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## 1 Introduction

The issue of detecting fine structures, like points or curves in two or three dimensional biological images, is a crucial task in image processing. In particular a point may represent a viral particle whose visibility is compromised by the presence of other structures like cell membranes or some noise. Therefore one of the main goals in the application is detecting the spots that the biologists wish to count. This operation is made harder by the presence of the other singular structures like noise, textures or filaments.

In some biological images the image intensity is a function that takes the value 1 on points or other structures like set with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha<1$, and it is close to 0 outside. In image processing these concentration sets are called discontinuity without jump, meaning that there is no jump across the set and therefore the gradient of the image is 0 .

In the literature there are few variational methods dealing with this problem. In this direction one interesting approach has been proposed in 5. In that paper the authors consider these kind of pathology as a $k$-codimension object, meaning that they should be regarded as a singularity of a map $U: \mathbb{R}^{k+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$, with $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 0$ (see [8 for a complete survey on this subject). So that the detecting point case corresponds to the case $k=2$ and $m=0$.

This point of view makes possible a variational approach based on the theory of GinzburgLandau systems. In their work the isolated points in 2-D images are regarded as the topological singularities of a map $U: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ is a unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This strategy makes crucial the construction, starting from the initial image $I: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, of an initial vector field $U_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ with a topological singularity of degree 1 , and how to build such a vector field in a rigorous way, is a subject of a current investigation.

Our first purpose here is finding a most natural variational framework in which a rigourous definition of discontinuity without jump can be given.

In our model the image $I$ is a Radon measure, that is an element of $\left(C_{0}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ : the dual space of $C_{0}(\Omega)$ (the closure with respect to the sup-norm of the space of continuous functions with compact support). It is crucial for detecting points that this Radon measure be capable of charging points. The preliminary step is finding a space whose elements are capable of producing these kind of measures. This space is given by $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ : the space of the $L^{p_{-}}$ vector fields whose distributional divergence measure is a Radon measure, with $1<p<2$. The restriction on $p$ is due to the fact that when $p \geq 2$ the distributional divergence $\operatorname{Div} U$ of $U$ cannot be a measure concentrated on points. (see section 3.1 below). Then we have to construct from the original image $I$ a data vector field $U_{0} \in \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$. Clearly there are, at least in principle, many ways to do this. The one we propose here seems to be the most natural. We consider the classical elliptic problem with measure data $I$ :

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u_{0}=I & \text { on } \Omega \\ u_{0}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then by setting $U_{0}=\nabla u_{0}$ we have $U_{0} \in \mathcal{D}^{p}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{Div} U_{0}=I$. Nevertheless the support of the measure $\operatorname{Div} U_{0}$ is too large and could contains several structures like curves
or fractal sets, while the singularities, we are interested in, are contained in the atomic part of the measure $\operatorname{Div} U_{0}$ and therefore we have to isolate it. To do this the notion of $p$-capacity of a set plays a key role. Indeed when $p<2$ the $p$-capacity of a point in $\Omega$ is zero and besides every Radon measure can be decomposed (see [17]) in two mutually singular measures: the first one is absolutely continuous with respect the $p$-capacity and the second one is singular with respect to the $p$-capacity, meaning that it is a measure concentrated on sets with 0 p-capacity.

Moreover for a compact subset $E \subset \Omega$ a necessary and sufficient condition to have 0 $p$-capacity is the existence of a sequence of test functions $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$ converging to 0 strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p}$ and equals to 1 on $E$ for every $k$, and hence one can say, in this sense, that a set of 0 $p$-capacity is a discontinuity with no jump.

As well known in dimension 2 the sets with $0 p$-capacity, and hence discontinuity without jump, can be isolated points, countable set of points, fractal sets with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha<1$ (see subsection 2.3 for the definiton of $p$-capacity and related properties).

By the way the goal here is keeping nothing else but points in the image. The achievement of such a purpose makes necessary the minimization of a suitable energy that must remove all the discontinuity which are not discontinuities without jump, and remove all the discontinuities without jump which are no isolated point.

From one hand we have to force the concentration set of the divergence measure of $U$ to contain only the points we want to catch, and on the other hand we have to regularize the initial data $U_{0}$ outside the points of singularities. To this end we introduce the auxiliar space $S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ of the vector field belonging to $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ whose divergence measure has no abolutely continuous part with respect to the p-capacity. Then, by taking into account that the initial vector field is a gradient of a Sobolev function, our goal is to minimize the following energy, involving a competition between a divergence term and the counting Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{0}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}(U)=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} \nabla u\right)_{0}\right)
$$

where $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with $\nabla u \in S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $p<2$. The gradient of a minimizer of the energy $\mathcal{F}$ is the vector field we are looking for, that is a vector field whose divergence measure can be decomposed in an absolutely continuous (with respect to the Lebesgue's measure) term plus an atomic measure concentrated on the points we want to catch in the image.

Even if a pointwise characterization of discontinuity without jump is not available, thanks to our definition the singular set of points can be linked to the vector field $\nabla u$, in the spirit of classical SBV formulation of the Mumford-Shah's Functional. (we refer to [1] for a complete survey on Mumford Shah's functional).

Our next task is to provide an approximation in the sense of the $\Gamma$-convergence introduced by [19, 20]. Our approach is close in the spirit to the one used to approximate the Mumford Shah functionals by a families of depending curvature functionals in 11. Indeed as in their
work (see also [10) we replace the atomic measure $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ by the term

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(D)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \kappa^{2}(x)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}(x)
$$

where $D$ is a proper regular set containing the atomic set $P, \kappa$ is the curvature of its boundary, the constant $\frac{1}{4 \pi}$ is a normalization factor. Roughly speaking the minimum of these functionals are achieved on the union of balls of small radius, so that when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the sequence $G_{\varepsilon}$ shrinks to the atomic measure $\mathcal{H}^{0}(P)$.

This leads to an intermediate approximation given by

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\varepsilon}(u, D) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(1-\chi_{D}\right)|\Delta u|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \kappa^{2}(x)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}(x) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

This strategy permits to work with the perimeter measure $H^{1}\lfloor\partial D$, that can be approximated, according to the Modica-Mortola's approach ( see [24, 25]), by the measure:

$$
\mu_{\varepsilon}(w, \nabla w) d x=\left(\varepsilon|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{V(w)}{\varepsilon}\right) d x
$$

where $V(w)=w^{2}(1-w)^{2}$ is a double well functional.
Besides by using the Sard Theorem and the Coarea Formula (see also 6] for a similar approach) one can formally replace in the second integral on the boundary of $D$ the curvature $\kappa$ by the integral of the $\operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$ and the integeral is computed over the level sets of $w$. So that one can formally write the complete approximating sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u, w) & =\int_{\Omega} w^{2}|\Delta u|^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi C} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as usual, $C=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t, \beta_{\varepsilon}$ and $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ are infinitesimal as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The last integral is a penalization term that forces $w$ to tend to 1 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The goal of the second part of this work is then to show that the family of energies $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ $\Gamma$-converges to the functional $\mathcal{F}$ when the parameters are related in a suitable way.

As in [11] we deal with the Haussdorf convergence for sets of points and with a suitable convergence of functions involving the convergence of a sub-level sets

Despites this approach is inspired by some ideas contained in 10, 11, we point out that in our case the regularization term involves a second order differential operator, due to the fact that our goal is to detect points and not segment curves. This deep difference requires a non trivial adaption of the arguments used in those papers.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to notation, preliminaries, definitions and result. In section 3 we illustrate the new variational model and we present the functional we deal with. Section 4 and 5 are devoted to the $\Gamma$-convergence result Finally in the last section we conclude the paper by comparing this approach with the celebrate conjecture by De Giorgi concerning the approximation of the curvature depending functionals.

We do not give here experimental result illustrating our approach. We refer the reader for that to 22 .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notation

In all the paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an open bounded set with $C^{2}$-boundary. The operation $\cdot$ stands for the usual scalar product. The Euclidean norm will be denoted by $|\cdot|$, while the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ indicates the norm of some functional space. The brackets $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the duality$ product in some distributional spaces. $\mathcal{L}^{d}$ or $d x$ is the $d$-dimensional Lebesgue measure and $\mathcal{H}^{k}$ is the $k$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. $B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the ball centered at $x_{0}$ with radius $\rho$. We say that a set $D \in \Omega$ is a regular set if it can be written as $\{F<0\}$ with $F \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In the following we will denote by $R(\Omega)$ the set of the all regular sets in $\Omega$.

## 144 2.2 Distributional divergence and classical spaces

145 In this subsection we recall the definition of the distributional space $L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$
146 (see [4] 14). We start by recalling the distributional definition of the divergence operator.
${ }_{147}$ Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an open set and let $U: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a regular vector field. If $U=(u, v)$ then classically $\operatorname{div} U=u_{x_{1}}+v_{x_{2}}$. In the sense of distribution DivU is defined

$$
\langle\operatorname{Div} U, \varphi\rangle=-\int_{\Omega}(u, v) \cdot \nabla \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Definition 2.1. We say that $U \in L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ if $U \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and if its distributional divergence $\operatorname{Div} U=\operatorname{div} \in L^{q}(\Omega)$. If $p=q$ the space $L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ will be denoted by $L^{p}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$.

We say that $U \in L_{l o c}^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ if $U \in L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; A)$ for every open set $A \subset \subset \Omega$.
We say that a function $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ belongs to $W^{1, p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ if $\nabla u \in L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$. We say that a function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1, p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$ if $\nabla u \in L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)$.
Definition 2.2. For $U \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, set

$$
|\operatorname{Div} U|(\Omega):=\sup \left\{\langle U, \nabla \varphi\rangle: \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega),|\varphi| \leq 1\right\}
$$

We say that $U$ is an $L^{p}$-divergence measure field, i.e. $U \in \mathcal{D M}^{p}(\Omega)$ if

$$
\|U\|_{\mathcal{D M}^{p}(\Omega)}:=\|U\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+|\operatorname{Div} U|(\Omega)<+\infty
$$

157 Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{U_{k}\right\}_{k} \subset \mathcal{D}^{p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k} \rightharpoonup U \quad L^{p}(\Omega), \text { for } 1 \leq p<+\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\|U\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega: \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq \liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \quad|\operatorname{Div} U|(\Omega) \leq \liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left|\operatorname{Div} U_{h}\right|(\Omega)
$$

## $2.3 p$-capacity

The $p$-capacity will be crucial to find a convenient functional framework to deal with. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a compact set and $\chi_{K}$ denotes its characteristic function, we define:

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(K, \Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{p} d x f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), f \geq \chi_{k}\right\}
$$

If $U \subset \Omega$ is an open set, the $p$-capacity is given by

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(U, \Omega)=\sup _{K \subset U} \operatorname{Cap}_{p}(K, \Omega) .
$$

Finally if $A \subset \Omega$ is a Borel set

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(A, \Omega)=\inf _{A \subset U \subset \Omega} \operatorname{Cap}_{p}(U, \Omega) .
$$

We recall the following result (see for instance [23], Theorem 2.27) theorem that explains the relationship between $p$-capacity and Haussdorf measure. Such a result is crucial to have geometric information on the null $p$-capacity sets.

Theorem 2.2. Assume $1 \leq p<2$. If $\mathcal{H}^{2-p}(A)<\infty$ then $\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(A, \Omega)=0$
Another useful tool to manage the sets of $p$-capacity 0 is provided by the following characterization.

Theorem 2.3. Let $E$ be a compact subset of $\Omega$. Then $\operatorname{Cap}_{p}(E, \Omega)=0$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, converging to 0 strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, such that $0 \leq \phi_{k} \leq 1$ and $\phi_{k}=1$ on $E$ for every $k$.

For a general survey we refer the reader to [21, [23, 29].

## 3 The Variational Model

This section is devoted to illustrate the functional framework we will work with.
Roughly speaking in biological images the image is a function that could be very high on points or other structures like set with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha<1$, and it is close to 0 outside. In image processing these concentration sets are called discontinuity without jump, meaning that there is no jump across the set and therefore the gradient of the image is 0 . From a mathematical point of view it seems to be much more appropriate to think of the image as a Radon measure, that is $I=\mu \in\left(C_{0}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$. The next step is finding a space whose elements are capable of producing these discontinuity without jump. This space is given by $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$. with $1<p<2$. The restriction on $p$ is due to the fact that when $p \geq 2$ the distributional divergence of $U$ cannot be a measure concentrated on points. Set $p \geq 2$. Looking at the definition we have

$$
\langle\operatorname{Div} U, \varphi\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} U \cdot \nabla \varphi \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Since $p \geq 2$ this distribution is a well defined even for any test $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ where $p^{\prime} \leq 2$ is the dual exponent of $p$. In particular $\operatorname{Div} U$ belongs to the dual space $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ of the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then in this case, the distributional divergence of $U$ cannot be an atomic measure, whereas $\delta_{0} \notin W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. To see this, one can consider as $\Omega$ the disk $B_{0}(1)$ and the function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)=\log (\log (1+|x|))-\log (\log (2))$. This function is in the space $W_{0}^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ for every $p^{\prime} \leq 2$ and therefore it is an admissible test function and it easy to check that $\left\langle\delta_{0}, \varphi\right\rangle=+\infty$.

When $1<p<2$ we have that $\operatorname{Div} U \in W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, but in this case since $p<2$, we have $p^{\prime}>2$ and hence the function $\tilde{\varphi}$ is no longer an admissible test function. One can check that the distribution $\operatorname{Div} U$ is an element of $\left(C_{0}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ capable of charging the points. Take for instance the map $U(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)$.

The next step is to transform the initial image $I$ as the divergence measure of a suitable vector field. We consider the elliptic problem with measure data $I$ :

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u=I & \text { on } \Omega  \tag{4}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Classical results (see [27) ensures the existence of a unique weak solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with $p<2$. Then it easy to see that the distributional divergence of $\nabla u$ is given by $I$. In particular by setting $U=\nabla u$, we have $U \in \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$. According to the Radon Nykodim decomposition of the measure $\operatorname{Div} U$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Div} \mathrm{U}=\operatorname{div} U+\operatorname{div}^{s} U
$$

where $\operatorname{div} U \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. For our purpose the support of the singular measure $\operatorname{div}^{s} U$ is too large. In particular the measure $\operatorname{div}^{s} U$ could charge sets with Haussdorf dimension $0 \leq \alpha<2$,
hence it can be concentrated also on discontinuity with jump. So that in order to isolate the singularities we are interested in, we need a further decomposition of the measure DivU. This can be done by using the capacitary decomposition of the Radon measure $\operatorname{div}^{s} U$. It is known (see [17) that given a Radon measure $\mu$ the following decomposition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{a}+\mu_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the measure $\mu_{a}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the $p$-capacity and $\mu_{0}$ is singular with respect to the $p$-capacity, that is concentrated on sets with $0 p$-capacity. Besides it is also known (see [17) that every measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the $p$-capacity can be characterized as an element of $L^{1}+W^{-1, p^{\prime}}$, leading to the finer decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=f-\operatorname{DivG}+\mu_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
By applying this decompositon to the measure $\operatorname{div}^{s} U$ we obtain the following decompositon of the measure DivU

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Div} \mathrm{U}=\operatorname{div} U+f-\operatorname{Div} G+\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} U\right)_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $G \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.
According to this decomposition and taking into account Theorem 2.3 we give the definition of discontinuity without and with jump.

Definition 3.1. We say that a point $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a point of discontinuity without jump of $U$ if $x \in \overline{\operatorname{supp}\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} U\right)_{0}}$.

The other singularities where there is a jump, are contained in the second term of the decomposition (7). Indeed the space $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ contains Haussdorf measures restricted to sub-manifolds of dimension greater or equal to 1 (see [29] chapter 4, section 7 for a detailed discussion on the space $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ ), like for instance Hausdorff measures concentrated on regular closed curves, that is contours, that are a classical example of discontinuty with jump. More precisely a countour of a regular set is the jump set of the charactheristic function of the set $D$ and its $p$-capacity is strictly positive. This is of course in agreement with Theorem 2.3. Indeed, since the characteristic function of the set $D$ is $B V$-function, it cannot be approximated by regular functions in the $W^{1, p}$ strong norm.

Definition 3.2. We say that a point $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a point of discontinuity with jump of $U$ if $x \in \overline{\operatorname{supp}(f-\operatorname{Div} G)}$.

### 3.1 The variational framework

We shall build an energy whose minimizer will be a vector field whose singular part of its divergence measure will be given by nothing else but points.

This miminizer must be an $L^{p}$ (with $p<2$ ) vector field with the following properties:

According to these considerations it seems to be natural to introduce the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega):=\left\{U \in \mathcal{D}^{p}(\Omega), \quad f-\operatorname{Div} G=0\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, as a consequence, the decomposition (7) yields for any $U \in \operatorname{SD}^{p}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Div} \mathrm{U}=\operatorname{div} U+\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} U\right)_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our purposes the following result concerning the features of the elements of the space $S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ will play a crucial role.

Proposition 3.1. Let $U \in L^{p, q}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega \backslash P)$ and $P \subset \Omega$ be a set of finite number of points then $U \in S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We set $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Let $B_{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right) \mathrm{i}=1, . . n$. We can find a sequence $\rho(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $h$ large and $i \neq j$. Then we define the following sequence $U_{h}$.

$$
U_{h}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { on } \Omega \cap \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{10}\\ U & \text { on } \Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The sequence $U_{h} \in \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ for every $h$ fixed. If $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by applying the Proposition 2.1) to the vector field $U \in L^{p, q}\left(\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$. we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\operatorname{Div} U_{h}\right|(\Omega) & \leq \sup _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 1}\left|\int_{\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)} U \nabla \varphi\right| \leq \sup _{0 \leq \varphi \leq 1}\left\{\left|\left\langle\gamma_{\nu}(U), \varphi_{\mid \partial \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right\rangle\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\int_{\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)} \operatorname{divU} U\right|\right\} \leq \sup _{\varphi}\left|\left\langle\gamma_{\nu}(U), \varphi_{\mid \partial \bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho(h)}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right\rangle\right| \\
& +\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\operatorname{div} U\|_{L^{q}(\Omega \backslash P)} \leq C\|U\|_{p}+(1+C)\|\operatorname{div} U\|_{L^{q}(\Omega \backslash P)} \leq C_{1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

242 where $C_{1}$ does not depend on $h$.
Now since $\mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\rho}(h)\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ we have $U_{h} \rightharpoonup U$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ the by Theorem 2.1

$$
\|U\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq \liminf _{h}\left\|U_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C
$$

and by theorem 2.1

$$
|\operatorname{Div} U|(\Omega)<\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left|\operatorname{Div} U_{h}\right| \leq C
$$

### 3.2 The Functional

According to our purpose the natural energy to deal with is the following $F: S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty]$ given by

$$
F(U)=\int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} U|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|U-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} U\right)_{0}\right)
$$

We note that $\inf F(U)>0$ on $S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$. Indeed if we had $\inf _{S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)} F(U)=0$ then it would be possible exhibiting a minimizing sequence $U_{n} \rightarrow U_{0}$ in $L^{p}$ such that $\operatorname{Div} U_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, the $L^{p}$-distance between $U_{n}$ and $U_{0}$ can be arbitrary small only if $\operatorname{Div} U_{0}=0$ as well, because the constraint $\operatorname{Div} U=0$ is stable under $L^{p}$-convergence. Moreover to mimic the construction of $U_{0}$ we restrict ourselves to vector fields $U$ which are the gradient of a function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \quad \nabla u \in S \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{p}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\operatorname{div}^{s} \nabla u\right)_{0}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(u, D)= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega \backslash D}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \kappa^{2}(x)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}(x) & \text { if } \mathcal{L}(D) \leq a(\varepsilon)  \tag{17}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

while the limit functional is

$$
\mathcal{F}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) .
$$

where $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega), U_{0} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
To simplify the notation let us set $Y(\Omega)=\left\{(u, D) u \in W_{0}^{1, p, 2}\right.$ (div; $\left.\left.\Omega\right), D \in R(\Omega)\right\}$, where $R(\Omega)$ is a regular set.

By adapting to our case the convergence introduced in [11], we endow the set $Y(\Omega)$ with the following convergence.

Definition 4.1. We say that $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega) A$-converges to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ if the following conditions hold

1. $\mathcal{L}\left(D_{h}\right) \rightarrow 0$
2. $\{\partial D\}_{h} \rightarrow P$ in the Haussdorff metric, where $P$ is a finite set of points.
3. $u_{h} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $P_{\nabla u} \subseteq P$

The definition of $\Gamma$-convergence with respect to the convergence above is the following.
Definition 4.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges to $G$ if for every sequence of positive numbers $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega) A$-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \geq G(u)
$$

2. there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega) A$-convergent to $u$ such that

$$
\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq G(u)
$$

By following this approach the fundamental theorem of the $\Gamma$-convergence can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence of functional $\Gamma$-convergent to $\mathcal{F}$. If $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)-\inf _{Y(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)=0
$$

then there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, D_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{F}(u)$, such that $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, D_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} A$-converges to $u$.

By following the classical pattern (see for instance [12]), we will split the proof in three steps. Theorem 4.1 will follow from: the compactness of the mininimzing sequence of $F_{\epsilon}$, the $\Gamma$ liminf inequality (1) and the $\Gamma$-limsup inequality (2) in Definition 4.2

### 4.1 Compactness

We state and prove the following compactness result.
Theorem 4.2. Let $h$ goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq M \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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then there exist a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, D_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and a function $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p}(\Omega)$ such that $\left(u_{h_{k}}, D_{h_{k}}\right) A$-converges to $u$.

Proof. . We adapt an argument of [11]. We have $\mathcal{L}\left(D_{h}\right) \rightarrow 0$ otherwise $F_{\varepsilon_{h}}=+\infty$. Let $D_{h}$ be a sequence of regular sets. Then we can parameterize every $C_{h}=\partial D_{h}$ by a finite and disjoint union of Jordan curves. Let us set for every $h C_{h}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(h)} \gamma^{i}$, then we have according to the 2-dimensional version of Gauss-Bonnet's Theorem and Young's inequality

$$
M \geq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}}+\varepsilon_{h} \kappa_{h}{ }^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D_{h}} 2 \kappa_{h} d \mathcal{H}^{1}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\bigcup_{h} C_{h}} 2 \kappa_{h} d \mathcal{H}^{1}=m(h)
$$

Note that the number $m(h) \leq M$, with $M \geq 0$, is independent of $h$. Then it is possible to extract a subsequence $C_{h_{k}}$ with the number of curves in $C_{h_{k}}$ equal to $n$ for every $k$. Then we set $C_{h_{k}}=\left\{\gamma_{h_{k}}^{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{h_{k}}^{n}\right\}$ for any $k$. From (18) we also have for any $\gamma \in C_{h_{k}}$ that $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) \leq 4 \pi M \varepsilon_{h_{k}}$ and consequently $\max \left\{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma): \gamma \in C_{h_{k}}\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a finite set of point $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ such that for any radius $\rho$ there is an index $k_{\rho}$ with

$$
\gamma_{h_{k}}^{i} \subset B_{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right) \text { for all } k>k_{\rho} \text { and } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

so that if we set $\partial D_{h_{k}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{h_{k}}^{i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right)$, then the Haussdorf distance $d_{H}\left(\partial D_{h_{k}}, P\right) \rightarrow$ 0 since $\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(D_{h_{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $\rho \rightarrow 0$ as well.

Now we prove the compactness property for $u_{h}$. First of all from the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq 2^{p}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and (18) we may extract a subsequence $\left\{u_{h_{k}}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ weakly convergent to $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Let $\Omega_{j}$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_{j} \subset \subset \Omega \backslash P$ invading $\Omega \backslash P$. We claim that that it is possible to extract a sequence of $D_{h_{k}}$ such that $\Omega_{j} \cap \partial D_{h_{k}}=\emptyset$. Indeed since the distance between $\Omega_{j}$ and $P$ is positive for any $j$ there exists $\eta_{j}$ such that $\Omega_{j} \cap\left(\bigcup_{i}^{n} B_{\eta_{j}}\left(x^{i}\right)\right)=\emptyset$. On the other hand we know from before that for every $\rho$ we can find $k_{\rho}$ such that $\partial D_{h_{k}}=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{h_{k}}^{i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then in particular if $\rho=\eta_{j}$ there exists $k_{j}$ such that for all $k \geq k_{j}$

$$
\Omega_{j} \cap \partial D_{h_{k}}=\emptyset
$$

Therefore for any $x \in \Omega_{j}$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that either $B_{\delta}(x) \subset D_{h_{k}}$ or $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \Omega \backslash D_{h_{k}}$. Finally by taking into account that $\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(D_{h_{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ we conclude $\Omega_{j} \cap \partial D_{h_{k}}=\emptyset$ for $k \geq k_{j}$.

Then for every $k \geq k_{j}$ we have that $u_{h_{k}} \in W^{1, p, 2}\left(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega_{j}\right)$ and by (18) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{j}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h_{k}}}\left|\Delta u_{h_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \leq M \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can extract a further subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{h_{k}}\right\} \subset W^{1, p, 2}\left(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{h_{k}} \rightarrow u & \text { in } L^{p}\left(\Omega_{j} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \text { and a.e } \\ \nabla u_{h_{k}} \rightharpoonup \nabla u & \text { in } L^{p}\left(\Omega_{j} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \\ \Delta u_{h_{k}} \rightharpoonup \Delta u & \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Let now $x \in \Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega \backslash P$. Then there exists a sequence $x_{j} \rightarrow x$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying the diagonal argument to the sequence $u_{h_{k_{l}}}\left(x_{j}\right)$ we obtain a subsequence $u_{l}=u_{h_{k l}}\left(x_{l}\right)$ such that $\Delta u_{l}$ converges weakly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ to $\Delta u$ for any $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$. Then by the semicontinuity of the $L^{2}$-norm we have

$$
\sup _{j} \int_{\Omega_{j}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \leq \sup _{j} \liminf _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega_{j}}\left|\Delta u_{l}\right|^{2} d x \leq M
$$

If we set $\tilde{P}=P \backslash \partial \Omega$, then we deduce $u \in W_{0}^{1, p, 2}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega \backslash \tilde{P})$ and therefore $\nabla u \in S \mathcal{D M}^{p}(\Omega)$ with $P_{\nabla u} \subseteq P$, by Proposition 3.1. So we conclude that $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$

### 4.2 Lower Bound

According to the convergence we deal with, we have to provide the following lower bound.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to to zero. For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\}_{h} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-converging to $u$ we have

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \geq \mathcal{F}(u)
$$

Proof. First of all we may assume that $\lim \inf F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)<M$ otherwise the result is trivial. Moreover up to a subsequence we may assume that the liminf is a actually a limit. Let $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j}$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_{j} \subset \subset \Omega \backslash P$ invading $\Omega \backslash P$. As in the proof of the Theorem 4.2 we may assume up to a subsequence, that $\Delta u_{h} \rightarrow \Delta u$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$. Furthermore, since in this case all the sequence $D_{h}$ satisfies the condition (1) and (2) in Definition 4.1 we have $\Omega_{j} \subset \Omega \backslash D_{h}$ for h large and for any $j$. We also have $\Delta u_{h}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ to $\Delta u$. Consequently

$$
\liminf _{h} \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq \liminf _{h} \int_{\Omega_{j}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x \geq \int_{\Omega_{j}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x
$$

and up to subsequences we have $m(h)=n$ and there exist a set $P_{1}$ of $n$ points such that $\partial D_{h}$ converges in the Haussdorf metric to $P_{1}$. On the other hand we have that $\partial D_{h}$ converges in the Haussdorf metric to $P$ and, since the limit is unique $P=P_{1}$ and therefore.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon_{h} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq n=\mathcal{H}^{0}(P) \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$ achieve the result.

${ }^{323}$ Theorem 4.4. Let $\varepsilon_{h}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$. For every $u \in$

### 4.3 Upper bound

In [11] for the construction of the optimal sequence it is crucial to use a result due to Chambolle and Doveri (see [13]). This result states that it is possible to approximate, in the $H^{1}$ norm, a function $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \backslash C)$ (where $C$ is a closed set), by means of a sequence of functions $u_{h} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega \backslash C_{h}\right)$ with $C_{h}$ convergent to $C$ in the Haussdorf metric. In our case this argument does not apply due to presence of a second order differential operator. Nevertheless since we work only with set of points it is possible to build an optimal sequence in a more direct way.

According to the convergence we deal with the theorem, we have to prove the following theorem. $\Delta \mathcal{A} M^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ A-converging to $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We start by the sequence $D_{h}$. Let $n$ be the number of points $x_{i}$ in $P_{\nabla u}$. Then we take $D_{h}=\bigcup_{1}^{n} B_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right)$. So that $\mathcal{L}\left(D_{h}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\partial D_{h}$ goes to $P$ with respect to the Haussdorf distance. Moreover for $h$ large we may assume $B_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Now we build $u_{h}$. Let $\left\{\rho_{h}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\rho_{h} \geq 0$ and $\rho_{h} \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\theta_{h} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the following property:

$$
\begin{cases}\theta_{h}=1 & \text { on } B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right) \text { for any } i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{25}\\ 0 \leq \theta_{h} \leq 1 & \text { on } B_{\rho_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \backslash B B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right) \text { for any } i=1, \ldots, n \\ \theta=0 & \text { on } \Omega \backslash B_{\rho_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \text { for any } i=1, \ldots, n \\ \left\|\nabla \theta_{h}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_{h}} & \end{cases}
$$

We set $u_{h}=\left(1-\theta_{h}\right) u$ and we claim that the pair $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)$ realizes the inequality (24). By making the computation we have

$$
\nabla u_{h}=\left(1-\theta_{h}\right) \nabla u-u \nabla \theta_{h}
$$

Then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}-\theta_{h} \nabla u-u \nabla \theta_{h}\right|^{p} d x
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \leq \limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\theta_{h} \nabla u\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Since $\nabla u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, we have by applying the dominated convergence theorem $\int_{\Omega}\left|\theta_{h} \nabla u\right|^{p} \rightarrow 0$. Let us focus on the term $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p}$. By the Sobolev embedding we have $u \in L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$ with $p^{*}=\frac{2 p}{2-p}$ and hence $|u|^{p} \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}(\Omega)$, with $\frac{p^{*}}{p}=\frac{2}{2-p}$.

By (25), using the Holder's inequality with dual exponents $\frac{2}{2-p}$ and $\frac{2}{p}$ and taking into account that $p<2$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{\rho_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \backslash B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p} d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{B_{\rho_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)}}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p} d x-\int_{B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p} d x\right) \\
& \leq n\left(\left(\int_{B_{\rho_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{h}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{B_{\frac{\rho_{h}}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{h}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}(\Omega)}}\right) \\
& \leq n\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p}(\Omega)}}\left(\frac{\pi^{2} \rho_{h}^{2}}{\rho_{h}^{p}}+\frac{\pi^{2} \rho_{h}^{2}}{4 \rho_{h}^{p}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

From (26) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x & \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\lvert\,+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\theta_{h} \nabla u\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \theta_{h} u\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.\right)^{p} \\
& =\left(\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we compute $\Delta \theta_{h}$. The identity $\operatorname{div}(f A)=f \operatorname{div} A+\nabla f \cdot A$ yields

$$
\Delta u_{h}=\left(1-\theta_{h}\right) \Delta u-2 \nabla \theta_{h} \nabla u-\Delta \theta_{h} u
$$
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Then by choosing $\rho_{h}$ small enough we have from (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}}|\Delta u|^{2} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover it is not difficult to check that $\left\{\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right)\right\} \subset Y(\Omega)$ and A-converges to $u$.
Finally since for $h$ large we have $B_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h} \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial D_{h}}\left(\varepsilon_{h}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}=\lim _{h} \sum_{1}^{n} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon_{h}}}\left(\varepsilon_{h} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}=n=\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$
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By recalling that the limsup is sublinear operation and by (28), (29), (30), we achieve the result.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\Omega)=\left\{(u, w) ; u \in W_{0}^{1, p, 2}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega) ; 1-w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1])\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and having in mind the coarea formula, the following sequence of functionals defined on $S(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u, w) & =\int_{\Omega} w|\Delta u|^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi C} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

whit $C=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t$.
The last term forces $w_{\varepsilon}$ be 1 almost everywhere in the limit and hence plays the role of the condition $\mathcal{L}(D) \leq a(\varepsilon)$. From now on the parameters $\varepsilon, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ will be related as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varepsilon|\log (\varepsilon)|}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence that plays the role of the $A$-convergence is the following.
Definition 5.1. Let $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\}$ be a sequence in $S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$. We say that that $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-converges to $u$ if for every $t \in(0,1)$ the pair $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}^{t}\right) A$-converges to $u$.

We define the $\Gamma$-convergence with respect to this convergence.
Definition 5.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges to $G$ if for every sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} M^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \geq G(u)
$$

2. there exists a sequence $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-convergent to $u$ such that

$$
\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \leq G(u)
$$

As in the previous section we state a suitable version of the fundamental theorem of the $\Gamma$-convergence.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ a sequence of functional $\Gamma$-convergent to $\mathcal{F}$. If $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\} \subset S(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{h}}, w_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)-\inf \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)=0
$$

362 then there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\}$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} S$-converges $u$.

### 5.1 Compactness

Theorem 5.2. Let $h$ goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \leq M \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

366 there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{u_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} \subset S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ such that $\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)$
$367 \quad S$-converges to $u$.
Proof. The first part of proof is as in 11. For the convenience of the reader we give the complete proof.

For any $t \in(0,1)$ since $0 \leq w_{h} \leq 1$, we have $w_{h}>\frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}}$ then by Chebyshev's inequality and by (57)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{M \gamma_{\varepsilon_{h}}}{(1-t)^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality ensures that the conditon (1) of Definition 4.1 holds for every sub level sets $\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}$.

By Young'inequality and by (57) we get

$$
M \geq 2 \int_{\Omega \backslash\left\{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|=0\right\}}\left|\nabla w_{h}\right| \sqrt{W\left(w_{h}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\right) d x\right.
$$
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Now by coarea formula and taking into account that $\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|$ coincides with the norm of the tangent vector to the boundary of the $t$-level set we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap\{|\nabla w| \neq 0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to the Sard's Lemma for any $h$ there exists a $\mathcal{L}$-negligible set $\mathcal{N}_{w_{h}} \subseteq(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}=\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}, \quad\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \in R(\Omega), \text { for } t \in(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w_{h}}
$$

and on $\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}$ for $t \in(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w_{h}}$ we have

$$
\left|\nabla w_{h}\right| \neq 0 \text { and } \kappa\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w_{h}}{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|}\right)
$$

Now since the union $\mathcal{N}_{w}$ of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{h}$ is $\mathcal{L}$-negligible (almost countable) from (59) we have

$$
M \geq 2 \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t
$$

where the constant $M_{t}$ does not depend on $h$.
Then for every $t \in(0,1) \backslash Q_{w}$ we can extract a sequence $w_{h, t}$ such that $\partial\left\{w_{h, t}<t\right\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^{t} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ in $(0,1)$ be a dense countable set. Up to a diagonal argument we can find a subsequence $\left\{w_{h_{k}}\right\}$ such that, for every $t^{i} \in \mathcal{N}, \partial\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\}$ converges to $P^{t_{i}}$. Let $t_{i} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t_{i}>t$ and consequently $\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\} \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\}$. From the definition of Haussdorf convergence it follows that for every $\rho>0$ there exists $k_{0}(\rho)$ such that for any $k>k_{0}$ we have $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$. Since the $t_{i}$-level set is open for every $\rho$ and for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$ such that $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$, we may choose $t_{n} \in \mathcal{N}$ with $t_{n}<t$ and so obtain for $k$ large enough $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{n}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$. By choosing $t_{\max }=\max _{x \in P^{t_{i}}} t_{n}(x)$ for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$, the inclusion $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{\max }\right\} \subset\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\}$ gives

$$
\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{\max }\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{n}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x)
$$

By applying Fatou's Lemma and taking into account that the set $\mathcal{N}_{w}$ does not depend on $h$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq 2 \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we deduce the existence of a $\mathcal{L}$-negligible set $Q_{w}$, with $\mathcal{N}_{w} \subseteq Q_{w}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \leq M_{t} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t_{\max }, t_{n} \in \mathcal{N}$. Then by taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}$we infer $\partial\left\{w_{h, t}<t\right\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^{t} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ for every $t \in(0,1)$.

Finally as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we can extract a subsequence $\left\{u_{h_{k}}\right\}$ which converges strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ to a function $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ with $P_{\nabla u} \subset P^{t}$ for every $t \in(0,1)$. Therefore we have that for every $t \in(0,1)$ the pair $\left(u_{h},\left\{w_{h, t}<t\right\}\right)$ S-converges to $u$ and the proof is achieved.

### 5.2 Lower bound

Theorem 5.3. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\left\{u_{h}, w_{h}\right\} \subset S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$ the following inequality holds

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}(u, w) \geq F(u)
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\left\{u_{h}, w_{h}\right\} \subset S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$. As usual up to subsequences we can assume

$$
+\infty>\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}=\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}
$$

$\int_{\Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2}=\int_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \cap \Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2}+\int_{\left\{w_{h} \geq t\right\} \cap \Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\chi_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\right)\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x$
Let $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j}$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_{j} \subset \subset \Omega \backslash P^{t}$ invading $\Omega \backslash P^{t}$. Then we may assume that $u_{h} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u_{h}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ to $\Delta u$. Therefore as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} t^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\chi_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\right)\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega_{j}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x
$$

for any $j$.
Then by (62) and, by taking into account that $|\Delta u|$ is an $L^{2}(\Omega)$ function, it follows that

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{h}^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x
$$

And eventually by taking the limit $t \rightarrow 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{h}^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition we know that for every $t \in(0,1) \mathcal{L}^{d}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \rightarrow P^{t}$ in the Haussdorf distance. So that, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (inequality 23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P^{t}\right) \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 and by taking into account (65), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
\geq & 2 \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \\
\geq & 2 \int_{(0,1)} \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P^{t}\right) \sqrt{W(t)} d t \geq 8 \pi C \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

By collecting (63) (64) and (66) we achieve the thesis.

### 5.3 Upper bound

As in [11] to build $w_{h}$ we use the construction used by Bellettini in [6, while the optimal sequence $u_{k}$ is given as in Theorem4.4

Theorem 5.4. Let $\varepsilon_{h}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$. For every $u \in$ ${ }^{01} \Delta \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \in S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we set

$$
\delta_{A}(x)=d(x, A)-d\left(x, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash A\right)
$$

We start by the construction of $w_{h}$.
As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we set $P_{\nabla u}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and we define

$$
D_{h}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Since $D_{h}$ is a regular set by taking into account the condition (56) for $h$ large enough we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in \Omega: d\left(x, D_{h}\right)<2 \varepsilon_{h} \mid \log \varepsilon_{h}\right\} \subset \subset \Omega \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta$ be the optimal profile for the Modica Mortola energy, that is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{W(t)} \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R} \\
\eta(-\infty)=0 \\
\eta(+\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

405 given by $\eta(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\tanh \frac{t}{2}\right)$.

For every $h$ let $\psi_{h}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function such that

$$
\begin{cases}\psi_{h}=1 & \text { on }\left[0,\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right] \\ \psi_{h}=0 & \text { on }\left[2 \mid \log \varepsilon_{h},+\infty\right] \\ \psi_{h}{ }^{\prime}<0 & \text { on }\left[\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|, 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right] \\ \left\|\psi_{h}{ }^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|, 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|}\right) & \end{cases}
$$

As in [6 and in (11) we define

$$
\eta_{h}(t)= \begin{cases}\eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)+1-\psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) & \text { if } t \geq 0 \\ \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)-\eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) & \text { if } t<0\end{cases}
$$

Then we set $w_{h}(x)=\eta_{h}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right)$. We claim that $1-w_{h}(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1])$ for $h$ large. Indeed for any $x \in \Omega \backslash D_{h}$ we have $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$, hence

$$
1-w_{h}(x)=\psi_{h}\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\left(1-\eta\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\right)
$$

and then $0 \leq 1-w_{h} \leq 1$ by the properties of $\psi_{h}$ and $\eta$. The case $x \in D_{h}$ is similar. Let now $x \in \partial \Omega$ then $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$ and $1-w_{h}(x)=\psi_{h}\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\left(1-\eta\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\right)$. From (68), it follows $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|$ for $h$ large enough, hence the claim follows. Then we take $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\}$ as an optimal sequence, where $u_{h}$ is given as in Theorem 4.4

First all we have to check that $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}(x)\right)$ S-converges to $u$. For any $x \in \Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}$ we have that for $h$ large enough $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$ and one can check that $w_{h}(x) \rightarrow 1$ for every $x \in \Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in(0,1)$. Now for every $t \in(0,1)$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}=\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap D_{h}\right) \cup\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap \Omega \backslash D_{h}\right) .\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{413}$ Hence, since $w_{h}(x) \rightarrow 1$ for $x \in \Omega \backslash D_{h}$, for any $t \in(0,1)$ there exists $h(t)$ such that ${ }_{414}\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap \Omega \backslash D_{h}=\emptyset$ for every $h \geq h(t)$. So that from (69) it follows that for every ${ }_{415} t \in(0,1),\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \rightarrow P_{\nabla u}$ when $h \rightarrow+\infty$. So we can conclude that ( $u_{h}, w_{h}$ ) S-converges ${ }_{416}$ to $U$.

As in [6] we set

$$
D_{h}^{1}=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right|<\varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right\}, D_{h}^{2}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|<\left|\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right|<2\left|\varepsilon_{h}\right| \log \varepsilon_{h} \mid\right\}
$$

and we split

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
=\int_{D_{h}^{1}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x+\right. \\
\int_{D_{h}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x=\right. \\
=I_{h}+I I_{h} \tag{47}
\end{array}
$$

For $x \in D_{h}^{1}$ we have $\frac{\left|\delta_{D_{h}}\right|}{\varepsilon_{h}}<\log \varepsilon_{h}$ therefore $w_{h}(x)=\eta\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{D_{h}}\right|}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)$ By taking into account the definition of $\eta$ we have $\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}} \leq w_{h} \leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}$. Moreover it easy to check that

$$
\eta_{h}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} \eta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} \sqrt{W(t)} ; \quad\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|=\left|\eta_{k}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}\right)\right|
$$
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This, together with the coarea formula yields

$$
I_{h}=2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{h}}+\beta_{h} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t
$$

Now we have that $\partial D_{h}=\left\{w_{h}=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ then

$$
I_{h}=2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial D_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{h}}+\beta_{h} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t+O\left(\varepsilon_{h} \log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right) \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} I_{h}=8 \pi \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreovoer with the same argument and by using the definition of $w_{h}$ one can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} I I_{h}=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi C} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x=\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right)\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{422}$ Now we take care of the terms involving $u_{h}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore by taking into account that $w_{h}(x)=1$ if $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ and $w_{h}(x)=0$ if $\delta_{D_{h}}(x)<-2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}^{0}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x
$$

where $D_{h}^{0}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \delta_{D_{h}}(x)<-2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|\right\}$.
${ }^{424} \quad$ By choosing $\rho_{h}$ in such a way that tha $\rho_{h} \leq 2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x=\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}^{0}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{425} \quad$ Finally from the definition of $w_{h}$, it follows that $w_{h}=1$ outside the disk $\left(D_{h}\right)_{2 \log \varepsilon_{h}}$ and 426 hence thanks to (55) and (56)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{h}} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-w_{h}\right)^{2} d x \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{L}\left(D_{h 2 \log \varepsilon_{h}}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{h}}=0 . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{427}$ The thesis follows by collecting (73), (74), (75) and (76)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\Omega)=\left\{(u, w) ; u \in W_{0}^{1, p, 2}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega) ; 1-w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1])\right\} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and having in mind the coarea formula, the following sequence of functionals defined on $S(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u, w) & =\int_{\Omega} w|\Delta u|^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi C} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

whit $C=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t$.
The last term forces $w_{\varepsilon}$ be 1 almost everywhere in the limit and hence plays the role of the condition $\mathcal{L}(D) \leq a(\varepsilon)$. From now on the parameters $\varepsilon, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ will be related as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}=0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varepsilon|\log (\varepsilon)|}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence that plays the role of the $A$-convergence is the following.
Definition 6.1. Let $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\}$ be a sequence in $S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$. We say that that $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-converges to $u$ if for every $t \in(0,1)$ the pair $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}^{t}\right) A$-converges to $u$.

We define the $\Gamma$-convergence with respect to this convergence.
Definition 6.2. We say that a sequence $G_{\varepsilon} \Gamma$-converges to $G$ if for every sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$ and for every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} M^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ we have:

1. for every sequence $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-convergent to $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \geq G(u)
$$

2. there exists a sequence $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) S$-convergent to $u$ such that

$$
\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} G_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \leq G(u)
$$
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As in the previous section we state a suitable version of the fundamental theorem of the $\Gamma$-convergence.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ a sequence of functional $\Gamma$-convergent to $\mathcal{F}$. If $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\} \subset S(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{h}}, w_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)-\inf \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)=0
$$

${ }_{451}$ then there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\}$ and a minimizer $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such 452 that $\left\{\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} S$-converges $u$.

### 6.1 Compactness

Theorem 6.2. Let $h$ goes to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right) \leq M \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$
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there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{u_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)\right\} \subset S(\Omega)$ and $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ such that $\left(u_{h_{k}}, w_{h_{k}}\right)$
$456 \quad S$-converges to $u$.

457
Proof. The first part of proof is as in 11. For the convenience of the reader we give the complete proof.

For any $t \in(0,1)$ since $0 \leq w_{h} \leq 1$, we have $w_{h}>\frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}}$ then by Chebyshev's inequality and by (57)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{M \gamma_{\varepsilon_{h}}}{(1-t)^{2}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality ensures that the conditon (1) of Definition 4.1 holds for every sub level sets $462 \quad\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}$.

By Young'inequality and by (57) we get

$$
M \geq 2 \int_{\Omega \backslash\left\{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|=0\right\}}\left|\nabla w_{h}\right| \sqrt{W\left(w_{h}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\right) d x\right.
$$
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Now by coarea formula and taking into account that $\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|$ coincides with the norm of the tangent vector to the boundary of the $t$-level set we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap\{|\nabla w| \neq 0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to the Sard's Lemma for any $h$ there exists a $\mathcal{L}$-negligible set $\mathcal{N}_{w_{h}} \subseteq(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}=\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}, \quad\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \in R(\Omega), \text { for } t \in(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w_{h}}
$$

and on $\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}$ for $t \in(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w_{h}}$ we have

$$
\left|\nabla w_{h}\right| \neq 0 \text { and } \kappa\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w_{h}}{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|}\right)
$$

Now since the union $\mathcal{N}_{w}$ of the sets $\mathcal{N}_{h}$ is $\mathcal{L}$-negligible (almost countable) from (59) we have

$$
M \geq 2 \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq 2 \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{467}$ Hence we deduce the existence of a $\mathcal{L}$-negligible set $Q_{w}$, with $\mathcal{N}_{w} \subseteq Q_{w}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} \kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \leq M_{t} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $M_{t}$ does not depend on $h$.
Then for every $t \in(0,1) \backslash Q_{w}$ we can extract a sequence $w_{h, t}$ such that $\partial\left\{w_{h, t}<t\right\}$ converges with respect to the Haussdorf metric to a set $P^{t} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ in $(0,1)$ be a dense countable set. Up to a diagonal argument we can find a subsequence $\left\{w_{h_{k}}\right\}$ such that, for every $t^{i} \in \mathcal{N}, \partial\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\}$ converges to $P^{t_{i}}$. Let $t_{i} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $t_{i}>t$ and consequently $\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\} \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\}$. From the definition of Haussdorf convergence it follows that for every $\rho>0$ there exists $k_{0}(\rho)$ such that for any $k>k_{0}$ we have $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$. Since the $t_{i}$-level set is open for every $\rho$ and for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$ such that $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{i}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$, we may choose $t_{n} \in \mathcal{N}$ with $t_{n}<t$ and so obtain for $k$ large enough $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{n}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \neq \emptyset$. By choosing $t_{\max }=\max _{x \in P^{t_{i}}} t_{n}(x)$ for every $x \in P^{t_{i}}$, the inclusion $\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{\text {max }}\right\} \subset\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\}$ gives

$$
\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{\max }\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq\left\{w_{h, k}<t_{n}\right\} \cap B_{\rho}(x)
$$ 474 the proof is achieved.

## 475 <br> 6.2 Lower bound

Theorem 6.3. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{h}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . For every $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ and for every sequence $\left\{u_{h}, w_{h}\right\} \subset S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$ the following inequality holds

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}(u, w) \geq F(u) .
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \Delta \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\left\{u_{h}, w_{h}\right\} \subset S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$. As usual up to subsequences we can assume

$$
+\infty>\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}=\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}} .
$$

$\int_{\Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2}=\int_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \cap \Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2}+\int_{\left\{w_{h} \geq t\right\} \cap \Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\chi_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\right)\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x$
${ }_{477}$ Let $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j}$ be a sequence of open sets $\Omega_{j} \subset \subset \Omega \backslash P^{t}$ invading $\Omega \backslash P^{t}$. Then we may assume ${ }_{478}$ that $u_{h} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u_{h}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ to $\Delta u$. Therefore as 479 in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} t^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\chi_{\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\right)\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega_{j}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x
$$

for any $j$.
Then by (62) and, by taking into account that $|\Delta u|$ is an $L^{2}(\Omega)$ function, it follows that

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq t^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x
$$
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And eventually by taking the limit $t \rightarrow 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{h}{ }^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} \geq \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition we know that for every $t \in(0,1) \mathcal{L}^{d}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\} \rightarrow P^{t}$ in the Haussdorf distance. So that, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (inequality [23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P^{t}\right) \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 and by taking into account (65), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
\geq & 2 \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{(0,1) \backslash \mathcal{N}_{w}} \sqrt{W(t)} \liminf _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\partial\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t \\
\geq & 2 \int_{(0,1)} \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P^{t}\right) \sqrt{W(t)} d t \geq 8 \pi C \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

By collecting (63) (64) and (66) we achieve the thesis.

### 6.3 Upper bound

As in [11] to build $w_{h}$ we use the construction used by Bellettini in [6, while the optimal sequence $u_{k}$ is given as in Theorem4.4

Theorem 6.4. Let $\varepsilon_{h}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$. For every $u \in$ $\Delta \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \in S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup F_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we set

$$
\delta_{A}(x)=d(x, A)-d\left(x, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash A\right)
$$

We start by the construction of $w_{h}$.
As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we set $P_{\nabla u}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and we define

$$
D_{h}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Since $D_{h}$ is a regular set by taking into account the condition (56) for $h$ large enough we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in \Omega: d\left(x, D_{h}\right)<2 \varepsilon_{h} \mid \log \varepsilon_{h}\right\} \subset \subset \Omega \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta$ be the optimal profile for the Modica Mortola energy, that is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{W(t)} \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R} \\
\eta(-\infty)=0 \\
\eta(+\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

494 given by $\eta(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\tanh \frac{t}{2}\right)$.

For every $h$ let $\psi_{h}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function such that

$$
\begin{cases}\psi_{h}=1 & \text { on }\left[0,\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right] \\ \psi_{h}=0 & \text { on }\left[2 \mid \log \varepsilon_{h},+\infty\right] \\ \psi_{h}{ }^{\prime}<0 & \text { on }\left[\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|, 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right] \\ \left\|\psi_{h}{ }^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|, 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|}\right) & \end{cases}
$$

As in [6] and in [1] we define

$$
\eta_{h}(t)= \begin{cases}\eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)+1-\psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) & \text { if } t \geq 0 \\ \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)-\eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right) & \text { if } t<0\end{cases}
$$

Then we set $w_{h}(x)=\eta_{h}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right)$. We claim that $1-w_{h}(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1])$ for $h$ large. Indeed for any $x \in \Omega \backslash D_{h}$ we have $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$, hence

$$
1-w_{h}(x)=\psi_{h}\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\left(1-\eta\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\right)
$$

and then $0 \leq 1-w_{h} \leq 1$ by the properties of $\psi_{h}$ and $\eta$. The case $x \in D_{h}$ is similar. Let now $x \in \partial \Omega$ then $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$ and $1-w_{h}(x)=\psi_{h}\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\left(1-\eta\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\right)$. From (68), it follows $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 2\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|$ for $h$ large enough, hence the claim follows. Then we take $\left\{\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)\right\}$ as an optimal sequence, where $u_{h}$ is given as in Theorem 4.4

First all we have to check that $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}(x)\right)$ S-converges to $u$. For any $x \in \Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}$ we have that for $h$ large enough $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 0$ and one can check that $w_{h}(x) \rightarrow 1$ for every $x \in \Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{w_{h}<t\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in(0,1)$. Now for every $t \in(0,1)$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}=\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap D_{h}\right) \cup\left(\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap \Omega \backslash D_{h}\right) .\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, since $w_{h}(x) \rightarrow 1$ for $x \in \Omega \backslash D_{h}$, for any $t \in(0,1)$ there exists $h(t)$ such that $\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \cap \Omega \backslash D_{h}=\emptyset$ for every $h \geq h(t)$. So that from (69) it follows that for every $t \in(0,1),\left\{w_{h}=t\right\} \rightarrow P_{\nabla u}$ when $h \rightarrow+\infty$. So we can conclude that $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)$ S-converges to $U$.

As in [6] we set

$$
D_{h}^{1}=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right|<\varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|\right\}, D_{h}^{2}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \varepsilon_{h}\right|<\left|\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right|<2\left|\varepsilon_{h}\right| \log \varepsilon_{h} \mid\right\}
$$

and we split

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right. \\
=\int_{D_{h}^{1}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x+\right. \\
\int_{D_{h}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x=\right. \\
=I_{h}+I I_{h} \tag{70}
\end{array}
$$

For $x \in D_{h}^{1}$ we have $\frac{\left|\delta_{D_{h}}\right|}{\varepsilon_{h}}<\log \varepsilon_{h}$ therefore $w_{h}(x)=\eta\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{D_{h}}\right|}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)$ By taking into account the definition of $\eta$ we have $\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}} \leq w_{h} \leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}$. Moreover it easy to check that

$$
\eta_{h}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} \eta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} \sqrt{W(t)} ; \quad\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|=\left|\eta_{k}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}\right)\right|
$$
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This, together with the coarea formula yields

$$
I_{h}=2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\left\{w_{h}=t\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{h}}+\beta_{h} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t
$$

Now we have that $\partial D_{h}=\left\{w_{h}=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ then

$$
I_{h}=2 \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)} \int_{\partial D_{h}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{h}}+\beta_{h} k^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1} d t+O\left(\varepsilon_{h} \log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right) \int_{\frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{h}}} \sqrt{W(t)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} I_{h}=8 \pi \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreovoer with the same argument and by using the definition of $w_{h}$ one can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} I I_{h}=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi C} \int_{\Omega \backslash\{\nabla w=0\}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x=\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right)\right. \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

511 Now we take care of the terms involving $u_{h}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}-U_{0}\right|^{p} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore by taking into account that $w_{h}(x)=1$ if $\delta_{D_{h}}(x) \geq 2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ and $w_{h}(x)=0$ if $\delta_{D_{h}}(x)<-2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}^{0}}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x
$$

where $D_{h}^{0}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \delta_{D_{h}}(x)<-2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|\right\}$.
${ }_{513} \quad$ By choosing $\rho_{h}$ in such a way that tha $\rho_{h} \leq 2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\log \left(\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right|$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{h}\right|^{2}\left|\Delta u_{h}\right|^{2} d x=\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash D_{h}^{0}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash P_{\nabla u}}|\Delta u|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{514} \quad$ Finally from the definition of $w_{h}$, it follows that $w_{h}=1$ outside the disk $\left(D_{h}\right)_{2 \log \varepsilon_{h}}$ and 515 hence thanks to (55) and (56)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{h}} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-w_{h}\right)^{2} d x \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{L}\left(D_{h 2 \log \varepsilon_{h}}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{h}}=0 . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{516}$ The thesis follows by collecting (73), (74), (75) and (76)

## ${ }_{\text {si7 }} 7$ De Giorgi's Conjecture

The aim of De Giorgi was finding a variational approximation of a curvature depending functional of the type:

$$
F^{2}(D)=\int_{\partial D}\left(1+\kappa^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

Inspired by the De Giorgi's conjecture it appears natural investigate, in the spirit of [11], the possibility of approximating the functional $\mathcal{F}$ by a means of a sequence $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ much more convenient from a numerical point view (see [22]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u) & =\int_{\Omega} w^{2}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{8 \pi C}\left(\frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 \varepsilon \Delta w-\frac{W^{\prime}(w}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-U_{0}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}\left(1-w^{2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$ $\Delta \mathcal{M}^{p, 2}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \in S(\Omega) S$-converging to $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{h}}\left(u_{h}, D_{h}\right) \leq \mathcal{F}(u) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{534}$ Proof. Let $\left(u_{h}, w_{h}\right)$ the optimal sequence of Theorem 6.4
It is not difficult to see that for every $x \in D_{h}^{1}$ the function $\delta_{h}(x)$ is regular and using the definition of $w_{h}$ and taking into account that $\eta^{\prime}=\sqrt{W(\eta)}$ the following identity holds

$$
2 \varepsilon_{h} \Delta w_{h}-\frac{W^{\prime}(w)}{\varepsilon_{h}}=2 \varepsilon_{h} \eta_{h}^{\prime} \Delta \delta_{D_{h}}(x)+2 \varepsilon \eta_{h}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{W^{\prime}(w)}{\varepsilon_{h}}=2 \varepsilon_{h} \eta_{h}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right)
$$

For $h$ large we also have $\Delta \delta_{D_{h}}(x)=\kappa\left(\left\{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)=t\right\}\right)$. Besides on $D_{h}^{1}$ we have $w_{h}(x)=$ $\eta\left(\frac{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)$ and hence the level set $\left\{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)=t\right\}$ corresponds to the level set $\left\{w_{h}(x)=\eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right\}\right.$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, so that we infer

$$
\kappa\left(\left\{\delta_{D_{h}}(x)=t\right\}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w_{h}}{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|}\right)
$$

By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 and taking into account the equality $2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\eta_{h}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{D_{h}}(x)\right)\right|=$ $2 \varepsilon_{h}\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|$ we have
$I_{h}=\int_{D_{h}^{1}} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}{2 \varepsilon_{h}}\left(2 \varepsilon_{h} \Delta w-\frac{W^{\prime}(w}{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}\left(\varepsilon_{h}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h}} W\left(w_{\varepsilon_{h}}\right)\right) d x=2 \int_{D_{h}^{1}}\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla w_{h}}{\left|\nabla w_{h}\right|}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\beta_{\varepsilon_{h}}}\right) \sqrt{W\left(w_{h}\right)}\left|\nabla w_{h}\right| d x\right.$
then as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 we conclude

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty} I_{h}=8 \pi \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(P_{\nabla u}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(t)} d t
$$

By the same calculation on $D_{h}^{2}$ one can check that the integral over $D_{h}^{2}$ vanishes as in the
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