

A Reflexive Extension to Arachne's Aspect Language

Nicolas Loriant, Marc Ségura-Devillechaise, Thomas Fritz, Jean-Marc Menaud

To cite this version:

Nicolas Loriant, Marc Ségura-Devillechaise, Thomas Fritz, Jean-Marc Menaud. A Reflexive Extension to Arachne's Aspect Language. 2006 AOSD Workshop on Open and Dynamic Aspect Language, Mar 2006, Bonn, Germany. inria-00441362

HAL Id: inria-00441362 <https://inria.hal.science/inria-00441362>

Submitted on 15 Dec 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Reflexive Extension to Arachne's Aspect Language

Nicolas Loriant α Marc Ségura-Devillechaise α Thomas Fritz γ Jean-Marc Menaud α α OBASCO research group, EMN/INRIA - LINA, Nantes, France γ Software Practices Lab, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada nloriant@emn.fr, msegura@emn.fr, fritz@cs.ubc.ca, jmenaud@emn.fr

ABSTRACT

Aspect weaving at run time has proven to be an effective way of implementing software evolution. Nevertheless, it is often hard to achieve adequate modularization and reusability in face of run time and implementation issues.

Arachne is an AO system that features a run time aspect weaver for C applications, and a language close to the C syntax. In this paper we present a reflexive extension of Arachne's aspect language. We show through extracts of a deadlock detection aspect, how this extension improves the modularization of crosscutting concerns and the reusability of aspects.

1. INTRODUCTION

Run time weaving has been used to tackle many issues arising after software deployment, such as debugging, profiling, security and software evolution [5, 6].

Nevertheless, run time weaving poses challenges to developers, such as dealing with unknown program state at weave time. For example, in previous work [3] we have proposed an aspect preventing buffer overflow that is only effective for buffer allocated after the aspect weaving because the size of the buffer is only known at allocation time. The point is that the state of the aspect does not correspond to the program state. While this limitation is acceptable in most situations, this issue alleviates the need to inspect and modify aspect state. Reusing aspect code is also difficult, indeed two implementations may slightly differ. Thus, adapting one aspect for another base program often results in complex code. Generating arbitrary events would allow developers to write aspects triggering arbitrary events that would match with another aspect. Hence this would act as an interposition layer between one aspect and another base program.

We believe those failures of AO languages to provide adequate modularization and reusability can be addressed with a reflexive aspect language. To illustrate our proposal, we present in this paper a case study on a deadlock detection aspect. We have built a reflexive extension of Arachne's aspect language and demonstrate through incremental code snippets how this extension addresses runtime issues while improving aspect modularization and reusability.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 motivates the need for deadlock detection in concurrent programming. Then in Section 3 we emphasize benefits of our reflexive extension through four incremental aspects for deadlock detection. Section 4 discusses the implementation in the Arachne system before we finally conclude.

2. MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

Deadlock is a well-known problem in concurrent programming. For performance reasons, real-world concurrent applications are widely programmed in the C language. Concurrent libraries available for use with C provide the basic synchronization primitives, but give little support for the safety of concurrent programs. For example, the mutex operations provided by the widely used POSIX thread library only provide two checks on mutex usage: (i) no thread will try to acquire the mutex when it has already exclusive access granted on it, and (ii) only the thread owning a mutex may release it. These two properties are insufficient to guarantee a deadlock free program. Static analyzers exist to detect and thus prevent deadlocks, but these tools do not scale to large programs [2]. Thus, reusing multi-threaded libraries is still considered a delicate task.

To address the deadlock problem, run time detection has been proposed. One approach is based on an inactivity threshold [4]. Once a thread has been inactive for a given duration, a potential deadlock is detected. This solution has a low overhead but tends to report many false positives. In addition, even when this approach detects a real deadlock, it is difficult to figure out what minimal set of threads should be killed in order to remove the deadlock. Another approach is to maintain a representation of the sharing of resources between threads (and/or processes) using a resource allocation graph, where resources and threads are represented as nodes in a directed graph. Once a thread requires an exclusive access to a resource, an edge is added from the thread to the resource. Once the exclusive access is granted, the edge is inverted. Threads and resources causing a deadlock form a cycle in the resource allocation graph. This approach is accurate, but suffers from two major drawbacks. First, it requires to identify and monitor any access to a shared resource in the application. Secondly cycle detection algorithms typically run in $O(n + m)$ [1], making the deadlock detection scheme costly.

A solution to the performance problem that still maintains accuracy is to activate/deactivate the detection scheme depending on the application's performance requirement of the moment. Nevertheless, providing this capability is not trivial, as it requires to take into account lock operations that occurred while the deadlock detection was deactivated.

lufs (Linux Userspace File System) is a Linux kernel module and a userspace deamon that exports the Virtual File System interface to userspace applications. It allows to mount userspace file systems and currently supports numerous file systems such as remote file systems over ssh or ftp.

```
extern int isDeadlocked(graph_t);<br>extern void created(graph_t, lock_id);<br>extern void destroyed(graph_t, lock_id);<br>extern void required(graph_t, tid_t, lock_id);
 5 extern void affected ( graph_t , tid_t , lock_id );
extern void released ( graph_t , tid_t , lock_id );
      graph_t graph ;
      call (lock_id create_lock() && return (lid)) then
           created (graph , lid);
      call ( void destroy_lock( lock_id ) && args( lid )) then
           destroyed(graph, lid);
15
       before call(void require(lock_id)) && args(lid)) then {<br>required(graph, gettid(), lid);<br>isDeadlocked() ? alarm() : 0;}
20 after call(void require(lock_id)) && args(lid)) then { 20
            affected (graph , gettid (), lid );
isDeadlocked() ? alarm () : 0;}
      call ( void release (lock id)) && args( lid)) then {
25 proceed ();
           released (graph , gettid (), lid );}
```
Listing 1: Naive implementation

In 2004, a deadlock in lufs was reported when a remote file system was mounted both over NFS and ssh. There is no easy way to cope with deadlocks in lufs : any file descriptor manipulated should be considered as a potentially shared resource. This emphasis the crosscutting nature of the deadlock problem, indeed 70% of the lines composing the lufs source code deals with file descriptors.

The crosscutting nature of deadlock detection schemes calls for an aspect-oriented implementation. To ensure good performance, the deadlock detection scheme should be activated only when a deadlock is suspected, e.g., once an application looks frozen. The aspects implementing the detection should therefore be dynamically woven and unwoven.

3. ASPECTFOR DEADLOCKDETECTION

This section presents four successive implementations of a deadlock detection aspect throughout which we introduce our reflexive extension of the Arachne language. Starting from a naive implementation, each implementation enhances the previous one to emphasis the benefits of the reflexive extension.

3.1 A naive solution

We propose an aspect to detect deadlocks by searching for a cycle in an oriented graph representing required and reserved locks. A straightforward approach, shown in Listing 1, is to write aspects on the require and release operations in order to maintain a summary on required and reserved locks. Then each time the base program tries to acquire a lock, the cycle finding algorithm can be applied to the resource allocation graph, represented by the variable graph.

Detection of a deadlock is carried before and after calls to void require(lock id) (lines 16 and 20), as both events induce the addition of a new edge in the resource reservation graph. On the other hand, no detection is necessary after releasing a lock.

3.2 Making the protocol explicit

The naive implementation is not satisfactory because it involves five aspects that share common data through the

```
extern int isDeadlocked(graph_t);<br>extern void created(graph_t, lock_id);<br>extern void destroyed(graph_t, lock_id);<br>extern void required(graph_t, tid_t, lock_id);
 5 extern void affected ( graph_t , tid_t , lock_id );
extern void released ( graph_t , tid_t , lock_id );
      graph_t graph ;
10 seq(
           call ( lock_id create_lock() && return ( lid1 )) then
                created (graph, lid1);
           ( call ( void require ( lock_id )) && args( lid2 ))
15 &\& if (lid1 = -id2) then {
                          required ( graph , gettid (), lid1 );
isDeadlocked() ? alarm () : 0;
                         proceed ();
affected (graph, gettid (), lid1);<br>
20 isDeadlocked () ? alarm () : 0;
                     };
           \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}call (void release (lock_id)) && args(lids))<br>
k k if (lids) ==lids) then l(iid1 == 1id3) then \{25 proceed ();
                          released ( graph , gettid (), lid1 );
                     };
           ) *
30 call (void destroy_lock( lock_id ) && args( lid4 )
&& if ( lid1 == lid4 )) then
                destroyed(graph, gettid(), lid1);
      )
```
Listing 2: Sequence implementation

global variable graph. It would be better to relieve the developer of maintaining the relationship between threads and resources in the graph. Making this protocol explicit is possible with the sequence construct of the Arachne aspect language. The sequence construct of Arachne describes interleaved matching of sequence instances. We call a sequence instance, one matching of a sequence that is in progress. In Arachne, multiple sequence instances may exist simultaneously without necessarily progressing synchronously. In Listing 2, each time the base program issues a call to createlock (line 11), a new sequence instance starts. Then, the sequence instance waits for one or more calls to require or release (lines 14 and 23) as denoted by the star token on line 28. Finally a call to destroy lock terminates the corresponding sequence instance. In contrast to the version from Listing 1, the current sequence state tells if the lock is created, destroyed, required, acquired or released. Hence, the developer does not have to save the current state of lock. Thus using a stateful construct may simplify the aspect.

3.3 Managing the aspect state

The sequence version expresses the deadlock detection in a single aspect. Nevertheless it is still not fully satisfactory: the previous version still uses a global variable graph to store the resource allocation graph. This is problematic because the variable graph hides the dependencies between pointcuts inside the sequence, making it harder to understand. This is because of thread IDs. Indeed, the sequence only tells what locks are acquired, affected and freed but not by what thread. This is because thread IDs do not appear in the sequence's pointcuts: thread IDs are not a parameter of operations on locks, but are simply part of the execution state. Thus the relationships between thread IDs, lock IDs, and lock status are not implicitly maintained by the sequence. To tackle this issue, we introduced a reflexive construct in the Arachne aspect language: bind. Listing 3 shows how the bind construct avoids the use of data external to the se-

```
extern int isDeadlocked(graph_t);
      seq(
call ( lock_id create_lock() && return ( lid1 ));
 5
           ( (before call(void require(lock_id) && args(1id2)<br>
&& bind(tid_t tid, gettid() && if (1id1==lid2))<br>
then isDeadlocked() ? alarm() : 0;
10 after call(void require(lock_id) && args(lid3)
               && if ( tid == gettid () && lid1 == lid3 ))
then isDeadlocked() ? alarm () : 0;
               )
          ||15 call( void release ( lock_id )) && args( lid4 )
&& if ( tid == gettid () && lid1 == lid4 ));
          ) *
          call ( void destroy lock( lock id ) & & args( lid4 )
20 & 20 & 20 & 11 \times 11 = 1144);
      )
```
Listing 3: The bind construct

quence. The bind constructs modifies the way the sequence handles internal information. It associates to the pointcut it is part of, a key/value pair. In Listing 3 the bind construct (line 7) associates the current thread ID with the lock that is required. Thus, the sequence automatically saves what lock has been acquired by whom. Then, the value can be accessed latter on lines 11 and 16. The resulting code is smaller, moreover, the developer no longer deals with maintaining the resource allocation graph, indeed the variable graph is no longer used, the relationships between threads and locks are automatically maintained in the sequence internal data. Thus only the deadlock detection appears in aspects' advices. Nevertheless, the developer has to modify the function isDeadlocked so that it no longer access the variable graph. Instead, through introspection mechanisms, the developer access the sequence internal state that represents the relationship between locks, thread, and locks' states.

3.4 Making it reusable

Previous versions of the sequence detect deadlock between threads where locks are handled through the create lock, destroy lock, require and release functions. In realworld applications, synchronization is implemented using various APIs. While interfaces may be different, the concept remains the same: locks are required, affected, released and so on. Hence it may be interesting to write a sequence that reasons on "abstract" pointcuts in a way similar to the AspectJ Pointcut Designator. In Listing 4, we add a second lock API to the one we used so far. This second API provides process locks. Function create Plock respectively destroy Plock, creates respectively deletes, a process lock. Require and release of locks work in a two step way, i.e., a call to the function prepare op defines an operation (require or release a lock), then a call to the function proceed op launches the operation.

Listing 4 shows how to adapt the example to work with both APIs. First (lines 3 to 16), we define two types to unify the representation of process ids, thread ids, and lock ids. We adapted the sequence aspect to those new definitions (line 18). Pointcuts in the sequence no longer match the real API, but instead refer to an abstract API. The rest of the listing (from line 41 to the end) is an interposition layer between the real APIs and the sequence that matches "abstract" events. We introduce a reflexive extension: the

fakeEvent construct, that is used in aspect's advices. The developer constructs an event that is matched against every pointcut. For example, the aspect on line 41 matches creation of thread locks. Then in the advice (line 42), the fakeEvent simulates a call to the a_create_lock that matches the first pointcut of the abstract sequence (line 19). Similarly, the aspect on line 57 matches the two steps pattern of the process lock API. Upon calls to the function proceed op and depending on the parameter OP of the function prepareop, the fakeEvent construct simulates a call to a require or a release.

The example exposes benefits of the fakeEvent construct in terms of aspect reusability. Indeed, the sequence detecting deadlock (line 18) has suffered few modifications compared to its previous version (Listing 3). Moreover, the resulting code shows a clear separation between the problem of detecting deadlocks and implementation dependent issues. Moreover the fakeEvent offers higher abstraction that eases aspect manipulation instead of directly manipulating internal data of the aspect

3.5 Coping with run time issues

As stated in the motivation of the example, activating deadlock detection at run time to achieve a good performance also requires putting the sequence aspect into the correct state to take into account former lock operations that occurred while the deadlock detection was deactivated. As it is possible to recover the information about lock operations still in effect, using this information and the fakeEvent construct provides the possibility of setting the sequence aspect into the correct state and thus the ability to activate the deadlock detection whenever necessary.

The same mechanism, i.e., adapting the state of the sequence aspect to correspond to the state of the application, is useful for buffer overflow detection as described in [3]. We noticed that in many applications the developer saves the size of buffers for various reasons, not only bound checking, which can then be recovered. This knowledge can be used in combination with the fakeEvent construct to even detect buffer overflows where the buffer was allocated before the aspect for overflow detection was deployed, which was not possible before.

4. IMPLEMENTATION

The goal of Arachne is to provide an efficient and coherent run time Aspect-Oriented system for legacy C applications. Arachne features an application independent aspect compiler, and consistent weaving strategies that comply with the C language and runtime ABI standards for the x86 architectures [7]. We have introduced a reflexive extension of the Arachne aspect language featuring two new constructs: bind and fakeEvent. These extensions mainly consist of providing the according language constructs to the aspect developer and extending the aspect compiler respectively.

The **bind** construct modifies the way Arachne's sequence manages internal data. The Arachne sequence is a stateful $constant, i.e.,$ it maintains a state between matching of multiple pointcuts. Each time the first pointcut of a sequence is matched, Arachne allocates a sequence instance, a structure that holds instance specific data. In Listing 2, each sequence instance has a member current step that indicates what is the next pointcut to match, and members lid1, lid2, lid3 and lid4 that are return values of lock operations. Arachne

```
extern int isDeadlocked();
       typedef struct {
  pid_t pid;
5 tid_t tid;
      } ufid_t ;
       afid_t getufid () {
return ( aid_t ) { getpid (), gettid ()};
10 - \lambdatypedef struct {<br>// 0 = proc lock, else thread lock in proc 'pid'<br>pid_t pid;
15 id t id;
      } ulid t:
       seq(
call ( ulid_t a_create_lock()) && return ( ulid1 ));
20
            ( (before call(void a_require(ulid_t)<br>&& args(ulid2)) && bind(ufid_t ufid, getufid())<br>&& if (ulid1==ulid2))
                      then isDeadlocked() ? alarm () : 0;
25
                   after call( void a_require( ulid_t )
&& args( ulid3 )) && if ( ufid == getufid ())
                   & if (ulid1 == ulid3))then isDeadlocked() ? alarm () : 0;
30 )
            | \ |call(void a release(ulid t)) && args(ulid4)
                 && if ( ufid == getufid ())
&& if ( ulid1 == ulid4 ));
35 ) *
            call ( void a_destroy_lock( ulid) && args( ulid5 )
&& if ( ulid1 == ulid5 );
      \lambda40
       call ( lock_id create_lock() && return ( lid)) then
Arachne . fakeEvent( " CALL ",
                  vnnv::anelvence vall ,<br>"ulid_t<sub>u</sub>a_create_lock()", {getpid(), lid} );
45 call ( Plock_id create_Plock() && return ( plid )) then
Arachne . fakeEvent( " CALL ",
                  "ulid_t_ua_cread_e, 'uuc', 'ucl', 'ucl'.call ( void require ( lock_id )) && args( lid)) then
50 Arachne . fakeEvent( " CALL ",
                 which is a set of the same control of the set 
call (void release (lock_id)) && args(lid)) then<br>Arachne.fakeEvent( "CALL",<br>"void_a_release(ulid_t)", {getpid(), lid} );
      seq(
            call ( void prepare_op(Plock_id , char *))
&& args(plid1 , OP ));
60
            call(void proceed_op(Plock_id) && args(plid2)<br>&& if (plid1==plid2)) then {<br>switch (OP) {
                      case REQUIRE :
65 Arachne . fakeEvent( " CALL",
                                 \frac{1}{2} void a_{\text{u}} require(ulid_t)", {0, lid} );
                      case RELEASE :
                            Arachne.fakeEvent( "CALL",<br>"void<sub>u'</sub>a_release(ulid_t)", {0, lid} );
70 }
            }
      \lambdacall ( lock_id destroy_lock() && return ( lid)) then
75 Arachne . fakeEvent( " CALL ",
                  "ulid_t ua_d destroy_lock()", {getpid(), lid} );
call ( Plock_id destroy_Plock () & & return ( plid )) then<br>Arachne .fakeEvent ( " CALL",<br>80 "ulid_t<sub>u</sub>a_destroy_lock ( )", { 0, plid } );
```
Listing 4: The fakeEvent construct

compiler parses sequence aspect to construct the type definition of the sequence instance structure: function parameters and return values are automatically saved. With the introduction of the bind construct in the aspect language, we modified the compiler to add a new member when parsing bind constructs and to add code before aspect advice execution to update sequence instance data.

The **fakeEvent** construct allows developers to trigger pointcut within advices of aspects. It is implemented in the form of a macro. The first argument is looked into the aspect library's list of aspect to determine who should receive the notification of the fake event. The second argument is parsed and transformed to issue a function call to an aspect entry point. Finally for each aspect to notify, its entry point is called with given parameters.

5. CONCLUSION

Arachne is an AO system that features a run time aspect weaver for C applications. In this paper we presented a reflexive extension of Arachne's aspect language for C. We illustrated this reflexive extension through extracts of a deadlock detection aspect. First, we have shown how to avoid hidden dependencies between aspects in a sequence by manipulating aspect's state, thus increasing code clearness. Second, we addressed reusability and implementation dependent issues by letting aspect developers manually trigger aspects. Again, the resulting code was better modularized. While the extension we presented is not exhaustive, we believe it clearly enlightens the advantages of reflexivity in AO languages.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. Introduction to Algorithms. MIT Press, second edition, Sept. 2001.
- [2] C. DeMartini, R. Iosif, and R. Sisto. A deadlock detection tool for concurrent Java programs. Software Practice Experience, 29(7):577–603, 1999.
- [3] R. Douence, T. Fritz, N. Loriant, J.-M. Menaud, M. Ségura-Devillechaise, and M. Südholt. An expressive aspect language for system applications with Arachne. In Proc. of 4th International Conference on Aspect-Oriented Software Development (AOSD'05). ACM Press, Mar. 2005.
- [4] Z. Fancong. Deadlock resolution via exceptions for dependable java applications. In DSN, pages 731–740. IEEE Computer Society, 2003.
- [5] N. Loriant, M. Ségura-Devillechaise, and J. M. Menaud. Server protection through dynamic patching. In Proceedings of the 11th IEEE Pacific Rim International Symposium on Dependable Computing (PRDC'05), pages 343–349, Changsha, Hunan, China, Dec 2005. IEEE Computer Society.
- [6] M. Ségura-Devillechaise, J.-M. Menaud, G. Muller, and J. Lawall. Web cache prefetching as an aspect: Towards a dynamic-weaving based solution. In Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Aspect-oriented software development, pages 110–119, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, Mar. 2003. ACM Press.
- [7] U. S. L. System Unix. System V Application Binary Interface Intel 386 Architecture Processor Supplement. Prentice Hall Trade, 1994.