



**HAL**  
open science

# Encoding rewriting strategies in lambda-calculi with patterns

Germain Faure

► **To cite this version:**

Germain Faure. Encoding rewriting strategies in lambda-calculi with patterns. [Research Report] RR-7025, INRIA. 2009. inria-00413178v2

**HAL Id: inria-00413178**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00413178v2>**

Submitted on 3 Sep 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

*Encoding rewriting strategies in  
 $\lambda$ -calculi with patterns*

Germain Faure

N° 7025

Septembre 2009

Thème SYM

 *rapport  
de recherche*



## Encoding rewriting strategies in $\lambda$ -calculi with patterns

Germain Faure

Thème SYM — Systèmes symboliques  
Équipes-Projets TypiCal

Rapport de recherche n° 7025 — Septembre 2009 — 6 pages

**Abstract:** We propose a patch to the pure pattern calculus: we claim that this is strictly more powerful to define the application of the match fail as the pure  $\lambda$ -term defining the boolean `false` instead of the identity function as it is done in the original version of the pure pattern calculus [JK09].

We show that using non algebraic patterns we are able to encode in a natural way any rewriting strategies as well as the branching construct `|` used in functional programming languages.

We close the open question (raised in [Cir00, CK01]) whether rewriting strategies can be directly encoded in  $\lambda$ -calculi with patterns.

**Key-words:** Rewriting strategies, lambda-calculus with patterns, rewriting calculus, pure pattern calculus, higher-order encodings.

## Encodage des stratégies de réécritures dans les $\lambda$ -calculs avec motifs

**Résumé :** Nous proposons une alternative au calcul pur de motifs: nous affirmons qu'il est strictement plus puissant de définir l'application du filtre d'échec comme le terme du  $\lambda$ -calcul pur définissant la constante `false` plutôt que de le définir comme la fonction identité comme cela a été fait dans la version originale du calcul pur de motifs [JK09].

Nous montrons qu'en utilisant des motifs non algébriques nous pouvons obtenir un encodage naturel des stratégies de réécriture ainsi que du constructeur de branchement | des langages fonctionnels.

Nous clôturons la question ouverte (formulée dans [Cir00, CK01]) si les stratégies de réécriture sont directement encodables dans les  $\lambda$ -calculs avec motifs.

**Mots-clés :** Stratégies de réécriture, lambda-calcul de motifs, calcul de réécriture, calcul pur de motifs, encodage d'ordre supérieur.

## 1 Preliminaries

We first recall some classical encoding of pairs, boolean etc. in the pure  $\lambda$ -calculus (see for example [Bar84])

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle t, u \rangle &= \lambda x. x t u \\
\pi_1 t &= t (\lambda xy. x) \\
\pi_2 t &= t (\lambda xy. y) \\
\\ 
\mathbf{true} &= \lambda xy. x \\
\mathbf{false} &= \lambda xy. y \\
\mathbf{if } t \mathbf{ then } u \mathbf{ else } v &= t u v \\
\\ 
\mathbf{let } x = t \mathbf{ in } u &= (\lambda x. u) t \\
\\ 
\mathbf{fix} &= (\lambda x. \lambda f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x. \lambda f. f(x x f))
\end{aligned}$$

If  $C[\ ]$  is a context then we will write  $fun = \mathbf{fix}(C[fun])$  for the definition of a recursive function called  $fun$  and defined by  $\mathbf{fix} (\lambda s. C[s])$ .

## 2 Yet a more general framework

We consider the general framework given in Section 2 of [JK09] and use the same notations. We first begin by a remark. The application of a match  $\mu$  to a term can be defined in a more general way as follows: If  $\mu$  is a substitution, then the application of the match to a term is obtained by applying the substitution to variables of the term as explained in [JK09]. If  $\mu$  is  $\mathbf{fail}$ , we define

$$\mathbf{fail } t = u$$

where  $u$  is an arbitrary term [the calculus is parametrized by this term  $u$ ].

**Theorem 2.1 (Confluence)** *The pure pattern calculus, as defined in Section 3 of [JK09] but with the above application of a match, is confluent when  $u$  is a pure  $\lambda$ -term in normal form.*

**Proof:**

actly the same as the one of the original paper. Note that the case

$$\mathbf{fail } t = [x] \hat{x} \rightarrow x$$

is now subsumed.

## 3 Encoding strategies

In this section, we give a semantics to rewriting strategies as they are used in rewriting-based languages such as Tom [BBK<sup>+</sup>07] or Stratego [Vis01]. We refer for example to [Rei06, BMR08] for their presentation.

In the original paper on the rewriting calculus, rewriting strategies were encoded in an ad-hoc extension, using what they called the `first` operator. But the question whether rewriting strategies can be directly encoded in  $\lambda$ -calculus with patterns were remained open.

### 3.1 Informal presentation of the encoding

To encode strategies, we need to test failure and success, in particular to encode the choice operator. In the following, a strategy is going to be encoded by a function returning a pair made of first a boolean whose value depends on the success or failure of the strategy application and secondly, in case of success, the second term of the pair represent the result of the strategy application. We then use the following encoding<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{first}(t_1, t_2) = \lambda x. \mathbf{let} \ a = t_1 \ x \ \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{if} \ \pi_1 a \ \mathbf{then} \ a \ \mathbf{else} \ t_2 \ x$$

### 3.2 Definition and properties of the encoding

We now suppose given an instance of the pure pattern calculus where the application of the match `fail` is given by:

$$\mathbf{fail} \ t = \mathbf{false}$$

where `false` is defined in Section 1.

We want that the function  $\phi$  giving the encoding of strategies in the pure pattern calculus (and defined below) satisfies the following theorem

**Theorem 3.1** *For any strategy  $s$ , for any terms  $t$  and  $u$ : if  $t \rightarrow_s^* u$  ( $t$  rewrites to  $u$  under the strategy  $s$ ) then*

$$\phi(s) \ t \rightarrow^* \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{false}, \_ \rangle & \text{if } u \text{ a failure} \\ \langle \mathbf{true}, u \rangle & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\_$  denotes a term depending on  $s, t, u$  and of which we don't care the value.

**Definition 3.2** *The function  $\phi$  is inductively defined in Fig. 1.*

As usual, in the encoding of  $one(s)$ , the order of application of  $s$  to the children is fixed. It is easy to prove that Th. 3.1 holds for the definition of  $\phi$  given in Fig. 1.

**Remark 3.3** *Some other strategies can be encoded either using the above operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{choice}(s_1, s_2) &= \mathbf{IfThenElse}(s_1, s_1, s_2) \\ \mathbf{try}(s) &= \mathbf{IfThenElse}(s, s, \mathbf{Identity}) \\ \mathbf{not}(s) &= \mathbf{IfThenElse}(s, \mathbf{Fail}, \mathbf{Identity}) \end{aligned}$$

or directly (which is slightly more efficient for choice and try):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{choice}(s_1, s_2)) &= \lambda x. \mathbf{let} \ a = \phi(s_1) \ x \ \mathbf{in} \ (\mathbf{if} \ \pi_1 a \ \mathbf{then} \ a \ \mathbf{else} \ \phi(s_2) \ x) \\ \phi(\mathbf{try}(s)) &= \lambda x. \mathbf{let} \ a = \phi(s) \ x \ \mathbf{in} \ (\mathbf{if} \ \pi_1 a \ \mathbf{then} \ a \ \mathbf{else} \ x) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>recalling the `first` operator of [Cir00, CK01]

**Remark 3.4 Encoding of the branching construct** *To define the branching construct we can use the choice operator. But, in the case of pattern-matching defined in functional programming languages, pattern-matching is exhaustive and then the “final” result cannot be a failure. We thus define*

$$t_1 | \dots | t_n = \lambda x. \pi_2 \left( \phi(\text{choice}(t_1, \dots, t_n)) x \right)$$

**Acknowledgements** H. Cirstea, D. Kesner and B. Valiron for discussions on the subject of this work.

## References

- [Bar84] H. BARENDREGT – *The Lambda-Calculus, its syntax and semantics*, Studies in Logic and the Foundation of Mathematics, North Holland, 1984, Second edition.
- [BBK<sup>+</sup>07] E. BALLAND, P. BRAUNER, R. KOPETZ, P.-E. MOREAU et A. REILLES – “Tom: Piggybacking rewriting on java”, *Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4533, 2007, p. 36–47.
- [BMR08] E. BALLAND, P.-E. MOREAU et A. REILLES – “Rewriting strategies in java”, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* **219** (2008), p. 97–111.
- [Cir00] H. CIRSTEA – “Calcul de réécriture : fondements et applications”, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Henri Poincaré - Nancy I, 2000.
- [CK01] H. CIRSTEA et C. KIRCHNER – “The rewriting calculus — Part I and II”, *Logic Journal of the Interest Group in Pure and Applied Logics* **9** (2001), no. 3, p. 427–498.
- [JK09] B. JAY et D. KESNER – “First-class patterns”, (2009).
- [Rei06] A. REILLES – “Réécriture et compilation de confiance”, Thèse, November 2006.
- [Vis01] E. VISSER – “Stratego: A language for program transformation based on rewriting strategies”, *Rewriting Techniques and Applications - RTA'01*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2051, 2001.



---

```

 $\phi(\text{Identity})$            =  $\lambda x. \langle \text{true}, x \rangle$ 
 $\phi(\text{Fail})$              =  $\lambda x. \langle \text{false}, - \rangle$ 
 $\phi(l \rightarrow r)$       =  $\lambda x. \langle (l \rightarrow \text{true}) x, (l \rightarrow r) x \rangle$ 
 $\phi(s_1; s_2)$             =  $\lambda x. \text{let } a = \phi(s_1) x \text{ in } (\text{if } \pi_1 a \text{ then } \phi(s_2) a \text{ else } \langle \text{false}, - \rangle)$ 
 $\phi(\text{IfThenElse}(s_1, s_2, s_3))$  =  $\lambda x. \text{let } a = \phi(s_1) x \text{ in } (\text{if } \pi_1 a \text{ then } \phi(s_2) x \text{ else } \phi(s_3) x)$ 
 $\phi(\text{all}(s))$            =  $\text{fix}(\lambda x. \text{first}(\$ 
     $x_1 x_2 \rightarrow \text{let } a = \phi(s) x_2 \text{ in}$ 
     $\quad \text{if } (\pi_1 a) \text{ then let } b = \phi(\text{all}(s)) x_1 \text{ in } \langle \pi_1 b, (\pi_2 b) a \rangle$ 
     $\quad \text{else } \langle \text{false}, - \rangle,$ 
     $y \rightarrow \langle \text{true}, y \rangle)$ 
     $x)$ 

 $\phi(\text{one}(s))$            =  $\text{fix}(\lambda x. \text{first}(\$ 
     $x_1 x_2 \rightarrow \text{let } a = \phi(s) x_2 \text{ in}$ 
     $\quad \text{if } \pi_1 a \text{ then } \langle \text{true}, x_1 a \rangle$ 
     $\quad \text{else let } b = \phi(\text{one}(s)) x_1 \text{ in } \langle \pi_1 b, (\pi_2 b) x_2 \rangle$ 
     $y \rightarrow \langle \text{false}, y \rangle)$ 
     $x)$ 

```

Figure 1: Encoding of rewriting strategies



---

Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France  
Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes  
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier  
Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq  
Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique  
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

---

Éditeur  
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)  
<http://www.inria.fr>  
ISSN 0249-6399