

Error estimates for the logarithmic barrier method in stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems

Joseph Frédéric Bonnans, Francisco J. Silva

▶ To cite this version:

Joseph Frédéric Bonnans, Francisco J. Silva. Error estimates for the logarithmic barrier method in stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems. Systems and Control Letters, 2012, 61 (1), pp.143-147. inria-00537229

HAL Id: inria-00537229 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00537229v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Error estimates for the logarithmic barrier method in stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems

J. Frédéric Bonnans — Francisco J. Silva

N° 7455

Novembre 2010

Thème NUM .



ISSN 0249-6399 ISRN INRIA/RR--7455--FR+ENG



DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Error estimates for the logarithmic barrier method in stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems

J. Frédéric Bonnans^{*}, Francisco J. Silva[†]

Thème NUM — Systèmes numériques Équipes-Projets Commands

Rapport de recherche n° 7455 — Novembre 2010 — 10 pages

Abstract: We consider a linear quadratic stochastic optimal control problem whith non-negativity control constraints. The latter are penalized with the classical logarithmic barrier. Using a duality argument and the stochastic minimum principle, we provide an error estimate for the solution of the penalized problem which is the natural extension of the well known estimate in the deterministic framework.

Key-words: Stochastic control, linear quadratic problems, non-negativity control constraints, logarithmic barrier, stochastic minimum principle.

* INRIA-Saclay and CMAP, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France (Frederic.Bonnans@inria.fr)

[†] INRIA-Saclay and CMAP, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France (silva@cmap.polytechnique.fr)

> Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay - Île-de-France Parc Orsay Université 4, rue Jacques Monod, 91893 ORSAY Cedex Téléphone : +33 1 72 92 59 00

Estimations d'erreur de la méthode de barrière logarithmique pour les problèmes de contrôle optimal stochastique linéaire quadratique

Résumé : Nous considérons un problèmes de contrôle optimal stochastique linéaire quadratique avec contrainte de positivité de la commande. Cette dernière est pénalisée avec la barrière logarithmique classique. Utilisant un argument de dualité et le principe du minimum stochastique, nous obtenons des estimations d'erreur pour la solution du problème pénalisé qui apparaît comme une extension naturelle de celle, bien connue, du cas déterministe.

Mots-clés : Commande stochastique, problèmes linéaire quadratique, contrainte de non-négativité, barrière logarithmique, principe du minimum stochastique

1 Introduction

The study of stochastic linear quadratic (LQ) optimal control problems is an area of active research. In fact, many problems arising in engineering design and mathematical finance can be modeled as stochastil LQ problems. Let us cite, for example, the portfolio selection problem ([22, 16]) and the contingent claim problem ([15]). The stochastic LQ problem, in a finite time horizon [0, T] and without constraints, can be stated as follows:

Minimize
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \left[u(t)^{\top}R(t)u(t) + y(t)^{\top}C(t)y(t)\right] dt + y(T)^{\top}My(T)\right)$$

s.t. $\begin{cases} dy(t) = \left[A_{0}(t)y(t) + B_{0}(t)u(t)\right] dt + \left[A_{1}(t)y(t) + B_{1}(t)u(t)\right] dW(t), \\ y(0) = x_{0} \end{cases}$

Assuming that R(t) is positive definite, the problem above was extensively investigated in the 1960s and 1970s (see e.g. [21, 17, 6, 7, 13], the surveys in [2] and references therein). In the mid-1990s, using an approach based on a stochastic Riccati equation, Chen-Li-Zhou [11] treated the stochastic LQ problem even when R(t) can be indefinite. See also [12], where the relations between the stochastic LQ problem, the stochastic Pontryagin minimum principle (SPMP) and linear forward-backward stochastic differential equations, are studied.

Even if the unconstrained case is well studied, when control constraints are present the only reference that we know is [14]. In fact, the authors consider a stochastic LQ problem where the control is constrained in a cone. They obtain explicit solutions for the optimal control and the optimal cost via solutions of a system of extended stochastic Riccati equations.

In this work we study a convex stochastic LQ problem with non-negativity control constraints. We consider a family of *logarithmic penalized* problems, parameterized by $\varepsilon > 0$. This means that the cost function is modified by adding a logarithmic barrier function multiplied by ε , which implies that the solution of the new problem is strictly positive. Our aim is to study the convergence, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, of the solution of the penalized problem to the solution of the *initial* one. In fact, we will obtain error estimates for the cost, control, state and adjoint state in the appropriate spaces. This result extend the classical error estimates obtained by Weiser [20] in the deterministic framework.

The article is organized as follows: In section 2 we fix the standard notation and the initial and penalized problems are stated. Using the stochastic Pontryaguin minimum principle (SPMP) (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 19, 10]), first order necessary and sufficient conditions are derived. Our main result is provided in section 3, in which we derive the error estimates. The proof use a simple duality argument and an application of the SPMP.

2 Problem Statement and Optimality Conditions

Let us first fix some notations. The space \mathbb{R}^m $(m \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ is endowed with its standard Euclidean norm denoted by $|\cdot|$. The ith coordinate of a vector x is denoted by x^i . We set $\mathbb{R}^m_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : x^i \ge 0\}$, and $\mathbb{R}^m_{++} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : x^i > 0\}$. Let T > 0 and consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, on which a d-dimensional $(d \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ Brownian motion $W(\cdot)$ is defined with $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \le t \le T}$ being its natural filtration, augmented by all \mathbb{P} -null sets in \mathcal{F} . For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ let us

J. F. Bonnans, F. J. Silva

define

 $L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}}\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{\ell}\right) := \left\{ v:[0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell} / v \text{ is } \mathbb{F} - \text{adapted and } ||v||_{2} < \infty \right\}, \\ L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}}\left([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{\ell}\right) := \left\{ v:[0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell} / v \text{ is } \mathbb{F} - \text{adapted and } ||v||_{2,\infty} < \infty \right\},$

where we assume that all the mappings are $\mathcal{B}([0,T]) \times \mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable and '

$$||v||_{2} := \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} |v(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad ||v||_{2,\infty} := \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |v(t)|^{2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

It is well known that $(L^2_{\mathcal{F}}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^\ell),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2)$ is a Hilbert space, where

$$\langle u, v \rangle_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T u^i(t) v^i(t) \mathrm{d}t\right). \tag{1}$$

Let $x_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be \mathcal{F}_0 measurable and such that $\mathbb{E}(|x_0|^2) < \infty$. Consider the following affine stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\begin{aligned} dy(t) &= f(t,\omega,y(t),u(t))dt + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma^{i}(t,\omega,y(t),u(t))dW(t), \\ y(0) &= x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2)$$

In the notation above $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the state function, which is controlled by $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and

$$f:[0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \sigma^i:[0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$$
 a defined by

are defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(t,\omega,y,u) &:= & A_0(t,\omega)y + B_0(t,\omega)u + D_0(t,\omega), \\ \sigma^i(t,\omega,y,u) &:= & A_i(t,\omega)y + B_i(t,\omega)u + D_i(t,\omega), \end{array}$$

where, for i = 0, ..., d, $A_i : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B_i : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $D_i : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that:

(H1) The random matrices A_i , B_i , D_i are progressively measurable with respect to \mathbb{F} and bounded uniformly in $(t, \omega) \in [0, T]$ by a constant $\overline{D} > 0$.

We take as state and control space, respectively,

$$\mathcal{Y} := L^{2,\infty}_{\mathcal{F}}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m), \quad \mathcal{U} := L^2_{\mathcal{F}}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{3}$$

It is well known that for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$, equation (2) has a unique solution $y_u \in \mathcal{Y}$ and the following estimate hold:

$$||y||_{2,\infty}^2 \le L_1\left(\mathbb{E}(y_0^2) + ||u||_2^2 + \sum_{i=0}^d ||D_i||_2^2\right),\tag{4}$$

for some positive constant L_1 . Denote respectively by S^m_+ and S^m_{++} the sets of symmetric positive semidefinite and symmetric positive definite matrices of order m. Now, let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{U}^+ := \{ u \in \mathcal{U} / u(t, \omega) \ge 0 \text{ for a.a. } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \},$$
(5)

and the random matrices $R: [0,T] \times \Omega \to S^m_{++}, C: [0,T] \times \Omega \to S^n_+, M: \Omega \to S^n_+$. We assume:

(H2) The matrices R, C, M are bounded uniformly in $(t, \omega) \in [0, T]$ by a constant \overline{C} . In addition, we assume that R is uniformly positive definite, i.e. there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for a.a. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$

$$v^{\top}R(t,\omega)v \ge \alpha |v|^2 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (6)

Estimates for the logarithmic barrier method in stochastic LQ problems

2.1 The initial problem

Let $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ be a reference state function and define $g_0 : [0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$g_0(t,\omega,y,u) := \frac{1}{2}u^\top R(t,\omega)u + [y - \bar{y}(t,\omega)]^\top C(t,\omega)[y - \bar{y}(t,\omega)].$$
(7)
The cost function $J_0: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$J_0(u) := \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T g_0(t, y(t), u(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2}y_u(T)^\top M y_u(T)\right).$$
 (8)

We consider the following stochastic optimal control problem:

Min
$$J_0(u)$$
 subject to $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$. $(\mathcal{CP})_0$

Assumptions (H1), (H2) imply that J_0 is a strongly convex continuous function. Since \mathcal{U}^+ is closed and convex, we have that $(\mathcal{CP})_0$ has a unique solution u_0 . We denote $y_0 := y_{u_0}$ its associated state.

As usual in optimal control theory, optimality conditions can be expressed in terms of a Hamiltonian and an adjoint state. In fact, let

$$H_0: [0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$$

be the Hamiltonian of problem $(\mathcal{CP})_0$, defined as

$$H_0(t,\omega,y,p,q,u) := g_0(t,\omega,y,u) + p \cdot f(t,\omega,y,u) + \sum_{i=1}^d q^i \cdot \sigma^i(t,\omega,y,u),$$

where q^i denotes the ith column of q. For $u \in \mathcal{U}$ let $(p_u, q_u) \in L^{2,\infty}_{\mathcal{F}}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$, called the *adjoint state* associated to u, be the unique solution of the following linear backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE)(see [5]):

$$dp(t) = -D_y H_0(t, y_u(t), p(t), q(t), u(t)) dt + q(t) dW(t),$$

$$p(T) = M y_u(T).$$
(9)

It is well known (see e.g. [18, Proposition 3.1]) that there exists $L_2 > 0$, such that

$$||p_u||_{2,\infty}^2 + ||q_u||_2^2 \le L_2 \left(\mathbb{E}(y_u(T)^2) + ||u||_2^2 \right).$$
(10)

Let us set $p_0 := p_{u_0}$ and $q_0 := q_{u_0}$. Since $g_0(t, \omega, y, \cdot)$ is strictly convex, the stochastic Pontryagin minimum principle (SPMP) for linear convex optimal control with random coefficients [10, Theorem 3.2], yields that u_0 is a solution of $(\mathcal{CP})_0$ if and only if for a.a. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$,

$$u_0(t,\omega) = \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^m_+} H_0(t,\omega, y_0(t,\omega), p_0(t,\omega), q_0(t,\omega), w).$$
(11)

A straightforward computation (see [1, Section 2.1]) yields that

$$u_0(t,\omega) = \pi_0 \left(R(t,\omega), z_0(t,\omega) \right) \quad \text{for a.a.} \quad (t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \Omega, \tag{12}$$

where

$$z_0(t,\omega) := -R(t,\omega)^{-1} \left[B_0(t,\omega)^\top p_0(t,\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^d B_i^\top(t,\omega)^\top q_0^i(t,\omega) \right]$$

and for $(R, z) \in S^m_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ the map $\pi_0(R, z)$ is defined as the unique solution of

$$\operatorname{Min} \frac{1}{2}(x-z)^{\top} R(x-z), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m_+.$$

5

2.2 The penalized problem

For $\varepsilon > 0$ define the function $J_{\varepsilon} : \mathcal{U}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) := \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T} \left[g_{0}(t, y_{u}(t), u(t)) + \varepsilon \hat{L}(u(t))\right] \mathrm{d}t + y_{u}(T)^{\top} \frac{1}{2}My_{u}(T)\right),\tag{13}$$

where $\hat{L} : \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is defined as $\hat{L}(u) := -\sum_{i=1}^m \log u^i$. Let us consider the *penalized* problem

$$\operatorname{Min} J_{\varepsilon}(u) \quad \text{subject to } u \in \mathcal{U}^+. \tag{CP}_{\varepsilon}$$

Using the arguments of [1, Lemma 1], we have that

$$u \in \mathcal{U}^+ \to \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \hat{L}(u(t)) \mathrm{d}t\right) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

is convex lower-semicontinuous (l.s.c), hence J_{ε} is a strongly convex l.s.c. function. Therefore, $(\mathcal{CP})_{\varepsilon}$ has a unique solution u_{ε} with associated state $y_{\varepsilon} := y_{u_{\varepsilon}}$. The Hamiltonian for $(\mathcal{CP})_{\varepsilon}$

$$H_{\varepsilon}: [0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

is defined as

$$H_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega,y,p,q,u) := H_0(t,\omega,y,p,q,u) + \varepsilon \hat{L}(u).$$

We set $(p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}) := (p_{u_{\varepsilon}}, q_{u_{\varepsilon}})$ for the unique solution of the following BSDE:

As for the initial problem, the SPMP implies that u_{ε} is the solution of $(\mathcal{CP})_{\varepsilon}$ if and only if for a.a. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^m_+} H_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega, y_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), p_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), q_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), w),$$
(15)

Since $H_{\varepsilon}(t, \omega, \cdot)$ is convex and differentiable in u, condition (15) is satisfied if and only if for a.a. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$,

$$D_u H_0(t,\omega, y_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), p_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), q_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega), u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)) - \varepsilon \frac{1}{u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)} = 0, \qquad (16)$$

where $1/u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denotes the vector whose ith component is $1/u_{\varepsilon}^i(t,\omega)$. Equation (16) implies that (see [1, Section 2.2])

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) = \pi_{\varepsilon} \left(R(t,\omega), z_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) \right) \text{ for a.a. } (t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \Omega, \tag{17}$$

where

$$z_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) := -R(t,\omega)^{-1} \left[B_0(t,\omega)^{\top} p_{\varepsilon}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^d B_i^{\top}(t,\omega)^{\top} q_{\varepsilon}^i(t) \right]$$

and for $(R, z) \in S^m_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ the map $\pi_{\varepsilon}(R, z)$ is defined as the unique solution of

$$\operatorname{Min} \frac{1}{2} (x - z)^{\top} R(x - z) + \varepsilon \hat{L}(x), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m_+.$$

3 Main Result

In this section we provide error estimates for the cost, control, state and adjoint state of the penalized problem. We denote by $1/u_{\varepsilon}$: $[0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ the mapping $(1/u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega))^i := 1/u_{\varepsilon}^i(t,\omega)$.

Lemma 1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that $1/u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}^+$.

Proof. The proof is based on (15). For notational convenience we assume that n = m = d = 1. The proof for the general case can be easily adapted. First, note that integrability problem comes when $u_{\varepsilon}(t, \omega)$ is small. Thus, fix $K_0 > 0$ and set

$$\Omega_{K_0} := \{(t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \Omega / u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) \le K_0\}.$$

Now, let $\eta \in (0, K_0)$ and set

$$\hat{H}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega,w) := H_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega,y_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega),p_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega),q_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega),w)$$

If $u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) \leq \eta/2$ we have for a.a. $(t,\omega) \in \Omega_{K_0}$, omitting the (t,ω) argument,

$$\hat{H}_{\varepsilon}(\eta) - \hat{H}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}R(\eta + u_{\varepsilon})(\eta - u_{\varepsilon}) + [B_0p_{\varepsilon} + B_1q_{\varepsilon}](\eta - u_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \left[\log(u_{\varepsilon}) - \log(\eta)\right]$$

On the other hand, using that $\log(\cdot)$ is concave,

$$\log(u_{\varepsilon}) - \log(\eta) \le \frac{1}{\eta}(u_{\varepsilon} - \eta) \le \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{-\eta}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, by optimality of u_{ε} ,

$$0 \le \hat{H}_{\varepsilon}(\eta) - \hat{H}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \le \bar{C}K_0\eta + \bar{D}(|p_{\varepsilon}| + |q_{\varepsilon}|)\eta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \le \eta K_1(1 + |p_{\varepsilon}| + |q_{\varepsilon}|) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon,$$

where $K_1 := \max \{ \overline{C}K_0, \overline{D} \}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$u_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}\eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2K_1\left(1+|p_{\varepsilon}|+|q_{\varepsilon}|\right)}$$

Henceforth, for a.a. $(t, \omega) \in \Omega_{K_0}$,

$$u_{\varepsilon} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{4K_1 \left(1 + |p_{\varepsilon}| + |q_{\varepsilon}|\right)}$$
 and thus $\frac{1}{u_{\varepsilon}} \le \frac{4K_1 \left(1 + |p_{\varepsilon}| + |q_{\varepsilon}|\right)}{\varepsilon}$. (18)

The result follows from (10) using that $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}$ and that $y_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{Y}$ is almost surely continuous.

Remark. Estimate (18) generalizes [9, Theorem 1] obtained in the deterministic framework. In the deterministic case we have that u_{ε} is uniformly positive, whereas in our setting we can prove only (18).

Consider the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$, associated to problem $(\mathcal{CP})_0$, defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(u,\lambda) := J_0(u) - \langle \lambda, u \rangle_2, \tag{19}$$

where we recall that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is defined in (1). Define the *dual function* $d : \mathcal{U}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by $d(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}(u, \lambda)$. We have:

J. F. Bonnans, F. J. Silva

Lemma 2. For every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$d\left(\varepsilon\frac{1}{u^{\varepsilon}}\right) = J_0(u_{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon mT.$$

Proof. Consider the following auxiliary problem

Min
$$J_0(u) - \varepsilon \langle 1/u_\varepsilon, u \rangle_2$$
 subject to $u \in \mathcal{U}$. $(\mathcal{CP})_{aux}$

Lemma 1 implies that the above problem is well-defined. Since the cost function is strongly convex and continuous, problem $(\mathcal{CP})_{aux}$ admits a unique solution u_{aux} , with associated state $y_{aux} := y_{u_{aux}}$. The Hamiltonian H_{aux} of problem $(\mathcal{CP})_{aux}$ is defined as

$$H_{aux}(t,\omega,y,p,q,u) = H_0(t,\omega,y,p,q,u) - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{u_{\varepsilon}^i(t,\omega)} u^i.$$

We let (p_{aux}, q_{aux}) be the unique solution of the following BSDE:

Define $\hat{H}_{aux}: [0,T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\hat{H}_{aux}(t,\omega,u) := H_{aux}(t,\omega,y_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega),p_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega),q_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega),u).$$

The SPMP yields that u_{aux} is a solution of $(\mathcal{CP})_{aux}$ if and only if

$$u_{aux}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^m} \hat{H}_{aux}(t,\omega,w). \quad \text{for a.a.} \ (t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \Omega.$$
(21)

Using that $\hat{H}_{aux}(t, \omega, \cdot)$ is convex and differentiable, (21) is satisfied if and only if

$$D_u H_0(t,\omega, y_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega), p_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega), q_{u_{aux}}(t,\omega), u) - \varepsilon \frac{1}{u_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)} = 0.$$
(22)

Therefore, noting that (ommiting the (t, ω) argument)

$$D_y H_{aux}(t, \omega, y_{aux}, p_{aux}, q_{aux}, u_{aux}) = D_y H_{\varepsilon}(t, \omega, y_{aux}, p_{aux}, q_{aux}, u_{aux}),$$

equations (14), (16) imply that $(y_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$ satisfies (20)-(22). Therefore, $u_{aux} = u_{\varepsilon}$ solves $(\mathcal{CP})_{aux}$. Finally,

$$\operatorname{Min}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} J_0(u) - \varepsilon \langle 1/u_{\varepsilon}, u \rangle = J_0(u_{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \langle 1/u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon} \rangle = J_0(u_{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon mT.$$

Now, we can prove our main result, which yields error bounds for $(y_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$, usually referred as the *central path*. In particular, we obtain the convergence of $(y_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$ to (y_0, p_0, q_0, u_0) in the appropriate spaces.

Theorem 3. Assume that **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the following estimates hold

$$J_{0}(u_{\varepsilon}) - J_{0}(u_{0}) \leq \varepsilon mT \quad (23)$$

$$||u_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}||_{2}^{2} + ||y_{\varepsilon} - y_{0}||_{2,\infty}^{2} + ||p_{\varepsilon} - p_{0}||_{2,\infty}^{2} + ||q_{\varepsilon} - q_{0}||_{2}^{2} \leq O(\varepsilon) \quad (24)$$

Proof. By lemma 2, we have

$$J_0(u_{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon mT \le \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}^+} \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{L}(u, \lambda) \le \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}^+} \mathcal{L}(u, \lambda) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+} J_0(u) = J_0(u_0),$$

from which (23) follows. The strong convexity of $J_0(\cdot)$ implies that

 $||u_{\varepsilon} - u_0||_2^2 = O(\varepsilon).$

Taking $u = u_{\varepsilon} - u_0$ in (4) yields that

$$||y_{\varepsilon} - y_0||_{2,\infty}^2 = O(\varepsilon).$$

Finally, using the estimates above and that $y_{\varepsilon} - y_0$ is almost surely continuous, estimate (10) implies that

$$||p_{\varepsilon} - p_0||_{2,\infty}^2 + ||q_{\varepsilon} - q_0||_2^2 \le L_2 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(y_{\varepsilon}(T) - y_0(T) \right)^2 \right] + ||u_{\varepsilon} - u_0||_2^2 \right) = O(\varepsilon).$$

References

- F. Alvarez, J. Bolte, J.F. Bonnans, and F. Silva. Asymptotic expansions for interior penalty solutions of control constrained linear-quadratic problems. *INRIA Report RR-6863*, 2009.
- [2] M. Athens. Special issues on linear-quadratic-gaussian problem. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control.*, AC-16:527–869, 1971.
- [3] A. Bensoussan. Lectures on stochastic control. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 972, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] A. Bensoussan. Stochastic maximum principle for distributed parameter system. J. Franklin Inst., 315:387–406, 1983.
- [5] J.M. Bismut. Analyse convexe et probabilités. PhD thesis, Faculté des Sciences de Paris, 1973.
- [6] J.M. Bismut. Théorie probabiliste du contrôle des diffusions. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 4:1–130, 1976.
- [7] J.M. Bismut. On optimal control of linear stochastic equations with a linear-quadratic criterion. SIAM J. Control Optim., 15:1–4, 1977.
- [8] J.M. Bismut. An introductory approach to duality in optimal stochastic control. SIAM Rev., 20:62–78, 1978.
- [9] J.F. Bonnans and Th. Guilbaud. Using logarithmic penalties in the shooting algorithm for optimal control problems. *Optimal Control, Applications* and Methods, 24:257–278, 2003.
- [10] A. Cadenillas and I. Karatzas. The stochastic maximum principle for linear convex optimal control with random coefficients. SIAM J. Control, Optimization, 33:590–624, 1995.

- [11] S. Chen, X. Li, and X.Y. Zhou. Stochastic linear quadratic regulators with indefinite control weight costs. SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 36, 1998.
- [12] S. Chen and J. Yong. Stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problems. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 43, 2001.
- [13] M. Davis. Linear Estimation and Stochastic Control. Chapman and Hall, 1977.
- [14] Y. Hu and X.Y. Zhou. Constrained stochastic LQ control with random coefficients, and application to mean-variance portfolio selection. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 44, 2005.
- [15] M. Kohlmann and X.Y. Zhou. Relationship between backward stochastic differential equations and stochastic control. SIAM J. Control Optim., 38, 200.
- [16] A.E.B. Lim and X.Y. Zhou. Mean-variance portfolio selection with random parameters in a complete market. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 29:101–120, 2002.
- [17] P.J. McLane. Optimal stochastic control of linear systems with state- and control-dependent disturbances. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control*, 16:793–798, 1971.
- [18] L. Mou and J. Yong. A variational formula for stochastic controls and some applications. Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly, 3:539–567, 2007.
- [19] S. Peng. A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems. SIAM J. on Control and Optimization, 28:966–979, 1990.
- [20] M. Weiser. Interior point methods in function space. SIAM J. on Control and Optimization, 44:1766–1786 (electronic), 2005.
- [21] W. M. Wonham. On a matrix Riccati equation of stochastic control. SIAM J. Control, 6:681–697, 1968.
- [22] X.Y. Zhou and D. Li. Continuous-time mean-variance portfolio selection: A stochastic LQ framework. Appl. Math. Optim., 42:19–33, 2000.



Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique 615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-Iès-Nancy Cedex Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

> Éditeur INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) http://www.inria.fr ISSN 0249-6399