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#### Abstract

Point location in spatial subdivision is one of the most studied problems in computational geometry. In the case of triangulations of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we revisit the problem to exploit a possible coherence between the query-points.

For a single query, walking in the triangulation is a classical strategy with good practical behavior and expected complexity $O\left(n^{1 / d}\right)$ if the points are evenly distributed. Based upon this strategy, we analyze, implement, and evaluate a distribution-sensitive point location algorithm based on the classical Jump \& Walk, called Keep, Jump, \& Walk. For a batch of query-points, the main idea is to use previous queries to improve the current one. In practice, Keep, Jump, \& Walk is actually a very competitive method to locate points in a triangulation.

Regarding point location in a Delaunay triangulation, we show how the Delaunay hierarchy can be used to answer, under some hypotheses, a query $q$ with a $O(\log \#(p q))$ randomized expected complexity, where $p$ is a previously located query and $\#(s)$ indicates the number of simplices crossed by the line segment $s$.

The Delaunay hierarchy has $O(n \log n)$ time complexity and $O(n)$ memory complexity in the plane, and under certain realistic hypotheses these complexities generalize to any finite dimension.

Finally, we combine the good distribution-sensitive behavior of Keep, Jump, \& Walk, and the good complexity of the Delaunay hierarchy, into a novel point location algorithm called Keep, Jump, \& Climb. To the best of our knowledge, Keep, Jump, \& Climb is the first practical distribution-sensitive algorithm that works both in theory and in practice for Delaunay triangulation-in our experiments, it is faster than the Delaunay hierarchy regardless of the spatial coherence of queries, and significantly faster when queries have strong spatial coherence.
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## Localisation de points s'adaptant aux requètes

Résumé : La localisation de points dans une subdivision de l'espace est un classique de la géométrie algorithmique, nous réexaminons ce problème dans le cas des triangulations de $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ pour exploiter une éventuelle cohérence entre les requêtes.

Pour une requête, marcher dans la triangulation est une stratégie classique de localisation qui donne de bons résultats pratique et a une complexité moyenne $O\left(n^{1 / d}\right)$ si les points sont uniformément distribués.

Basée súr telle strategie, nous alysons, implementons, et évaluons une strategie de localization de point adaptable aux distributions des requêtes, basée sûr Jump \& Walk, appellée Keep, Jump, \& Walk. Pour des paquets de requêtes, l'idée principale est d'utiliser les requêtes précédentes pour améliorer la requête courante; nous comparons différente stratégies qui ont une influence sur les constantes cachées dans les grands $O$.

Toujours à propos de la complexité d'une requête, nous montrons que la hiérarchie de Delaunay peut être utilisée pour localiser un point $q$ à partir d'une requête précédente $q$ avec une complexité randomisée $O(\log \#(p q))$ pourvu que la triangulation vérifie certaines hypothèses $(\#(s)$ désigne le nombre de simplex traversés par le segment $s$ ). La structure de donnée a une taille $O(n)$ et un coût de construction $O(n \log n)$.

La hiérarchie de Delaunay a une complexité de $O(n \log n)$ en temp et $O(n)$ en mémoire dans le plan. Et dans certaines hypotheses réalistiques, ces complexités generalizent pour des dimensions supérieures aussi.

Finalement, nous combinons la bonne adaptabilité aux distributions des requêtes du Keep, Jump, \& Walk, et la bonne complexité de la hiérarchie de Delaunay, en une nouvelle stratégie de localization de point appellée Keep, Jump, \& Climb. Selon nos connaissances, Keep, Jump, \& Climb est le premier algorithme adaptable aux distributions des requêtes qui marche en pratique et en théorie pour les triangulations de Delaunay-dans nos experiments, Keep, Jump, \& Climb est plus rapide que la hiérarchie de Delaunay independament de la cohérance espatiale des requêtes, et significativement plus rapide quand la cohérance espatiale est forte.

Mots-clés : Localisation, Triangulation de Delaunay

## 1 Introduction

Point location in spatial subdivision is one of the most classical problems in computational geometry 27. Given a query-point $q$ and a partition of the $d$ dimensional space in regions, the problem is to retrieve the region containing $q$. This paper addresses the special case where the spatial subdivision is a simplicial complex, also called triangulation.

In two dimensions, locating a point has been solved in optimal $O(n)$ space and $O(\log n)$ worst-case query time a quarter of a century ago by Kirkpatrick's hierarchy 35. In three dimensions, optimal point location remains an open problem 18. While $O(\log n)$ is the best worst-case query time one can guarantee, it turns out that it is still possible to improve the query time in the average case, when successive queries have some spatial coherence. For instance, spatial coherence occurs (i) when the queries follow some specific path inside a region, (ii) when a method (e.g., the Poisson surface reconstruction [33, 12]) uses point dichotomy to find the solution to some equation, or (iii) in geographic information systems, where the data base contains some huge geographic area, while the queries lie in some small region of interest. During the last twenty-five years, computer geometers borrowed from the classical one-dimensional framework [36, 30, two ways to take the spatial coherence into account in point location algorithms: (i) using the entropy of the query distribution 6, 31, and (ii) designing algorithms that have a self-adapting capability, i.e. algorithms that are distribution-sensitive [32, 19].

Entropy. Entropy-based point location assumes that a distribution on the set of queries is known. There are some well-known entropy-based point location data structures in two dimensions. Arya et al. 6] or Iacono 31, both achieve a query time proportional to the entropy of that distribution, linear space, and $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time. Those algorithms are asymptotically optimal [37]. However, in many applications the distribution is unknown. Moreover, the distribution of query points can deliberately change over time. Still, it is possible to have a better complexity than the worst-case optimal if queries are very close to each other.

Distribution-sensitiveness. A point location algorithm that adapts to the distribution of the query is called a distribution-sensitive point location algorithm. A few distribution-sensitive point location algorithms in the plane exist: Iacono and Langerman [32] and Demaine et al. [19]. Both achieve a query time that is logarithmic in terms of the distance between two successive queries for some special distances. However the space required is above linear, and preprocessing time is above $O(n \log n)$.

Walk. Despite the good theoretical query time of the point location algorithms above, alternative algorithms using simpler data structures are still used by practitioners. Amongst these algorithms, walking from a simplex to another using the neighborhood relationships between simplices, is a straightforward algorithm which does not need any additional data structure besides the triangulation [21. Walking performs well in practice for Delaunay triangulations, but has a non-optimal complexity [22].

Building on walk. Building on the simplicity of the walk, both the Jump \& Walk 38 and the Delaunay hierarchy 20 improve the complexity while retaining the simplicity of the data structure. The main idea of these two structures is to find a good starting point for the walk to reduce the number of
visited simplices. In particular, the Delaunay hierarchy guarantees an expected $O(\log n)$ worst-case query time for any Delaunay triangulation in the plane. Furthermore, these methods based on walking extend well for any finite dimension, which is not true for the aforementioned optimal algorithms. Under some realistic hypotheses, the Delaunay hierarchy guarantees an expected $O(\log n)$ worst-case query time even for Delaunay triangulations in the $d$-dimensional space. Delaunay hierarchy is currently implemented as the Fast_location policy of the Computational Geometry Algorithms Library [12, 48, 39 (CGAL).

Contribution. In this paper, we propose several new ideas to improve point location in practice. Under some hypotheses verified by "real point sets", we also obtain interesting theoretical analysis.

In Section 3, we introduce the Distribution Condition: A region $\mathcal{C}$ of a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies this condition if the expected cost of walking in $\mathcal{T}$ along a segment inside $\mathcal{C}$ is in the worst case proportional to the length of this segment. In Section 7.1, we provide experimental evidence that some realistic triangulations verify the Distribution Condition for the whole region inside their convex hull. And, we relate this condition to the length of the arcs of some spanning trees embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, to obtain complexity results. Section 3.1 reviews some of the most common forms of trees embedded in the space. We show past results concerning their lengths, which are useful in the sequel.

In Section 4, we investigate constant-size-memory strategies to choose the starting point of a walk. More precisely, we compare strategies that are dependent on previous queries (self-adapting) and strategies that are not (non-selfadapting), mainly in the case of random queries. Random queries are, a priori, not favorable to self-adapting strategies, since there is no coherence between the queries. Nevertheless, our computations prove that self-adapting strategies are, either better, or not really worse in this case. Thus, there is a good reason for the use of self-adapting strategies since they are competitive even in situations that are seemingly unfavorable. Section 7.2 provides experiments to confirm such behavior on realistic data.

In Section 5 we revisit Jump \& Walk so as to make it distribution-sensitive. The modification is called Keep, Jump, \& Walk. In a different setting, Haran and Halpering verified experimentally [29] that similar ideas in the plane gives interesting running time in practice. Here, we give theoretical guarantees that, under some conditions, the expected amortized complexity of Keep, Jump, \& Walk is the same as the expected complexity of the classical Jump \& Walk. We also provide analysis for some modified versions of Keep, Jump, \& Walk.

In Section 7.3, experiments show that Keep, Jump, \& Walk, has an improved performance compared to the classical Jump \& Walk in 3D as well. Despite its simplicity, it is a competitive method to locate points in a triangulation.

In Section 6, we show that climbing the Delaunay hierarchy can be used to answer a query $q$ in $O(\log \#(p q))$ randomized expected complexity, where $p$ is a point with a known location and $\#(s)$ indicates the expected number of simplices crossed by the line segment $s$. Climbing instead of walking makes Keep, Jump, \& Walk becomes Keep, Jump, \& Climb, which appears to take the best of all methods both in theory and in practice.

## 2 Walking in a Triangulation

### 2.1 Walking Strategies

First, let us define the visibility graph $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{T}, q)$ of a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $n$ points in dimension $d$ and a query point $q . \mathcal{V G}(\mathcal{T}, q)$ (or simply $\mathcal{V \mathcal { G }}$ when there is no ambiguity) is a directed graph $(V, E)$, where $V$ is the set of $d$-simplices of $\mathcal{T}$, and a pair of simplices $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ belongs to the set of $\operatorname{arcs} E$ if $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j}$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{T}$ and the supporting hyperplane of their common facet separates the interior of $\sigma_{i}$ from $q$ (see Figure 11). When two simplices $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j}$ are such that $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right) \in E$, we will say that $\sigma_{j}$ is a successor of $\sigma_{i}$. Now, a visibility walk consists in repeatedly walking from a simplex $\sigma_{i}$ to one of its successor in $\mathcal{V G}$ until the simplex containing $q$ is found; a walking strategy describes how this successor is chosen.


Figure 1: Visibility graph. Arrows represent edges of $\mathcal{V G}$.
The following two walking strategies will be considered: (i) the straight walk is a visibility walk where each visited simplex intersects the segment $p q$; and (ii) the stochastic walk is a visibility walk where the next visited simplex, from a simplex $\sigma$, is randomly chosen amongst the successors of $\sigma$ in $\mathcal{V G}$.

The straight walk has a worst-case complexity linear in the number of simplices 42. If $\mathcal{T}$ is the Delaunay triangulation of points evenly distributed in some finite convex domain and $s$ is not close to the domain boundary, the expected number of simplices stabbed by a segment $s$ is $O\left(\|s\| \cdot n^{1 / d}\right)$ [28, 11, 22, 10]. Current results on the complexity of the stochastic walk are weaker: It is known that the stochastic walk finishes with probability 1 [21], though it may visit an exponential number of simplices (even in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ). In the case of Delaunay triangulations, the complexity improves to become linear in the number of simplices. For evenly distributed points, the $O\left(\|s\| \cdot n^{1 / d}\right)$ complexity is also conjectured for stochastic walk, but remains unproved. In practice, stochastic walk answers point location queries faster than the straight walk [21] and it is the current choice of Cgal for the walking strategy [48, 39], in both dimensions 2 and 3.

In this paper, we use the straight walk for the theoretical analysis (Sections 4 . 5. and 6), and the stochastic walk for experiments (Section 7).

### 2.2 Orientation predicate and its exact evaluation

Given two adjacent simplices, deciding which one is a successor of the other relies on the so-called orientation predicate: Given $d+1$ points

$$
p_{0}=\left(x_{0}^{0}, \ldots, x_{d-1}^{0}\right), \ldots, p_{d}=\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{d-1}^{d}\right)
$$

the orientation predicate is defined as the sign of the following determinant.

$$
\operatorname{orient}\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{d}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0}^{0} & \ldots & x_{d-1}^{0} & 1  \tag{1}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_{0}^{d} & \ldots & x_{d-1}^{d} & 1
\end{array}\right| \in\{-1,0,+1\} .
$$

The polynomial induced by the determinant, from which we will extract the sign for a given input, is called the predicate polynomial.

It is commonly assumed that the representation of a simplex $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{T}$ gives its vertices $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{d}$ in an order corresponding to positive orientation

$$
\operatorname{orient}\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right)=+1
$$

Then, an adjacent simplex $\sigma^{\prime}$ is the successor of $\sigma$ if the supporting hyperplane of their common facet (say the facet containing $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}$ ) separates $p_{0}$ and $q$, which is true if $\operatorname{orient}\left(q, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right)=-1$.

It is well known that, as for many computational geometry algorithms, an implementation of the predicate with floating point arithmetic may yields to robustness issues [34. Concerning the walk, the problem is that it may never terminate and the exact geometric computation paradigm 47] is one solution. It consists in evaluating the predicate exactly, but since an exact evaluation of the predicate polynomial is quite expensive the trick is to have a filtered evaluation that is an approximate evaluation of the predicate polynomial with a certification of the exactness of its sign. If the certification is successful, the predicate is reasonably cheap. Otherwise, the expensive exact computation is called, but it does not arise often.

The exact arithmetic is provided by the use of multi-precision type [1, 3, 2. However, these number types are very slow and should be used with an arithmetic filtering scheme. The following scheme is implemented in Cgal and works in practice. Given a predicate (such as the orientation test): Filtered Evaluation. The predicate polynomial is evaluated with an inexact arithmetic, and produces a result $D$. At the same time a bound on the maximal error between the inexact computation and the exact value is computed $E$. If $\|D\|>$ $E$, then the inexact computation is certified, and we are done. Otherwise the exact computation is triggered. Exact Evaluation. If the Filtering Step does not succeed, then compute the predicate with exact number types.

## 3 Distribution Condition

To analyze the complexity of the straight walk and derived strategies for point location, we need some hypotheses claiming that the behavior of a walk in a given region $\mathcal{C}$ of the triangulation is as follows.


Figure 2: Distribution Condition. (left) $\mathcal{F}(n)=O(\sqrt{n})$, (right) $\mathcal{F}(n)=O(n)$.

Distribution Condition: Let $\Delta$ be a triangulation scheme (such as Delaunay, Regular, ...), let $\rho$ be a distribution of points with compact support $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a region inside $\Sigma$. For a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $n$ points following distribution $\rho$ and built upon the triangulation scheme $\Delta$, the Distribution Condition is verified if there exists a constant $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and a function $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for a segment $s \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, the expected number of simplices of $\mathcal{T}$ intersected by s, averaging on the choice of the sites in the distribution, is less than $1+\kappa \cdot\|s\| \cdot \mathcal{F}(n)$.

One known case where the Distribution Condition is verified is the Delaunay triangulations of points following the Poisson distribution in dimension $d$, where $\mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(n^{1 / d}\right)$, for any region $\mathcal{C}$ (see Figure 2-left). We believe that the distribution condition generalizes to other kinds of triangulation schemes and other kinds of distributions. An interesting case seems to be the Delaunay triangulation of points lying on some manifold in a space of dimension $d$ (see Figure 2 right); the following conjecture is supported by our experiments (Section 7.1).

Conjecture 1. The Delaunay triangulations in dimension $d$ of $n$ points evenly distributed on a bounded manifold $\Pi$ of dimension $\delta$, verify the Distribution Condition inside the convex hull of $\Pi$, with $\mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(n^{1 / \delta}\right)$.

The Distribution Condition affects the relationship between the cost of locating points and the proximity between points. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of $n$ points following some distribution with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, if the distribution condition is verified for a region $\mathcal{C}$ in the convex hull of $\mathcal{T}$, the expected cost of locating in $\mathcal{T}$ a finite sequence $S$ of $m$ query points lying in $\mathcal{C}$ is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \cdot \mathcal{F}(n) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|e_{i}\right|+m \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the line segment formed by the $i$-th starting point and the $i$-th query-point.

Now, please take a look at the expression $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|e_{i}\right|$ above. The structure formed by all these segments has a special meaning for point location purpose. We shall see this meaning in what follows.

Let $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence of queries. For a new query, the walk has to start from a point whose location is already known, i.e. a point inside a simplex visited during a previous walk. Thus the $k$ segments $e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, formed by (i) the starting point of the $i$-th walk toward $q_{i}$, and (ii) $q_{i}$ itself, must be connected. Therefore the graph $\mathcal{E}$ formed by these line segments $e_{i}$ is a tree spanning the query points; we call such a tree the Location Tree. Its length is given by $\|\mathcal{E}\|=\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}}\|e\|$. Eq. (2) can be rewritten as the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \cdot \mathcal{F}(n) \cdot\|\mathcal{E}\|+m \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section, we review some classical trees embedded in the space and the growth rate of their length.

### 3.1 On Trees Embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$

The tree theory is older than computational geometry itself. Here, we mention some of the well-known trees (and graphs) [43, which are related with the theory of point location. Let $S=\left\{p_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a set of points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $G=(V, E)$ be the complete graph such that the vertex $v_{i} \in V$ is embedded on the point $p_{i} \in S$; the edge $e_{i j} \in E$ linking two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ is weighted by its Euclidean length $\left\|p_{i}-p_{j}\right\| . G$ is usually referred to as the geometric graph of $S$.

(a) $E M S T$

(d) $E S T$

(b) $E M L P$

(e) $E S S$

(c) EMIT

(f) $E M I T_{2}$

Figure 3: Trees embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

We review below some well-known trees. Two special kinds of tree get a special name: (i) a star is a tree having one vertex that is linked to all others; and (ii) a path is a tree having all vertices of degree 2 but two with degree 1 .
EMST. Among all the trees spanning $S$, a tree with the minimal length is called an Euclidean minimum spanning tree of $S$ and denoted $\operatorname{EMST}(S)$, see

Figure 3(a) EMST can be computed with a greedy algorithm at a polynomial complexity.
EMLP. If instead of searching a tree, we search a path with minimal length spanning $S$, we get the Euclidean minimum length path denoted by $E M L P(S)$, see Figure 3(b) Another related problem is the search for a minimal tour spanning $S$ : the Euclidean traveling salesman tour, denoted by ETST. Both problems are NP-complete.

Since a complete traversal of the EMST (either prefix, infix or postfix) produces a tour, and removing an edge of $E T S T$ produces a path, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E M S T(S)\| \leq\|E M L P(S)\|<\|E T S T(S)\|<2\|E M S T(S)\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

EMIT. Above, subgraphs of $G$ are independent of any ordering of the vertices. Now, consider that an ordering is given by a permutation $\sigma$, vertices are inserted in the order $v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(n)}$. We build incrementally a spanning tree $T_{i}$ for $S_{i}=\left\{p_{\sigma(j)} \in S, i \leq j\right\}$ with $T_{1}=\left\{v_{\sigma(1)}\right\}, T_{i}=T_{i-1} \cup\left\{v_{\sigma(i)} v_{\sigma(j)}\right\}$ and a fixed $k$, with $1 \leq k<n$, such that $v_{\sigma(i)} v_{\sigma(j)}$ has the shortest length for any $\max (1, i-k) \leq j<i$. This tree is called the Euclidean minimum $k$-insertion tree, and will be denoted by $E M I T_{k}(S)$ (see Figure $3(\mathrm{f})$; when $k=n-1$, we will write $\operatorname{EMIT}(S)$ (see Figure $3(\mathrm{c})) .\|E M I T(S)\|$ depends on $\sigma$ and for some permutations it coincides with $\|E M S T(S)\|$. Unlike the previous trees, $E M I T$ and $E M I T_{k}$ do not require points to be known in advance, and hence they are dynamic structures.
EST. The use of additional vertices usually allows to decrease the length of a tree. Such additional vertices are called Steiner points and the minimum-length tree with Steiner points is the Euclidean Steiner tree of $S$; it is denoted by $E S T(S)$, see Figure 3(d) Finding $E S T$ is NP-complete.
ESS. A star has one vertex linked to all other vertices. If this vertex is an additional vertex that does not belong to $V$, we can choose its position so as to minimize the length of the star. This point is called the Fermat-Weber point of $S$ and the associated star is denoted by $\operatorname{ESS}(S)$ (Euclidean Steiner star), see Figure 3(e).

### 3.2 On the Growth Rate of Trees in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

We present here some results on the length of the above-mentioned structures. We start by subgraphs independent of an ordering of the vertices. The Beardwood, Halton and Hammersley theorem [9] states that if $p_{i}$ are i.i.d. random variables with compact support, then $\|\operatorname{ETST}(S)\|=O\left(m^{1-1 / d}\right)$ with probability 1. By Eq. (4) the same bound is obtained for $\|E M L P(S)\|$. Steele proves 44 that if $p_{i}$ are i.i.d. random variables with compact support, then $\|E M S T(S)\|_{\alpha}=O\left(n^{1-\alpha / d}\right)$ with probability 1 . For the extreme case of $\alpha=d$, Aldous and Steele [4] show that $\|E M S T(S)\|_{d}=O(1)$ if points are evenly distributed in the unit cube. While this result gives a practical bound on the complexity, they are dependent on probabilistic hypotheses. This was shown to be unnecessary. Steele proves [46] that the complexity of these graphs remains bounded by $O\left(m^{1-1 / d}\right)$ even in the worst case.

Consider the length of the path formed by sequentially visiting each vertex in $V$. This gives a total length of $\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left\|p_{i-1} p_{i}\right\|$. Let $V_{\sigma}=\left\{p_{\sigma(1)}, p_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(m)}\right\}$ be a sequence of $m$ points made by reordering $V$ with a permutation function $\sigma$ such that points in $V_{\sigma}$ would appear in sequence on some space-filling
curve. Platzman and Bartholdi [40] proved that in two dimensions the length of the path made by visiting $S_{\sigma}$ sequentially is a $O(\log m)$ approximation of $\|\operatorname{ETST}(S)\|$, and hence $\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left\|p_{\sigma(i-1)} p_{\sigma(i)}\right\|=O(\sqrt{m} \log m)$. One of the main interests of such heuristic is that $\sigma$ can be found in $O(m \log m)$ time.

Finally, Steele shows that the growth rate of $\|\operatorname{EMIT}(S)\|$ is as large as the one of $\|E M S T(S)\| 45$. More precisely:

Theorem 2 (Steele [45). Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ points in $[0,1]^{d}, d \geq 2$, then we have the following inequality: $|\operatorname{EMST}(S)| \leq|E M I T(S)| \leq \gamma_{d} m^{1-1 / d}$. Here, $\gamma_{d}=1+2^{4 d} d^{d / 2} /(d-1)$ is a constant depending only on $d$.

## 4 Constant-Size-Memory Strategies

In this section, we analyze the Location Tree length of strategies that store a constant number of possible starting points for a straight walk. We also provide a comparative study between them. Proofs of Theorems 3, 4, and 10 below are restricted cases of theorems proved in a companion paper [15.

### 4.1 Fixed-point strategy.

In the fixed-point strategy, the same point $c$ is used as starting point for all the queries, then the Location Tree is the star rooted at $c$, denoted by $S_{c}(S)$. The best Location Tree we can imagine is the Steiner star, but of course computing it is not an option, neither in a dynamic setting nor in a static setting. This strategy is used in practice: In Cgal 3.6, the default starting point for a walk is the so-called vertex at infinity; thus the walk starts somewhere on the convex hull, which looks like a kind of worst strategy.

In the worst case, one can easily find a set of query-points $S$ such that $|E S S(S)|=\Omega(m)$, or such that $\left|S_{c}(S)\right| /|E S S(S)|$ goes to infinity for some $c$. Now we focus on the case of evenly distributed queries.

Theorem 3 ( 15 , for $\alpha=1$ ). Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the expected length of the Location Tree of the best fixed-point strategy is

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d+1}\right) \cdot m
$$

Theorem 4 ([15, for $\alpha=1$ ). Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the expected length of the Location Tree of the worst (on the choice of $c$ inside the ball) fixed-point strategy is

$$
2^{d+1}\left(\frac{2 d+1}{2 d+2}\right) \frac{B\left(\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1\right)}{B\left(\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \cdot m
$$

where $B(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{x-1}(1-\lambda)^{y-1} d \lambda$ is the so-called Beta function.
Corollary 5. Let $S$ be a sequence of m query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the ratio between the expected lengths of the Location Tree of the best and worst fixed-point strategies is at most 2 (for $d=1$ ), and at least $\sqrt{2}($ when $d \rightarrow \infty)$.

Figure 4 gives the expected average length of an edge of the best and worst fixed-point Location Trees.

### 4.2 Last-point strategy.

An easy alternative to the fixed-point strategy is the last-point strategy. To locate a new query-point, the walk starts from the previously located query. The Location Tree obtained with such a strategy is a path. When $\mathcal{T}$ verifies the Distribution Condition, the optimal path is the $\operatorname{EMLP}(S)$.

In the worst case, the length of such a path is clearly $\Omega(m)$; an easy example is to repeat alternatively the two same queries. In contrast with the fixedpoint strategy, the last-point strategy depends on the query distribution. If the queries have some spatial coherence, it is clear that we improve on the fixed-point strategy. Such a coherence may come from the application, or by reordering the queries. There is always a permutation of indices on $S$ such that the total length of the path is sub-linear [46, 25]. Furthermore, in two dimensions, one could find such permutation in $O(m \log m)$ time complexity [40].

Now, the question we address is "if there is no spatial coherence, how the fixed and last point strategies do compare?".
Theorem 6. The ratio between the lengths of the Location Tree of the last-point strategy and the fixed-point strategy is at most 2.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of the triangle inequality. Take $S=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, and any fixed-point $c$. Then we have:

$$
\left|x_{i} x_{i+1}\right| \leq\left|c x_{i}\right|+\left|c x_{i+1}\right|,
$$

for all $1 \leq i<m$. Summing the term above for each value of $i$ leads to the inequality:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left|x_{i} x_{i+1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left|c x_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left|c x_{i}\right| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|c x_{i}\right|
$$

which completes the proof.
Theorem 7. The ratio between the lengths of the Location Tree of the last-point strategy and the fixed-point strategy is arbitrarily small.
Proof. Consider a set of $m$ queries distributed on a circle in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. If the queries are visited along the circle, the length of the location tree of the last-point strategy is $O(1)$, while $|E S S|=\Omega(m)$.

Combining the results in Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 it is reasonable to conclude that the last-point strategy is better in general, as the improvement the fixed-point strategy could bring does not pay the price of its worst-case behavior. We now study the case of evenly distributed queries.

Theorem 8. Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the expected length of the Location Tree of the last-point strategy is

$$
2^{d+1}\left(\frac{d}{d+1}\right) \frac{B\left(\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1\right)}{B\left(\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \cdot m .
$$

Proof. This is equivalent to find the expected length of a random segment determined by two points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, which is given in [41.

Theorems 34, 4, and 8 give the following result:
Corollary 9. Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the ratio between the expected lengths of the Location Tree of the last-point and the best fixed-point strategies is at most $\sqrt{2}($ when $d \rightarrow \infty)$, and at least $4 / 3($ when $d=1)$ whereas the ratio between the expected Location Tree lengths of the last-point and the worst fixed-point strategies is $2 d /(2 d+1)$.


Figure 4: Expected lengths. Expected average lengths of an edge of the last-point, best and worst fixed-point Location Trees. The domain $\mathcal{C}$ here is a d-dimensional ball, and the queries are evenly distributed in $\mathcal{C}$.

As shown in Figure 4 , the last-point strategy is in between the best and worst fixed-point strategies, but closer and closer to the worst one when $d$ increases. Thus, in the context of evenly distributed points in a ball, the last-point strategy cannot be worse than any fixed point strategy by more than a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. Still, the fixed-point strategy may have some interests under some conditions: (i) queries are known a priori to be random and; (ii) a reasonable approximation of the center of $\operatorname{ESS}(S)$ can be found.

## $4.3 k$-last-points strategy.

We explore here a variation of the last-point strategy. Instead of remembering the place where the last query was located, we store the places where the $k$ last queries were located, for some small constant $k$. These $k$ places are called landmarks in what follows. Then to process a new query, the closest landmarks are determined by $O(k)$ brute-force comparisons, then a walk is performed from there. This strategy has some similarity with Jump \& Walk, the main differences
are that the sample has fixed size and depends on the query distribution (it is dynamically modified).

The Location Tree associated with such a strategy is $E M I T_{k}$. It has bounded degree $k+1$ (or the kissing number in dimension $d$, if it is smaller than $k+1$ ) and its length is greater than $|E M S T|$ and smaller than the length of the path associated to the same vertices ordering, thus previous results provide upper and lower bounds. A tree of length $\Omega(m / k)=\Omega(m)$ is easily achieved by repeating a sequence of $k$ queries along a circle of length 1 . The following Theorem gives the complexity when the queries are evenly distributed:

Theorem 10 ([15], for $\alpha=1$ ). Let $S$ be a sequence of $m$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the expected length of the Location Tree of the $k$-last-points strategy verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) B\left(k+1, \frac{1}{d}\right) \cdot m \leq E(\text { length }) \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) B\left(k+1, \frac{1}{d}\right) \cdot m . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Note that the constant $\gamma_{d}$ in Theorem 2 looks big. But it is indeed too pessimistic. Theorem 10 leads to Theorem 11, which gives a better constant for queries evenly distributed inside the unit sphere.

Theorem 11. Let $S$ be a sequence of $k$ query-points uniformly independent and identically distributed in the unit ball, then the expected value of $\|E M I T(S)\|$ is $2 \cdot \Gamma(1+1 / d) \cdot k^{1-1 / d}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t$ is the Gamma function.

Proof. From Theorem 10, we have that the expected length $l_{i}$ of the $i$-th edge of $\|E M I T(S)\|$ in the unit ball for evenly distributed points, is such that $l_{i} \leq$ $\left(\frac{2}{d}\right) B\left(i+1, \frac{1}{d}\right)$. Where $B(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{x-1}(1-\lambda)^{y-1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ is the Beta function. Summing the expression above for $k-1$ edges, and using the Stirling's identity $B(x, y) \sim \Gamma(y) x^{-y}$, we have that there exists $k_{0}<\infty$, such that for $k>k_{0}$, $\|E M I T(S)\|$ is bounded by $(1+\epsilon) \cdot 2 \cdot \Gamma(1+1 / d) \cdot k^{1-1 / d}$ with $\epsilon$ as small as we want.

Intuitively, if the queries have some not too strong spatial coherence, the $k$-last-points strategy seems a good way to improve the last-point strategy. Surprisingly, experiments in Section 7 shows that even if the points have some strong coherence, a small $k$ strictly greater than 1 improves on the last-point strategy when points are sorted along a space-filling curve. More precisely, $k=4$ improves the location time by up to $15 \%$ on some data sets.

## 5 Keep, Jump \& Walk

### 5.1 Preliminaries: Jump \& Walk

The Jump \& Walk technique takes a random sample of $k$ vertices (the dots in Figure 5) of $\mathcal{T}$, and uses a two-steps location process to locate a query $q$. First, the jump step determines the nearest vertex in the sample in (brute-force) $O(k)$ time, then a walk in $\mathcal{T}$ is performed from that vertex. The usual analysis of Jump \& Walk makes the hypothesis that $\mathcal{T}$ is the Delaunay triangulation of points evenly distributed. Taking $k=n^{1 /(d+1)}$ gives a complexity of $O\left(n^{1 /(d+1)}\right)$ [38, 23].


Figure 5: Jump \& Walk. The walk starts from the nearest landmark (represented by dots above) with respect to the query. Then it ends at the cell containing the query.

### 5.2 A distribution-sensitive modification: Keep, Jump, \& Walk

The classical Jump \& Walk strategy [38, 23] uses a set of $k$ landmarks randomly chosen in the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$, then a query is located by walking from the closest landmark. To ensure adaptation to the query distribution instead of using vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ as landmarks, we keep previous queries as landmarks.Then, we have several possibilities: (i) we can use $k$ queries chosen at random in previous queries, (ii) we can use the $k$ last queries for the set of landmarks, and (iii) we can keep all the queries as landmarks, and regularly clear the landmarks set after a batch of $k$ queries.

For any rule to construct the set of landmarks, the time to process a query $q$ splits in:

- Keep: the time $K(k)$ for updating the set of landmarks if needed,
- Jump: the time $J(k)$ for finding the closest landmark $l_{q}$, and
- Walk: the time $W(k)$ to walk from $l_{q}$ to $q$.

This modification performs surprisingly well in practice, experimental results for method (ii) are provided in Section 7.3 .

We analyze in this section the Keep, Jump, \& Walk strategy. The analyses consider the straight walk as the walk strategy. We start with the following lemma, which is a fundamental piece in this section.

Lemma 12. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of $n$ points following some distribution with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, if the Distribution Condition is verified for a region $\mathcal{C}$ in the convex hull of $\mathcal{T}$, then $W(k)$ has an expected amortized $O\left(\mathcal{F}(n) \cdot k^{-1 / d}+1\right)$ complexity for $k$ queries.

Proof. For $k$ queries, the Location Tree of each variation above is an EMIT with $k$ vertices. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of $n$ points following some distribution with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, if the Distribution Condition is verified for a region $\mathcal{C}$ in the convex hull of $\mathcal{T}$, the expected cost of locating in $\mathcal{T}$ a finite sequence
$S$ of $k$ query points lying in $\mathcal{C}$ is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \cdot \mathcal{F}(n) \cdot \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}}\|e\|+k=\kappa \cdot \mathcal{F}(n) \cdot\|\mathcal{E}\|+k=O\left(\mathcal{F}(n) \cdot k^{1-1 / d}+k\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $W(k)$ has an expected amortized $O\left(\mathcal{F}(n) \cdot k^{-1 / d}+1\right)$ complexity for $k$ queries.

Combining various options for $\mathcal{F}(n)$ and the data-structure to store the landmarks, gives us some interesting possibilities. The trick is always to balance these different costs, since increasing one decreases another.

Jump \& Walk. Classical Jump \& Walk uses a simple data-structure (e.g. a list) to store the random sample of $\mathcal{T}$ and assumes $\mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(n^{1 / d}\right)$. Here, we will use the same data-structure to store the set of landmarks. Keep step decides whether the query is kept at a landmark and inserts it if needed. This takes $Q(k)=O(1)$. Jump step takes $J(k)=O(k)$. Then, using Lemma 12 and taking $k=n^{1 /(d+1)}$ landmarks amongst the queries ensures an amortized query time of $O\left(n^{1 /(d+1)}\right)$. It is noteworthy that the complexity obtained here matches the classical Jump \& Walk complexity with no hypotheses on the distribution of query-points (naturally, the queries must lie in the region $\mathcal{C}$, which in turn must lie inside the domain of $\mathcal{T}$, see Section 3).

Outside this classical framework, Jump \& Walk has some interests, even with weaker hypotheses. In general considering Lemma 12 , taking $k=\mathcal{F}(n)^{1-1 /(d+1)}$ balances the jump and the walk costs. Another remark is that if the landmarks are a random subset of the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ (as is the classical Jump \& Walk), then the cost of the walk is $\mathcal{F}(n / k)$ [20, Variation of Lemma 4]. Assuming $\mathcal{F}(j)=$ $O\left(j^{\beta}\right)$, the jump and the walk costs are balanced by taking $k=n^{1-1 /(\beta+1)}$ in this case.

Besides, if Conjecture 1 is verified, Keep, Jump, \& Walk should use a sample of size $k=O\left(\left(n^{1 /(d-1)}\right)^{1-1 /(d+1)}\right)$ to construct Delaunay triangulation for points on a hypersurface, and not $O\left(n^{1 /(d+1)}\right)$ as for random points in the space. In particular, $k$ should be $O\left(n^{3 / 8}\right)$ in 3D; this is verified experimentally in Section 7, and should be applied in surface reconstruction applications.

Walk \& Walk. In Walk \& Walk, the data-structure to store the landmarks is a Delaunay triangulation $\mathcal{L}$, in which it is possible to walk (notice that $\mathcal{T}$ may not be a Delaunay triangulation). Assuming a random order on the landmarks, inserting or deleting a landmark after location takes $Q(k)=O(1)$ and jump step takes $J(k)=O(\mathcal{F}(k))$.

If the queries and the sites are both evenly distributed we get $J(k)=O\left(k^{1 / d}\right)$ and, by Lemma 12, $W(k)=k^{-1 / d} \cdot \mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(k^{-1 / d} \cdot n^{1 / d}\right)$, which gives $k=\sqrt{n}$ to balance the jump and walk costs. Finally, the point location takes expected amortized time $O\left(n^{1 / 2 d}\right)$.

If walking inside $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ takes linear time, $k=n^{1-1 /(d+1)}$ balances Walk \& Walk costs.

Delaunay Hierarchy of Queries. A natural idea is to use several layers of triangulations, walking at each level from the location at the coarser layer. When the landmarks are vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ and each sample takes a constant ratio of the vertices at the level below, this idea yields the Delaunay hierarchy [20].

Storing the queries in a Delaunay hierarchy may have some interesting effects: If the region $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ has some bad behavior $\mathcal{F}(n) \gg n^{1 / d}$ and there is
many well-distributed queries, we can get interesting query time to the price of polynomial storage. More precisely, if the queries are such that a random sample of the queries has a Delaunay triangulation of expected linear size (always true in 2D), then using a random sample of $k$ queries for the landmarks and a Delaunay hierarchy to store $\mathcal{L}$, gives $Q(k)=J(k)=O(\log k)$. Then by Lemma 12 we have $W(k)=O\left(k^{-1 / d} \cdot \mathcal{F}(n)\right)$ (amortized) and taking $k=\mathcal{F}(n)^{d} / \log ^{d} n$ balances jump and walk costs, leading to an expected amortized logarithmic query time.

## 6 Climbing Up in the Delaunay Hierarchy

In this section, we show how the Delaunay hierarchy can be made distributionsensitive under some hypotheses. Assume $\mathcal{T}$ is a Delaunay triangulation, then classical use of the Delaunay hierarchy provides a logarithmic cost in the total size of $\mathcal{T}$ to locate a point. The cost we reach here is logarithmic in the number of vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ in between the starting point and the query.

Given a set of $n$ points $\mathcal{P}$ in the space, we assume that the expected size of the Delaunay triangulation of a random sample of size $r$ of $\mathcal{P}$ has linear size. The hypothesis is always verified in 2 D , and proved in several other situations: points randomly distributed in space [24] or on an hypersurface [26, 7, 8, 5]. The Delaunay hierarchy [20] constructs random samples $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2} \subseteq$ $\ldots \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{h}$ such that $\operatorname{Prob}\left(p \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}_{i}\right)=1 / \alpha$ for some constant $\alpha>1$. The $h+1$ Delaunay triangulations $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ are computed and the hierarchy is used to find the nearest neighbor of a query $q$ by walking at one level $i$ from the nearest neighbor of $q$ at the level $i+1$. It is proven that the expected cost of walking at one level is $O(\alpha)$ and since the expected number of levels is $\log _{\alpha} n$, we obtain a logarithmic expected time to descend the hierarchy for point location.

If a good starting vertex $v=v_{0}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is known, the Delaunay hierarchy can be used in another way: From $v_{0}$ a walk starts in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ visiting simplices crossed by segment $v_{0} q$; the walk is stopped, either if the simplex containing $q$ is found, or if a simplex having a vertex $v_{1}$ belonging to the sample $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is found. If the walk stops because $v_{1}$ is


Figure 6: Climbing. found, then a new walk in $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ starts at $v_{1}$ along segment $v_{1} q$. This process continues recursively up to the level $l$, where a simplex of $\mathcal{D}_{l}$ that contains $q$ is found (see Figure 6). Finally, the hierarchy is descended as in the usual point location. Theorem 13 bounds the complexity of this procedure.

Theorem 13. Given a set of $n$ points $\mathcal{P}$, and a convex region $\mathcal{C} \subseteq C H(\mathcal{P})$, such that the Delaunay triangulation of a random sample of size $r$ of $\mathcal{P}$
(i) has expected size $O(r)$
(ii) satisfies the Distribution condition in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{F}(r)=r^{\beta}$ for some constant $\beta$, then the expected cost of climbing and descending the Delaunay hierarchy from a vertex $v$ to a query point $q$, both lying in $\mathcal{C}$, is $O(\log w)$, where $w$ is the expected cost of the walk from $v$ to $q$ in $\mathcal{D}$ the Delaunay triangulation of $\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Climbing one level. Since the probability that any vertex of $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{i+1}$ is $1 / \alpha$, and that each time a new simplex is visited during the walk a new vertex is discovered, the expected number of visited simplices before the detection of a vertex that belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{i+1}$ is $1+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{j}=\alpha$.
Descending one level. The cost of descending one level is $O(\alpha)$ [20, Lemma 4].
Number of levels. Let $w_{i}$ denote the number of edges crossed by $v_{i} q$ in $\mathcal{D}_{i}$; the Distribution Condition gives $w_{i}=\mathcal{F}\left(n / \alpha^{i}\right)\left\|v_{i} q\right\| \leq \mathcal{F}\left(n / \alpha^{i}\right)\left\|v_{0} q\right\|$. If $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is a polynomial function $O\left(r^{\beta}\right)$, the expected number of levels that we climb before descending is less than $l=\left(\log w_{0}\right) / \beta$, since we have

$$
w_{l}=\mathcal{F}\left(n / \alpha^{l}\right)\left\|v_{l} q\right\| \leq \mathcal{F}\left(n / \alpha^{l}\right)\left\|v_{0} q\right\|=w_{0} / \alpha^{l \beta}=w_{0} / \alpha^{\log w_{0}}=1
$$

(where the big $O$ have been omitted). Then, at level $l$ the walk takes constant time.

Please remind that in Section 5, we keep landmarks in order to improve the classical walking algorithm, which leads to Keep, Jump, \& Walk. Now, it is natural to improve the climbing algorithm described above by adding landmarks in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ as well, and starting the climbing procedure from the nearest landmark. Since the complexity of climbing is logarithm and not polynomial, to balance the different costs, the number of landmarks has also to be logarithmic. Such a variant, called Keep, Jump, $\mathcal{E}$ Climb, does not improve the theoretical complexity, which remains logarithmic as for descending or climbing the Delaunay hierarchy. However, it allows us to make the structure distribution-sensitive without penalizing that complexity. This approach will be evaluated experimentally in the next section.

## 7 Experimental Results

Experiments have been realized on synthetic and realistic models (scaled to fit in the unit cube). The scanned models used here: Pooran's Hand and GALAAD, are taken from the Aim@shape repository. The hardware used for the experiments described in the sequel, is a MacBook Pro 3,1 equipped with an 2.6 GHz Intel Core 2 processor and 2 GB of RAM, Mac OS X version 10.5.7. The software uses Cgal 3.6 and is compiled with g++ 4.3.2 and options -озdndebug. All the triangulations in the experiments are Delaunay triangulations. Each experiment was repeated 30 times, and the average is taken. The walking strategy used in the section is the stochastic walk.

We consider the following data sets in 2D: UNIFORM SQUARE, points evenly distributed in the unit square, ANISOTROPIC SQUARE, points distributed in a
square with a $\rho=x^{2}$ density, ELLIPSE, points evenly distributed on an ellipse; the lengths of the axes are $1 / 2$, and 1 . We consider the following data sets in 3D: uniform cube. Points evenly distributed in the unit cube. ANisotropic cube. Points distributed in a cube with a $\rho=x^{2}$ density. Ellipsoid. Points evenly distributed on the surface of an ellipsoid; the lengths of the ellipsoid axes are $1 / 3,2 / 3$, and 1 . Pooran's Hand. It is a data set obtained by scanning a 3D model of a hand. GALAAD. It is a data set obtained by scanning a 3D model of a toy soldier.


Figure 7: Scanned models.
Files of different sizes, smaller than the original model are obtained by taking random samples of the main file with the desired number of points.

### 7.1 The Distribution Condition

Our first set of experiments is an experimental verification of the Distribution Condition. We compute the Delaunay triangulation of different inputs, either artificial or realistic, with several sizes; for realistic inputs we construct files of various sizes by taking random samples of the desired size.

Figure 8 shows the number of crossed tetrahedra in terms of the length of the walk, for various randomly chosen walks in the triangulation. A linear behavior with some dispersion is shown. Though, from this experiment, the walks that deviates significantly from the average behavior are more likely to be faster than slower, which is a good news.

From Figure 8, the slope of lines best fitting these point clouds give an estimation of $\mathcal{F}(n)$ for a particular $n$ (namely $n=2^{20}$ ). By doing these computations for several $n$, we draw $\mathcal{F}(n)$ in terms of the triangulation size in Figure 9 , If $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is clearly bounded by a polynomial on $n$, then curves in Figure 9 should be concave. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is a polynomial on $n$, then curves in Figure 9 should be lines; this seems true for the ellipsoid and the cubes. Now, from the biggest slope of these different lines we evaluate the exponent of $n$. The points sampled on an ellipsoid give $\mathcal{F}(n) \sim n^{0.52}$, which is not far from Conjecture 1 that claims $\mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$. The points evenly distributed in a cube gives


Figure 8: Distribution condition. $\sharp$ of crossed tetrahedra in terms of the length of the walk. The number of points sampled in each model here is $2^{20}$.
$\mathcal{F}(n) \sim n^{0.31}$, which is not far from $\mathcal{F}(n)=O\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)$. For the scanned models, the curves are a bit concave, with a slope always smaller than 0.5 ; the Conjecture 1 is also verified in these cases, since the Distribution Condition claims only an upper bound and not an exact value for the number of visited tetrahedra.


Figure 9: Distribution condition. Assuming $\mathcal{F}(n)=n^{\alpha}$, $\alpha$ is given by the slope of above "lines" ( $\log$ here is $\log _{2}$ ).

## $7.2 k$-last-points strategy

CGAL library [39] uses spatial sorting [17] to introduce a strong spatial coherence in a set of points. For several models, we locate $1 M$ queries evenly distributed inside the model with the $k$-last-point strategy after a spatial sorting of the queries. Surprisingly, using a small $k$ slightly improves on $k=1$ which indicates that even with such a strong coherence, $k$-last-points strategy is relevant. Table 1 shows the running times on various sets for different values of $k$, taking $k=4$ always improves on $k=1$ and in some cases by a substantial amount.

| k | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $k=4$ improves on $k=1$ by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIFORM SQUARE | 1.70 s | 1.65 s | 1.65 s | 1.65 s | 1.66 s | 1.67 s | 2\% |
| ANISOTROPIC SQUARE | 1.64 s | 1.61 s | 1.60 s | 1.60 s | 1.61 s | 1.62 s | 1\% |
| ELLIPSE | 3.07s | 2.73s | 2.62s | 2.56s | 2.54s | 2.52s | 17\% |
| 3D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIFORM CUBE | 3.57 s | 3.45 s | 3.41s | 3.39 s | 3.40 s | 3.46 s | 5\% |
| ANISOTROPIC CUBE | 3.45 s | 3.35 s | 3.32 s | 3.31 s | 3.32 s | 3.39 s | 4\% |
| ELLIPSOID | 6.34s | 5.71s | 5.48s | 5.38s | 5.34s | 5.44s | 15\% |
| Pooran's Hand | 3.81s | 3.63s | 3.58s | 3.57s | 3.56s | 3.63s | 6\% |
| Galamd | 4.19 s | 4.08 s | 4.04 s | 4.03 s | 4.07 s | 4.12 s | 3\% |

Table 1: Static point location with space-filling heuristic plus last-kpoints strategy. Times are in seconds.

### 7.3 Keep, Jump, \& Walk and Keep, Jump, \& Climb

Now, we compare the performance of various point location procedures: classical Jump \& Walk (J\&W), walk starting at the previous query (last-point), Keep, Jump, \& Walk described in Section $5(\mathbf{K} \& J \& W)$, descending the Delaunay hierarchy (classical [20), climbing the Delaunay Hierarchy (Climb), and Keep, Jump, \& Climb $(\mathbf{K} \& \mathbf{J} \& \mathbf{C}){ }^{1}$. For this purpose we consider the following experiment scenarios.

Scenario I - This scenario is designed to show how the proximity of queries relates to the point location algorithms performance. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a scanned model with $2^{20}$ vertices inside the unit cube, we first define $S_{i}$, for $i=0, \ldots, 20$, the $2^{i}$ vertices of $\mathcal{M}$ closest to the cube center. When $i$ is large (resp. small), points are distributed in a large (resp. small) region on $\mathcal{M}$. Then, we form the sequence $A_{i}$ of $2^{20}$ points by taking $2^{20}$ times a random point from $S_{i}$ (repetitions are allowed) and slightly perturbing these points. The perturbation actually removes duplicates and ensure that most of the queries are strictly inside a Delaunay tetrahedron and thus prevent many filter failures. Figure 10 shows the computation times for point location and different strategies in function of $i$.

Scentrio II - This scenario is designed to show how the spatial coherence of queries relates with the point location algorithms performance. Imagine a scenario where $N$ random walkers $w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{N-1}$, are walking simultaneously with the same speed inside the unit cube containing $\mathcal{M}$, and at each steps, queries are issued for each walker position. Each random walker starts at different positions and with different directions. One step consists of a displacement of length 0.01 for all walkers. In Figure 11, we compute the time to complete all $2^{20}$ queries generated by 1 to 20 random walkers, for different strategies. One single walker means a very strong spatial coherence. Conversely, several walkers mean a weaker spatial coherence.

The walk strategy used in the experiments is the stochastic walk. To guarantee honest comparisons, we use the same stochastic walk implementation for all the experiments: the stochastic walk implemented in Cgal [48, 39].


Figure 10: Results for scenario I (proximity of queries). Computation times of the various algorithms in function of the number of points on the model enclosed by a ball centered at ( $0.5,0.5,0.5$ ) for: (a) Pooran's Hand model; and (b) Galaad model.

[^0]From Scentrio I. Figure 10 depicts the running time for $2^{20}$ queries. One can observe that Keep, Jump, \& Walk actually benefits from the proximity of the queries and is clearly better than Jump \& Walk and even better than the Delaunay hierarchy if the portion of $\mathcal{M}$ where the queries lie in is below $6 \%$ of the total surface of $\mathcal{M}$. Taking $n^{3 / 8}$ landmarks instead of $n^{1 / 4}$ performs clearly better which is another experimental validation of Conjecture 1 (as announced in Section 5). Not surprisingly, climbing the hierarchy is slower than descending the hierarchy when there is no locality and improves with locality. Finally, Keep, Jump, \& Climb combines all the advantages and appears as the best solution in practice for this experiment.


Figure 11: Results for scenario II (coherence of queries). Computation times of the various algorithms in function of the number of parallel random walkers for: (a) Pooran's Hand model; and (b) Galaad model. Less random walkers mean strong spatial coherence (a single walker pushes that to an extreme).

From Scenario II (Figure 11). With a single walker, the spatial coherence is very strong and we expect a very good result for the last-point strategy since it highly benefits from previous location without any overhead for maintaining any structure of any case. This is indeed what happens, but Keep, Jump, \& Walk and Keep, Jump, \& Climb remain quite close. And, of course, when the number of walkers increases, performance of last-point strategy fall down, while Keep, Jump, \& Walk/Climb still have good running times. An interesting observation is that the Keep, Jump, \& Walk with $n^{3 / 8}$ landmarks does not seem to be strongly dependent on the number of walkers.

## 8 Conclusion

Our aim was to improve in practice the performance of point location in triangulation and we are mostly interested in

- queries with spatial coherence
- inside 3D triangulations
- in the CGAL library.

Before starting this work, our best data structure for this purpose was the Delaunay hierarchy, which can handle 1 M queries in a 1 M points triangulation in about 15 seconds for various scenarios. We have proposed Keep, Jump, \& Climb, a new way of using the Delaunay hierarchy, which is never slower and often significantly faster than the classical descent of the Delaunay hierarchy in our experiments. For a reasonable amount of spatial coherence of the queries, running time are improved by a factor 2 .

One of our main tool in the theoretical analysis of our work is the introduction of the Distribution Condition that relates the expected number of tetrahedra intersected by a line segment with its length. It allows to analyze algorithms in a more general context than Delaunay triangulation of evenly distributed points. Our experiments shows that the Distribution Condition actually corresponds to some practical cases.

From a theoretical point of view, climbing the Delaunay hierarchy provides a solution to the problem of distribution-sensitive point location, which is much simpler and faster than previous data structures [32, 19], but requires some reasonable hypotheses on the point set.

As a final remark, we want to insist on the dichotomy between the straight and stochastic walk. The straight walk is used in theoretical analysis for simplicity and usage of previous results, while the visibility walk is used in practice since it is faster and easier to implement. Thus an interesting research direction is to get a better theoretical basis for the use of the stochastic walk.

## 9 Open problems

The Distribution Condition brings several questions for the computational geometers. The first question is the one raised in Conjecture 1

Question 14. Do the Delaunay triangulations of $n$ points evenly distributed on a bounded $\delta$-dimensional manifold embedded in the d-dimensional space behave similarly to points evenly distributed in the Euclidean space of dimension $\delta$ with respect to the Distribution Condition?

If Conjecture 1 has an affirmative answer, then walking on such triangulations does not depend on the ambient dimension, but only on the manifold dimension.

Figure 8 and 9 invite us to believe in a positive answer even if the points are not actually evenly distributed (they come from a laser scan), thus we may wonder what are actually the hypotheses needed by the conjecture.

Question 15. What hypotheses a sampling of a bounded $\delta$-dimensional manifold embedded in the d-dimensional space should verify such that the Delaunay triangulation satisfy the Distribution Condition with $\mathcal{F}(n)=n^{1 / \delta}$ ?

Recently Connor and Kumar [14] has been able to produce a practical point location algorithm in the plane and a $k$-nearest neighbor graph construction algorithm [13]. Their work relies in a well-known hypothesis called the constant factor expansion hypothesis. Let $S$ be a finite set of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathcal{B}(c, r)$ be the ball with radius $r$ centered at $c$, and $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{k}(q)$ the $k$-th nearest neighbor of $q$. Then the constant factor expansion hypothesis requires that, for any point $q$ lying in some region $\mathcal{C}$ and any $k=1, \ldots, n$, the number of points of $S$ enclosed by $\mathcal{B}\left(q, 2 \cdot\left\|q \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{k}(q)\right\|\right) \leq \gamma k$, where $\gamma=O(1)$. The constant factor expansion hypothesis and the Distribution Condition seem to be related, such relation will allow to translate results form points sets satifying one hypothesis to the other.

Question 16. Are the Distribution Condition related, in some sense, to the constant factor expansion hypothesis?

In the plane, using the Delaunay Hierarchy of Queries, one can obtain a $o(\log n)$ distribution-sensitive point location algorithm (in expectation and amortization), as long as the triangulation scheme and distribution of points satisfy the Distribution Condition with $\mathcal{F}=o\left(n^{\epsilon}\right), \forall \epsilon>0$, in the domain the queries lie in. Such triangulation schemes with sub-polynomial Distribution Condition seems quite restrictive but they indeed exists as shown by the example of Figure 12


Figure 12: Fractal-like scheme. The triangulation scheme above, for points uniformly distributed on the circle, satisfy the Distribution Condition with $\mathcal{F}=O(\log n)$ for any closed region inside the circle. However, if we take a segment s intersecting the circle, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, s intersects the same number of cells regardless of its size, violating the Distribution Condition.

Question 17. What is the least restrictive set of hypotheses on the triangulation and on the queries, such that a o $(\log n)$ distribution-sensitive point location algorithm is possible?

Question 18. In the plane, is it possible to climb in the Delaunay Hierarchy with a good complexity and with less restrictive hypotheses than Theorem 13?

Question 19. Let $\Delta$ be any triangulation scheme (such as Delaunay, Regular, Constrained, ...), let $\rho$ be any distribution of points with compact support $\Sigma \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be any region inside $\Sigma$ with positive volume. For a triangulation
$\mathcal{T}$ of $n$ points following distribution $\rho$ and built upon the triangulation scheme $\Delta$, does the Distribution Condition necessarily hold almost everywhere in $\mathcal{C}$, for some polynomial $\mathcal{F}$ (say $\left.\mathcal{F}=n^{\lceil d / 2\rceil}\right)$ ?

Note that regions that does not satisfy the Distribution Condition exist; see Figure 12 (the circle). However, the region has no volume. We could not find an example of triangulation scheme and distribution of points, such that the Distribution Condition does not hold for some polynomial $\mathcal{F}(n)$, with a positive volume.

Finally, we insist one last time in the dichotomy between straight walk and stochastic walk.

Question 20. What is the actual complexity of the stochastic walk?
Acknowledgments The authors wish to thank Aim@shape for providing the realistic models.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All these point location strategies are also implemented in a javascript demo; we made it available at [16].

