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## Objectives

- Study the implicit Euler discretization of a class of differential inclusions with sliding surfaces ( $\subset$ Filippov's systems)
- Show that this numerical method permits a smooth stabilization on the sliding surface, in a finite number of steps
- Show how this may be used in real-time implementations of sliding mode control

To start with we consider the simplest case:

$$
\dot{x}(t) \in-\operatorname{sgn}(x(t))=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x(t)<0  \tag{1}\\
-1 & \text { if } & x(t)>0 \\
{[-1,1]} & \text { if } & x(t)=0
\end{array}, x(0)=x_{0}\right.
$$

with $x(t) \in \boldsymbol{R}$. This system possesses a unique Lipschitz continuous solution for any $x_{0}$. The backward Euler discretization of (1) reads as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{k+1}-x_{k}=-h s_{k+1}  \tag{2}\\
s_{k+1} \in \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{k+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

As is known the explicit Euler discretization of such discontinuous systems yields spurious oscillations around the switching surface [Galias et al, IEEE TAC and CAS 2006, 2007, 2008].
$\rightsquigarrow$ this means that the derivative of the switching function while sliding occurs, is very badly estimated.

Both the explicit and the implicit methods converge (the approximated solution $x^{N}(\cdot)$ tends to the Filippov's solution as $h \rightarrow 0$ ).
However or the backward Euler method the following holds:
Lemma
For all $h>0$ and $x_{0} \in \boldsymbol{R}$, there exists $k_{0}$ such that $x_{k_{0}+n}=0$ and $\frac{x_{k_{0}+n+1}-x_{k_{0}+n}}{h}=0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

On this simple case this has the following graphical interpretation, as the intersection of two graphs:


Figure: Iterations of the backward Euler method.

An interesting property is that the smooth stabilization and the finite-time convergence on the switching surface, hold (more or less) independently of the step $h>0$ :

(a) $h=0.2$

(b) $h=0.02$

(c) $h=0.01$

Figure: A simple example for $x_{0}=1.01$ at $t_{0}=0$.

## EXTENSIONS

We shall focus on inclusions of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t) \in f(t, x(t))-B \operatorname{Sgn}(C x(t)+D), \text { a.e. on }(0, T)  \tag{3}\\
x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with
$B \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n \times m}$
$\operatorname{Sgn}(C x(t)+D) \triangleq\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(C_{1} x+D_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{sgn}\left(C_{m} x+D_{m}\right)\right)^{T} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{m}$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)$ is multivalued at 0 .

## Well-posedness of the differential inclusions (3)

## Proposition

Consider the differential inclusion in (3). Suppose that

- There exists $L \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in[0, T]$, for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, one has $\left\|f\left(t, x_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}\right)\right\| \leq L\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|$.
- There exists a function $\Phi(\cdot)$ such that for all $R \geq 0$ :

$$
\Phi(R)=\sup \left\{\left.\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\cdot, v)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}\left((0, T) ; \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)} \right\rvert\,\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}\left((0, T) ; \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)} \leq R\right\}<+\infty .
$$

If there exists an $n \times n$ matrix $P=P^{T}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P B_{\bullet i}=C_{i \bullet}^{T} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, then for any initial data the differential inclusion (3) has a unique solution $x:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ that is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof of Proposition 1: The proof uses the change of state variables $z=R x$ where $R=R^{T}>0$ and $R^{2}=P$. After some manipulations the system is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t) \in R f\left(t, R^{-1} z(t)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial f_{i}(z(t)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(z)=\left|C_{i \bullet} R^{-1} z+D_{i}\right|$. The multivalued mapping $z \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial f_{i}(z(t))$ is maximal monotone. We then use a result in [Bastien-Schatzman ESAIM M2AN 2002] to conclude.

- The existence of a positive definite $P$ such that $P B=C^{T}$ is satisfied in many instances of sliding-mode control: observer-based sliding-mode control, Lyapunov-based discontinuous robust control.
- This is an "input-output" constraint on the system, constraining the relative degree of the triple $(A, B, C)$.
- It is satisfied when $(A, B, C)$ is positive real (dissipative).


## Time-discretization of (3)

The differential inclusion in (3) is therefore discretized as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}{h} \in f\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)-B \operatorname{Sgn}\left(C x_{k+1}+D\right), \text { a.e. on }(0, T)  \tag{6}\\
x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From [Bastien-Schatzman ESAIM M2AN 2002] we have that:
Proposition
Under Proposition 1 conditions, there exists $\eta$ such that for all $h>0$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For all } t \in[0, T],\left\|x(t)-x^{N}(t)\right\| \leq \eta \sqrt{h} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover
$\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|x(t)-x^{N}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|x(s)-x^{N}(s)\right\|^{2} d s=0$.

However we have more: the discrete state reaches the sliding surface (when it exists) in a finite number of steps, and stabilizes on it in a smooth way.
Let $y(t) \triangleq C x(t)+D$.

## Lemma

Let us assume that a sliding mode occurs for the index $\alpha \subset\{1 \ldots m\}$, that is $y_{\alpha}(t)=0, t>t_{*}$. Let $C$ and $B$ be such that (4) holds and $C_{\alpha} \bullet B_{\bullet \alpha}>0$. Then there exists $h_{c}>0$ such that $\forall h<h_{c}$, there exists $k_{0} \in N$ such that $y_{k_{0}+n}=C x_{k_{0}+n+1}+D=0$ for all integers $n \geq 1$.

Such algorithms are similar to proximal algorithms which possess finite-time stabilization properties [Baji and Cabot, Set-Valued Analysis 2006].

## Remarks

- Contrarily to other methods that reduce (not suppress...) chattering, the discrete-time sliding surface is equal to the continuous-time sliding surface.
- At each step one has to solve a generalized equation with unknown $x_{k+1}$ that takes the form of a mixed linear complementarity system (MLCP).
- Specific MLCP solvers are needed to implement the method.


## NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

Let us consider the following two examples:

$$
\dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{8}\\
0 & -c_{1}
\end{array}\right] x-\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right] \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right] x\right)
$$

(codimension one sliding surface)

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2  \tag{9}\\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad D=0, \quad f(x(t), t)=0
$$

(codimension two sliding surface)


Figure: Equivalent control based SMC, $c_{1}=1, \alpha=1$ and $x_{0}=[0,2.21]^{T}$. State $x_{1}(t)$ versus $x_{2}(t)$.

(a) state $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ versus time


(b) phase portrait $x_{2}(t)$ (c) sgn function $s_{1}(t)$ and versus $x_{1}(t) \quad s_{2}(t)$

Figure: Multiple Sliding surface. $h=0.02, x(0)=[1.0,-1.0]^{T}$

The system reaches firstly the sliding surface $2 x_{2}+x_{1}=0$ without any chattering, The system then slides on the surface up to reaching the second sliding surface $2 x_{1}-x_{2}=0$ and comes to rest at the origin.

## The Filippov's example with switches accumulation

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2  \tag{10}\\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad D=0, \quad f(x(t), t)=0
$$

The trajectories may slide on the codimension 2 surface given by $C x=0$. The origin is attained after an infinite number of switches in finite time.


Figure: Multiple Sliding surface. Filippov Example. $h=0.002$, $x(0)=[1.0,-1.0]^{T}$

The results show that the system reaches the origin without any chattering.

## The case of Zero Holding discretization (ZOH) for control implementation

The ZOH discretization of linear time invariant systems $\dot{x}(t)=F x(t)+G u(t)$ with an ECB-SMC controller, $u(x)=-(C G)^{-1}(C F x+\alpha \operatorname{Sgn}(C x)), \alpha>0$ results in a discrete-time system of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=\Phi x_{k}-\Gamma s_{k} \text { for all } t \in[k h,(k+1) h) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h>0$ is the sampling period, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi & =\exp (F h)-\int_{0}^{h} \exp (F \tau) d \tau G(C G)^{-1} C F  \tag{12}\\
\Gamma & =\int_{0}^{h} \exp (F \tau) G(C G)^{-1} d \tau \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

with $G \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n \times m}, C \in \boldsymbol{R}^{m \times n}$, when an explicit Euler implementation of the control is performed.

Similarly to the explicit Euler method, the explicit ZOH discretization may yield spurious oscillations as shown in [Galias-Yu, IEEE CAS 2008].

For an implicit Euler implementation, let us set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{k}=-(C G)^{-1}\left(C F x_{k}+s_{k+1}\right)  \tag{14}\\
s_{k+1}=\operatorname{Sgn}\left(C x_{k+1}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

which corresponds to the implicit discrete time version of the ECB-SMC controller. We therefore get on each sampling period:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=\Phi x_{k}-\Gamma s_{k+1} \text { for all } t \in[k h,(k+1) h) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

At each time-step, one has to solve

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{k+1}=\Phi x_{k}-\Gamma s_{k+1}  \tag{16}\\
y_{k+1}=C x_{k+1}+D \\
s_{k+1} \in \operatorname{Sgn}\left(y_{k+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Inserting the first line of (16) into the second line we obtain the following one-step system (MLCP)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{k+1}=C \Phi x_{k}+D-C \Gamma s_{k+1}  \tag{17}\\
s_{k+1} \in \operatorname{Sgn}\left(y_{k+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The control scheme looks like:


Figure: Control system scheme with implicit Euler implementation.

## A numerical example

The LTI system with an ECB-SMC controller is defined by the following data,

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{18}\\
-a_{1} & -a_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad G=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Starting from the initial data, $x_{0}=[0.55,0,55]^{T}$, [Galias-Yu, IEEE CAS 2008] have shown that the Explicit ZOH discretization of the system with $a_{1}=-2, a_{2}=2, c_{1}=1$ and $h=0.3$ exhibits a period-2 orbit.


Figure: Equivalent control based SMC, $a_{1}=-2, a_{2}=2, c_{1}=1$ and $h=0.3 . x_{0}=[0.55,0,55]^{T}$ State $x_{1}(t)$ versus $x_{2}(t)$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ the chattering on the switching surface is suppressed.

## CONCLUSIONS

The implicit Euler method allows one to nicely simulate the main features of sliding-mode systems:

- Finite-time stabilization on the switching surface (of codimension $\geq 1$ )
- Smooth stabilization on the switching surface

It extends to the discrete-time implementation with ZOH discretization: looks like a promising solution for discrete-time sliding modes.

