texture on an implicit surface that deforms over
time would be much more likely than 3D textures to model a kind of “skin” covering the animated object. However, this is known
as one of the hardest problem in implicit surfaces modeling, since
implicit surfaces provide no parameterization on which to attach texture coordinates.

Contrary to what is often stated [38], I do not think that defining an initial texture mapping is much of a problem. Since a polygonisation or at least sample points are needed for visualizing the implicit surface, an initial mapping can be attached to those points. This can be done using any standard approach that works whatever the surface topological type. For instance, direct painting, interactive decoration [26], or texturing with triangular patterns [20] can be used for defining the initial texture map.

A much harder problem in Computer Animation is the way the texture will be animated when the object surface deforms over time (these deformations may even include separations and fusions!). A first practical approach, suggested in [1] and dedicated to the constructive methodology, consists in attaching patches of 2D textures to the individual primitives. During the animation, texture patterns may either be blended together in zones influenced by several primitives, as was done for 3D textures, or clipped at the boundary of the implicit territories in order to produce sharp color transitions. An easy way to implement this solution is to use the local primitive-based polygonisation [12], where texture coordinates may be directly associated to the sample points defined in each local primitive frame. However, this approach, that works well enough for uniforms color, will produce quite poor results when arbitrary texture patterns are used: parts of the texture would experience rigid motion during a smooth deformation of the implicit surface, while other parts, corresponding to blending areas, would simply appear or disappear during motion. In practice, this solution was successfully used for painting eyes and mouth on a deformable character [1], these texture patterns being adequately surrounded by the same uniform color.

A much wiser solution to animate 2D textures on an implicit surface which deforms over time is to use a vector-field based approach [28]. Sample points of fixed texture coordinates are animated using various vector fields, equation 1 being used again for constraining them to stay onto the implicit surface. Vector fields implementing various behaviors such as “sticking”, “twisting”, “elastic”, “sucking” and “shivering” textures are provided. Most of these fields are related to the individual motion of underlying primitives, since the implementation was performed in a constructive implicit surface representation. However, using the same ideas in the field image approach should not be difficult. An easy way to do it would be to attach texture coordinates to mutually repulsive floaters, as those of [34].

5 Animating textured objects: two case studies

The existence of theoretical solutions do not always give practical answers to “real-life” problems. The following case studies, chosen so that the well known advantages of implicit surfaces animation (see Section 3) immediately apply, will illustrate this point. Giving practical solutions to these problems yields hybrid solutions which combine several representations together.

5.1 Character animation

Experimenting with animated characters is quite natural when using implicit surfaces for animation:

- characters are a good application field for constructive soft geometry, since this representation is very easily embedded into layered animated models [6];
- efficient collision processing is an important feature in character animation, since interpenetrations between the body of the character and its arms, legs, clothes, and hair have to be prevented. Modeling contact through local deformations of the flesh [21, 6] is useful in this framework.

A first solution consists in entirely relying on constructive implicit surfaces for modeling the muscle, flesh and skin layers of the character. The volumetric primitives generating the surface are attached to various parts of the articulated skeleton of the character, sometime through intermediate springs in order to give a more dynamic behavior to the flesh [23, 24]. Muscles can be animated by tuning some of the primitive parameters over time. Moreover, the use of the resulting implicit surface as the skin layer generates smooth junctions between the different body parts. However, such a direct use of implicit surfaces raises two problems, which may greatly alter the quality of the resulting animation:

- Unwanted blending between different parts of the character must be avoided. A solution is to specify which of the primitives should blend together using a blending graph [22, 7], and to process collision between the parts of the character that do not blend [21, 6] (see Figure 5). However a closer look at the blending graph approach shows that it does not fully solves the problem: it is just a new formulation for an old solution [1] which consists in defining the field function as the maximum of field contributions from groups of blended primitives (these groups are the fully-connected subgraphs in the blending-graph terminology). The problem is that this solution does not insure order one continuity of the implicit surface: since the result is the mere union of distinct implicit volumes, creases may appear between different parts of the surface.

- Modeling and animating skin texture may be difficult with the implicit surface approach. Skin should ideally be able to slide over the muscle and flesh layers, and even to create wrinkles. Implicit surfaces seem not be the best model for solving these problems, although the vector-field based texturing approach reviewed in Section 4.2 could give a partial answer to the problem.

More practical solutions to character animation consist in embedding the implicit volumetric model into a parametric skin [30, 27]. This solution is perfectly wise since the character has little chances to break into pieces during the animation: a skin mesh of fixed topology, whose points are re-projected$^3$ onto the surface at each time step, is sufficient. The parametric skin will automatically smooth the tangent discontinuities due to the use of different blending groups in the implicit layer. This model for the skin will ease texture mapping. Lastly, sliding and wrinkling behaviors

$^3$This is usually done using the iso-surface in-out function, although equation 1 could also be used for constraining the motion of skin points.
can be integrated to it. This solution shows that implicit surfaces can be much more useful in an hybrid representation framework than alone. Here, the implicit layer is still a good choice for easily modeling and animating the body volume while efficiently avoiding penetrations inside of it.

### 5.2 Animating a visually-realistic lava-flow

The motion and deformations of virtual lava flowing down slope can be simulated using an hydrodynamic particle system [29]. Contrary to the last case, using parametric surfaces for coating the flow would be almost impossible, since large deformations, and possibly separations into several disconnected components are to be generated. Implicit surfaces, that were already used for rendering particles [10], thus seem the right solution. However, the answer is not so straightforward considering that several thousands of particles are to be animated, and that a texture should be coherently attached to the flow in order to render lava-crust.

The solution developed in [29] tackles the number of primitives problem thanks to an hybrid representation taking the best features of the constructive and of the image field approaches. Both point-primitives (the particles) and a grid structure are used. The former allows a direct control of the implicit surface from the particle flow. The latter, *i.e.* a grid of voxels, is used for treating field value queries in almost constant time. Field functions with a limited radius of influence are associated with particles. Then, the grid is used to keep track of the list of particles going in and out of each voxel at each time step. Since attraction-repulsion forces prevent particles from clustering, the number of particles in a voxel remains small (a few tenths). Field computation at a given point is performed by only summing the contributions of particles that lie in the current voxel and in some of its neighbors (depending on the value which has been given to the field radius of influence). Lastly, storing a full 3D grid, which would take too much memory, can be avoided considering the fact that the vertical extend of a lava flow always remains small (see Figure 6).

Concerning the polygonisation and texturing problems, the aim was to generate a lava-crust texture that would *follows the flow*. Thus, the main texture patterns (*i.e.* the lava “clinkers”) must be attached to the particles, while consistent crust texture has to be generated between them. The solution adopted in [29] can be seen as a very simplified version of the local visualization method [12]: due to the number and the small size of the particles, a single sample point is attached to each of them. A triangulation connecting these points is generated at each time step. Using a Delaunay triangulation would not ease the generation of lava clinkers patterns. We rather tile the implicit surface into pseudo-Voronoi regions surrounding each sample point, these regions being themselves triangulated (see Figure 7). To achieve this, we use a variant of the usual planar Delaunay criteria: A “pseudo-Delaunay triangle” is detected between three sample points when the projection of these points onto the surface tangent plane satisfies Delaunay criteria. Then, the center of the circle defined by the three points is incorporated to the triangulation, since it belongs to the border of the three Voronoi regions. In areas of high curvature, some of the pseudo-Delaunay triangles may be of quite bad quality, since they were selected using a criteria in the tangent plane. If one of their angles exceeds 90° (so that the center of the circle does not lie in the triangle anymore), we set this center to the middle of the closest triangle edge. This results into almost regular Voronoi regions, in which a lava clinker pattern is generated. This is done by generating displacement texture maps from stochastic noise functions that maintain continuity constraints along triangle edges (see [29, 20]). Color ranges and roughness parameters are computed from the current temperature of the associated particle. This process results into an animated texture whose patterns closely follow the underlying particles motion, and whose surface aspect changes while lava cools down. See Figure 8.

6 Conclusion

The first concluding remark is that implicit surfaces are not necessarily the best choice in Computer Animation applications. Before choosing this model, we should ask ourselves if we really need it, *i.e.* which specific advantages in terms of control, quality and efficiency it will bring to the current application. Then, a specific implicit representation has to be chosen. Constructive approaches seem to be the best model for providing the user with an intuitive control over motion and deformations. They can be combined with parametric surfaces to ease rendering. The image field approach is a more efficient representation for complex objects, eases polygonisation, and has proved useful in automatic morphing applications. Its use in Computer Animation has probably not been fully explored yet.

---

4We use a modified projection method, which preserves the distances.
Experimenting with hybrid representations that would combine the advantages of both constructive and image field approaches seems very promising. The lava animation already uses such an hybrid model, although the grid structure does not store a full image field. The opposite way of using hybridization would be to animate and blend local image fields attached to a set of moving frames. We are currently studying an approach of that kind in the context of character animation.

Lastly, the ability of a model to provide an adaptive level of detail is an important feature in Computer Animation. This point has almost not being studied for animated implicit surfaces. The constructive representation seems to offer no simple solution. The wavelet representation of field images provides multi-resolution edition of the whole field. However, its use for the animation of a specific iso-surface still has to be studied. I guess that these points should inspire further researches within the next few years.
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