

Variational approximation for detecting points-like target problems

Daniele Graziani, Gilles Aubert

► To cite this version:

Daniele Graziani, Gilles Aubert. Variational approximation for detecting points-like target problems. [Research Report] RR-6987., 2009, pp.35. inria-00403232v1

HAL Id: inria-00403232 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00403232v1

Submitted on 9 Jul 2009 (v1), last revised 16 Nov 2009 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Variational approximation for detecting points-like target problems.

Daniele Graziani, Gilles Aubert LABORATOIRE J.A. DIEUDONNÉ Université de Nice

SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS, parc valrose 06108 Nice CEDEX 2, FRANCE.





Variational approximation for detecting points-like target problems.

 Daniele Graziani*, Gilles Aubert[†] LABORATOIRE J.A. DIEUDONNÉ
 Université de Nice SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS, parc valrose 06108 Nice CEDEX 2, FRANCE.

> Thème COG — Systèmes cognitifs Projet ARIANA

Rapport de recherche $\,$ n° 6987 — 2009 — xxxviii pages

Abstract: The aim of this report is to provide a rigourous variational formulation for the detection of points in 2-d biological images. To this purpose we introduce a new functional of the calculus of variation whose minimizers gives the points we want to detect. Then we build an approximating sequence of functional, for which we prove the Γ -convergence to the initial one..

Key-words: points detection, biological images, divergence measure fields, p-capacity,
 Γ-convergence.

* ARIANA Project-team, CNRS/INRIA/UNSA, 2004 Route des lucioles-BP93, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France

 † sfnWIS

3

4

8

9

10

Variational approximation for detecting points-like target problems.

- 20 Résumé :
- 21 Mots-clés :

22 Contents

23	1	Introduction					
24 25 26 27	2	Preliminaries 2.1 Notation 2.2 Distributional divergence and classical spaces 2.3 p-capacity	vi . vi . vi . vi				
28 29 30	3	The Variational Model3.1The variational framework3.2The Functional	viii . ix . xi				
31 32 33 34	4	Γ-convergence: The intermediate approximation 4.1 Compactness 4.2 Lower Bound 4.3 Upper bound	xii . xiii . xv . xvi				
35 36 37 38	5	Approximation by smooth function 5.1 Compactness	xviii . xix . xxi . xxii . xxii				
39 40 41 42	6	Approximation by smooth function 6.1 Compactness 6.2 Lower bound 6.3 Upper bound	xxvi xxvii xxix xxx				
43 44	7 Re	De Giorgi's Conjecture	xxxiv xxxvii				

i

45 1 Introduction

The issue of detecting fine structures, like points or curves in two or three dimensional
biological images, is a crucial task in image processing. In particular a point may represent
a viral particle whose visibility is compromised by the presence of other structures like cell
membranes or some noise. Therefore one of the main goals in the application is detecting
the spots that the biologists wish to count. This operation is made harder by the presence
of the other singular structures like noise, textures or filaments.

In some biological images the image intensity is a function that takes the value 1 on points or other structures like set with Haussdorf dimension $0 \le \alpha < 1$, and it is close to 0 outside. In image processing these concentration sets are called discontinuity without jump, meaning that there is no jump across the set and therefore the gradient of the image is 0.

In the literature there are few variational methods dealing with this problem. In this direction one interesting approach has been proposed in [5]. In that paper the authors consider these kind of pathology as a k-codimension object, meaning that they should be regarded as a singularity of a map $U : \mathbb{R}^{k+m} \to \mathbb{R}^k$, with $k \ge 2$ and $m \ge 0$ (see [8] for a complete survey on this subject). So that the detecting point case corresponds to the case k = 2 and m = 0.

This point of view makes possible a variational approach based on the theory of Ginzburg-Landau systems. In their work the isolated points in 2-D images are regarded as the topological singularities of a map $U : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^1$, where \mathbb{S}^1 is a unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^2 . This strategy makes crucial the construction, starting from the initial image $I : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, of an initial vector field $U_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ with a topological singularity of degree 1, and how to build such a vector field in a rigorous way, is a subject of a current investigation.

Our first purpose here is finding a most natural variational framework in which a rigourous definition of discontinuity without jump can be given.

In our model the image I is a Radon measure, that is an element of $(C_0(\Omega))^*$: the dual space of $C_0(\Omega)$ (the closure with respect to the sup-norm of the space of continuous functions with compact support). It is crucial for detecting points that this Radon measure be capable of charging points. The preliminary step is finding a space whose elements are capable of producing these kind of measures. This space is given by $\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$: the space of the L^p vector fields whose distributional divergence measure is a Radon measure, with 1 .The restriction on <math>p is due to the fact that when $p \ge 2$ the distributional divergence DivUof U cannot be a measure concentrated on points. (see section 3.1 below). Then we have to construct from the original image I a data vector field $U_0 \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$. Clearly there are, at least in principle, many ways to do this. The one we propose here seems to be the most natural. We consider the classical elliptic problem with measure data I:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 = I & \text{on } \Omega\\ u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then by setting $U_0 = \nabla u_0$ we have $U_0 \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ with $\text{Div}U_0 = I$. Nevertheless the

support of the measure $\text{Div}U_0$ is too large and could contains several structures like curves

⁷² or fractal sets, while the singularities, we are interested in, are contained in the atomic part ⁷³ of the measure $\text{Div}U_0$ and therefore we have to isolate it. To do this the notion of *p*-capacity ⁷⁴ of a set plays a key role. Indeed when p < 2 the *p*-capacity of a point in Ω is zero and besides ⁷⁵ every Radon measure can be decomposed (see [17]) in two mutually singular measures: the ⁷⁶ first one is absolutely continuous with respect the *p*-capacity and the second one is singular ⁷⁷ with respect to the *p*-capacity, meaning that it is a measure concentrated on sets with 0 ⁷⁸ *p*-capacity.

⁷⁹ Moreover for a compact subset $E \subset \Omega$ a necessary and sufficient condition to have 0 ⁸⁰ *p*-capacity is the existence of a sequence of test functions $\{\phi_k\}$ converging to 0 strongly in ⁸¹ $W_0^{1,p}$ and equals to 1 on *E* for every *k*, and hence one can say, in this sense, that a set of 0 ⁸² *p*-capacity is a discontinuity with no jump.

As well known in dimension 2 the sets with 0 *p*-capacity, and hence discontinuity without jump, can be isolated points, countable set of points, fractal sets with Haussdorf dimension $0 \le \alpha < 1$ (see subsection 2.3 for the definiton of *p*-capacity and related properties).

By the way the goal here is keeping nothing else but points in the image. The achievement of such a purpose makes necessary the minimization of a suitable energy that must remove all the discontinuity which are not discontinuities without jump, and remove all the discontinuities without jump which are no isolated point.

From one hand we have to force the concentration set of the divergence measure of U90 to contain only the points we want to catch, and on the other hand we have to regularize 91 the initial data U_0 outside the points of singularities. To this end we introduce the auxiliar 92 space $\mathcal{SDM}^p(\Omega)$ of the vector field belonging to $\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ whose divergence measure has 93 no abolutely continuous part with respect to the *p*-capacity. Then, by taking into account 94 that the initial vector field is a gradient of a Sobolev function, our goal is to minimize 95 the following energy, involving a competition between a divergence term and the counting 96 Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^0 , 97

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^0(supp(\operatorname{div}^s \nabla u)_0)$$

where $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\nabla u \in SDM^p(\Omega)$ and p < 2. The gradient of a minimizer of the energy \mathcal{F} is the vector field we are looking for, that is a vector field whose divergence measure can be decomposed in an absolutely continuous (with respect to the Lebesgue's measure) term plus an atomic measure concentrated on the points we want to catch in the image.

Even if a pointwise characterization of discontinuity without jump is not available, thanks to our definition the singular set of points can be linked to the vector field ∇u , in the spirit of classical SBV formulation of the Mumford-Shah's Functional. (we refer to [1] for a complete survey on Mumford Shah's functional).

Our next task is to provide an approximation in the sense of the Γ -convergence introduced by [19, 20]. Our approach is close in the spirit to the one used to approximate the Mumford Shah functionals by a families of depending curvature functionals in [11]. Indeed as in their

work (see also [10]) we replace the atomic measure \mathcal{H}^0 by the term

$$G_{\varepsilon}(D) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \kappa^2(x) \right) d\mathcal{H}^1(x);$$

where D is a proper regular set containing the atomic set P, κ is the curvature of its boundary, the constant $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ is a normalization factor. Roughly speaking the minimum of these functionals are achieved on the union of balls of small radius, so that when $\varepsilon \to 0$ the sequence G_{ε} shrinks to the atomic measure $\mathcal{H}^0(P)$.

110 This leads to an intermediate approximation given by

$$F_{\varepsilon}(u,D) = \int_{\Omega} (1-\chi_D) |\Delta u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \kappa^2(x)\right) d\mathcal{H}^1(x)$$
(1)

This strategy permits to work with the perimeter measure $H^1[\partial D]$, that can be approximated, according to the Modica-Mortola's approach (see [24, 25]), by the measure:

$$\mu_{\varepsilon}(w, \nabla w)dx = \left(\varepsilon |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{V(w)}{\varepsilon}\right)dx,$$

where $V(w) = w^2(1-w)^2$ is a double well functional.

Besides by using the Sard Theorem and the Coarea Formula (see also [6] for a similar approach) one can formally replace in the second integral on the boundary of D the curvature κ by the integral of the div $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$ and the integeral is computed over the level sets of w. So that one can formally write the complete approximating sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u,w) &= \int_{\Omega} w^2 |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi C} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^2 (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (1 - w_{\varepsilon})^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual, $C = \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt$, β_{ε} and γ_{ε} are infinitesimal as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The last integral is a penalization term that forces w to tend to 1 as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

The goal of the second part of this work is then to show that the family of energies $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ 121 Γ -converges to the functional \mathcal{F} when the parameters are related in a suitable way.

As in [11] we deal with the Haussdorf convergence for sets of points and with a suitable convergence of functions involving the convergence of a sub-level sets

Despites this approach is inspired by some ideas contained in [10, 11], we point out that in our case the regularization term involves a second order differential operator, due to the fact that our goal is to detect points and not segment curves. This deep difference requires a non trivial adaption of the arguments used in those papers. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to notation, preliminaries, definitions and result. In section 3 we illustrate the new variational model and we present the functional we deal with. Section 4 and 5 are devoted to the Γ -convergence result Finally in the last section we conclude the paper by comparing this approach with the celebrate conjecture by De Giorgi concerning the approximation of the curvature depending functionals. We do not give here experimental result illustrating our approach. We refer the reader for that to [22].

¹³⁵ 2 Preliminaries

136 2.1 Notation

In all the paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open bounded set with C^2 -boundary. The operation \cdot stands for the usual scalar product. The Euclidean norm will be denoted by $|\cdot|$, while the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ indicates the norm of some functional space. The brackets \langle,\rangle denotes the duality product in some distributional spaces. \mathcal{L}^d or dx is the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure and \mathcal{H}^k is the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure. $B_\rho(x_0)$ is the ball centered at x_0 with radius ρ . We say that a set $D \in \Omega$ is a regular set if it can be written as $\{F < 0\}$ with $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In the following we will denote by $R(\Omega)$ the set of the all regular sets in Ω .

¹⁴⁴ 2.2 Distributional divergence and classical spaces

In this subsection we recall the definition of the distributional space $L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ (see [4, 14]). We start by recalling the distributional definition of the divergence operator. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open set and let $U: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a regular vector field. If U = (u, v)then classically div $U = u_{x_1} + v_{x_2}$. In the sense of distribution DivU is defined

$$\langle \mathrm{Div} U, \varphi \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} (u, v) \cdot \nabla \varphi$$

149 for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ if $U \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and if its distributional divergence $\operatorname{Div} U = \operatorname{div} \in L^q(\Omega)$. If p = q the space $L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ will be denoted by $L^p(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$.

We say that $U \in L^{p,q}_{loc}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ if $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; A)$ for every open set $A \subset \subset \Omega$.

We say that a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belongs to $W^{1,p,q}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ if $\nabla u \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$. We say that a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belongs to $W_0^{1,p,q}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ if $\nabla u \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$.

Definition 2.2. For $U \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$, $1 \le p \le +\infty$, set

$$|\text{Div}U|(\Omega) := \sup\{\langle U, \nabla \varphi \rangle : \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), |\varphi| \le 1\}.$$

We say that U is an L^p -divergence measure field, i.e. $U \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ if

$$||U||_{\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)} := ||U||_{L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)} + |\mathrm{Div}U|(\Omega) < +\infty.$$

We say that $U \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{p}(\Omega)$ if $U \in \mathcal{DM}^{p}(A)$ for every open set $A \subset \subset \Omega$.

- Let us recall the classical result (see [15] Proposition 3.1)
- **Theorem 2.1.** Let $\{U_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$U_k \rightharpoonup U \quad L^p(\Omega), \text{ for } 1 \le p < +\infty$$
 (2)

Then

$$\|U\|_{L^p(\Omega:\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \liminf_{h \to \infty} \|U_h\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad |\mathrm{Div}U|(\Omega) \le \liminf_{h \to \infty} |\mathrm{Div}U_h|(\Omega)$$

Moreover for a vector field $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ it is possible to define a trace operator as stated by the next proposition (see [28], Proposition 3.1)

Proposition 2.1. Let Ω be a bounded, C^2 domain. There is a continuous linear operator 161 $\gamma_{\nu}: U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) \to (C^1(\partial \Omega))^*$ such that

(*i*) for each $\varphi \in C^1(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and each $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$,

$$\langle \gamma_{\nu}(U), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} U \cdot \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} \psi \operatorname{div} U$$
 (3)

whenever $\psi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\psi_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi$.

164 (ii) for $U \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)), \ \gamma_{\nu}(U) = U \cdot \nu_{|\partial\Omega}.$

165 2.3 *p*-capacity

The *p*-capacity will be crucial to find a convenient functional framework to deal with. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a compact set and χ_K denotes its characteristic function, we define:

$$Cap_p(K,\Omega) = \inf\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p dx \ f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), f \ge \chi_k\}$$

If $U \subset \Omega$ is an open set , the *p*-capacity is given by

$$Cap_p(U,\Omega) = \sup_{K \subset U} Cap_p(K,\Omega).$$

Finally if $A \subset \Omega$ is a Borel set

$$Cap_p(A, \Omega) = \inf_{A \subset U \subset \Omega} Cap_p(U, \Omega).$$

We recall the following result (see for instance [23], Theorem 2.27) theorem that explains the relationship between *p*-capacity and Haussdorf measure. Such a result is crucial to have geometric information on the null *p*-capacity sets.

Theorem 2.2. Assume $1 \le p < 2$. If $\mathcal{H}^{2-p}(A) < \infty$ then $Cap_p(A, \Omega) = 0$

Another useful tool to manage the sets of *p*-capacity 0 is provided by the following characterization.

Theorem 2.3. Let E be a compact subset of Ω . Then $Cap_p(E,\Omega) = 0$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\phi_k\} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, converging to 0 strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that $0 \le \phi_k \le 1$ and $\phi_k = 1$ on E for every k.

For a general survey we refer the reader to [21, 23, 29].

176 3 The Variational Model

177 This section is devoted to illustrate the functional framework we will work with.

Roughly speaking in biological images the image is a function that could be very high on points or other structures like set with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, and it is close to 0 outside. In image processing these concentration sets are called discontinuity without jump, meaning that there is no jump across the set and therefore the gradient of the image is 0. From a mathematical point of view it seems to be much more appropriate to think of the image as a Radon measure, that is $I = \mu \in (C_0(\Omega))^*$. The next step is finding a space whose elements are capable of producing these discontinuity without jump. This space is given by $\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$. with 1 . The restriction on <math>p is due to the fact that when $p \geq 2$ the distributional divergence of U cannot be a measure concentrated on points. Set $p \geq 2$. Looking at the definition we have

$$\langle \operatorname{Div} U, \varphi \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} U \cdot \nabla \varphi \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

Since $p \ge 2$ this distribution is a well defined even for any test $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p'}(\Omega)$ where $p' \le 2$ is the dual exponent of p. In particular DivU belongs to the dual space $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ of the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then in this case, the distributional divergence of U cannot be an atomic measure, whereas $\delta_0 \notin W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$. To see this, one can consider as Ω the disk $B_0(1)$ and the function $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \log(\log(1+|x|)) - \log(\log(2))$. This function is in the space $W_0^{1,p'}(\Omega)$ for every $p' \le 2$ and therefore it is an admissible test function and it easy to check that $\langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle = +\infty$.

When $1 we have that <math>\text{Div}U \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, but in this case since p < 2, we have p' > 2 and hence the function $\tilde{\varphi}$ is no longer an admissible test function. One can check that the distribution DivU is an element of $(C_0(\Omega))^*$ capable of charging the points. Take for instance the map $U(x,y) = (\frac{x}{x^2+y^2}, \frac{y}{x^2+y^2})$. The next step is to transform the initial image I as the divergence measure of a suitable

The next step is to transform the initial image I as the divergence measure of a suitable vector field. We consider the elliptic problem with measure data I:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = I & \text{on } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(4)

Classical results (see [27]) ensures the existence of a unique weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with p < 2. Then it easy to see that the distributional divergence of ∇u is given by I. In particular by setting $U = \nabla u$, we have $U \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$. According to the Radon Nykodim decomposition of the measure DivU we have

$$\operatorname{DivU} = \operatorname{div}U + \operatorname{div}^{s}U,$$

where $\operatorname{div} U \in L^1(\Omega)$. For our purpose the support of the singular measure $\operatorname{div}^s U$ is too large. In particular the measure $\operatorname{div}^s U$ could charge sets with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, hence it can be concentrated also on discontinuity with jump. So that in order to isolate the singularities we are interested in, we need a further decomposition of the measure DivU. This can be done by using the capacitary decomposition of the Radon measure div^sU. It is known (see [17]) that given a Radon measure μ the following decomposition holds

$$\mu = \mu_a + \mu_0 \tag{5}$$

where the measure μ_a is absolutely continuous with respect to the *p*-capacity and μ_0 is singular with respect to the *p*-capacity, that is concentrated on sets with 0 *p*-capacity. Besides it is also known (see [17]) that every measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the *p*-capacity can be characterized as an element of $L^1 + W^{-1,p'}$, leading to the finer decomposition:

$$\mu = f - \text{DivG} + \mu_0 \tag{6}$$

where $G \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2), f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

By applying this decompositon to the measure $\operatorname{div}^{s} U$ we obtain the following decompositon of the measure $\operatorname{Div} U$

ŀ

$$DivU = divU + f - DivG + (div^{s}U)_{0}$$
(7)

with $G \in L^p(\Omega)$.

According to this decomposition and taking into account Theorem 2.3 we give the definition of discontinuity without and with jump.

Definition 3.1. We say that a point $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a point of discontinuity without jump of U if $x \in \overline{supp(\operatorname{div}^s U)_0}$.

The other singularities where there is a jump, are contained in the second term of the 210 decomposition (7). Indeed the space $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ contains Haussdorf measures restricted to 211 sub-manifolds of dimension greater or equal to 1 (see [29] chapter 4, section 7 for a detailed 212 discussion on the space $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, like for instance Hausdorff measures concentrated on 213 regular closed curves, that is contours, that are a classical example of discontinuty with 214 jump. More precisely a countour of a regular set is the jump set of the charactheristic 215 function of the set D and its p-capacity is strictly positive. This is of course in agreement 216 with Theorem 2.3. Indeed, since the characteristic function of the set D is BV-function, it 217 cannot be approximated by regular functions in the $W^{1,p}$ strong norm. 218

Definition 3.2. We say that a point $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a point of discontinuity with jump of U if $x \in \overline{supp(f - \text{Div}G)}$.

221 3.1 The variational framework

We shall build an energy whose minimizer will be a vector field whose singular part of its divergence measure will be given by nothing else but points.

This minimizer must be an L^p (with p < 2) vector field with the following properties:

1. It must be close to the initial data U_0 which is, in general, an L^p vector field U_0 with 1 .

227 2. The absolutely continuous part with respect to the Lebesgue measure of DivU is an L^2 function.

3. The support of the measure div^sU must be given by set of points P_U with $\mathcal{H}^0(P_U) < +\infty$

According to these considerations it seems to be natural to introduce the space

$$SD\mathcal{M}^p(\Omega) := \{ U \in D\mathcal{M}^p(\Omega), \quad f - \text{Div}G = 0 \}$$
(8)

so that, as a consequence, the decomposition (7) yields for any $U \in SDM^p(\Omega)$

$$DivU = divU + (div^{s}U)_{0}.$$
(9)

- For our purposes the following result concerning the features of the elements of the space $SDM^{p}(\Omega)$ will play a crucial role.
- **Proposition 3.1.** Let $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega \setminus P)$ and $P \subset \Omega$ be a set of finite number of points then $U \in SDM^p(\Omega)$.

Proof. We set $P = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$. Let $B_{\rho}(x_i)$ i=1,...n. We can find a sequence $\rho(h) \to 0$ when $h \to +\infty$ such that $B_{\rho(h)}(x_i) \cap B_{\rho(h)}(x_j) = \emptyset$ for h large and $i \neq j$. Then we define the following sequence U_h .

$$U_{h} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } \Omega \cap \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i}) \\ U & \text{on } \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i}) \end{cases}$$
(10)

The sequence $U_h \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$ for every *h* fixed. If $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by applying the Proposition 241 2.1 to the vector field $U \in L^{p,q}(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_i^n B_{\rho(h)}(x_i))$. we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Div}U_{h}|(\Omega) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq \varphi \leq 1} |\int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i})} U \nabla \varphi| \leq \sup_{0 \leq \varphi \leq 1} \{ |\langle \gamma_{\nu}(U), \varphi_{|\partial \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i})} \rangle | \\ &+ |\int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i})} \operatorname{div}U\varphi| \} \leq \sup_{\varphi} |\langle \gamma_{\nu}(U), \varphi_{|\partial \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}(x_{i})} \rangle | \\ &+ \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|\operatorname{div}U\|_{L^{q}(\Omega \setminus P)} \leq C \|U\|_{p} + (1+C) \|\operatorname{div}U\|_{L^{q}(\Omega \setminus P)} \leq C_{1} (11) \end{aligned}$$

$$(12)$$

where C_1 does not depend on h. Now since $\mathcal{L}(\bigcup_i^n B_\rho(h)(x_i)) \to 0$ we have $U_h \rightharpoonup U$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ the by Theorem 2.1

$$\|U\|_{L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \liminf_h \|U_h\|_{L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C$$

INRIA

and by theorem 2.1

$$|\operatorname{Div} U|(\Omega) < \liminf_{h \to \infty} |\operatorname{Div} U_h| \le C.$$

Therefore $U \in \mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$. Finally we know that $U \in L^{p,q}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega \setminus P)$ and the support of the measure $\operatorname{div}^s U$ is given by the set P, then, according to decomposition (7), the measure $f - \operatorname{DivG}$ vanishes on sets with 0 p-capacity, we deduce $f - \operatorname{DivG} = 0$, that is $U \in S\mathcal{DM}^p(\Omega)$. \Box

²⁴⁷ 3.2 The Functional

According to our purpose the natural energy to deal with is the following $F : SDM^p(\Omega) \to [0, \infty]$ given by

$$F(U) = \int_{\Omega} |\mathrm{div}U|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |U - U_0|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^0(supp(\mathrm{div}^s U)_0).$$

We note that $\inf F(U) > 0$ on $SDM^p(\Omega)$. Indeed if we had $\inf_{SDM^p(\Omega)} F(U) = 0$ then it would be possible exhibiting a minimizing sequence $U_n \to U_0$ in L^p such that $\operatorname{Div} U_n \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. On the other hand, the L^p -distance between U_n and U_0 can be arbitrary small only if $\operatorname{Div} U_0 = 0$ as well, because the constraint $\operatorname{Div} U = 0$ is stable under L^p -convergence. Moreover to mimic the construction of U_0 we restrict ourselves to vector fields U which are the gradient of a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

$$\Delta \mathcal{M}^p(\Omega) := \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \ \nabla u \in S\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}^p \}$$
(13)

So that the new energy $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \mathcal{M}^p(\Omega) \to (0,\infty]$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^0(supp(\operatorname{div}^s \nabla u)_0).$$
(14)

$_{256}$ 4 Γ -convergence: The intermediate approximation

The functional \mathcal{F} is finite on the class of the functions $u \in \Delta \mathcal{M}^p(\Omega)$ whose support of the measure $(\operatorname{div}^s \nabla u)_0$ is given by a finite set and the absolutely continuous part of the measure Div ∇u is given by $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consequently it is convenient to introduce the following space:

$$\Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega) = \{ u \in \Delta \mathcal{M}^p(\Omega) : supp(\operatorname{div}^s \nabla u)_0 = P_{\nabla u} \text{ with } \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) < +\infty, \ \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega) \}$$
(15)

In the spirit [11] we introduce an intermediate approximation, in the sense of Γ -convergence, of the functional \mathcal{F} . We define a sequence of functionals where the counting measure $\mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u})$ is replaced by a functional defined on regular sets D and which involves the curvature of the boundary ∂D . The approximating sequence is given by:

$$F_{\varepsilon}(u,D) = \int_{\Omega} (1-\chi_D) |\Delta u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \kappa^2(x)\right) d\mathcal{H}^1(x)$$
(16)

Where $u \in W_0^{1,p,2}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$, D is a regular set, and κ denotes the curvature of its boundary. In order to guarantee that the measure of the sets D is small we define a new functional still denoted by $F_{\varepsilon}(u, D)$ given by

$$F_{\varepsilon}(u,D) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega \setminus D} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \kappa^2(x)\right) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) & \text{if } \mathcal{L}(D) \le a(\varepsilon) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(17)

while the limit functional is

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}).$$

where $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega), U_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2).$

To simplify the notation let us set $Y(\Omega) = \{(u, D) \ u \in W_0^{1,p,2}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega), \ D \in R(\Omega)\},\$ where $R(\Omega)$ is a regular set.

By adapting to our case the convergence introduced in [11], we endow the set $Y(\Omega)$ with the following convergence.

Definition 4.1. We say that $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-converges to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ if the following conditions hold

272 1.
$$\mathcal{L}(D_h) \to 0$$

273 2. $\{\partial D\}_h \to P$ in the Haussdorff metric, where P is a finite set of points.

274 3. $u_h \to u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } P_{\nabla u} \subseteq P$

²⁷⁵ The definition of Γ-convergence with respect to the convergence above is the following.

Definition 4.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$ -converges to G if for every sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_h\} \to 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$

 $\liminf_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \ge G(u)$

2. there exists a sequence $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-convergent to u such that

$$\limsup_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le G(u)$$

278 By following this approach the fundamental theorem of the Γ-convergence can be stated 279 as follows.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence of functional Γ -convergent to \mathcal{F} . If $\{\varepsilon_h\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} (\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) - \inf_{Y(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}) = 0$$

then there exists a subsequence $\{(u_{h_k}, D_{h_k})\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{F}(u)$, such that $\{(u_{h_k}, D_{h_k})\}$ A-converges to u.

By following the classical pattern (see for instance [12]), we will split the proof in three steps. Theorem 4.1 will follow from: the compactness of the minimizing sequence of F_{ϵ} , the $\Gamma \lim \inf$ inequality (1) and the Γ -limsup inequality (2) in Definition 4.2.

285 4.1 Compactness

- 286 We state and prove the following compactness result.
- **287** Theorem 4.2. Let h goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_h \to 0$

$$F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le M \tag{18}$$

then there exist a subsequence $\{(u_{h_k}, D_{h_k})\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and a function $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^p(\Omega)$ such that (u_{h_k}, D_{h_k}) A-converges to u.

Proof. We adapt an argument of [11]. We have $\mathcal{L}(D_h) \to 0$ otherwise $F_{\varepsilon_h} = +\infty$. Let D_h be a sequence of regular sets. Then we can parameterize every $C_h = \partial D_h$ by a finite and disjoint union of Jordan curves. Let us set for every $h \ C_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(h)} \gamma^i$, then we have according to the 2-dimensional version of Gauss-Bonnet's Theorem and Young's inequality

$$M \ge \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} (\frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} + \varepsilon_h \kappa_h^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 \ge \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} 2\kappa_h d\mathcal{H}^1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\bigcup_h C_h} 2\kappa_h d\mathcal{H}^1 = m(h)$$

Note that the number $m(h) \leq M$, with $M \geq 0$, is independent of h. Then it is possible to extract a subsequence C_{h_k} with the number of curves in C_{h_k} equal to n for every k. Then we set $C_{h_k} = \{\gamma_{h_k}^1, ..., \gamma_{h_k}^n\}$ for any k. From (18) we also have for any $\gamma \in C_{h_k}$ that $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) \leq 4\pi M \varepsilon_{h_k}$ and consequently $\max\{\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) : \gamma \in C_{h_k}\} \to 0$. Then there exists a finite set of point $P = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \subset \overline{\Omega}$ such that for any radius ρ there is an index k_ρ with

$$\gamma_{h_k}^i \subset B_\rho(x_i)$$
 for all $k > k_\rho$ and $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$,

so that if we set $\partial D_{h_k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_{h_k}^i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\rho(x_i)$, then the Haussdorf distance $d_H(\partial D_{h_k}, P) \to 0$ o since $\mathcal{L}^1(D_{h_k}) \to 0$ and therefore $\rho \to 0$ as well.

Now we prove the compactness property for u_h . First of all from the estimate

$$\|\nabla u_h\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \le 2^p (\|\nabla u_h - U_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|U_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)})$$
(19)

and (18) we may extract a subsequence $\{u_{h_k}\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ weakly convergent to $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let Ω_j be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_j \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P$ invading $\Omega \setminus P$. We claim that that it is

Let Ω_j be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_j \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P$ invading $\Omega \setminus P$. We claim that that it is possible to extract a sequence of D_{h_k} such that $\Omega_j \cap \partial D_{h_k} = \emptyset$. Indeed since the distance between Ω_j and P is positive for any j there exists η_j such that $\Omega_j \cap (\bigcup_i^n B_{\eta_j}(x^i)) = \emptyset$. On the other hand we know from before that for every ρ we can find k_ρ such that $\partial D_{h_k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_{h_k}^i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\rho(x_i)$. Then in particular if $\rho = \eta_j$ there exists k_j such that for all $k \ge k_j$

$$\Omega_j \cap \partial D_{h_k} = \emptyset.$$

Therefore for any $x \in \Omega_j$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that either $B_{\delta}(x) \subset D_{h_k}$ or $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \Omega \setminus D_{h_k}$.

- Finally by taking into account that $\mathcal{L}^1(D_{h_k}) \to 0$ we conclude $\Omega_j \cap \partial D_{h_k} = \emptyset$ for $k \ge k_j$.
- Then for every $k \ge k_j$ we have that $u_{h_k} \in W^{1,p,2}(\operatorname{div};\Omega_j)$ and by (18) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_j} |\Delta u_h|^2 \le \int_{\Omega \setminus D_{h_k}} |\Delta u_{h_k}|^2 dx \le M$$
(20)

Then we can extract a further subsequence still denoted by $\{u_{h_k}\} \subset W^{1,p,2}(\operatorname{div};\Omega_j)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_{h_k} \to u & \text{in } L^p(\Omega_j; \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and a.e} \\ \nabla u_{h_k} \to \nabla u & \text{in } L^p(\Omega_j; \mathbb{R}^2) \\ \Delta u_{h_k} \to \Delta u & \text{in } L^2(\Omega_j). \end{cases}$$

Let now $x \in \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P$. Then there exists a sequence $x_j \to x$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying the diagonal argument to the sequence $u_{h_{k_l}}(x_j)$ we obtain a subsequence $u_l = u_{h_{k_l}}(x_l)$ such that Δu_l converges weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega')$ to Δu for any $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$. Then by the semicontinuity of the L^2 -norm we have

$$\sup_{j} \int_{\Omega_{j}} |\Delta u|^{2} dx \leq \sup_{j} \liminf_{l \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega_{j}} |\Delta u_{l}|^{2} dx \leq M.$$

If we set $\tilde{P} = P \setminus \partial \Omega$, then we deduce $u \in W_0^{1,p,2}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega \setminus \tilde{P})$ and therefore $\nabla u \in SD\mathcal{M}^p(\Omega)$ with $P_{\nabla u} \subseteq P$, by Proposition 3.1. So we conclude that $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ \Box .

303 4.2 Lower Bound

According to the convergence we deal with, we have to provide the following lower bound.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\{\varepsilon_h\}_h$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to to zero. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\{(u_h, D_h)\}_h \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-converging to u we have

$$\liminf_{h \to \infty} F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \ge \mathcal{F}(u).$$

Proof. First of all we may assume that $\liminf F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) < M$ otherwise the result is trivial. Moreover up to a subsequence we may assume that the limit is a actually a limit. Let $\{\Omega_j\}_j$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_j \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P$ invading $\Omega \setminus P$. As in the proof of the Theorem 4.2 we may assume up to a subsequence, that $\Delta u_h \to \Delta u$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega_j)$. Furthermore, since in this case all the sequence D_h satisfies the condition (1) and (2) in Definition 4.1 we have $\Omega_j \subset \Omega \setminus D_h$ for h large and for any j. We also have Δu_h converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega_j)$ to Δu . Consequently

$$\liminf_{h} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h} |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge \liminf_{h} \int_{\Omega_j} |\Delta u_h|^2 dx \ge \int_{\Omega_j} |\Delta u|^2 dx.$$

On the other hand we know that the limit u of the subsequence u_h belongs to $\Delta \mathcal{AM}^p(\Omega)$, hence $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$. So that by monotone convergence

$$\liminf_{h} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_{h}} |\Delta u_{h}|^{2} dx \ge \int_{\Omega \setminus P} |\Delta u|^{2} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{2}$$
(21)

 $_{307}$ As in the proof of the theorem 4.2 we have the inequality (19). Then we easily get

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx.$$
(22)

This conclude the first part of the proof. Let now D_h be a sequence a regular sets. Then we can find a parameterization of every $C_h = \partial D_h$ by a finite and disjoint union of Jordan curves. As in the in the proof of Theorem 4.2 if we set for every h, $C_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(h)} \gamma^i$

$$M \ge \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} (\frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} + \varepsilon_h k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 = m(h)$$

and up to subsequences we have m(h) = n and there exist a set P_1 of n points such that ∂D_h converges in the Haussdorf metric to P_1 . On the other hand we have that ∂D_h converges in the Haussdorf metric to P and, since the limit is unique $P = P_1$ and therefore.

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon_h k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 \ge n = \mathcal{H}^0(P) \ge \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u})$$
(23)

Eventually by (21),(22) (23) and by the superlinearity property of the limit operator we achieve the result. \Box

313 4.3 Upper bound

In [11] for the construction of the optimal sequence it is crucial to use a result due to Chambolle and Doveri (see [13]). This result states that it is possible to approximate, in the H^1 norm, a function $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus C)$ (where C is a closed set), by means of a sequence of functions $u_h \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus C_h)$ with C_h convergent to C in the Haussdorf metric. In our case this argument does not apply due to presence of a second order differential operator. Nevertheless since we work only with set of points it is possible to build an optimal sequence in a more direct way.

According to the convergence we deal with the theorem, we have to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let ε_h be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_h \to 0$. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A}M^{p,2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-converging to u such that

$$\limsup F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le \mathcal{F}(u). \tag{24}$$

Proof. We start by the sequence D_h . Let n be the number of points x_i in $P_{\nabla u}$. Then we take $D_h = \bigcup_{1}^{n} B_{\varepsilon_h}(x_i)$. So that $\mathcal{L}(D_h) \to 0$ and ∂D_h goes to P with respect to the Haussdorf distance. Moreover for h large we may assume $B_{\varepsilon_h}(x_i) \cap B_{\varepsilon_h}(x_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Now we build u_h . Let $\{\rho_h\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\rho_h \geq 0$ and $\rho_h \to 0$ when $h \to \infty$. Let $\theta_h \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the following property:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_h = 1 & \text{on } B_{\frac{\rho_h}{2}}(x_i) \text{ for any } i = 1, ..., n \\ 0 \le \theta_h \le 1 & \text{on } B_{\rho_h}(x_i) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho_h}{2}}(x_i) \text{ for any } i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \setminus B_{\rho_h}(x_i) \text{ for any } i = 1, ..., n \\ \|\nabla \theta_h\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\rho_h} \end{cases}$$
(25)

We set $u_h = (1 - \theta_h)u$ and we claim that the pair (u_h, D_h) realizes the inequality (24). By making the computation we have

$$\nabla u_h = (1 - \theta_h) \nabla u - u \nabla \theta_h$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0 - \theta_h \nabla u - u \nabla \theta_h|^p dx$$

330 So that

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx \le \limsup_{h \to +\infty} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\theta_h \nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_h u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p \tag{26}$$

INTIP]	[]	V	F	ł	I	ŀ	1
-------	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

Since $\nabla u \in L^1(\Omega)$, we have by applying the dominated convergence theorem $\int_{\Omega} |\theta_h \nabla u|^p \to 0$. 331 Let us focus on the term $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_h u|^p$. By the Sobolev embedding we have $u \in L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ with 332

333

 $p^* = \frac{2p}{2-p}$ and hence $|u|^p \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p}}(\Omega)$, with $\frac{p^*}{p} = \frac{2}{2-p}$. By (25), using the Holder's inequality with dual exponents $\frac{2}{2-p}$ and $\frac{2}{p}$ and taking into account that p < 2

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_{h} u|^{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{\rho_{h}}(x_{i}) \setminus B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}(x_{i})} |\nabla \theta_{h} u|^{p} dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{B_{\rho_{h}}(x_{i})} |\nabla \theta_{h} u|^{p} dx - \int_{B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}(x_{i})} |\nabla \theta_{h} u|^{p} dx \right) \\
\leq n \left(\left(\int_{B_{\rho_{h}}(x_{i})} |\nabla \theta_{h}|^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}}(\Omega)} + \left(\int_{B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}(x_{i})} |\nabla \theta_{h}|^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ||u||_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}}(\Omega)} \right) \\
\leq n ||u||_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\pi^{2} \rho_{h}^{2}}{\rho_{h}^{p}} + \frac{\pi^{2} \rho_{h}^{2}}{4\rho_{h}^{p}} \right) \to 0.$$
(27)

From (26) it follows that

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx \leq \lim_{h \to +\infty} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right| + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\theta_h \nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_h u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p \\
= \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \tag{28}$$

Now we compute $\Delta \theta_h$. The identity $\operatorname{div}(fA) = f \operatorname{div} A + \nabla f \cdot A$ yields

$$\Delta u_h = (1 - \theta_h) \Delta u - 2\nabla \theta_h \nabla u - \Delta \theta_h u.$$

Then by choosing ρ_h small enough we have from (25) 334

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h} |\Delta u_h|^2 \le \lim_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h} |\Delta u|^2 \to \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Moreover it is not difficult to check that $\{(u_h, D_h)\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and A-converges to u. 335 Finally since for h large we have $B_{\varepsilon_h}(x_i) \cap B_{\varepsilon_h}(x_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ we get 336

$$\lim_{h} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_h} (\varepsilon_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 = \lim_{h} \sum_{1}^n \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon_h}} (\varepsilon_h \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 = n = \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}).$$
(30)

By recalling that the lim sup is sublinear operation and by (28),(29),(30), we achieve the 337 result. \Box 338

³³⁹ 5 Approximation by smooth function

By following the Braides-March's approach in [11] we approximate the measure \mathcal{H}^1 measure 340 by the Modica-Mortola's energy density given by $(\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx$ where W(w) =341 $w^2(1-w)^2$ and $w \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The next step is to replace the regular set D with the level 342 set of w. Let us set $H = \{\nabla w(x) = 0\}$. By Sard's Lemma we have that $\mathcal{L}^1(w(H)) = 0$. In 343 particular, if w takes values into the interval [0, 1], we infer that for almost every $t \in (0, 1)$ 344 the set $H \cap w^{-1}(t)$ is empty. Consequently for almost every $t \in (0, 1)$ the t-level set $\{w < t\}$ 34 5 is a C^{∞} set with boundary $\{w = t\}$. Now since we want to replace the set D we need that 346 $\{w < t\} \subset \Omega$ and then we require that w is such that $1 - w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1])$. Furthermore 347 for almost every t we have $k(\{w = t\}) = \operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})$. From all of this we are led to define the 348 following space: 349

$$S(\Omega) = \{ (u, w); \ u \in W_0^{1, p, 2}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega); \ 1 - w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1]) \}$$
(31)

and having in mind the coarea formula, the following sequence of functionals defined on $S(\Omega)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u,w) = \int_{\Omega} w |\Delta u|^{2} + \frac{1}{8\pi C} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \right)$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_{0}|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (1 - w_{\varepsilon})^{2} dx$$

350 whit $C = \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt$.

The last term forces w_{ε} be 1 almost everywhere in the limit and hence plays the role of the condition $\mathcal{L}(D) \leq a(\varepsilon)$. From now on the parameters $\varepsilon, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ will be related as follows

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \tag{32}$$

353

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon |\log(\varepsilon)|}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} = 0$$
(33)

The convergence that plays the role of the A-convergence is the following.

Definition 5.1. Let $\{(u_h, w_h)\}$ be a sequence in $S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$. We say that that (u_h, w_h) S-converges to u if for every $t \in (0, 1)$ the pair (u_h, D_h^t) A-converges to u.

We define the Γ -convergence with respect to this convergence.

Definition 5.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$ -converges to G if for every sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_h \to 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A}M^{p,2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence (u_h, w_h) S-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$

$$\liminf_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \ge G(u)$$

2. there exists a sequence (u_h, w_h) S-convergent to u such that

$$\limsup_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \le G(u)$$

As in the previous section we state a suitable version of the fundamental theorem of the361 Γ-convergence.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ a sequence of functional Γ -convergent to \mathcal{F} . If $\{\varepsilon_h\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\{(u_h, w_h)\} \subset S(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} (\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u_{\varepsilon_h}, w_{\varepsilon_h}) - \inf \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}) = 0$$

then there exists a subsequence $\{(u_{h_k}, w_{h_k})\}$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ of \mathcal{F} such that $\{(u_{h_k}, w_{h_k})\}$ S-converges u.

364 5.1 Compactness

365 Theorem 5.2. Let h goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_h \to 0$

$$F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \le M \tag{34}$$

there exists a subsequence $\{(u_{u_k}, w_{h_k})\} \subset S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ such that (u_{h_k}, w_{h_k}) so *S*-converges to *u*.

Proof. The first part of proof is as in [11]. For the convenience of the reader we give the complete proof.

For any $t \in (0, 1)$ since $0 \le w_h \le 1$, we have $w_h > \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$ then by Chebyshev's inequality and by (57)

$$\mathcal{L}(\{w_h < t\}) \le \frac{M\gamma_{\varepsilon_h}}{(1-t)^2}.$$
(35)

The inequality ensures that the conditon (1) of Definition 4.1 holds for every sub level sets $\{w_h < t\}$.

By Young'inequality and by (57) we get

$$M \ge 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus \{|\nabla w_h|=0\}} |\nabla w_h| \sqrt{W(w_h)} \Big(\Big(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \Big(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) \Big)^2 \Big) dx$$

Now by coarea formula and taking into account that $|\nabla w_h|$ coincides with the norm of the tangent vector to the boundary of the *t*-level set we obtain

$$M \ge \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\{w_h = t\} \cap \{|\nabla w| \neq 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$
(36)

Thanks to the Sard's Lemma for any h there exists a \mathcal{L} -negligible set $\mathcal{N}_{w_h} \subseteq (0,1)$ such that

$$\{w_h = t\} = \partial\{w_h < t\}, \ \{w_h < t\} \in R(\Omega), \text{ for } t \in (0,1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w_h}$$

and on $\{w_h = t\}$ for $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w_h}$ we have

$$|\nabla w_h| \neq 0 \text{ and } \kappa(\{w_h = t\}) = \operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w_h}{|\nabla w_h|}).$$

Now since the union \mathcal{N}_w of the sets \mathcal{N}_h is \mathcal{L} -negligible (almost countable) from (59) we have

$$M \ge 2 \int_{(0,1)\backslash \mathcal{N}_w} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$

By applying Fatou's Lemma and taking into account that the set \mathcal{N}_w does not depend on hwe get

$$M \ge 2 \int_{(0,1)\backslash\mathcal{N}_w} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial\{w_h < t\}} (\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$
(37)

Hence we deduce the existence of a \mathcal{L} -negligible set Q_w , with $\mathcal{N}_w \subseteq Q_w$, such that

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 \le M_t \tag{38}$$

where the constant M_t does not depend on h.

Then for every $t \in (0,1) \setminus Q_w$ we can extract a sequence $w_{h,t}$ such that $\partial \{w_{h,t} < t\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^t \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{t_i\}$ in (0,1) be a dense countable set. Up to a diagonal argument we can find a subsequence $\{w_{h_k}\}$ such that, for every $t^i \in \mathcal{N}, \partial \{w_{h,k} < t_i\}$ converges to P^{t_i} . Let $t_i \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t_i > t$ and consequently $\{w_{h,k} < t\} \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t_i\}$. From the definition of Haussdorf convergence it follows that for every $\rho > 0$ there exists $k_0(\rho)$ such that for any $k > k_0$ we have $\{w_{h,k} < t_i\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in P^{t_i}$. Since the t_i -level set is open for every ρ and for every $x \in P^{t_i}$ such that $\{w_{h,k} < t_i\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$, we may choose $t_n \in \mathcal{N}$ with $t_n < t$ and so obtain for k large enough $\{w_{h,k} < t_n\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$. By choosing $t_{max} = \max_{x \in P^{t_i}} t_n(x)$ for every $x \in P^{t_i}$, the inclusion $\{w_{h,k} < t_{max}\} \subset \{w_{h,k} < t\}$ gives

$$\{w_{h,k} < t_{max}\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t_n\} \cap B_{\rho}(x)$$

with $t_{max}, t_n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then by taking the limit $\delta \to 0^+$ we infer $\partial \{w_{h,t} < t\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^t \subset \overline{\Omega}$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$.

Finally as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we can extract a subsequence $\{u_{h_k}\}$ which converges strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ with $P_{\nabla u} \subset P^t$ for every $t \in (0,1)$. Therefore we have that for every $t \in (0,1)$ the pair $(u_h, \{w_{h,t} < t\})$ S-converges to u and the proof is achieved. \Box

386 5.2 Lower bound

Theorem 5.3. Let $\{\varepsilon_h\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\{u_h, w_h\} \subset S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u the following inequality holds

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u, w) \ge F(u).$$

Proof. Let $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^p(\Omega)$ and $\{u_h, w_h\} \subset S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u. As usual up to subsequences we can assume

$$+\infty>\liminf_{h\to+\infty}\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}=\lim_{h\to+\infty}\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}.$$

For any $t \in (0, 1)$ we have (see also [11] for a similar argument)

$$\int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 = \int_{\{w_h < t\} \cap \Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 + \int_{\{w_h \ge t\} \cap \Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_{\{w_h < t\}}) |\Delta u_h|^2 dx$$
(39)

Let $\{\Omega_j\}_j$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_j \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P^t$ invading $\Omega \setminus P^t$. Then we may assume that $u_h \to u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and Δu_h converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega_j)$ to Δu . Therefore as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we get

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \tag{40}$$

and

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} t^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_{\{w_h < t\}}) |\Delta u_h|^2 dx \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega_j} |\Delta u|^2 dx$$

391 for any j.

Then by (62) and, by taking into account that $|\Delta u|$ is an $L^2(\Omega)$ function, it follows that

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx$$

392 And eventually by taking the limit $t \to 1$

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \tag{41}$$

By definition we know that for every $t \in (0,1)$ $\mathcal{L}^d(\{w_h < t\}) \to 0$ and $\partial\{w_h < t\} \to P^t$ in the Haussdorf distance. So that, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (inequality 23) we have

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \ k^2 \right) d\mathcal{H}^1 \ge \mathcal{H}^0(P^t) \ge \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) \tag{42}$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 and by taking into account (65), we get

$$\liminf_{h \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) \right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \\
\geq 2 \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{(0,1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \{w_{h} < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2} \right) d\mathcal{H}^{1} dt \\
\geq 2 \int_{(0,1)} \mathcal{H}^{0}(P^{t}) \sqrt{W(t)} dt \geq 8\pi C \mathcal{H}^{0}(P_{\nabla u})$$
(43)

By collecting (63) (64) and (66) we achieve the thesis. \Box

³⁹⁷ 5.3 Upper bound

As in [11] to build w_h we use the construction used by Bellettini in [6], while the optimal sequence u_k is given as in Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 5.4. Let ε_h be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_h \to 0$. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{M}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $(u_h, D_h) \in S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u such that

$$\limsup F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{44}$$

Proof. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we set

$$\delta_A(x) = d(x, A) - d(x, \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A).$$

We start by the construction of w_h . As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we set $P_{\nabla u} = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ and we define

$$D_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\beta_{\varepsilon_h}}(x_i)$$

Since D_h is a regular set by taking into account the condition (56) for h large enough we have

$$\{x \in \Omega : \ d(x, D_h) < 2\varepsilon_h | \log \varepsilon_h\} \subset \subset \Omega.$$
(45)

Let η be the optimal profile for the Modica Mortola energy, that is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \eta'(t) = \sqrt{W(t)} & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ \eta(-\infty) = 0, \\ \eta(+\infty) = 1 \end{cases}$$

405 given by $\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tanh \frac{t}{2}).$

INRIA

For every h let $\psi_h : [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a C^{∞} function such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{h} = 1 & \text{on } [0, |\log \varepsilon_{h}|] \\ \psi_{h} = 0 & \text{on } [2|\log \varepsilon_{h}, +\infty] \\ \psi_{h}^{'} < 0 & \text{on } [|\log \varepsilon_{h}|, 2|\log \varepsilon_{h}|] \\ \|\psi_{h}^{'}\|_{L^{\infty}(|\log \varepsilon_{h}|, 2|\log \varepsilon_{h}|)} = O(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon_{h}|}) \end{cases}$$

As in [6] and in [11] we define

$$\eta_h(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h})\psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) + 1 - \psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) & \text{if } t \ge 0\\ \psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) - \eta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h})\psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we set $w_h(x) = \eta_h(\delta_{D_h}(x))$. We claim that $1 - w_h(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1])$ for h large. Indeed for any $x \in \Omega \setminus D_h$ we have $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$, hence

$$1 - w_h(x) = \psi_h(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h})(1 - \eta(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h}))$$

and then $0 \le 1 - w_h \le 1$ by the properties of ψ_h and η . The case $x \in D_h$ is similar. Let now $x \in \partial\Omega$ then $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$ and $1 - w_h(x) = \psi_h(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h})(1 - \eta(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h}))$. From (68), it follows $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 2|\log \varepsilon_h|$ for h large enough, hence the claim follows. Then we take $\{(u_h, w_h)\}$ as an optimal sequence, where u_h is given as in Theorem 4.4

First all we have to check that $(u_h, w_h(x))$ S-converges to u. For any $x \in \Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}$ we have that for h large enough $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$ and one can check that $w_h(x) \to 1$ for every $x \in \Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}(\{w_h < t\}) \to 0$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$. Now for every $t \in (0, 1)$ we write

$$\{w_h = t\} = \left(\{w_h = t\} \cap D_h\right) \cup \left(\{w_h = t\} \cap \Omega \setminus D_h\right).$$

$$(46)$$

Hence, since $w_h(x) \to 1$ for $x \in \Omega \setminus D_h$, for any $t \in (0,1)$ there exists h(t) such that $\{w_h = t\} \cap \Omega \setminus D_h = \emptyset$ for every $h \ge h(t)$. So that from (69) it follows that for every $t \in (0,1), \{w_h = t\} \to P_{\nabla u}$ when $h \to +\infty$. So we can conclude that (u_h, w_h) S-converges to U.

As in [6] we set

$$D_h^1 = \{x \in \Omega : |\delta_{D_h}(x)| < \varepsilon_h |\log \varepsilon_h|\}, \ D_h^2 = \{x \in \Omega : \varepsilon_h |\log \varepsilon_h| < |\delta_{D_h}(x)| < 2|\varepsilon_h| \log \varepsilon_h|\}$$

and we split

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx$$

$$= \int_{D_{h}^{1}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx + \int_{D_{h}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx = I_{h} + II_{h}$$

$$(47)$$

 $\mathrm{RR}\ n^\circ\ 6987$

For $x \in D_h^1$ we have $\frac{|\delta_{D_h}|}{\varepsilon_h} < \log \varepsilon_h$ therefore $w_h(x) = \eta(\frac{|\delta_{D_h}|}{\varepsilon_h})$ By taking into account the definition of η we have $\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h} \le w_h \le \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}$. Moreover it easy to check that

$$\eta_h'(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} \eta'(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} \sqrt{W(t)}; \quad |\nabla w_h| = |\eta_k'(\delta_{D_h})|$$

417

This, together with the coarea formula yields

$$I_h = 2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\{w_h=t\}} (\frac{1}{\beta_h} + \beta_h k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$

Now we have that $\partial D_h = \{w_h = \frac{1}{2}\}$ then

$$I_{h} = 2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial D_{h}} (\frac{1}{\beta_{h}} + \beta_{h}k^{2}) d\mathcal{H}^{1} dt + O(\varepsilon_{h}\log(\varepsilon_{h})) \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)}$$

Then 418

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} I_h = 8\pi \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt$$
(48)

Moreovoer with the same argument and by using the definition of w_h one can check that 419

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} II_h = 0 \tag{49}$$

By (71) and (72) we have 420

421

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{8\pi C} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w = 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) \right)^2 (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx = \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u})$$
(50)

Now we take care of the terms involving u_h . As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have 422

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p \tag{51}$$

Furthermore by taking into account that $w_h(x) = 1$ if $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 2\varepsilon_h |\log(\varepsilon_h)|$ and $w_h(x) = 0$ if $\delta_{D_h}(x) < -2\varepsilon_h |\log(\varepsilon_h)|$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |w_h|^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h^0} |\Delta u_h|^2 dx$$

423

where $D_h^0 = \{x \in \Omega : \delta_{D_h}(x) < -2\varepsilon_h | \log(\varepsilon_h)| \}$. By choosing ρ_h in such a way that tha $\rho_h \leq 2\varepsilon_h | \log(\varepsilon_h)|$ we obtain 424

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |w_h|^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 dx = \lim_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h^0} |\Delta u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}} |\Delta u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \quad (52)$$

INRIA

Finally from the definition of w_h , it follows that $w_h = 1$ outside the disk $(D_h)_{2 \log \varepsilon_h}$ and hence thanks to (55) and (56)

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_h} \int_{\Omega} (1 - w_h)^2 dx \le \lim_{h \to +\infty} \mathcal{L}(D_{h_2 \log \varepsilon_h}) \frac{1}{\gamma_h} = 0.$$
(53)

427 The thesis follows by collecting (73), (74), (75) and (76) \Box

428 6 Approximation by smooth function

By following the Braides-March's approach in [11] we approximate the measure \mathcal{H}^1 measure 429 by the Modica-Mortola's energy density given by $(\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx$ where W(w) =430 $w^2(1-w)^2$ and $w \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The next step is to replace the regular set D with the level 431 set of w. Let us set $H = \{\nabla w(x) = 0\}$. By Sard's Lemma we have that $\mathcal{L}^1(w(H)) = 0$. In 432 particular, if w takes values into the interval [0, 1], we infer that for almost every $t \in (0, 1)$ 433 the set $H \cap w^{-1}(t)$ is empty. Consequently for almost every $t \in (0, 1)$ the t-level set $\{w < t\}$ 434 is a C^{∞} set with boundary $\{w = t\}$. Now since we want to replace the set D we need that 435 $\{w < t\} \subset \Omega$ and then we require that w is such that $1 - w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1])$. Furthermore 436 for almost every t we have $k(\{w = t\}) = \operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})$. From all of this we are led to define the 437 following space: 438

$$S(\Omega) = \{ (u, w); \ u \in W_0^{1, p, 2}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega); \ 1 - w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1]) \}$$
(54)

and having in mind the coarea formula, the following sequence of functionals defined on $S(\Omega)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u,w) = \int_{\Omega} w |\Delta u|^{2} + \frac{1}{8\pi C} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \right)$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_{0}|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (1 - w_{\varepsilon})^{2} dx$$

439 whit $C = \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt$.

The last term forces w_{ε} be 1 almost everywhere in the limit and hence plays the role of the condition $\mathcal{L}(D) \leq a(\varepsilon)$. From now on the parameters $\varepsilon, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ will be related as follows

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \tag{55}$$

442

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon |\log(\varepsilon)|}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} = 0$$
(56)

The convergence that plays the role of the A-convergence is the following.

Definition 6.1. Let $\{(u_h, w_h)\}$ be a sequence in $S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$. We say that that (u_h, w_h) S-converges to u if for every $t \in (0, 1)$ the pair (u_h, D_h^t) A-converges to u.

We define the Γ -convergence with respect to this convergence.

Definition 6.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$ -converges to G if for every sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_h \to 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A}M^{p,2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence (u_h, w_h) S-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$

$$\liminf_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \ge G(u)$$

2. there exists a sequence (u_h, w_h) S-convergent to u such that

$$\limsup_{h \to \infty} G_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \le G(u)$$

As in the previous section we state a suitable version of the fundamental theorem of the ⁴⁴⁰ Γ-convergence.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ a sequence of functional Γ -convergent to \mathcal{F} . If $\{\varepsilon_h\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\{(u_h, w_h)\} \subset S(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} (\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u_{\varepsilon_h}, w_{\varepsilon_h}) - \inf \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}) = 0$$

then there exists a subsequence $\{(u_{h_k}, w_{h_k})\}$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ of \mathcal{F} such that $\{(u_{h_k}, w_{h_k})\}$ S-converges u.

453 6.1 Compactness

454 Theorem 6.2. Let h goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_h \to 0$

$$F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, w_h) \le M \tag{57}$$

there exists a subsequence $\{(u_{u_k}, w_{h_k})\} \subset S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ such that (u_{h_k}, w_{h_k}) so *S*-converges to *u*.

Proof. The first part of proof is as in [11]. For the convenience of the reader we give the complete proof.

For any $t \in (0, 1)$ since $0 \le w_h \le 1$, we have $w_h > \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$ then by Chebyshev's inequality and by (57)

$$\mathcal{L}(\{w_h < t\}) \le \frac{M\gamma_{\varepsilon_h}}{(1-t)^2}.$$
(58)

The inequality ensures that the conditon (1) of Definition 4.1 holds for every sub-level sets $\{w_h < t\}$.

By Young'inequality and by (57) we get

$$M \ge 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus \{|\nabla w_h|=0\}} |\nabla w_h| \sqrt{W(w_h)} \Big(\Big(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \Big(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\Big)^2 \Big) dx$$

Now by coarea formula and taking into account that $|\nabla w_h|$ coincides with the norm of the tangent vector to the boundary of the *t*-level set we obtain

$$M \ge \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\{w_h = t\} \cap \{|\nabla w| \neq 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$
(59)

Thanks to the Sard's Lemma for any h there exists a \mathcal{L} -negligible set $\mathcal{N}_{w_h} \subseteq (0,1)$ such that

$$\{w_h = t\} = \partial\{w_h < t\}, \ \{w_h < t\} \in R(\Omega), \text{ for } t \in (0,1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w_h}$$

and on $\{w_h = t\}$ for $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w_h}$ we have

$$|\nabla w_h| \neq 0 \text{ and } \kappa(\{w_h = t\}) = \operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w_h}{|\nabla w_h|}).$$

Now since the union \mathcal{N}_w of the sets \mathcal{N}_h is \mathcal{L} -negligible (almost countable) from (59) we have

$$M \ge 2 \int_{(0,1)\backslash \mathcal{N}_w} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$

By applying Fatou's Lemma and taking into account that the set \mathcal{N}_w does not depend on hwe get

$$M \ge 2 \int_{(0,1)\backslash\mathcal{N}_w} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} (\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$
(60)

407 Hence we deduce the existence of a \mathcal{L} -negligible set Q_w , with $\mathcal{N}_w \subseteq Q_w$, such that

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^2\right) d\mathcal{H}^1 \le M_t \tag{61}$$

where the constant M_t does not depend on h.

Then for every $t \in (0,1) \setminus Q_w$ we can extract a sequence $w_{h,t}$ such that $\partial \{w_{h,t} < t\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^t \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{t_i\}$ in (0,1) be a dense countable set. Up to a diagonal argument we can find a subsequence $\{w_{h_k}\}$ such that, for every $t^i \in \mathcal{N}, \partial \{w_{h,k} < t_i\}$ converges to P^{t_i} . Let $t_i \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t_i > t$ and consequently $\{w_{h,k} < t\} \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t_i\}$. From the definition of Haussdorf convergence it follows that for every $\rho > 0$ there exists $k_0(\rho)$ such that for any $k > k_0$ we have $\{w_{h,k} < t_i\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in P^{t_i}$. Since the t_i -level set is open for every ρ and for every $x \in P^{t_i}$ such that $\{w_{h,k} < t_i\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$, we may choose $t_n \in \mathcal{N}$ with $t_n < t$ and so obtain for k large enough $\{w_{h,k} < t_n\} \cap B_\rho(x) \neq \emptyset$. By choosing $t_{max} = \max_{x \in P^{t_i}} t_n(x)$ for every $x \in P^{t_i}$, the inclusion $\{w_{h,k} < t_{max}\} \subset \{w_{h,k} < t\}$ gives

$$\{w_{h,k} < t_{max}\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \{w_{h,k} < t_n\} \cap B_{\rho}(x)$$

with $t_{max}, t_n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then by taking the limit $\delta \to 0^+$ we infer $\partial \{w_{h,t} < t\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^t \subset \overline{\Omega}$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$.

Finally as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we can extract a subsequence $\{u_{h_k}\}$ which converges strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ with $P_{\nabla u} \subset P^t$ for every $t \in (0,1)$. Therefore we have that for every $t \in (0,1)$ the pair $(u_h, \{w_{h,t} < t\})$ S-converges to u and the proof is achieved. \Box

475 6.2 Lower bound

Theorem 6.3. Let $\{\varepsilon_h\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\{u_h, w_h\} \subset S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u the following inequality holds

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u, w) \ge F(u).$$

Proof. Let $u \in \Delta \mathcal{AM}^p(\Omega)$ and $\{u_h, w_h\} \subset S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u. As usual up to subsequences we can assume

$$+\infty>\liminf_{h\to+\infty}\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}=\lim_{h\to+\infty}\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}.$$

For any $t \in (0, 1)$ we have (see also [11] for a similar argument)

$$\int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 = \int_{\{w_h < t\} \cap \Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 + \int_{\{w_h \ge t\} \cap \Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_{\{w_h < t\}}) |\Delta u_h|^2 dx$$
(62)

477 Let $\{\Omega_j\}_j$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_j \subset \subset \Omega \setminus P^t$ invading $\Omega \setminus P^t$. Then we may assume 478 that $u_h \to u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and Δu_h converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega_j)$ to Δu . Therefore as 479 in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we get

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx \tag{63}$$

and

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} t^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_{\{w_h < t\}}) |\Delta u_h|^2 dx \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega_j} |\Delta u|^2 dx$$

480 for any j.

Then by (62) and, by taking into account that $|\Delta u|$ is an $L^2(\Omega)$ function, it follows that

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge t^2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx$$

481 And eventually by taking the limit $t \to 1$

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_h^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 \ge \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \tag{64}$$

By definition we know that for every $t \in (0,1)$ $\mathcal{L}^d(\{w_h < t\}) \to 0$ and $\partial\{w_h < t\} \to P^t$ in the Haussdorf distance. So that, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (inequality 23) we have

$$\liminf_{h \to +\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial \{w_h < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \ k^2 \right) d\mathcal{H}^1 \ge \mathcal{H}^0(P^t) \ge \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) \tag{65}$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 and by taking into account (65), we get

$$\liminf_{h \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) \right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \\
\geq 2 \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{(0,1) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \{w_{h} < t\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2} \right) d\mathcal{H}^{1} dt \\
\geq 2 \int_{(0,1)} \mathcal{H}^{0}(P^{t}) \sqrt{W(t)} dt \geq 8\pi C \mathcal{H}^{0}(P_{\nabla u})$$
(66)

485 By collecting (63) (64) and (66) we achieve the thesis. \Box

486 6.3 Upper bound

As in [11] to build w_h we use the construction used by Bellettini in [6], while the optimal sequence u_k is given as in Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 6.4. Let ε_h be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_h \to 0$. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{M}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $(u_h, D_h) \in S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u such that

$$\limsup F_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{67}$$

Proof. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we set

$$\delta_A(x) = d(x, A) - d(x, \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A).$$

We start by the construction of w_h . As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we set $P_{\nabla u} = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ and we define

$$D_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\beta_{\varepsilon_h}}(x_i)$$

Since D_h is a regular set by taking into account the condition (56) for h large enough we have

$$\{x \in \Omega : \ d(x, D_h) < 2\varepsilon_h | \log \varepsilon_h\} \subset \subset \Omega.$$
(68)

Let η be the optimal profile for the Modica Mortola energy, that is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \eta'(t) = \sqrt{W(t)} & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ \eta(-\infty) = 0, \\ \eta(+\infty) = 1 \end{cases}$$

494 given by $\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tanh \frac{t}{2}).$

INRIA

For every h let $\psi_h : [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a C^{∞} function such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_h = 1 & \text{on } [0, |\log \varepsilon_h|] \\ \psi_h = 0 & \text{on } [2|\log \varepsilon_h, +\infty] \\ \psi_h^{'} < 0 & \text{on } [|\log \varepsilon_h|, 2|\log \varepsilon_h|] \\ \|\psi_h^{'}\|_{L^{\infty}(|\log \varepsilon_h|, 2|\log \varepsilon_h|)} = O(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon_h|}) \end{cases}$$

As in [6] and in [11] we define

$$\eta_h(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h})\psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) + 1 - \psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) & \text{if } t \ge 0\\ \psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) - \eta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h})\psi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}) & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we set $w_h(x) = \eta_h(\delta_{D_h}(x))$. We claim that $1 - w_h(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1])$ for h large. Indeed for any $x \in \Omega \setminus D_h$ we have $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$, hence

$$1 - w_h(x) = \psi_h(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h})(1 - \eta(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h}))$$

and then $0 \le 1 - w_h \le 1$ by the properties of ψ_h and η . The case $x \in D_h$ is similar. Let now $x \in \partial \Omega$ then $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$ and $1 - w_h(x) = \psi_h(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h})(1 - \eta(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h}))$. From (68), it follows $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 2|\log \varepsilon_h|$ for h large enough, hence the claim follows. Then we take $\{(u_h, w_h)\}$ as an optimal sequence, where u_h is given as in Theorem 4.4

First all we have to check that $(u_h, w_h(x))$ S-converges to u. For any $x \in \Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}$ we have that for h large enough $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 0$ and one can check that $w_h(x) \to 1$ for every $x \in \Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}(\{w_h < t\}) \to 0$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$. Now for every $t \in (0, 1)$ we write

$$\{w_h = t\} = \left(\{w_h = t\} \cap D_h\right) \cup \left(\{w_h = t\} \cap \Omega \setminus D_h\right).$$
(69)

Hence, since $w_h(x) \to 1$ for $x \in \Omega \setminus D_h$, for any $t \in (0,1)$ there exists h(t) such that $\{w_h = t\} \cap \Omega \setminus D_h = \emptyset$ for every $h \ge h(t)$. So that from (69) it follows that for every $t \in (0,1), \{w_h = t\} \to P_{\nabla u}$ when $h \to +\infty$. So we can conclude that (u_h, w_h) S-converges to U.

As in [6] we set

$$D_h^1 = \{ x \in \Omega : |\delta_{D_h}(x)| < \varepsilon_h |\log \varepsilon_h| \}, \ D_h^2 = \{ x \in \Omega : \varepsilon_h |\log \varepsilon_h| < |\delta_{D_h}(x)| < 2|\varepsilon_h| \log \varepsilon_h| \}$$

and we split

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w=0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx$$

$$= \int_{D_{h}^{1}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx + \int_{D_{h}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})\right)^{2} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx = I_{h} + II_{h}$$

$$(70)$$

 $\mathrm{RR}\ n^{\circ}\ 6987$

For $x \in D_h^1$ we have $\frac{|\delta_{D_h}|}{\varepsilon_h} < \log \varepsilon_h$ therefore $w_h(x) = \eta(\frac{|\delta_{D_h}|}{\varepsilon_h})$ By taking into account the definition of η we have $\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h} \le w_h \le \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}$. Moreover it easy to check that

$$\eta'_h(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} \eta'(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_h} \sqrt{W(t)}; \quad |\nabla w_h| = |\eta'_k(\delta_{D_h})|$$

506

This, together with the coarea formula yields

$$I_h = 2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\{w_h=t\}} (\frac{1}{\beta_h} + \beta_h k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt$$

Now we have that $\partial D_h = \{w_h = \frac{1}{2}\}$ then

$$I_h = 2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial D_h} (\frac{1}{\beta_h} + \beta_h k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1 dt + O(\varepsilon_h \log(\varepsilon_h)) \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_h}{1+\varepsilon_h}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_h}} \sqrt{W(t)}$$

Then 507

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} I_h = 8\pi \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt$$
(71)

Moreovoer with the same argument and by using the definition of w_h one can check that 508

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} II_h = 0 \tag{72}$$

By (71) and (72) we have 509

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{8\pi C} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{\nabla w = 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} + \beta_{\varepsilon} \left(\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) \right)^2 (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx = \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u})$$
(73)

Now we take care of the terms involving u_h . As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have 511

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h - U_0|^p \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p \tag{74}$$

Furthermore by taking into account that $w_h(x) = 1$ if $\delta_{D_h}(x) \ge 2\varepsilon_h |\log(\varepsilon_h)|$ and $w_h(x) = 0$ if $\delta_{D_h}(x) < -2\varepsilon_h |\log(\varepsilon_h)|$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |w_h|^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h^0} |\Delta u_h|^2 dx$$

512

where $D_h^0 = \{x \in \Omega : \delta_{D_h}(x) < -2\varepsilon_h | \log(\varepsilon_h)| \}$. By choosing ρ_h in such a way that tha $\rho_h \leq 2\varepsilon_h | \log(\varepsilon_h)|$ we obtain 513

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |w_h|^2 |\Delta u_h|^2 dx = \lim_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_h^0} |\Delta u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus P_{\nabla u}} |\Delta u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \quad (75)$$

INRIA

Finally from the definition of w_h , it follows that $w_h = 1$ outside the disk $(D_h)_{2\log \varepsilon_h}$ and hence thanks to (55) and (56)

$$\limsup_{h \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_h} \int_{\Omega} (1 - w_h)^2 dx \le \lim_{h \to +\infty} \mathcal{L}(D_{h2\log\varepsilon_h}) \frac{1}{\gamma_h} = 0.$$
(76)

The thesis follows by collecting (73), (74), (75) and (76)

 $\mathrm{RR}\ n^\circ\ 6987$

517 De Giorgi's Conjecture

The aim of De Giorgi was finding a variational approximation of a curvature depending functional of the type:

$$F^{2}(D) = \int_{\partial D} (1 + \kappa^{2}) d\mathcal{H}^{1};$$

where D is a regular set and κ is a curvature of its boundary ∂D .

Since ∂D can be represented as the discontinuity set of the function $w_0 = 1 - \chi_D$, by Modica-Mortola's Theorem it follows that there is a sequence of non constant local minimizers such that $w_{\varepsilon} \to w_0$ with respect to the L^1 -convergence such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}^{1}(w_{\varepsilon}) := C_{V} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\partial D).$$

Furthermore looking at the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a contour length term, yields a contour curvature term κ , while the Euler-Lagrange equations for the functional $F_{\varepsilon}^{1}(w)$ contains a term $2\varepsilon\Delta w - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon}$.

Then De Giorgi suggested to approximate by Γ -convergence the functional F^2 by adding to the Modica-Mortola approximating functionals the following term

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{2}(w) = \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon \Delta w - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon})^{2} dx.$$

In ([7]) Bellettini and Paolini have proven the lim sup inequality, while the validity of lim inf for a modified version of the original conjecture has been proven by Röger and Shätzle (see [26]).

Inspired by the De Giorgi's conjecture it appears natural investigate, in the spirit of [11], the possibility of approximating the functional \mathcal{F} by a means of a sequence $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ much more convenient from a numerical point view (see [22]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} w^2 |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{8\pi C} \Big(\frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon \Delta w - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon})^2 dx + \frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(w_{\varepsilon})) dx \Big) \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - U_0|^p dx + \frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} (1 - w^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The presence of the term $\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$ will be clear in the proof.

By the way we are able to prove only the Γ -limsup inequality.

Theorem 7.1. Let ε_h be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_h \to 0$. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{M}^{p,2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $(u_h, D_h) \in S(\Omega)$ S-converging to u such that

$$\limsup \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_h}(u_h, D_h) \le \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{77}$$

Proof. Let (u_h, w_h) the optimal sequence of Theorem 6.4.

It is not difficult to see that for every $x \in D_h^1$ the function $\delta_h(x)$ is regular and using the definition of w_h and taking into account that $\eta' = \sqrt{W(\eta)}$ the following identity holds

$$2\varepsilon_h \Delta w_h - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon_h} = 2\varepsilon_h \eta'_h \Delta \delta_{D_h}(x) + 2\varepsilon \eta''_h - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon_h} = 2\varepsilon_h \eta'_h(\delta_{D_h}(x)).$$

For *h* large we also have $\Delta \delta_{D_h}(x) = \kappa(\{\delta_{D_h}(x) = t\})$. Besides on D_h^1 we have $w_h(x) = \eta(\frac{\delta_{D_h}(x)}{\varepsilon_h})$ and hence the level set $\{\delta_{D_h}(x) = t\}$ corresponds to the level set $\{w_h(x) = \eta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_h}\}$ with $0 \le \eta \le 1$, so that we infer

$$\kappa(\{\delta_{D_h}(x) = t\}) = \operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w_h}{|\nabla w_h|})$$

By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 and taking into account the equality $2\varepsilon_h |\eta'_h(\delta_{D_h}(x))| = 2\varepsilon_h |\nabla w_h|$ we have

$$I_{h} = \int_{D_{h}^{1}} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}{2\varepsilon_{h}} (2\varepsilon_{h} \Delta w - \frac{W'(w)}{\varepsilon_{h}})^{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}} (\varepsilon_{h} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon_{h}}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} W(w_{\varepsilon_{h}})) dx = 2 \int_{D_{h}^{1}} (\beta_{\varepsilon} (\operatorname{div}(\frac{\nabla w_{h}}{|\nabla w_{h}|})^{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}) \sqrt{W(w_{h})} |\nabla w_{h}| dx$$

then as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 we conclude

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} I_h = 8\pi \mathcal{H}^0(P_{\nabla u}) \int_0^1 \sqrt{W(t)} dt.$$

⁵³⁵ By the same calculation on D_h^2 one can check that the integral over D_h^2 vanishes as in the ⁵³⁶ proof of Theorem 6.4.

INRIA

References

- L.Ambrosio, N.Fusco, D.Pallara. Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity
 problems. Oxford University Press (2000).
- [2] L.Ambrosio, V.M.Tortorelli. Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic
 functionals via Γ-convergence. Communic. Pure Appl. Math 43 (1990), 999-1036.
- [3] L.Ambrosio, V.M.Tortorelli. Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by
 quadratic, elliptic functionals via Γ-convergence. Boll.Un. Mat. Ital. 6-B(1992), 105-123.
- [4] G.Anzellotti. Pairings between measures and bounded functions and compensated compactness. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 135 (1983), 293-318.
- G.Aubert, J. Aujol, L.Blanc-Feraud. Detecting Codimension-Two Objects in an image with Ginzurbg-Landau Models. International Journal of Computer Vision 65 (2005), 29-42.
- ⁵⁴⁹ [6] G.Bellettini. Variational approximation of functionals with curvatures and related properties. J.Conv. Anal. 4 (1997)., 91-108.
- [7] G.Bellettini, M.Paolini. Approssimazione variazionale di funzionali con curvatura. Sem inario di Analisi Matematica, Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università di Bologna,
 1993.
- [8] F.Bethuel, H.Brezis and F.Hélein. Ginzburg-Landau Vortices. Birkäuser, Boston (1994).
- ⁵⁵⁵ [9] A.Braides. Γ-convergence for beginners. Oxford University Press, New york (2000).
- ⁵⁵⁶ [10] A.Braides, A.Malchiodi. Curvature Theory of Boundary phases: the two dimensional ⁵⁵⁷ case. Interfaces Free. Bound. 4 (2002), 345-370.
- [11] A.Braides, R.March. Approximation by Γ-convergence of a curvature-depending func tional in Visual Reconstruction. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), 71-121.
- ⁵⁶⁰ [12] G.Dal Maso. Introduction to Γ-convergence. Birkhäuser, Boston(1993).
- [13] A.Chambolle, F.Doveri. Continuity of Neumann linear elliptic problems on varying twodimensionals bounded open sets. Comm.Partial Diff.Eq. 22 (1997), 811-840.
- [14] G.Q.Chen, H.Fried Divergence-measure fields and conservation laws. Arch. Rational
 Mech. Anal. 147 (1999), 35-51.
- [15] G.Q.Chen, H.Fried. On the theory of divergence-measure fields and its applications..
 Bol. Soc. Bras. Math. 32 (2001), 1-33.
- ⁵⁶⁷ [16] A.Coscia. On curvature sensitive image segmentation. Nonlin. Anal. **39** (2000),711-730.

- [17] G.Dal Maso, F.Murat, L.Orsina, A.Prignet. Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations
 with general measure data, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci, 28 (1999), 741-808.
- ⁵⁷⁰ [18] E.De Giorgi. Some Remarks on Γ-convergence and least square methods, in Composite Media and Homogenization Theory (G. Dal Maso and G.F.Dell'Antonio eds.),
 ⁵⁷² Birkhauser, Boston, 1991, 135-142.
- [19] E.De Giorgi, T.Franzoni. Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei
 Rend. Cl. Sci. Mat. Natur. 58 (1975), 842-850.
- ⁵⁷⁵ [20] E.De Giorgi, T.Franzoni. Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale. Rend. Sem. Mat.
 ⁵⁷⁶ Brescia 3 (1979), 63-101.
- [21] L.C.Evans, R.F. Gariepy. Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. CRC Press
 (1992)
- ⁵⁷⁹ [22] D.Graziani,L.Blanc-Feraud,G.Aubert. A Γ-convergence approach for the detection of
 ⁵⁸⁰ points in 2-D images. Research report INRIA N.00379142, (2008).
- [23] J.Heinonen, T.Kilpeläinen, O.Martio. Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic
 Equations, Oxford University Press, (1993).
- [24] L.Modica, S.Mortola. Un esempio di Γ-convergenza. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 14-B(1977),
 285 299.
- [25] L.Modica. The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion.
 Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 98 (1987), 123-142.
- [26] M.Röger, R.Shätzle. On a modified conjecture of De Giorgi. Math. Zeitschrift. 254
 (2006), 675-714
- ⁵⁸⁹ [27] G.Stampacchia. Le problème de Dirichlet pour les equations elliptiques du seconde ordre
 à coefficients discontinuous. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 15 (1965), 180-258.
- [28] R.Kohn, R.Temam. Dual Spaces of Stresses and Strains, with Applications to Hencky
 Plasticity. Appl. Math. Optimization, 10 (1983), 1-35.
- ⁵⁰³ [29] W.Ziemer. Weakly Differentiable Functions. Springer-Verlag, New york (1989).



Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique 615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

> Éditeur INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) http://www.inria.fr ISSN 0249-6399