



**HAL**  
open science

## International Workshop on Implementation, Compilation, Optimization of Object-Oriented Languages, Programs and Systems - Report on the Workshop ICOOOLPS'2007 at ECOOP'07

Olivier Zendra, Eric Jul, Roland Ducournau, Etienne Gagnon, Richard E.  
Jones, Chandra Krintz, Philippe Mulet, Jan Vitek

### ► To cite this version:

Olivier Zendra, Eric Jul, Roland Ducournau, Etienne Gagnon, Richard E. Jones, et al.. International Workshop on Implementation, Compilation, Optimization of Object-Oriented Languages, Programs and Systems - Report on the Workshop ICOOOLPS'2007 at ECOOP'07. Michael Cebulla. ECOOP 2007 Workshop Reader, 4906, Springer, 2008, LNCS, 978-3-540-78194-3. 10.1007/978-3-540-78195-0 . inria-00194953

**HAL Id: inria-00194953**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00194953v1>**

Submitted on 7 Dec 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ICOOOLPS'2007

## Second ECOOP Workshop on Implementation, Compilation, Optimization of Object-Oriented Languages, Programs and Systems

Olivier Zendra<sup>1</sup>, Eric Jul<sup>2</sup>, Roland Ducournau<sup>3</sup>, Etienne Gagnon<sup>4</sup>, Richard Jones<sup>5</sup>, Chandra Krintz<sup>6</sup>, Philippe Mulet<sup>7</sup>, and Jan Vitek<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRIA-LORIA, France

<sup>2</sup> DIKU, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> LIRMM, France

<sup>4</sup> UQAM, Canada

<sup>5</sup> Univ. of Kent, UK

<sup>6</sup> UCSB, USA

<sup>7</sup> IBM, France

<sup>8</sup> Purdue University, USA

**Abstract.** ICOOOLPS'2007 was the second edition of the ECOOP-ICOOOLPS workshop. ICOOOLPS intends to bring researchers and practitioners both from academia and industry together, with a spirit of openness, to try and identify and begin to address the numerous and very varied issues of optimization. After a first successful edition, this second one put a stronger emphasis on exchanges and discussions amongst the participants, progressing on the bases set last year in Nantes.

The workshop attendance was a success, since the 30-people limit we had set was reached about 2 weeks before the workshop itself. Some of the discussions (e.g .annotations) were so successful that they would required even more time than we were able to dedicate to them. That's one area we plan to further improve for the next edition.

### 1 Objectives and call for papers

Programming languages, especially object-oriented ones, are pervasive and play a significant role in computer science and engineering life. They sometime appear as ubiquitous and completely mature. However, despite a large number of works, there is still a clear need for solutions for efficient implementation and compilation of OO languages in various application domains ranging from embedded and real-time systems to desktop systems.

The ICOOOLPS workshop series thus aims to address this crucial issue of optimization in OO languages, programs and systems. It intends to do so by bringing together researchers and practitioners working in the field of object-oriented languages implementation and optimization. Its main goals are identifying fundamental bases and key current issues pertaining to the efficient implementation,

compilation and optimization of OO languages, and outlining future challenges and research directions.

Topics of interest for ICOOOLPS include but are not limited to:

- implementation of fundamental OOL features:
  - inheritance (object layout, late binding, subtype test...)
  - genericity (parametric types)
  - memory management
- runtime systems:
  - compilers
  - linkers
  - virtual machines
- optimizations:
  - static and dynamic analyses
  - adaptive virtual machines
- resource constraints:
  - real-time systems
  - embedded systems (space, low power)...
- relevant choices and tradeoffs:
  - constant time vs. non-constant time mechanisms
  - separate compilation vs. global compilation
  - dynamic loading vs. global linking
  - dynamic checking vs. proof-carrying code
  - annotations vs. no annotations

This workshop thus tries to identify fundamental bases and key current issues pertaining to the efficient implementation and compilation of languages, especially OO ones, in order to spread them further amongst the various computing systems. It is also intended to extend this synthesis to encompass future challenges and research directions in the field of OO languages implementation and optimization.

Finally, as stated from the very beginning and the very first edition in Nantes in 2006, ICOOOLPS is intended to be a recurrent workshop in ECOOP. Since the feedback from first year attendants was very positive, this second edition was set up. We organizers integrated most of the suggestions for improvements made in 2006, so as to further improve the workshop. The main adaptation was that less time was given to presentations, in order to free extra time for discussions.

In order to increase bases on which the discussions could be based and to keep them focused, each prospective participant was encouraged to submit either a short paper describing ongoing work or a position paper describing an open issue, likely solutions, drawbacks of current solutions or alternative solutions to well known problems. Papers had to be written in English and their final version could not exceed 8 pages in LNCS style (4 pages recommended).

## 2 Organizers

**Olivier ZENDRA (chair)**, INRIA-LORIA, Nancy, France.

Email: [olivier.zendra@inria.fr](mailto:olivier.zendra@inria.fr)  
Web: <http://www.loria.fr/~zendra>  
Address: INRIA / LORIA  
615 Rue du Jardin Botanique  
BP 101  
54602 Villers-Ls-Nancy Cedex, FRANCE

Olivier Zendra is a full-time permanent computer science researcher at INRIA / LORIA, in Nancy, France. His research topics cover compilation, optimization and automatic memory management. He worked on the compilation and optimization of object-oriented languages and was one of the two people who created and implemented SmartEiffel, The GNU Eiffel Compiler (at the time SmallEiffel). His current research topics and application domains are program analysis, compilation, memory management and embedded systems, with a specific focus on low energy.

**Eric JUL (co-chair)**, DIKU, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Email: [eric@diku.dk](mailto:eric@diku.dk)  
Web: <http://www.diku.dk/~eric>  
Address: DIKU  
Universitetsparken 1  
DK-2100 Kbenhavn , DANMARK

Eric Jul is Professor of Computer Science at the University of Copenhagen and head of the Distributed Systems Group. He is one of the principal designers of the distributed, object-oriented language Emerald. He implemented fine-grained object mobility in Emerald. His current research is in Grid Computing. He is currently Vice-President of AITO.

**Roland DUCOURNAU**, LIRMM, Montpellier, France.

Email: [ducour@lirmm.fr](mailto:ducour@lirmm.fr)  
Web: <http://www.lirmm.fr/~ducour>  
Address: LIRMM,  
161, rue Ada  
34392 Montpellier Cedex 5, FRANCE

Roland Ducournau is Professor of Computer Science at the University of Montpellier. In the late 80s, while with Sema Group, he designed and developed the YAFOOL language, based on frames and prototypes and dedicated to knowledge based systems. His research topics focuses on class specialization and inheritance, especially multiple inheritance. His recent works are dedicated to implementation of OO languages.

**Etienne GAGNON**, UQAM, Montral, Qubec, Canada.

Email: [egagnon@sablevm.org](mailto:egagnon@sablevm.org)  
Web: <http://www.info2.uqam.ca/~egagnon>  
Address: Dpartement d'informatique  
UQAM  
Case postale 8888, succursale Centre-ville  
Montral (Qubec) Canada / H3C 3P8

Etienne Gagnon is a Professor of Computer Science at Universit du Qubec Montral (UQAM) since 2001. Etienne has developed the SableVM portable research virtual machine for Java, and the SableCC compiler framework generator. His research topics include language design, memory management, synchronization, verification, portability, and efficient interpretation techniques in virtual machines.

**Richard JONES**, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.

Email: [R.E.Jones@kent.ac.uk](mailto:R.E.Jones@kent.ac.uk)  
Web: <http://www.cs.kent.ac.uk/~rej>  
Address: Richard Jones, Reader in Computer Systems,  
Computing Laboratory,  
University of Kent at Canterbury,  
Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK

Richard Jones is Reader in Computer Systems and Deputy Director of the Computing Laboratory at the University of Kent, Canterbury. He leads the Systems Research Group. He is best known for his work on garbage collection: his monograph Garbage Collection remains the definitive book on the subject. His memory management research interests include techniques for avoiding space leaks, scalable yet complete garbage collection for distributed systems, flexible techniques for capturing traces of program behaviour, and heap visualisation. He was made a Distinguished Scientist of the Association for Computer Machinery (ACM) in 2006 and awarded an Honorary Fellowship at the University of Glasgow in 2005.

**Chandra KRINTZ**, UC Santa Barbara, CA, USA.

Email: [ckrintz@cs.ucsb.edu](mailto:ckrintz@cs.ucsb.edu)  
Web: <http://www.cs.ucsb.edu/~ckrintz>  
Address: University of California  
Engineering I, Rm. 1121  
Department of Computer Science  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-5110, USA

Chandra Krintz is an Assistant Professor at the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB); she joined the UCSB faculty in 2001. Chandra's research interests include automatic and adaptive compiler and virtual runtime techniques for object-oriented languages that improve performance and increase

battery life. In particular, her work focuses on exploiting repeating patterns in the time-varying behavior of underlying resources, applications, and workloads to guide dynamic optimization and specialization of program and system components.

**Philippe MULET**, IBM, Saint-Nazaire, France.  
Email: [philippe\\_mulet@fr.ibm.com](mailto:philippe_mulet@fr.ibm.com)  
Address: IBM France - Paris Laboratory  
69, rue de la Vecquerie  
44600 Saint-Nazaire, France

Philippe Mulet is the lead for the Java Development Tooling (JDT) Eclipse subproject, working at IBM since 1996; he is currently located in Saint-Nazaire (France). In late 1990s, Philippe was responsible for the compiler and codeassist tools in IBM Java Integrated Development Environments (IDEs): VisualAge for Java standard and micro editions. Philippe then became in charge of the Java infrastructure for the Eclipse platform, and more recently of the entire Java tooling for Eclipse. Philippe is a member of the Eclipse Project PMC. Philippe is also a member of the expert group on compiler API (JSR199), representing IBM. His main interests are in compilation, performance, scalability and meta-level architectures.

**Jan VITEK**, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN, USA.  
Email: [jv@cs.purdue.edu](mailto:jv@cs.purdue.edu)  
Web: <http://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/jv>  
Address: Dept. of Computer Sciences  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

Jan Vitek is an Associate Professor in Computer Science at Purdue University. He leads the Secure Software Systems lab. He obtained his PhD from the University of Geneva in 1999, and a MSc from the University of Victoria in 1995. Prof. Vitek research interests include programming language, virtual machines, mobile code, software engineering and information security.

### 3 Participants

ICOOOLPS attendance was limited to 30 people for technical reasons. Unlike in the 2006 edition, it was not mandatory for ICOOOLPS 2007 to submit a paper to participate. We indeed intended to further open the discussion by making the attendance easier, and had learned from the numerous walk-ins during ICOOOLPS 2006. The 30-people limit was reached about 2 weeks before the workshop itself, which lead us to put a note on the website to stop new registrations.

Finally, 27 people from 12 countries — up from 22 people from 8 countries in 2006 — attended this second edition, which is an encouraging sign of an increasing audience for ICOOOLPS. These attendants are listed in table 1.

Table 1. ICCOOOLPS 2007 list of attendees

First name	NAME	Affiliation	Country	Email
Philippe	ALTHERR	Google	Switzerland	paltherr@google.com
Maurizio	CIMADAMORE	DEIS, Universit di Bologna	Italy	Maurizio.Cimadamore@unibo.it
Marcus	DENKER	Ubiversity of Bern	Switzerland	denker@iam.unibe.ch
Iulian	DRAGOS	EPFL	Switzerland	iulian.dragos@epfl.ch
Gilles	DUBOCHET	EPFL - LAMP	Switzerland	Gilles.Dubochet@epfl.ch
Burak	EMIR	EPFL	Switzerland	Burak.Emir@gmail.com
Michael	FRANZ	UC Irvine	USA	franz@uci.edu
Etienne	GAGNON	UQAM	Canada	egagnon@sablevm.org
Michael	HAUPT	Hasso-Plattner-Institut, Univ. Potsdam	Germany	michael.haupt@hpi.uni-potsdam.de
Raymond	HU	Imperial College, London	United Kingdom	rh105@doc.ic.ac.uk
Christine	HUNDT	TU-Berlin	Germany	resix@cs.tu-berlin.de
Maha	IDRISSI AOUAD	INRIA / LORIA	France	Maha.IdrissiAouad@loria.fr
Eric	JUL	DIKU	Denmark	eric@diku.dk
Stphane	MICHELOUD	EPFL	Switzerland	Stephane.MICHELOUD@epfl.ch
Anders Bach	NIELSEN	University of rhus	Denmark	abachn@daimi.au.dk
Meir	OVADIA	Cadence	Israel	meiro@cadence.com
Laurent	PLAGNE	EDF R&D	France	Laurent.Plagne@edf.fr
Andreas	PRIESNITZ	Chalmers University of Technology	Sweden	priesnit@cs.chalmers.se
Yannis	SMARAGDAGKIS	University of Oregon	USA	yannis@cs.uoregon.edu
Alexander	SPOON	EPFL	Switzerland	lex@lexspoon.org
Witawas	SRISA-AN	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	USA	witty@cse.unl.edu
Darko	STEFANOVIC	University of New Mexico	USA	darko@cs.unm.edu
Jan	SZUMIEC	Cracow University of Technology	Poland	jps@wieik.pk.edu.pl
Howard	THOMSON	UKUUG Council	United Kingdom	howard.thomson@dial.pipex.com
Stijn	TIMBERMONT	Vrije Universiteit Brussel	Belgium	stimberm@vub.ac.be
Jan	VITEK	Purdue Univ.	USA	v@cs.purdue.edu
Olivier	ZENDRA	INRIA-LORIA	France	Olivier.Zendra@loria.fr

## 4 Contributions

The presentations and discussions at IC00OLPS 2007 were organized in 4 sessions: annotations vs. no annotation, lookup and dispatch mechanisms, miscellaneous implementation issues and continuations and synchronizations.

Here are the main contributions for the sessions. More details (papers, presentations slides, etc.) are available from <http://icoolps.loria.fr>. They are reported here in a lively and rather informal way, so as to keep some of the spontaneity of the workshop, with of course extra organization.

### 4.1 Annotations vs. no annotation

This first technical session was a discussion-only one, chaired by Olivier Zendra, who introduced it by a talk synthesizing the contributions of IC00OLPS 2006 discussion "written down in code vs. inferred". It was a very lively and interesting discussion, with a lot of attendees participating. Unfortunately, to respect the schedule, we had to stop the discussion before it was over. This first indicates this discussion topic is still open and should probably be continued in 2008, then that discussion times should be even longer and/or more flexible.

A quote from last year stated that "Annotations are too serious to be left to developers". But this triggers the question "And what about code ?!"

Some answers pointed that there is room for the compiler to do consistency checking. Others argued it was better to let people do their own mistakes, since that's part of the learning process. It was objected that this reasoning, pushed to the extreme, could lead to directly writing assembly code. Everyone agreed that of course we still need higher level because we want people be more productive.

The issue was raised whether we actually needed different levels of annotations. One level would be the "How-level", where we express how things are done. This is very useful for optimization. Not so many people in the room considered this level appealing to them, though. Another level would be the "What-level", where we express properties (eg security) of the program, algorithms, ie. what has to be done to some extent. Many people in the room considered this level appealing to them.

But a flag was waived: annotations that change the meaning of a program are just ... code ! So annotations should not change the semantic of a program, otherwise we obtain a new language. Annotations, to remain genuine ones, should be intrinsically optional: they should be *hints*. Annotations can be constraints. They thus express domain-specific things and pertain to checking. However, annotations should not grow so much as to have their own type system, otherwise this makes the program much more complex.

A very interesting point was that we may need different hints, for different uses, for different people (annotations for security, for speed optimization, for .... ?) So one remarked that maybe they should stay *outside* the code of the program itself. We could have source (code) files and annotations files, each pertaining to a specific domain.



But wouldn't it be better to be able to modify the language easily (extension, reflexivity...) ? That could be an opening question for next year !

Reflexive annotations (with run-time changes) were mentioned, but the discussion did not go very far on this.

## 4.2 Lookup, dispatch mechanisms

The second session, chaired by Eric Jul, consisted of 2 paper presentations, one insightful introductory talk by Eric on AbCons, and a discussion. This session topic was a brand new one from this year.

The first paper, "One method at a time is quite a waste of time", by Andreas Gal, Michael Bebenita and Michael Franz (University of California, Irvine, USA), made a very convincing case that optimizing on a per method basis is not a good granularity level. Instead their compiler optimizes on at the granularity of hot traces, especially for loops.

The second paper, "Type feedback for bytecode interpreters", by Michael Haupt, Robert Hirschfeld (Univ. of Potsdam, Germany) and Marcus Denker (Univ. of Bern, Switzerland), explained the advantages pertaining to the use of polymorphic inline caches (PICs) in interpreters, and some implementation details in Squeak Smalltalk.

After these nice research works and the introduction on AbCons by Eric, the discussion itself unfortunately did not really catch up, it seems. Things were probably not mature enough. It is also possible that the attendees were not concerned by this kind of implementation "details"... Maybe we could check this for next year (survey ?). The timing — just before lunch — may also have had an impact.

A few points of interest nonetheless emerged:

- Lookup can be implemented in many different ways.
- Lookup tends to increase memory size. This is not too good for caches, hence performance.
- Similarly, lookup tends to increase register pressure, with again a negative impact on performance.
- There was some discussion about the use of fat pointers, to reduce the cost of lookup. Some participants argued that fat pointers are too expensive.
- Most calls can be solved statically, hence alleviating the need for (run-time) lookup. Of course, this may imply whole system analysis, possibly at link time.

## 4.3 Miscellaneous implementation issues

This third session, chaired by Eric Jul, begun the afternoon with three papers.

Titled "A Survey of Scratch-Pad Memory Management Techniques for low-power and -energy", the first paper by Maha Idrissi Aouad (Univ. Henri-Poincar,

Nancy, France) and Olivier Zendra (INRIA-LORIA, Nancy, France) presented various existing SPM (scratch-pad memory) management techniques aimed at low-power. It mostly focused on optimal placement of data according to existing techniques and outlined unexplored directions.

The second paper, "Language and Runtime Implementation of Sessions for Java" by Raymond Hu, Nobuko Yoshida (Imperial College, London, United Kingdom) and Kohei Honda (Univ. of London, United Kingdom), explained how session types could provide type-safe communications in Java. An implementation validating this was shown, with important protocol and communications points detailed.

Finally, "Ensuring that User Defined Code does not See Uninitialized Fields" by Anders Bach Nielsen (Univ. of Aarhus, Denmark) was the third and last paper of this sessions. It discussed some of the problems and solutions found in implementing gbeta, a generalization of the BETA language. This ongoing work focused on a smart handling of object initialization so as to guarantee that user code only uses fully initialized object, thus strengthening the type system promises.

#### 4.4 Continuations and synchronizations

This fourth session of IC00OLPS 2007 was chaired by Etienne Gagnon and comprised one paper, one detailed presentation by Etienne on fat locks and Java synchronization and a discussion. It continued IC00OLPS 2006 unfinished discussion about threads in Java.

The paper in this session was presented by Iulian Dragos (EPFL, Switzerland), Antonio Cunei and Jan Vitek (Purdue Univ., USA). Titled "Continuations in the Java Virtual Machine", it was an introduction to the nontrivial addition of first-class continuation in a Java VM. It outlined the issues such an addition raises, studying interactions with existing features of the Java language such as exceptions, threads, security model and garbage collector.

After a very detailed and complete talk on "Keeping fat locks on a diet, eager deadlock detection, and looking beyond the current Java synchronization model" by Etienne, the discussion on "Java threads and synchronization model." took place.

This was a follow-up and extension to last year's discussion "Do (Java) threads make sense?". This topic sparked a lot of interest, unlike last year, which indicates that the topic had somehow matured in participants minds.

The current statu quo is "rely on the developer" to express and manage concurrency/synchronization. However, Java was about protecting programmers from themselves. Is it really still the case with threads and synchronization as done in Java? Threads are not part of the language in Java, but the "synchronized" keyword is. Shouldn't they both be part of the language? The current situation is somewhat unbalanced.

We then considered what was in the future. Cooperative synchronization? Synchronization is harder than GC (Garbage collection): indeed automating

synchronization is not possible, it is part of the semantics (which is not the case for a GC's work). Synchronization is akin to parallel programming. It's an unsolved problem. On a high level, writing a language that prevents deadlocks (or tells you there are none) would be great. But isn't it like solving the halting problem? That's not a promising path...

Once again, participants asked whether Java threads were really useful. Indeed, threads and their synchronization seem very low level. But to go lower level than Java, we have C... Shared memory and parallelism is ugly but convenient for scientific programming.

The actual problem for developers is to express that they want to use parallelism, not how. On a higher level, we have parallel programming, join, merge...

Would "actors" and asynchronous message sending be appropriate?

Overall, the consensus seems to be that threads and synchronization in Java is flawed, not at the appropriate level. Higher-level means should be provided to express these concerns. Those who need lower-level or very fine control of things should rely on going through C code.

## 5 Conclusion

This second edition of IC00OLPS was a successful successor to IC00OLPS 2006, where it had been decided IC00OLPS should go on recurrently, on a yearly basis. This year, we managed to increase the audience of IC00OLPS, gathering 27 people from 12 countries — up from 22 people from 8 countries in 2006 — from academia and industry, researcher as well as practitioners. This clearly bodes well for the future and the building of a small, informal, community.

A number of positive aspects can be mentioned about IC00OLPS 2007.

First, this year, the workshop was officially open to anyone, not only authors/speakers. This was coherent with the fact that an ECOOP workshop aims at fostering discussions and exchanges, and the fact we had had many unregistered (but welcome) walk-ins in 2006.

Thanks to our correct forecast for a larger attendance, this year the room allocated by the ECOOP organizers was able to comfortably host all the attendants.

The name tags for attendants were also a small but welcome improvement.

On a more scientific level, once again thanks to the skills of the speakers and active participation of the attendants, the discussions were lively, open-minded and allowed good exchanges. We had allocated more time for discussions than last year, but it was barely enough.

Another encouraging aspect is that some discussions (annotations, Java threads) recurred from 2006, which shows there is interesting work to be done in these areas. Furthermore, the fact that the discussion on Java threads, which did not caught up in 2006, was successful this year, indicates that some topics are maturing.

As we had mentioned last year identifying the main challenges for optimization is not that easy, if only because optimizations for object-oriented languages

come in variety of contexts with very different constraints (embedded, real-time, dynamic, legacy...) hence different optimizations criteria (speed, size, memory footprint, energy...). One thing that emerged more clearly in this second edition is the fact that some of our concerns extend beyond object-oriented languages (to functional languages, for example). Another important point is that to optimize, it is difficult to consider separately implementation and language design, or at least specifications. In this respect, the consensus we reached in the workshop that threads and synchronization in Java are flawed and not at the appropriate level is an interesting outcome.

## 6 Perspectives: ICOOLPS future

The perspectives for the ECOOP-ICOOLPS workshop are very good. When surveyed at the very end of the workshop, 16 attendees amongst the 18 still present intended to come next year. We are thus very confident for ICOOLPS 2008 to happen, in Cyprus.

Like every year, we try to draw lessons from each edition to further improve the following ICOOLPS editions. This year, we noted several aspects to improve, amongst which the main ones are:

- This year, we had shorter presentations and longer discussions than in 2006. That was good. But in 2008 we should *devote even more time to discussions*, with even shorter presentations: the purpose of a workshop is not papers, but brainstorming. Presentations should be 10 minutes *max* + 10 minutes for questions.
- We must be *very strict with presentations times*, and not hesitate to stop a speaker who's exceeding her/his time.
- The *papers* do have to be available on the website *before* the workshop.
- Session report drafts should be written during a session (papers and talks) and maybe briefly discussed at the end of each session (not after the workshop).
- Prior registration with the workshop organizers, like in ICOOLPS 2006, is better. It helps keeping track of attendants, gathering their topics of interest, etc.
- We have to provide *a list of suggested discussion topics* at registration time, so that attendees can vote for them (or suggest new ones). Having discussion time open for topics suggested during the workshop did not work very well in 2007.

Of course, some of these points put an increased burden on the organizers, but are key to an even more successful and enjoyable workshop.

We also intend to selectively enlarge the audience to other — possibly non-OO — communities who face the same kind of issues as the one we focus on in ICOOLPS.

## 7 Background

In order to provide a fixed access point for IC00OLPS related matters, the web site for the workshop is maintained at <http://icoolps.loria.fr>. All the papers and presentations done for IC00OLPS'2007 are freely available there.