



Opposite role of slow and fast GABAergic inhibition in synchronization and spike timing precision

Noelia Montejo, Dominique Martinez

► To cite this version:

Noelia Montejo, Dominique Martinez. Opposite role of slow and fast GABAergic inhibition in synchronization and spike timing precision. Sixteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2007, Jul 2007, Toronto, Canada. inria-00174596

HAL Id: inria-00174596

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00174596>

Submitted on 24 Sep 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Poster presentation

Open Access

Opposite role of slow and fast GABAergic inhibition in synchronization and spike timing precision

Noelia Montejo* and Dominique Martinez

Address: LORIA-Campus Scientifique, Bâtiment C-BP 239-54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

Email: Noelia Montejo* - Noelia.Montejo-Cervera@loria.fr

* Corresponding author

from Sixteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2007
Toronto, Canada. 7–12 July 2007

Published: 6 July 2007

BMC Neuroscience 2007, 8(Suppl 2):P51 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-8-S2-P51

© 2007 Montejo and Martinez; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Background

The firing rate of projection neurons in the insect antennal lobe (AL) increases in presence of picrotoxin (GABA-A antagonist) or CGP54626 (GABA-B antagonist), hence demonstrating the existence of both slow and fast GABAergic inhibition [1]. Fast GABA-A inhibition is known to play a key role in synchronization and spike timing precision. Field potential oscillations and neural synchronization are indeed disrupted when the fast GABAergic synapses are pharmacologically blocked. The role of slow GABA-B inhibition is, however, unclear. On the one hand, spike timing precision increases following in vitro injection of hyperpolarizing current pulses, and higher precision is obtained for pulses of longer duration (see Fig. 4 in [2]). Thus, in vitro experimental data suggest that slow inhibition may enhance spike timing precision and synchronization. On the other hand, in vivo experimental data just show the opposite as spike timing precision increases, instead of decreasing as expected, when the slow inhibition is pharmacologically blocked (see Fig. 4 in [1]). To understand this paradox, we have built a computational model of the insect AL.

Methods

Based on previous work [3], our AL model is a network of quadratic integrate-and-fire (theta) neurons coupled with slow (determined by GABA-B receptors) and fast (determined by GABA-A receptors) inhibitory synapses. In this study, we consider a probability of synaptic failure ($p = 0.5$) and three patterns of connectivity: global (all-to-all connections), heterogeneous (random connections with

0.5 probability) and homogeneous (random connections but with the same number of synaptic inputs per cell).

Results

Tight synchronization and precise spike timing are obtained (i) when the connectivity is global or homogeneous and the neurons are coupled by fast and slow inhibition without synaptic failure, or (ii) when the neurons are connected by fast inhibition alone, irrespective of the pattern of connectivity and the presence or not of synaptic failure. Asynchronous state and imprecise spike timing are obtained with slow inhibition (i) when the connectivity is heterogeneous or (ii) when the connectivity is global or homogeneous and with synaptic failure.

Conclusion

Our results predict that loss of synchronization is attributable to variance in the number of received slow inhibitory synaptic events (whereas fast inhibition is robust to such variability). This variance comes from heterogeneous connectivity or from the presence of synaptic failure, both of them being likely to occur in vivo [1]. In contrast, in vitro injection of hyperpolarizing current pulses, as done in [2], does not present such a variability which explains the apparent contradiction between in vivo and in vitro experimental data.

References

1. Wilson EI, Laurent G: **Role of GABAergic in shaping odor-evoked spatiotemporal patterns in the Drosophila Antennal lobe.** *J Neurosci* 2005, **25**(40):9069-9079.

2. Schaefer AT, Angelo K, Spors H, Margrie TW: **Neuronal oscillations enhance stimulus discrimination by ensuring action potential precision.** *PLOS Biol* 2006, **4(6)**:1010-1024.
3. Martinez D: **Oscillatory synchronization requires precise and balanced feedback inhibition in a model of the insect antennal lobe.** *Neural Comput* 2005, **17**:2548-2570.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

