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#### Abstract

We give a new characterization of character-automorphic Hardy spaces of order 2 and of their contractive multipliers in terms of de Branges Rovnyak spaces. Keys tools in our arguments are analytic extension and a factorization result for matrix-valued analytic functions due to Leech.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group of Möbius transformations of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<1\}$ onto itself. For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and for any character $\alpha$ of $\Gamma$, we consider the spaces

$$
\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\alpha}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}_{p} \mid f \circ \gamma=\alpha(\gamma) f, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

These spaces are called character-automorphic Hardy spaces. A characterization of such spaces in terms of Poincaré theta series may be found in [15, [18], [13], [9]. In particular, Pommerenke showed in [15] that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{b_{0}(z)}{b_{0}^{\prime}(z)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{\alpha(\gamma)} \theta(\gamma(z)) h(\gamma(z)) \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma(z)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a bounded linear operator from the classical Hardy space $\mathcal{H}_{p}(\mathbb{D})$ into the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$.

In the present paper we restrict ourselves to the case $p=2$. We first give a characterization of the character-automorphic Hardy space $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ in terms of an associated de Branges Rovnyak space of functions analytic in the open unit disk; see Theorem 3.2. We also characterize the contractive multipliers between $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ two given characters; see Theorem 4.2. Our method is mainly based on analytic extension of positive kernels and factorization results from Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theory.

## 2 A review on character-automorphic Hardy spaces

### 2.1 Fuchsian groups and automorphic functions

Let $G$ be a group of linear transformations, $T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}, a d-b c=1$, in the complex plane and let $\iota$ denotes the identity transformation. Two points $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ are said to be congruent with respect to $G$, if $z^{\prime}=T(z)$ for some $T \in G$ and $T \neq \iota$. Two regions $R, R^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}$ are said to be $G$-congruent or $G$-equivalent if there exists a transformation $T \neq \iota$ which sends $R$ to $R^{\prime}$. A region $R$ which does not contain any two $G$-congruent points and such that the neighborhood of any point on the boundary contains $G$-congruent points of $R$ is called a fundamental region for $G$. A properly discontinuous group is a group $G$ having a fundamental region [10]. This amounts to saying that the identity transformation is isolated.
Definition 2.1 A Fuchsian group is a properly discontinuous group each of whose transformation maps $\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto themselves.
A Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ is said to be of convergence type (see e.g. [15]) if

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\left(1-|\gamma(z)|^{2}\right)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Then, the Green's function [15] of $\Gamma$ with respect to a point $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$ is defined as the Blaschke product

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\xi}(z)=\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{\gamma(\xi)-z}{1-\overline{\gamma(\xi)} z} \frac{|\gamma(\xi)|}{\gamma(\xi)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\xi}(\varphi(z))=\mu_{\xi}(\varphi) b_{\xi}(z), \quad \forall \varphi \in \Gamma, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\xi}$ is the character of $\Gamma$ associated with $b_{\xi}(z)$. A function satisfying the relation (2.3) is said to be character-automorphic with respect to $\Gamma$ while a $\Gamma$-periodic function, as for example $\left|b_{\xi}(z)\right|=\left|b_{\xi}(\varphi(z))\right|$, is called automorphic with respect to $\Gamma$.

### 2.2 Spaces of character-automorphic functions

We now briefly mention the main properties pertaining to spaces of characterautomorphic functions. The materials presented here are essentially borrowed from [15] and [19] (see also [11, [18]). Let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the dual group of $\Gamma$, i.e. the group of (unimodular) characters. For an arbitrary character $\alpha \in \widehat{\Gamma}$, associate the subspaces of the classical space $L_{2}(\mathbb{T})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2}^{\alpha} & =\left\{f \in L_{2} \mid f \circ \gamma=\alpha(\gamma) f, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\right\} \\
\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}) & =L_{2}^{\alpha} \bigcap \mathcal{H}_{2}(\mathbb{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group without elliptic and parabolic element. We say that $\Gamma$ is of Widom type if, and only if, the derivative of $b_{0}(z)$ is of bounded characteristic. In this case, Widom [20] has shown that the space $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ is not trivial for any character $\alpha \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and we have

Theorem 2.2 (Pommerenke [15]) Let $\Gamma$ be of Widom type and let $\theta(z)$ be the inner factor of $b_{0}^{\prime}(z)$. If $\alpha$ is any character of $\Gamma$ and if $h(z)$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{p}(\mathbb{D}), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, then the function defined by (1.1) is in $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$
\|f\|_{p} \leqslant\|h\|_{p}, \quad f(0)=\theta(0) h(0) .
$$

The Poincaré series [14] in (1.1) thus defines, in particular, a projection: $P^{\alpha}: \theta \mathcal{H}_{2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$. An important property of the space $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ that we will need is that, point evaluation $f \mapsto f(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{D}$ is a bounded linear functional. The space therefore admits a reproducing kernel $k^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle f(z), k^{\alpha}(z, \xi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}=f(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}) \\
& \text { with } k^{\alpha}(z, \xi) \in \mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}) \neq\{$ const $\}$, we have $k^{\alpha}(\xi, \xi)=\left\|k^{\alpha}(\cdot, \xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}^{2}>0$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$. In the sequel, the Green's function $b_{0}(z)$ with respect to 0 , will be denoted by $b(z)$ for short.

Let $\Gamma$ be a group of Widom type and let $E$ be associated ${ }^{1}$ to it in such a way that $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E$ be equivalent to the Riemann surface $\mathbb{D} / \Gamma$, obtained by identifying $\Gamma$-congruent points. Then, there exists a universal covering map $\mathfrak{z}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E \simeq \mathbb{D} / \Gamma$ such that

- $\mathfrak{z}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ conformally onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E$,
- $\mathfrak{z}$ is automorphic with respect to $\Gamma: \mathfrak{z} \circ \gamma=\mathfrak{z}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$
- and $\mathfrak{z}\left(z_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{z}\left(z_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \mid z_{1}=\gamma\left(z_{2}\right)$

In particular, $\mathfrak{z}$ maps one-to-one the normal fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ with respect to the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:\left|\gamma^{\prime}(z)\right|<1 \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq \iota\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

conformally onto some sub-domain of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E$. We assume that $\mathfrak{z}$ is normalized so that $(\mathfrak{z} b)(0)$ is real and positive. In all the sequel, the character associated to the Green's function $b(z)$ will be denoted by $\mu$. The starting point of the next section is the following result:

Lemma 2.3 (12]) The reproducing kernel for the space $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\alpha}(z, \omega)=c(\alpha) \frac{\frac{k^{\alpha \mu}(z, 0)}{b(z)} k^{\alpha}(\omega, 0)^{*}-\left(\frac{k^{\alpha \mu}(\omega, 0)}{b(\omega)}\right)^{*} k^{\alpha}(z, 0)}{\mathfrak{z}(z)-\mathfrak{z}(\omega)^{*}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\alpha)=\frac{\mathfrak{z}(0) b(0)}{k^{\alpha \mu}(0,0)}>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 An associated de Branges-Rovnyak space

In this section we give a characterization of the space $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ in terms of an associated de Branges Rovnyak space. To begin, let

$$
\Omega_{+}=\{z \in \mathbb{D} ; \operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{z}(z)>0\}
$$

[^0]Setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\alpha}(z)=\sqrt{\frac{c(\alpha)}{2}}\left(\frac{k^{\alpha \mu}(z, 0)}{b(z)}+i k^{\alpha}(z, 0)\right) \\
& B^{\alpha}(z)=\sqrt{\frac{c(\alpha)}{2}}\left(\frac{k^{\alpha \mu}(z, 0)}{b(z)}-i k^{\alpha}(z, 0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we can rewrite the reproducing kernel $k^{\alpha}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\alpha}(z, \omega)=\frac{2 A^{\alpha}(z)}{1-i \mathfrak{z}(z)} \frac{1-S_{\alpha}(z) S_{\alpha}(w)^{*}}{1-\sigma(z) \sigma(w)^{*}} \frac{2 A^{\alpha}(w)^{*}}{1+i \mathfrak{z}(w)^{*}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\alpha}(z)=B^{\alpha}(z) / A^{\alpha}(z)$ and $\sigma(z)=\frac{1+i_{3}(z)}{1-i_{j}(z)}$. We note that the functions $A^{\alpha}(z)$ and $B^{\alpha}(z)$ are character-automorphic with the same character $\alpha$ while $S_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma$ are automorphic functions. From now on, the notation $f^{\nu}(z)$ will means that the function $f^{\nu}(z)$ is character-automorphic with the superscript $\nu \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ being the associated character, and the notation $f_{\nu}(z)$ will stand for a function depending on the character $\nu$ (automorphic or not).

Proposition 3.1 There exists a Schur function $\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}$ such that $S_{\alpha}(z)=\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\sigma(z))$.
Proof: Since the kernel $k^{\alpha}(z, \omega)$ is positive in $\mathbb{D}$, and hence in $\Omega_{+}$, it is clear that $\frac{1-S_{\alpha}(z) S_{\alpha}(w)^{*}}{1-\sigma(z) \sigma(w)^{*}}$ is also positive in $\Omega_{+}$. Now, observe that the function $\sigma$ maps $\Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$ into some subset $\Delta \subset \mathbb{D}$ and this mapping is one-to-one. Let $\varsigma$ be given by: $(\varsigma \circ \sigma)(z)=z, \forall z \in \Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$ (in particular this will also hold for any region congruent to $\Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$ ) and let the function $\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{\alpha}$ be defined on $\Delta$ by:

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\left(S_{\alpha} \circ \varsigma\right)(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \Delta .
$$

Then it comes that the kernel

$$
\frac{1-\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{\alpha}(\lambda) \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{\alpha}(\mu)^{*}}{1-\lambda \mu^{*}}
$$

is positive on $\Delta$. Now, this implies (see for instance [1], Theorem 2.6.5]) the existence of a unique extension of $\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{\alpha}(\lambda)$, analytic and contractive in all $\mathbb{D}$. We subsequently call $\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ this extension, and denote by $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)$ the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel

$$
K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\lambda, \mu)=\frac{1-\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\lambda) \mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\mu)^{*}}{1-\lambda \mu^{*}}
$$

By construction, the equality

$$
S_{\alpha}(z)=\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\sigma(z))
$$

holds for all $z \in \Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$. Since $S_{\alpha}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, it must also hold for all $\mathbb{D}$.

In connection with the previous proposition and the next theorem, we recall that reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{S})$ of functions analytic in the open unit disk and with a reproducing kernel of the form

$$
\frac{1-\mathscr{S}(\lambda) \mathscr{S}(\mu)^{*}}{1-\lambda \mu^{*}}
$$

were introduced and studied by de Branges and Rovnyak; see [5], Appendix], [6]. We also refer the reader to [8] and [1] for more information on these and on related spaces.

Theorem 3.2 The character-automorphic Hardy space $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ can be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})=\left\{F(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2} A^{\alpha}(z)}{1-i \mathfrak{z}(z)} f(\sigma(z)) ; f \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)} .
$$

Proof: Recall that the map which to $F \in \mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ associates its restriction $\left.F\right|_{\Omega_{+}}$to $\Omega_{+}$is an isometry from $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ onto the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing $k^{\alpha}(z, \omega)$ defined by (2.5), where $z, \omega$ are now restricted to $\Omega_{+}$. We denote this last space by $\left.\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})\right|_{\Omega_{+}}$. By Proposition 3.1 and using (3.1) we see that the operator of multiplication by $\frac{2 A^{\alpha}(z)}{1-i_{3}(z)}$ is an isometry from the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with reproducing kernel

$$
\frac{1-\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\sigma(z)) \mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\left(\sigma(w)^{*}\right.}{1-\sigma(z) \sigma(w)^{*}}
$$

onto $\left.\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})\right|_{\Omega_{+}}$. Furthermore, the composition map by $\sigma$ is an isometry from the de Branges Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{H}$. We have that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{f \circ \sigma ; f \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)\right\},
$$

with norm $\|f \circ \sigma\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)}$, as follows from the equalities

$$
f(\sigma(\omega))=\left\langle f(\cdot), K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\cdot, \sigma(\omega))\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)}=\left\langle f \circ \sigma(\cdot), K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\sigma(\cdot), \sigma(\omega))\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

Thus the restrictions of the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ to $\Omega_{+}$are of the form as in (3.2) for $z$ restricted to $\Omega_{+}$. By analytic extension, the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ have the same form in the whole of $\mathbb{D}$.

## 4 Schur multipliers

A Schur function is a function analytic and contractive in the open unit disk. Equivalently, it is a function $s$ such that the operator of multiplication by $s$ is a contraction from the classical Hardy of the open unit disk into itself. This last definition is our starting point to define character-automorphic Schur multipliers.

Definition 4.1 A character-automorphic function $s^{\beta}(z)$, with character $\beta$, will be called a Schur multiplier if the operator of multiplication by $s^{\beta}(z)$ is a contraction from $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ into $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$.

Equivalently, the character-automorphic function $s^{\beta}(z)$ is a Schur multiplier if and only if the kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s^{\beta}}^{\alpha}(z, w)=k^{\alpha}(z, w)-s^{\beta}(z) s^{\beta}(w)^{*} k^{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z, w) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive in $\mathbb{D}$. The kernel $K_{s^{\beta}}(z, w)$ is in particular positive in $\Omega_{+}$, and we will consider it in $\Omega_{+}$. We note that in view of [7, Lemma 2, p. 142], [4, Theorem 1.1.4, p. 10], the positivity of the analytic kernel $K_{s^{\beta}}$ on $\Omega_{+}$implies its positivity on $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 4.2 A character-automorphic function $s^{\beta}$ is a Schur multiplier if and only if there exists a $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$-matrix valued Schur function $\Sigma(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{\beta}(z)=\frac{A^{\alpha}(z)}{A^{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z)} \frac{\Sigma_{12}(\sigma(z))}{1-S_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z) \Sigma_{22}(\sigma(z))}  \tag{4.2}\\
& S_{\alpha}(z)=\Sigma_{11}(\sigma(z))+\frac{\Sigma_{12}(\sigma(z)) S_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z) \Sigma_{21}(\sigma(z))}{1-S_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z) \Sigma_{22}(\sigma(z))} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: The positivity of the kernel (4.1) in $\Omega_{+}$is equivalent to the positivity in $\Omega_{+}$of the kernel

$$
K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\sigma(z), \sigma(\omega))-T(z) T(\omega)^{*} K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}(\sigma(z), \sigma(\omega)),
$$

where

$$
T(z)=\frac{A^{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(z)}{A^{\alpha}(z)} s^{\beta}(z)
$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we note that the function $\sigma$ maps $\Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$ into some subset $\Delta \subset \mathbb{D}$ and this mapping is one-to-one, and consider again the function $\varsigma$ defined by: $(\varsigma \circ \sigma)(z)=z, \forall z \in \Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$. The kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\lambda, \mu)-T(\varsigma(\lambda)) T(\varsigma(\mu))^{*} K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}(\lambda, \mu), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive in $\Delta$. We now show that $T \circ \varsigma$ admits an analytic extension to $\mathbb{D}$. To that purpose we consider the linear relation in $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right) \times \mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}\right)$ spanned by the elements of the form

$$
\left(K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\cdot, \omega), T(\varsigma(\omega))^{*} K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}(\cdot, \omega)\right), \quad \omega \in \Delta .
$$

It is densely defined and it is contractive because of the positivity of the kernel (4.4) in $\Delta$. It is therefore the graph of a densely defined contraction $\widetilde{X}$. We note its extension to $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)$ by $X$. For $\omega \in \Delta$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X^{*} f\right)(\omega) & =\left\langle X^{*} f, K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\cdot, \omega)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)} \\
& =\left\langle f, T(\varsigma(\omega))^{*} K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}(\cdot, \omega)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}\right)} \\
& =T(\varsigma(\omega)) f(\omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{0}(\lambda)=K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}\left(\lambda, \omega_{0}\right)$ where $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$. We have

$$
T(\varsigma(\lambda))=\frac{\left(X^{*} f_{0}\right)(\lambda)}{f_{0}(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \Delta
$$

It follows that $T \circ \varsigma$ has an analytic extension to $\mathbb{D}$, which we will denote by $\mathscr{R}$. Thus the kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}}(\lambda, \mu)-\mathscr{R}(\lambda) \mathscr{R}(\mu)^{*} K_{\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}}(\lambda, \mu), \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic in $\lambda$ and $\mu^{*}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore it is still positive in $\mathbb{D}$; see $\mathbb{7}$, Lemma 2, p. 142], [4, Theorem 1.1.4, p. 10]. By [2, Theorem 11.1, p. 61], a necessary
and sufficient condition for the kernel (4.5) to be positive is that there exists a $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$-matrix valued Schur function $\Sigma(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{R}(\lambda) & =\frac{\Sigma_{12}(\lambda)}{1-\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\lambda) \Sigma_{22}(\lambda)}  \tag{4.6}\\
\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\lambda) & =\Sigma_{11}(\lambda)+\frac{\Sigma_{12}(\lambda) \mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\lambda) \Sigma_{21}(\lambda)}{1-\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\lambda) \Sigma_{22}(\lambda)} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The above mentioned result from [2] stems from rewriting the positive kernel (4.5) as

$$
\frac{\mathcal{A}(\lambda) \mathcal{A}(\mu)^{*}-\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \mathcal{B}(\mu)^{*}}{1-\lambda \mu^{*}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 \quad \mathscr{R}(\lambda) \mathscr{S}_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}(\lambda)=\left(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}(\lambda) \quad \mathscr{R}(\lambda)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and using a factorization result known as Leech's theorem, which insures the existence of a $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$-valued Schur function $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\mathcal{B}(z)=\mathcal{A}(z) \Sigma(z)
$$

from which (4.6) follows.
This unpublished result of R.B. Leech has been proved using the commutant lifting theorem by M. Rosenblum; see [16, Theorem 2, p. 134] and [17, Example 1, p. 107]. Further discussions and applications can also be found in [3]. It can also be proved using tangential Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation and Montel's theorem.

Finally we replace in (4.6) $\lambda$ by $\sigma(z)$ where $z \in \Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$. We obtain the formulas in the statement of the theorem for $z \in \Omega_{+} \cap \mathcal{F}$, and hence for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ by analytic extension.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See [21] for an example of a construction of a group $\Gamma$ associated with a finite union of disjoint arcs of the unit circle.

