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# A STATISTICS INFERENCE PROBLEM IN AN URN AND BALL MODEL WITH HEAVY TAILED DISTRIBUTIONS 

CHRISTINE FRICKER, FABRICE GUILLEMIN, AND PHILIPPE ROBERT


#### Abstract

We consider in this paper an urn and ball problem with replacement, where balls are with different colors and are drawn uniformly from a unique urn; a ball which has been drawn is immediately replaced into the urn. The numbers of balls with a given color are i.i.d. random variables with a specific probability distribution, for instance a Pareto or a Weibull distribution. We draw a small fraction $p \ll 1$ of the total number of balls. The basic problem addressed in this paper is to know to which extent we can infer the total number of colors and the distribution of the number of balls with a given color. By means of Le Cam's inequality and Chen-Stein method, we establish bounds in total variation norm between the distribution of the number of balls drawn with a given color and the Poisson distribution with the same mean. We then show, under the assumption of heavy tailed distributions, that the distribution of the number of balls drawn with a given color has the same tail as that of the original number of balls. We finally establish bounds between the two distributions when each ball is drawn with probability $p$.


## 1. Introduction

We consider in this paper the following urn and ball problem with replacement: an urn contains a random number of balls with different colors, we draw a small fraction $p \ll 1$ of the total number of balls and a ball which has been drawn is immediately replaced into the urn. The problem is to infer the number of colors and the distribution of the number of balls with a given color. We assume that the numbers of balls with various colors are i.i.d. random variables and that the number $K$ of colors is large. Throughout this paper, the number of balls with a given color has a heavy tailed probability distribution of Pareto or Weibull type. Finally, we assume that balls are drawn uniformly, which means for each $i=1, \ldots, K$ that if there are $v_{i}$ balls with color $i$, the probability of drawing a ball with this color is $v_{i} / V$, where $V=\sum_{j=1}^{K} v_{j}$. This problem is motivated by the analysis of packet sampling in the Internet (see [5] for details).

To address the problem of inferring the initial statistics of balls and colors, we analyze the non-normalized distribution of the number of balls drawn with a given color. More specifically, let $W_{j}$ (respectively, $W_{j}^{+}$) denote the number of colors with a number of drawn balls equal to (respectively, equal to or greater than) $j$. Denoting by $\tilde{K}$ the number of colors seen when drawing balls, the quantities $W_{j} / \tilde{K}$ and $W_{j}^{+} / \tilde{K}$ are equal to the proportion of colors, which at the end of the trial comprise exactly or at least $j$ balls, respectively.

[^0]The basic ingredients for analyzing the random variables $W_{j}$ and $W_{j}^{+}$are Le Cam's inequality and Chen-Stein method, possibly complemented by central limit theorem arguments. These theoretical tools allow us to compare the distributions of the random variables $W_{j}$ and $W_{j}^{+}$with Poisson distributions with same means. The goal of the analysis is to estimate the validity of the simple scaling rule, which consists of estimating the distribution of the original number $v_{i}$ of balls with color $i$ by that of the random variable $\tilde{v}_{i} / p$, where $\tilde{v}_{i}$ is the number of drawn balls with color $i$. We specifically show that this rule is valid for tails of the distributions as soon as they are heavy tailed.

For the sake of completeness, we shall compare the above uniform model with the case when balls are drawn independently one of each other with probability $p$ (referred to as probabilistic model). As expected, we show that the results obtained in both models are close one to each other when $p$ is very small. But there are some subtle differences between the two models, notably with regard to the achievable accuracy in the inference of original statistics. It turns out that the probabilistic model is simpler to analyze than the uniform model but leads to less accurate results. This is due to the fact that we cannot exploit the fact that the number of colors is very large.

The organization of this paper is as follows: The notation and the basic results used in this paper (Le Cam's inequality and Chen-Stein method) are presented in Section 2. The mean values of the random variables $W_{j}$ and $W_{j}^{+}$are computed in Section 3 . The approximation of the distribution of $W_{j}^{+}$by a Poisson distribution and the validity of the scaling rule are investigated in Section 4 . We compare in Section 5 the original distribution of the number of balls with a given color against the rescaled distribution of the number of drawn balls with the same color. Some concluding remarks with regard to sampling are presented in Section 6.

## 2. Notation and basic results

2.1. Definitions and assumptions. We consider an urn containing $v_{i}$ balls with color $i$ for $i=1, \ldots, K$. The quantities $v_{i}$ are independent random variables with a common heavy tailed distribution. In the following we shall consider two families of heavy tailed distributions for the number $v$ of balls with a given color:

Pareto distributions: The distribution of $v$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(v>x)=(b / x)^{a}, \quad x \geq b, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the shape parameter $a>1$ and the location parameter $b>0$. The mean of $v$ is $a b /(a-1)$.
Weibull distributions: The distribution of $v_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(v>x)=\exp \left(-(x / \eta)^{\beta}\right), \quad x \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the skew parameter $\beta \in(0,1)$ and the scale parameter $\eta>0$. The mean of $v$ is $\frac{\eta}{\beta} \Gamma(1 / \beta)$, where $\Gamma$ is the classical Euler's Gamma function.
The total number of balls in the urn is $V=\sum_{i=1}^{K} v_{i}$. We draw only a fraction $p$ of this total number of balls. Each ball is drawn at random: a ball with color $i$ is drawn with probability $v_{i} / V$ for a ball with color $i$. After drawing the $p V$ balls, we have $\tilde{v}_{i}$ balls with color $i$. Of course, only those colors with $\tilde{v}_{i}>0$ can be seen. The quantity $\tilde{K}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{i}>0\right\}}$ is the number of colors seen at the end of a trial.

In the following, we shall be interested in the asymptotic regime when the number of colors $K \rightarrow \infty$ while the fraction $p \rightarrow 0$. Note that by the law of large numbers, $V \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. (the total number of balls in the urn is very large).

The random variables we consider in this paper to infer the original statistics of the number of balls and colors are the variables $W_{j}$ and $W_{j}^{+}, j \geq 1$, defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Definition of $W_{j}$ ). The random variable $W_{j}$ is the number of colors with $j$ balls at the end of a trial and is given by

$$
j \geq 1, \quad W_{j}=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{1}=j\right\}}+\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{2}=j\right\}}+\cdots+\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{K}=j\right\}},
$$

where $\tilde{v}_{i} \geq 0$ is the number of balls drawn with color $i$ (which can be equal to 0 ).
Definition 2 (Definition of $W_{j}^{+}$). The random variable $W_{j}^{+}$is the number of colors with at least $j$ balls at the end of a trial. The random variables $W_{j}^{+}$are formally defined by

$$
j \geq 1, \quad W_{j}^{+}=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{1} \geq j\right\}}+\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{2} \geq j\right\}}+\cdots+\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{K} \geq j\right\}}
$$

Note that we have

$$
\forall j \geq 1, \quad W_{j}^{+}=\sum_{\ell \geq j} W_{\ell}
$$

The averages of the random variables $W_{j}$ are in fact the key quantities we shall use in the following to infer the original numbers of balls per color.
2.2. Le Cam's inequality and Chen-Stein method. Le Cam's inequality gives the distance in total variation between the distribution of a sum of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Bernoulli random variables and the Poisson distribution with the same mean (see Barbour et al. (4). Note that if $V$ and $W$ are two random variables taking integer values, the distance in total variation between their distributions is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{P}(W \in \cdot)-\mathbb{P}(V \in \cdot)\|_{t v} & \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \sup _{A \subset \mathbb{N}}|\mathbb{P}(W \in A)-\mathbb{P}(V \in A)| \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0}|\mathbb{P}(W=n)-\mathbb{P}(V=n)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1 (Le Cam's Inequality). If the random variable $W=\sum_{i} I_{i}$, where the random variables $I_{i}$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{P}(W \in \cdot)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\lambda} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq \sum_{i} \mathbb{P}\left(I_{i}=1\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $\lambda>0, Q_{\lambda}$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $\lambda$, that is, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\lambda}=n\right)=\frac{\lambda^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda}
$$

When the random variables $I_{i}$ appearing in the above theorem are not independent but satisfy a specific condition, referred to as monotonic coupling, it is still possible to obtain a bound on the distance between the distribution of the sum $W=\sum_{i} I_{i}$ and the Poisson distribution with mean $\mathbb{E}(W)$.

Definition 3 (Monotonic Coupling). The variables $I_{i}$ are said to be negatively correlated, when there exist random variables $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ such that
(1) $U_{i} \stackrel{\text { dist. }}{=} W$ and $1+V_{i} \stackrel{\text { dist. }}{=}\left(W \mid I_{i}=1\right)$;
(2) $V_{i} \leq U_{i}$.

The main result of the Chen-Stein method is given by the following theorem (see Barbour et al. (4]).
Theorem 2. If the monotonic coupling condition is satisfied, then the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{P}(W \in \cdot)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\mathbb{E}(W)} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq 1-\frac{\operatorname{Var}(W)}{\mathbb{E}(W)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the monotonic coupling condition is satisfied, in order to prove the Poisson approximation, it is sufficient to show that the ratio of the variance to the mean value of $W$ is close to 1 ; this is a very weak condition to prove in practice.

It should be noted (see [8]) that Relation (4) can be used not only when $\mathbb{E}(W)$ take bounded values so that $W$ is approximately a Poisson random variable, but also when $\mathbb{E}(W)$ is large. In this case Chen-Stein Method yields a central limit theorem: If $\mathcal{N}$ is a standard normal distribution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{W-\mathbb{E}(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \in \cdot)\right\|_{t v} & \leq \\
\| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{W-\mathbb{E}(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right) & -\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Q_{\mathbb{E}(W)-\mathbb{E}(W)}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right) \|_{t v} \\
& +\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Q_{\mathbb{E}(W)}-\mathbb{E}(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \in \cdot)\right\|_{t v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Relation (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{W-\mathbb{E}(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \in \cdot)\right\|_{t v} & \leq 1-\frac{\operatorname{Var}(W)}{\mathbb{E}(W)} \\
& +\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Q_{\mathbb{E}(W)}-\mathbb{E}(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \in \cdot)\right\|_{t v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the ratio $\mathbb{E}(W) / \operatorname{Var}(W)$ is close to 1 , then the first term in the right hand side of the above relation is negligible. In addition, the classical central limit theorem for Poisson distributions implies that when $\mathbb{E}(W)$ is large, the second term is negligible too. Therefore, we have $W \sim \mathbb{E}(W)+\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)} \mathcal{N}$ with a bound on the error.

## 3. Computation of mean values

3.1. Bounds for mean values. By using Le Cam's inequality, we can establish the following result for the mean value of the random variables $W_{j}$.

Proposition 1 (Mean Value of $W_{j}$ ). If there are $V$ balls and $K$ colors in the urn, for $j \geq 0$, the mean number $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)$ of colors with $j$ balls at the end of a trial satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)}{K}-\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right| \leq p \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{V}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}$ is the probability distribution defined by

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{j}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{(p v)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p v}\right)
$$

$p$ is the sampling rate, and $v$ is distributed as the number of balls with a given color.
Proof. We have

$$
\tilde{v}_{i}=B_{1}^{i}+B_{2}^{i}+\cdots+B_{p V}^{i}
$$

where $B_{\ell}^{i}$ is equal to one if the $\ell$ th ball drawn from the urn has color $i$, which event occurs with probability $v_{i} / V$, the quantity $V$ being the total number of balls in the urn.

Conditionally on the values of the set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{K}\right\}$, the variables $\left(B_{\ell}^{i}, \ell \geq 1\right)$ are independent Bernoulli variables. For $1 \leq i \leq K$, Le Cam's Inequality (3) therefore gives the relation

$$
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{v}_{i} \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{F}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{p v_{i}} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq p \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{V}
$$

By integrating with respect to the variables $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{K}$, this gives the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{v}_{i} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{Q}\right\|_{t v} \leq p \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{V}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $j \in \mathbb{N},\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{v}_{i}=j\right)-\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right| \leq p \mathbb{E}\left(v^{2} / V\right)$. Since $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{v}_{i}=j\right)$, by summing on $i=1, \ldots, K$, we obtain

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)-K \mathbb{Q}_{j}\right| \leq p \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{V}\right)
$$

and the result follows.
By using the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{v}_{i} \geq j\right)$, we can deduce from Equation (6) the following result.
Proposition 2 (Mean Value of $W_{j}^{+}$). If there are $V$ balls and $K$ colors in the urn, the mean number $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)$of colors with at least $j \geq 0$ balls at the end of an arbitrary trial satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{K}-\sum_{\ell \geq j} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right| \leq p \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{V}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the probability distribution $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined in Proposition 1 .
If $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$, we immediately deduce from Propositions 1 and 2 the following corollary by using the fact that $V \geq K$.
Corollary 1 (Asymptotic Mean Values). If $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$, then

$$
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)=\sum_{\ell \geq j} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} .
$$

Note that if balls are drawn with probability $p$ independently one of each other (probabilistic model), we have $\tilde{v}_{i}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{v_{i}} \tilde{B}_{\ell}^{i}$, where the random variables $\tilde{B}_{\ell}^{i}$ are Bernoulli with mean $p$. By adapting the above proofs, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)}{K}-\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right| \leq p^{2} \mathbb{E}(v) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the equivalent of $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)$ as in Corollary 1 when $K \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$ is no more valid. This comes from the fact that colors can be considered in isolation and that we cannot exploit information on the global system. Hence, results for the probabilistic model are less accurate than those for the uniform model when the number of colors is large. Nevertheless, the advantage of the probabilistic model is that the bound in Equation (8) does not depend upon the second moment of $v$. But, if the condition $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$ does not hold, we can still establish a bound for the uniform model by using the fact $v_{i} \leq V$ and we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)}{K}-\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right| \leq p \mathbb{E}(v)
$$

which is less tight than Equation (8).

### 3.2. Asymptotic results for specific probability distributions.

3.2.1. Pareto distributions. Let us first assume that the number of balls of a given color follows a Pareto distribution given by Equation (11) with $a>2$, which implies that $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$. Then, we have the following result when the number of colors goes to infinity.

Proposition 3. If $v$ has a Pareto distribution as in Equation (11) with $a>2$, then for all $j>a$, the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j+1}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)} & =1-\frac{a+1}{j+1}+O\left((p b)^{j-a}\right),  \tag{9}\\
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)}{K} & =a(p b)^{a} \frac{\Gamma(j-a)}{j!}+O\left((p b)^{j}\right),  \tag{10}\\
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{K} & =(p b)^{a} \frac{\Gamma(j-a)}{(j-1)!}+O\left(\frac{(p b)^{j}}{1-p b}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

hold.
Proof. For $j>a$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{Q}_{j}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{(p v)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p v}\right) & =a b^{a} \frac{p^{a}}{j!} \int_{p b}^{+\infty} u^{j-a-1} e^{-u} d u  \tag{12}\\
& =a(p b)^{a} \frac{\Gamma(j-a)}{j!}-a \frac{(p b)^{j}}{j!}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
i n t_{0}^{1} u^{j-a-1} e^{-p b u} d u
$$

Therefore, by using the relation $\Gamma(x+1)=x \Gamma(x)$, we get the equivalence

$$
\frac{\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}}{\mathbb{Q}_{j}}=\frac{j-a}{j+1}+O\left((p b)^{j-a}\right)
$$

which gives Equations (9) and (10) by using Corollary (1). For the mean value of $W_{j}^{+}$, Equation (12) gives the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{K} & =a(p b)^{a} \sum_{n \geq j} \frac{\Gamma(n-a)}{n!}+O\left(\frac{(p b)^{j}}{1-p b}\right) \\
& =a(p b)^{a} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n+j-a) \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(j+n+1)} \frac{1^{n}}{n!}+O\left(\frac{(p b)^{j}}{1-p b}\right) \\
& =a(p b)^{a} \frac{\Gamma(j-a)}{j!} F(j-a, 1 ; j+1 ; 1)+O\left(\frac{(p b)^{j}}{1-p b}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(a, b ; c ; z)$ is the hypergeometric function which is also given by

$$
F(a, b ; c ; 1)=\frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a) \Gamma(c-b)}
$$

see Abramowitz and Stegun [1], Equation (11) follows.
The shape parameter $a$ can be estimated with Relation (11) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} j\left(1-\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j+1}^{+}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}\right)+O\left(\frac{(p b)^{j}}{1-p b}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j>a$ when $a>2$. This gives a means of estimating the shape parameter $a$. When observing drawn balls, we have in fact only access to the quantity $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{K})$ of the number of colors seen when drawing balls. While this has no impact for the estimation of $a$, this correcting term is important when estimating $b$ from Equation (11). It is straightforward that

$$
\tilde{K}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{i}>0\right\}}=K-W_{0}
$$

and then

$$
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{K}) \sim K\left(1-\mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)=K\left(1-\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-p v}\right)\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-p v}\right)=p \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-p x} \mathbb{P}(v>x) d x=b p+(b p)^{a} \Gamma(1-a, b p), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(a, x)$ is the incomplete Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(a, x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-t} d t$, we can use the above equations together with Equation (11) in order to estimate $b$ and then $K$. It is also worth noting that $1-\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-p v}\right) \sim b p$ when $a>1$ and $b p \rightarrow 0$.

When the shape parameter $a \in(1,2)$, the above inference method cannot be applied since we cannot use Corollary 1. Nevertheless, we shall prove in the following that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j) \sim \mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p) / \nu$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that Equation (13) can still be used for estimating $a$ but only when $j \rightarrow \infty$.
3.2.2. Weibull distributions. We assume in this section that the number of balls with a given color follows a Weibull distribution. Since $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$, we have the following result, which follows from a simple variable change and the expansion of $\exp \left(-x^{\beta}\right)$ in power series of $x^{\beta}$ or $\exp (-p x)$ in power series of $x$; the proof is omitted.

Proposition 4. If $v$ has a Weibull distribution with skew parameter $\beta$ and scale parameter $\eta$, then for $0<\beta<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j+1}\right)=\frac{\beta}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(p \eta)^{(n+1) \beta}} \frac{\Gamma((n+1) \beta+j)}{n!} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\beta>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j+1}\right)=\frac{(p \eta)^{j}}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-p \eta)^{n}}{n!} \Gamma\left(\frac{(n+j)}{\beta}+1\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)$ can be written in the form

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{j!} \frac{\beta}{(p \eta)^{\beta}} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{j+\beta-1} e^{-u+t u^{\beta}} d u
$$

with $t=-1 /(p \eta)^{\beta}$. The above integral is known in the literature as to be of the Faxen's type and can be expressed by means of Meijer $G$-function, when $\beta$ is a rational number, see Abramowitz and Stegun [1].

Contrary to the case of Pareto distribution for the initial distribution of balls of given color, there is no simple relations giving the parameters $\beta$ and $\eta$ from the mean values $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right), j \geq 1$. In fact, we shall prove in the following that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)$ has also a Weibull tail. This eventually gives a means of identifying the parameters.

## 4. Poisson approximations

In the previous section, we have established bounds for the mean values of the random variables $W_{j}$ and $W_{j}^{+}$. To obtain more information on their distributions, we intend to use Chen-Stein method. For a fixed environment (namely fixed values of the quantities $v_{i}$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, K\right)$, these random variables appear as sums of non independent Bernoulli random variables. A preliminary analysis of the Bernoulli random variables appearing in the expression of $W_{j}$ reveals that it seems not possible to invoke a monotonic coupling argument. It is well known (see $\$$ for details) that the situation is more favorable with the random variables $W_{j}^{+}$and we can specifically prove that if $\mathcal{V}$ is the set $\mathcal{V}=\left\{v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq K\right\}$, then the total number $W_{j}^{+}$of colors with at least $j$ balls at the end of the trial satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(W_{j}^{+} \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{V}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, given the $v_{i}$ 's, the model is equivalent to a standard urn and ball problem of putting $p V_{i}$ balls into $K$ urns, a ball falling into urn $i$ with probability $p_{i}=v_{i} / V_{i}$. The number of balls in urn $i$ is the number of balls with color $i$ in the original urn and ball problem. Even in the case when the quantities $p_{i}$ are different, the variables $I_{i, j}^{+} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{v}_{i} \geq j\right\}}$ are negatively correlated [4] Chapter 6], so that Theorem 2 can be used.

The rest of this section is devoted to the estimation of the bound in Equation (17). We first establish the following lemma.
Lemma 1. For a fixed environment $\mathcal{V}=\left\{v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq K\right\}$, the distance in total variation between the distribution of $W_{j}^{+}$and the Poisson distribution $\left.Q_{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{k}^{+}\right.} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)$
satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(W_{j}^{+} \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{V}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{k}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq \frac{m_{2, j}(p)}{m_{j}(p)}+\frac{p}{\mathbb{E}(v)} \frac{m_{j}^{\prime}(p)^{2}}{m_{j}(p)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{j}(p)$ and $m_{2, j}(p)$ are the first two moments of the random variable defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}(p)=\sum_{\ell \geq j} \frac{(p v)^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{-p v} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the prime sign denotes the derivative with respect to $p$.
Proof. For $\mathcal{V}$ fixed, the number $W_{j}$ of colors with $j \leq p V$ balls at the end of the trial is such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K}\binom{p V}{j}\left(\frac{v_{i}}{V}\right)^{j}\left(1-\frac{v_{i}}{V}\right)^{p V-j}
$$

By using the fact that

$$
\frac{1}{V}=\frac{1}{K \mathbb{E}(v)}+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

for large $K$, straightforward calculations show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j} \mid \mathcal{V}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{\left(p v_{i}\right)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p v_{i}}\left(1-\frac{j(j-1)}{2 p K \mathbb{E}(v)}+\frac{2 j v_{i}-p v_{i}^{2}}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(\frac{\left(p v_{i}\right)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p v_{i}}-\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K} \frac{d^{2}}{d p^{2}}\left(e^{-p v_{i}} \frac{\left(p v_{i}\right)^{j}}{j!}\right)\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By summing up the terms above and by checking that the $o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)$ term remains valid, since the sum can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{K} f\left(v_{i}\right) e^{-p v_{i}} / K^{2}$, where $f$ is a polynomial, we have for $j \geq 1$ and $0<p<1$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\sum_{\ell \geq j} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{\ell} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}(p)-\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}(p)+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)
$$

where

$$
X_{i, j}(x)=\sum_{\ell \geq j} \frac{\left(x v_{i}\right)^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{-x v_{i}}
$$

For the variance, if $I_{i, j}$ is 1 if color $i$ has exactly $j$ balls at the end of the trial and 0 otherwise, then $W_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} I_{i, j}$ and, for $j \neq \ell$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j} W_{\ell} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K} \mathbb{E}\left(I_{i, j} I_{m, \ell} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{2} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K} \mathbb{E}\left(I_{i, j} I_{m, j} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)
$$

For $j, \ell$ such that $j+\ell \leq p V$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(I_{i, j} I_{m, \ell} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\frac{(p V)!}{j!\ell!(p V-j-\ell)!}\left(\frac{v_{i}}{V}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{v_{m}}{V}\right)^{\ell}\left(1-\frac{v_{i}+v_{m}}{V}\right)^{p V-j-\ell}
$$

The quantity in the right hand side of the above equation can be expanded as

$$
\frac{e^{-p\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right)} p^{j+\ell} v_{i}^{j} v_{m}^{\ell}}{j!\ell!}-\frac{p}{2 V} \frac{e^{-p\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right)} v_{i}^{j} v_{m}^{\ell}}{j!\ell!} c_{i, m}(j, \ell)+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)
$$

where

$$
c_{i, m}(j, \ell)=p^{j+\ell-2}(j+\ell)(j+\ell-1)-2(j+\ell)\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right) p^{j+\ell-1}+\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right)^{2} p^{j+\ell}
$$

such that

$$
\frac{e^{-p\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right)} v_{i}^{j} v_{m}^{\ell}}{j!\ell!} c_{i, m}(j, \ell)=\frac{d^{2}}{d p^{2}} \frac{e^{-p\left(v_{i}+v_{m}\right)} v_{i}^{j} v_{m}^{\ell}}{j!\ell!}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{\ell \geq j} W_{\ell}\right)^{2}=\sum_{\ell \neq k \geq j} W_{k} W_{\ell}+\sum_{\ell \geq j} W_{\ell}^{2} \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K} \sum_{\ell, k \geq j} \mathbb{E}\left(I_{i, k} I_{m, \ell} \mid \mathcal{V}\right) \\
=\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K}\left(X_{i, j}(p) X_{m, j}(p)-\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K}\left(X_{i, j} X_{m, j}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p)\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
1-\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)^{2}}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}
$$

The right-hand side of this equation can be expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}+O(1)}\left(-\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K} X_{i, j}(p) X_{m, j}(p)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K}\left(X_{i, j} X_{m, j}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}(p)-\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}(p)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}+O(1)}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq K} X_{i, j}^{2}(p)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v) K}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq m \leq K}\left(X_{i, j} X_{m, j}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p)-2 \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}(p) \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}(p)\right)\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

using that $\sum_{i \neq m} X_{i, j} X_{m, j}=\left(\sum_{i} X_{i, j}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i} X_{i, j}^{2}$. By the law of large numbers, we have when $K \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}^{2}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(X_{j}^{2}(p)\right)=m_{2, j}(p), \quad \frac{1}{K^{2}} \sum_{i \neq m}^{K}\left(X_{i, j} X_{m, j}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p) \rightarrow\left(m_{j}^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p)
$$

together with

$$
\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1} X_{i, j}(p) \rightarrow m_{j}(p) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}(p) \rightarrow m_{j}^{\prime \prime}(p)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} 1-\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)} & =\frac{m_{2, j}(p)+\frac{p}{2 \mathbb{E}(v)}\left(m_{j}^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(p)-\frac{p}{\mathbb{E}(v)} m_{j}(p) m_{j}^{\prime \prime}(p)}{m_{j}(p)} \text { a.s. } \\
& =\frac{m_{2, j}(p)+\frac{p}{\mathbb{E}(v)} m_{j}^{\prime}(p)^{2}}{m_{j}(p)} \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows.
To illustrate the fact that the bound in Equation (18) is tight when $p \rightarrow 0$ and $v$ has finite moments of any order, let us note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j}(p) \sim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{p^{j}}{j!} \mathbb{E}\left(v^{j}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
m_{2, j}(p) \sim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{p^{2 j}}{j!^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left(v^{2 j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad m_{j}^{\prime}(p) \sim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{p^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \mathbb{E}\left(v^{j}\right)
$$

Thus, the limit when $K$ tends to $+\infty$ of the bound given by Equation (18) is equivalent to

$$
\frac{j p^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(v^{j}\right)}{\mathbb{E}(v)}
$$

when $p$ tends to 0 . If $j \geq 2$, this term tends to 0 when $p \rightarrow 0$.
By using the above lemma, we are now able to state a central limit like result for the random variables $W_{j}^{+}$.
Proposition 5. Under the assumption that $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{W_{j}^{+}-\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}} \leq y\right)-\int_{-\infty}^{y} \frac{e^{-u^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d u\right|  \tag{22}\\
\leq \frac{m_{2, j}(p)}{m_{j}(p)}+\frac{p}{\mathbb{E}(v)} \frac{\left(m_{j}^{\prime}(p)\right)^{2}}{m_{j}(p)}
\end{array}
$$

where the quantity of the right-hand side of equation (22) is small for $j \geq 2$ when $p$ is small. Thus, for $j \geq 2$ and for small $p$, the following equivalence holds

$$
W_{j}^{+} \sim \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)+\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)} G
$$

where $G$ is a normal random variable.
Proof. From Lemma 11, we have

$$
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\left.\frac{W_{j}^{+}-\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}} \in \cdot \right\rvert\, \mathcal{V}\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Q_{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}-\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)}} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} .
$$

From Equation (20), we know that when $K \rightarrow \infty, \mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+} \mid \mathcal{V}\right) \sim K \mathbb{E}\left(X_{j}(p)\right)=$ $K \sum_{\ell \geq j} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}=K m_{j}(p)$, where the quantities $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ are defined in Proposition if. In addition, from Corollary 1], $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right) \sim K m_{j}(p)$ when $K \rightarrow+\infty$. The result then follows by applying the central limit theorem for Poisson distributions and by deconditioning with respect to $\mathcal{V}$.

To conclude this section, let us notice that when balls are drawn with probability $p$ independently one of each other, we do not have to condition on the environment and we have

$$
\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(W_{j}^{+} \in \cdot\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Q_{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)} \in \cdot\right)\right\|_{t v} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=j}^{v}\binom{v}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{v-k} \mathbb{1}_{\{v \geq j\}}\right)^{2}}{\mathbb{E}\left(\binom{v}{j} p^{j}(1-p)^{v-j} \mathbb{1}_{\{v \geq j\}}\right)}
$$

It is worth noting that the results are independent of the number of colors and that we do not need take $K \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain a bound for the distance in total variation. In addition, when $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right)$ become large, then it is possible to obtain a central limit-type approximation similar to Proposition 5.

## 5. Comparison with original distributions

5.1. Uniform model. In this section, we compare the distribution of the number $\tilde{v}$ of balls drawn with a given color with that of the original number $v$ of balls with a given color. We are in particular interested in giving a sense to the heuristic stating that $v$ and $\tilde{v} / p$ have distributions close one to each other.

Proposition 6. Under the condition that the random variable $v$ has a Weibull or Pareto distribution, we have

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}^{+}\right)}{K \mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}=1
$$

Proof. From Corollary 1, we know that if $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$, then $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right) / K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{j}$ when $K \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{j}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{(p v)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p v}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p \ell)^{j}}{j!} e^{-p \ell} \mathbb{P}(v=l)
$$

we can show that if $v$ has a heavy tailed distribution, then $\mathbb{Q}_{j} \sim \mathbb{P}(v=j / p)$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, the above sum can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{f_{j}(\ell)} \mathbb{P}(v=\ell)
$$

where $f_{j}(\ell)=-p \ell+j \log (p \ell)$, which attains its maximum at point $j / p$ with $f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(j / p)=-p^{2} / j$. If the random variable $v$ is Weibull or Pareto and $j / p$ is sufficiently large, then $\mathbb{P}(v=\ell) / \mathbb{P}(v=j / p)-1 \sim 0$ uniformly on $j$ for $\ell$ in the neighborhood of $j / p$. It follows that

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{j} \sim \frac{1}{j!} \mathbb{P}(v=j / p) e^{f_{j}(j / p)} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\ell^{2} \frac{p^{2}}{2 j}}
$$

For $a>0$ converging to 0 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a \ell^{2}}=\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{u>a \ell^{2}\right\}} e^{-u} d u \sim 2 & \int_{0}^{+\infty} \quad \sqrt{\frac{u}{a}} e^{-u} d u \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{u^{2}}{\sqrt{a}} e^{-u^{2} / 2} d u=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Stirling formula $j!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi} j^{j+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-j}$ for large $j$, we obtain that $\mathbb{Q}_{j} \sim \mathbb{P}(v=j / p) / p$. It is then easy to deduce that $\sum_{\ell \geq j} \mathbb{Q}_{j} \sim \mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)$ for large $j$.

To apply Corollary $\mathbb{1}$, we need $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$. But from Equation (20) we know that $\mathbb{E}\left(W_{j}\right) / K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{j}$ even if the condition $\mathbb{E}\left(v^{2}\right)<\infty$ is not satisfied.

The above Proposition implies that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)$ is such that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j) \sim \mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)$ when the number of colors is large. This means that the tail of the distribution of the random variable $v$ can be obtained by rescaling that of the number $\tilde{v}$ of drawn balls with a given color. When $v$ has a Pareto distribution, Equation (13) can still be used for large $j$ to estimate the shape parameter $a$. The estimation of the probability $1-\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-p v}\right)$ of sampling a color and the scale parameter $b$ can also be estimated from the tail by using the expression of that probability as a function of $b$ and $a$ as in Equation (14). The same method applies for Weibull distributions.
5.2. Probabilistic model. From now on, we consider the probabilistic model and we establish stronger results on the distance between $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)$ and $\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)$, where $\tilde{v}$ is the number of balls with a given color at the end of a trial. For this sampling mode, it was not possible to prove a result similar to Corollary 11, but Berry-Essen's theorem [6] can be used to establish a stronger result for the comparison between $\tilde{v}$ and $v$; see [5]. In this paper, we go further by establishing a tighter bound.

Let $\left(B_{n}\right)$ be some sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with parameter $p$ and $v$ some independent r.v. on $\mathbb{N}$. Take some $\alpha \in] 1 / 2,1\left[\right.$. Let $\tilde{v}=\sum_{l=1}^{v} B_{l}$.
Theorem 3. For $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$, we have

$$
\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}=A(j)+B(j)
$$

where

$$
A_{1}(j) \leq A(j) \leq A_{2}(j)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(j)= \\
& \qquad\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{p}{2\left(1+\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)}\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}\right)\right) \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}, \\
& A_{2}(j)=\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $B(j)$ is a positive quantity such that

$$
B(j) \leq e^{-\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}} \frac{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}
$$

Note that when the distribution of the random variable $v$ is heavy tailed, the quantities $A_{1}(j)$ and $A_{2}(j)$ tend to 1 and $B(j)$ tends to 0 when $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. We have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j\right)=T_{1}+T_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j, j \leq v \leq j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor-1\right) \\
& T_{2}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j, j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor \leq v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us first recall the following inequality for the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables $B_{\ell}, \ell \geq 1$ [9: for $x \in[0,1-p]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} B_{\ell}-n p \geq n x\right) \leq e^{-\frac{n x^{2}}{A(x)}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)=2 p(1-p)+\frac{2}{3} x(1-2 p)-\frac{2}{9} x^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that for $j \leq v \leq j / p$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j\right) \leq e^{-\frac{(j-p v)^{2}}{v A\left(\frac{j}{v}-p\right)}}
$$

It is easily checked that the function $v \rightarrow v A\left(\frac{j}{v}-p\right)$ is increasing in the interval $[j, j / p]$ and that for all $v \in[j, j / p]$

$$
v A\left(\frac{j}{v}-p\right) \leq 2 j(1-p)
$$

Hence, for $v \in[j, j / p]$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j\right) \leq e^{-\frac{(j-p v)^{2}}{2 j(1-p)}}
$$

and for $v \in\left[j, j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor-1\right]$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j\right) \leq e^{-\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j, j \leq v \leq j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor-1\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor-1} B_{\ell} \geq j\right) \mathbb{P}(v \geq j) \\
& =e^{-\frac{p}{2(1-p)\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}} \mathbb{P}(v \geq j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $T_{2}$, we first note that

$$
T_{2} \leq \mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p-\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor\right)
$$

Then, we clearly have

$$
T_{2} \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \geq j, j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1 \leq v\right)
$$

and then

$$
\frac{T_{2}}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)} \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1} B_{\ell}>j\right) \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}
$$

Chernoff bound implies for $v=j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{v} B_{\ell} \leq j\right) & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(p v-j)^{2}}{2 p v}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\frac{p}{2\left(1+\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)}\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T_{2}}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)} \geq \\
& \quad\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{p}{2\left(1+\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)}\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}\right)\right) \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p+\left\lfloor(j / p)^{\alpha}\right\rfloor+1\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof follows.
The above result can be applied to specific distributions for $v$. The following corollary has been obtained in a more general context by Asmussen et al [3] and Foss and Korshunov [7].

Corollary 2. If $v$ has either
(1) a Pareto tail distribution with parameter $a>1$ such that for $x \geq 0, \mathbb{P}(v \geq x)=$ $L(x) x^{-a}$ where $L$ is a slowly varying function, i.e., for each $t>0$,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{L(t x)}{L(x)}=1
$$

or
(2) a Weibull tail distribution with $\beta \in] 0,1 / 2[$ such that for $x \geq 0, \mathbb{P}(v \geq x)=$ $L(x) e^{-\delta x^{\beta}}$ for some $\delta>0$ and $L$ a slowly varying function then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} \geq j)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}-1\right|=0
$$

Proof. For (1),

$$
\frac{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}=\frac{L(j)}{L(j / p)} \frac{j^{-a}}{(j / p)^{-a}}=\frac{L(j)}{L(j / p)} p^{a} \xrightarrow[j \rightarrow+\infty]{ } p^{-a}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha}\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}=\frac{L\left((j / p)\left(1+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\right)}{L(j / p)}\left(1+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha-1}\right)^{-a}
$$

which tends to 1 when $j$ tends to $+\infty$. This implies that the quantities $A_{1}(j)$ and $A_{2}(j)$ appearing in Theorem 3 tends to 1 and $B(j)$ tends to 0 when $j \rightarrow \infty$.

For (2),

$$
\frac{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)}=\frac{L(j)}{L(j / p)} e^{-\delta j^{\beta}\left(1-p^{-\beta}\right)} \underset{j \rightarrow+\infty}{ } 0
$$

and it is straightforward that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(v \geq j / p+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha}\right)}{\mathbb{P}(v \geq j / p)} & =\frac{L\left(j / p\left(1+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha-1)}\right)\right.}{L(j / p)} e^{-\delta\left(j / p+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}+\delta(j / p)^{\beta}} \\
& =\frac{L\left(j / p\left(1+\epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha-1)}\right)\right.}{L(j / p)} e^{-\delta \beta \epsilon(j / p)^{\alpha+\beta-1}(1+o(1))}
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 1 if $\alpha+\beta<1$. Let $\beta \in] 0,1[$. It is sufficient to find $\alpha \in] 1 / 2,1[$ such that $\alpha+\beta<1$. Necessarily $1-\beta>\alpha>1 / 2$ thus $\beta<1 / 2$ and for such a $\beta$, such an $\alpha$ exists.

## 6. Concluding remarks on sampling and parameter inference

We have established in this paper convergence results for the distribution of the number of balls with a given color, which are drawn from a urn containing balls with different colors under the assumption that there is a large number of colors, the number of balls with a given color has a heavy tailed distribution independent of the color, and only a small fraction $p$ of the total number of ball is drawn. We have considered two ball drawing rules. The first one states that the probability of drawing a ball with a given color depends upon the relative contribution of the color to the total number of balls and a drawn ball is immediately replaced into the urn. With the second rule, each ball is selected with probability $p$ independently of the others. The two rules do not give the same results, even if they coincide when $p \rightarrow 0$ (see [5] for details).

From a practical point of view, we have shown that it is possible to infer the original distribution of the number of balls with a given color by using the tail of the distribution of the number of balls with a a given color drawn from the urn. A stronger result holds for Pareto distributions with a second moment when the number of colors is very large (see Proposition (3). This result is robust in practice because it does not rely on distribution tails (in Proposition 3 assertions hold for all $j \geq 0$ ).

The inference of the original number of balls per color is valid when the number of balls follows a unique distribution of Pareto or Weibull type. This could be used in the context of packet sampling in the Internet. In practice, however, the number of packets in flows is in general not described by a unique "nice" distribution, but can only be locally approximated by a series of Pareto distributions (see [2] for a discussion). More sophisticated techniques are then necessary to infer the original statistics of flows.
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