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# GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF CHEBYSHEV-LIKE POLYNOMIALS 

ALIN BOSTAN, BRUNO SALVY, AND KHANG TRAN


#### Abstract

In this short note, we give simple proofs of several results and conjectures formulated by Stolarsky and Tran concerning generating functions of some families of Chebyshev-like polynomials.


## 1. Introduction

Stolarsky [5] observed that the discriminant of the product of the polynomials

$$
K_{s}(x, y)=(1+y)^{2 s}+x y^{s} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{m}(y)=\left(y^{2 m+1}-1\right) /(y-1)
$$

has a very nice factorization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{y}\left(K_{s} f_{m}\right)=C_{m}^{(s)} x^{2 s-1}\left(x+2^{2 s}\right) H_{m}^{(s)}(x)^{4} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{m}^{(s)}$ a rational constant and $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ the following polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}^{(s)}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(x+4^{s} \cos ^{2 s}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 m+1}\right)\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This was obtained empirically for specific values of $m$ and $s$. Moreover, Stolarsky conjectured that the generating functions of the polynomials $H_{m}^{(s)}$ for fixed positive integer $s$ are rational, with explicit empirical values for $s=1,2,3$. In [6], Tran proved Eq. (1.1) with the explicit value $C_{m}^{(s)}=(-1)^{m}(2 m+1)^{2 m-1} s^{2 s}$ and obtained the generating function of the sequences of polynomials $H_{m}^{(1)}(x)$ and $H_{m}^{(2)}(x)$.

Indeed, for $s=1$, the polynomials $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ are related to the classical Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_{n}(x)$. From the definition

$$
U_{n}(x)=2^{n} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-\cos \frac{k \pi}{n+1}\right)
$$

it follows that $U_{2 m}(x)=(-1)^{m} \cdot H_{m}^{(1)}\left(-4 x^{2}\right)$. From there a simple derivation gives the generating function of the polynomials $H_{m}^{(1)}(x)$.
Proposition 1. The generating function of the sequence $H_{m}^{(1)}(x)$ is rational:

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(1)}(x) t^{m}=\frac{1-t}{(1-t)^{2}-x t}
$$

[^0]Proof. Starting from the classical rational generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} U_{n}(x) t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-2 t x+t^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a generating function for the even part is readily obtained:

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} U_{2 m}(x) t^{2 m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1-2 t x+t^{2}}+\frac{1}{1+2 t x+t^{2}}\right)=\frac{1+t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}-4 x^{2} t^{2}}
$$

and thus

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(1)}\left(-4 x^{2}\right) t^{m}=\sum_{m \geq 0} U_{2 m}(x)(-t)^{m}=\frac{1-t}{(1-t)^{2}+4 x^{2} t}
$$

from which the conclusion follows.
In [6], Proposition 1 was proved in a different way, and the generating function for the case $s=2$ was shown to be rational. We generalize these results by proving.
Theorem 1. For any $s \geq 1$, the generating function $F_{s}(t, x)=\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(s)}(x) t^{m}$ is rational. Its denominator has degree at most $2^{s}$ in $t$, and its numerator has degree at most $2^{s}-1$ in $t$.

Moreover, we give an algorithm that computes these rational functions explicitly. In Section 2, we give the proof of this theorem. In Section 3, we comment on the computational aspects. We conclude with a few further observations in Section 4.

## 2. Rational Generating Series

For convenience, we work with the polynomial $G_{m}^{(s)}(x)=(-1)^{m} H_{m}^{(s)}(-x)$. It is monic, with roots the $s$-th powers of the roots of $G_{m}^{(1)}(x)=(-1)^{m} H_{m}^{(1)}(-x)$. To prove the theorem, it is clearly sufficient to show that the generating function $\sum_{m \geq 0} G_{m}^{(s)}(x) t^{m}$ is rational.
2.1. Roots. Letting $\varepsilon_{s}$ be a primitive $s$-th root of unity, we have

$$
G_{m}^{(s)}\left(x^{s}\right)=\prod_{G_{m}^{(1)}(\alpha)=0}\left(x^{s}-\alpha^{s}\right)=\prod_{G_{m}^{(1)}(\alpha)=0} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1}\left(x-\alpha / \varepsilon_{s}^{j}\right),
$$

which equals

$$
\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \prod_{G_{m}^{(1)}(\alpha)=0}\left(x-\alpha / \varepsilon_{s}^{j}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} G_{m}^{(1)}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{j} x\right) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \varepsilon_{s}^{-j m}=(-1)^{m(s-1)} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} G_{m}^{(1)}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{j} x\right)
$$

2.2. Polynomials, Recurrences and Hadamard Products. By Proposition 1, for any $j \geq 0$, the generating function of $G_{m}^{(1)}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{j} x\right)$ is rational. Equivalently, the sequence of polynomials $G_{m}^{(1)}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{j} x\right)$ satisfies a linear recurrence with coefficients that do not depend on $m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m+2}^{(1)}(x)+(2-x) G_{m+1}^{(1)}(x)+G_{m}^{(1)}(x)=0, \quad G_{0}(x)=1, G_{1}(x)=x-1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic polynomial of this recurrence is the reciprocal of the denominator of the generating function.

The product of sequences $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ that are solutions of linear recurrences with constant coefficients satisfies a linear recurrence with constant coefficients again
(see, e.g., [7, §2.4], [4, Prop. 4.2.5], [2, §2, Ex. 5]). Its generating function, called the Hadamard product of those of $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ is therefore rational. It follows that the generating function $\sum_{m \geq 0} G_{m}^{(s)}\left(x^{s}\right) t^{m}$ is rational.

Moreover, the reciprocal of the denominator of a Hadamard product of rational series has for roots the pairwise products of those of the individual series. Thus, letting $\alpha_{1}(x), \alpha_{2}(x)$ denote the roots of the denominator $(1+t)^{2}-x t$, the reciprocal of the generating function of $G_{m}^{(s)}\left(x^{s}\right)$ is the characteristic polynomial

$$
P_{s}(t, x)=\prod_{1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \leq 2}\left(t-\alpha_{i_{1}}(x) \alpha_{i_{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{s} x\right) \cdots \alpha_{i_{s}}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{s-1} x\right)\right)
$$

We prove that the polynomial $P_{s}(t, x)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}\left[x^{s}, t\right]$ by showing that all the (Newton) powersums of the roots of $P_{s}(t, x)$ belong to $\mathbb{Q}\left[x^{s}\right]$. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the $\ell$-th powersum is equal to the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{1}^{\ell}(x)+\alpha_{2}^{\ell}(x)\right)\left(\alpha_{1}^{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s} x\right)+\alpha_{2}^{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s} x\right)\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{1}^{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{s-1} x\right)+\alpha_{2}^{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{s-1} x\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus has the form $T_{\ell}(x)=Q_{\ell}(x) Q_{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s} x\right) \cdots Q_{\ell}\left(\varepsilon_{s}^{s-1} x\right)$ for some polynomial $Q_{\ell}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. The polynomial $T_{\ell}(x)$ being left unchanged under replacing $x$ by $\varepsilon_{s} x$, it belongs to $\mathbb{Q}\left[x^{s}\right]$, and so do all the coefficients of $P_{s}$.

In conclusion, $G_{m}^{(s)}\left(x^{s}\right)$ satisfies a recurrence with coefficients that are polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}\left[x^{s}\right]$, thus the series $\sum_{m \geq 0} G_{m}^{(s)}\left(x^{s}\right) t^{m}$ is rational and belongs to $\mathbb{Q}\left(x^{s}, t\right)$, and thus $F_{s}\left(t, x^{s}\right)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}\left(t, x^{s}\right)$. The assertion on the degree in $t$ of (the denominator and numerator of) $F_{s}(t, x)$ follows from the form of $P_{s}(t, x)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. Algorithm

The proof of Theorem 1 is actually effective, and therefore it can be used to generate, for specific values of $s$, the corresponding rational function $F_{s}$, in a systematic and unified way.
3.1. A new proof for the case $s=2$. We illustrate these ideas in the simplest case $s=2$, for which the computations can be done by hand.

We compute the generating function of $k_{m}(x):=H_{m}^{(2)}\left(-x^{2}\right)=G_{m}^{(1)}(x) G_{m}^{(1)}(-x)$ from which that of the sequence $H_{m}^{(2)}(x)$ is easily deduced. By Proposition 1, the generating functions of the sequences $G_{m}^{(1)}(x)$ and $G_{m}^{(1)}(-x)$ have for denominators

$$
g_{1}(t):=(1+t)^{2}-x t, \quad g_{2}(t):=(1+t)^{2}+x t
$$

which are self-reciprocal. The polynomial whose roots are the products of the roots of $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ is obtained by a resultant computation:
$\operatorname{Res}_{u}\left(g_{1}(u), u^{2} g_{2}(t / u)\right)=(t-1)^{4}+x t(1+t)^{2}=1+\left(x^{2}-4\right) t+\left(2 x^{2}+6\right) t^{2}+\left(x^{2}-4\right) t^{3}+t^{4}$.
It follows that the sequence $k_{m}(x)$ satisfies the fourth order recurrence

$$
k_{m+4}+\left(x^{2}-4\right) k_{m+3}+\left(2 x^{2}+6\right) k_{m+2}+\left(x^{2}-4\right) k_{m+1}+k_{m}=0
$$

The initial conditions can be computed separately

$$
k_{0}=1, k_{1}=1-x^{2}, k_{2}=1-7 x^{2}+x^{4}, k_{3}=1-26 x^{2}+13 x^{4}-x^{6}
$$

and used to compute the numerator of the generating function. Finally, we have just proven that the generating function of the sequence of polynomials $H_{m}^{(2)}(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_{m}^{(2)}(x) t^{m}=\frac{(1-t)^{3}}{(t-1)^{4}-x t(t+1)^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides a simple alternative proof of [6, Prop. 4.1].
3.2. General Algorithm. The computation of Hadamard products extends to linear recurrences that can even have polynomial coefficients. It is implemented in the Maple package gfun [3]. This makes it possible to write a first implementation that produces the generating function of the $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ for small $s$.

The computation can be made more efficient by using recent algorithms specific to linear recurrences with constant coefficients [1]. The central idea is to avoid the use of a general-purpose algorithm for the resultant computation and recover the denominator from the (Newton) powersums of its roots, themselves obtained from the powersums of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$. Using very simple resultants, computations in algebraic extensions can be avoided. This is summarized in the following algorithm.

Input: an integer $s \geq 2$.
Output: the rational function $F_{s}(t, x)$.
(1) Compute the powersums $Q_{\ell}(x):=\alpha_{1}(x)^{\ell}+\alpha_{2}(x)^{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ for $\ell=0, \ldots, 2^{s}$;
(2) Compute the powersums $T_{\ell}(x)$ from Eq. (2.2) as $T_{\ell}(x)=\operatorname{Res}_{y}\left(Q_{\ell}(y), x^{s}-\right.$ $\left.y^{s}\right)$ for $\ell=0, \ldots, 2^{s}$
(3) Recover the denominator $D_{s}(x, t)$ of $F_{s}$ from these powersums;
(4) Compute $G_{m}^{(1)}(x)$ for $m=0, \ldots, 2^{s}-1$ using the 2 nd order recurrence (2.1);
(5) Compute $G_{m}^{(s)}(x)=\operatorname{Res}_{y}\left(G_{m}^{(1)}(y), x-y^{s}\right)$ for $m=0, \ldots, 2^{s}-1$;
(6) The numerator of $F_{s}$ is $N_{s}(x, t):=D_{s}(x, t) \times \sum_{m=0}^{2^{s}-1} G_{m}^{(s)}(-x)(-t)^{m} \bmod x^{2^{s}}$;
(7) Return the rational function $F_{s}=N_{s}(x, t) / D_{s}(x, t)$.

We give the complete (and remarkably short) Maple code in an Appendix.
3.3. Special cases. For $s=2$, the computation recovers (3.1). For $s=3$, it takes less than one hundredth of a second of computation on a personal laptop to prove the following result

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(3)}(x) t^{m}=\frac{(1-t)\left((t-1)^{6}-x t^{2}(t+3)(3 t+1)\right)}{x^{2} t^{4}-x t\left(t^{4}+14 t^{3}+34 t^{2}+14 t+1\right)(t-1)^{2}+(t-1)^{8}}
$$

that was conjectured by Stolarsky in [5].
Similarly, less than two hundredth of a second of computation is enough to discover and prove the following new result

Proposition 2. The generating function $\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(4)}(x) t^{m}$ is equal to

$$
\frac{(t-1)\left(x^{2} t^{4} A(t)-2 x t^{2} B(t)(t-1)^{6}+(t-1)^{14}\right)}{x^{3} t^{5}(t+1)^{2}(t-1)^{4}+x^{2} t^{3} C(t)+x t(t-1)^{8} D(t)-(t-1)^{16}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(t)=9 t^{6}-46 t^{5}-89 t^{4}-260 t^{3}-89 t^{2}-46 t+9, \\
& B(t)=11 t^{4}+128 t^{3}+266 t^{2}+128 t+11, \\
& C(t)=2 t^{10}-13 t^{9}+226 t^{8}-300 t^{7}-676 t^{6}-2574 t^{5}-676 t^{4}-300 t^{3}+226 t^{2}-13 t+2, \\
& D(t)=t^{6}+60 t^{5}+519 t^{4}+1016 t^{3}+519 t^{2}+60 t+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The code given in the appendix makes it possible to compute all the rational functions $F_{s}(t, x)$ for $1 \leq s \leq 7$ in less than a minute.

## 4. Further remarks

While computing with the polynomials $H_{m}^{(s)}$ we experimentally discovered the following amusing facts.

Fact 1. For all $s \geq 1$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}^{(s)}(x)=1, \\
& H_{1}^{(s)}(x)=x+1, \\
& H_{2}^{(s)}(x)=x^{2}+L_{2 s} x+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{n}$ denotes the $n$-th element of the Lucas sequence defined by $L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1$ and $L_{n}=L_{n-1}+L_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$.

This is easy to prove.
Fact 2. $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ has only non-negative coefficients, for all $m \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$.
This suggests that the coefficients of the polynomials $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ could admit a nice combinatorial interpretation.

For instance, the coefficient of $x^{1}$ in $H_{m}^{(s)}(x)$ is equal to the trace of the matrix $M^{2 s}$, where $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ is the $m \times m$ matrix with $a_{i, j}=1$ for $i+j \leq m+1$ and $a_{i, j}=0$ otherwise.

Fact 3. The rational function $F_{s}(t, x)=\sum_{m \geq 0} H_{m}^{(s)}(x) t^{m}$ writes $N_{s}(x, t) / D_{s}(x, t)$, where $N_{s}(x, t)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[t, x]$ of degree $2^{s}-1$ in $x$ and $B_{s-1}-1$ in $t$ and $D_{s}(x, t)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[t, x]$ of degree $2^{s}$ in $x$ and $B_{s-1}$ in $t$, where $B_{n}$ is the central binomial coefficient

$$
B_{n}=\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} .
$$

Here is a sketch of the proof. We will only prove upper bounds, but a finer analysis could lead to the exact degrees.

First, it is enough to show that the degree in $x$ of the polynomial $P_{s}(t, x)$ defined in the proof of theorem 1 is at most $s B_{s-1}$. Now, instead of considering $P_{s}$, we study the simpler polynomial

$$
C_{s}(t, x)=\prod_{1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \leq 2}\left(t-\alpha_{i_{1}}(x) \alpha_{i_{2}}(x) \cdots \alpha_{i_{s}}(x)\right)
$$

We will prove the bound $s B_{s-1}$ on the degree of $C_{s}$ in $x$. Adapting the proof to the case of $P_{s}$ is not difficult.

The starting point is that, when $x$ tends to infinity, $\alpha_{1}(x)$ grows like $x$, while $\alpha_{2}(x)$ grows like $x^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$
C_{s}(t, x)=\prod_{k=0}^{s}\left(t-\alpha_{1}^{s-k}(x) \alpha_{2}^{k}(x)\right)^{\binom{s}{k}}
$$

grows like $\prod_{k=0}^{s}\left(t-x^{s-k}\left(x^{-1}\right)^{k}\right)^{\binom{s}{k}}$. The degree in $x$ of the latter polynomial is equal to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor s / 2\rfloor}\binom{s}{k}(s-2 k)=\binom{s}{\lfloor s / 2\rfloor+1} \cdot(\lfloor s / 2\rfloor+1)=s B_{s-1}
$$

## Appendix: Maple code

For completeness, we give a self-contained Maple implementation that produces the generating functions.

```
recipoly:=proc(p,t) expand(t^degree(p,t)*subs(t=1/t,p)) end:
newt:=proc(p,t,ord)
local pol, dpol;
    pol:=p/lcoeff(p,t);
    pol:=recipoly(pol,t);
    dpol:=recipoly(diff(pol,t),t);
    map(expand,series(dpol/pol,t,ord))
end:
invnewt:=proc(S,t,ord) series(exp(Int((coeff(S,t,0)-S)/t,t)),t,ord) end:
Fs:=proc(s)
local H, N, S, y, den, num;
    H:=(1-t)/((1-t)^2-x*t);
    H:=subs(x=-x,t=-t,H);
    N:=2^s;
    S:=newt(denom(H),t,N+1);
    S:=series(add(resultant(coeff(S,t,i),y-x^s,x)*t^i,i=0..N),t,N+1);
    den:=convert(invnewt(S,t,N+1),polynom); # denominator
    S:=series(H,t,N);
    S:=series(add(resultant(coeff(S,t,i), y-x^s,x)*t^i,i=0..N),t,N);
    num:=convert(series(den*S,t,N),polynom); # numerator
    collect(subs(y=-x,t=-t,num/den), x,factor)
end proc;
```
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