

# A Novel Algorithm for Finding Maximum Common Ordered Subgraph

Nicola Yanev, Rumen Andonov

### ▶ To cite this version:

Nicola Yanev, Rumen Andonov. A Novel Algorithm for Finding Maximum Common Ordered Subgraph. [Research Report] RR-6287, INRIA. 2007, pp.18. inria-00171780v2

# HAL Id: inria-00171780 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00171780v2

Submitted on 14 Sep 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

# A Novel Algorithm for Finding Maximum Common Ordered Subgraph

Nicola Yanev — Rumen Andonov

# N° 6287

Septembre 2007



ISSN 0249-6399 ISRN INRIA/RR--6287--FR+ENG



## A Novel Algorithm for Finding Maximum Common Ordered Subgraph

Nicola Yanev \*, Rumen Andonov †

Thème BIO — Systèmes biologiques Projets SYMBIOSE

Rapport de recherche n° 6287 — Septembre 2007 —15 pages

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the following problem: given are adjacency matrices of two simple graphs. Find two principal matrices (though they are vectors) having the maximum inner product. When used for computing the similarity of two protein structures this problem is called contact map overlap and for the later, we give an exact B&B algorithm with bounds computed by solving Lagrangian relaxation of the problem. The efficiency of the approach is demonstrated on a popular benchmark set of instances together with a comparison with the best existing algorithm.

**Key-words:** combinatorial optimization, graph algorithms, proteins structures comparison

Supported by ANR grant Calcul Intensif projet PROTEUS (ANR-06-CIS6-008) and by Hubert Curien French-Bulgarian partnership "RILA 2006"  $\rm N^0$  15071XFT

\* University of Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>†</sup> IRISA and University of Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France

Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex (France) Téléphone : +33 2 99 84 71 00 — Télécopie : +33 2 99 84 71 71

# Un nouvel algorithme pour la récherche du plus grand sous-graphe commun ordonné

**Résumé :** Dans cet article, nous étudions le problème suivant : étant donné deux matrices d'adjacences de deux graphes simples, trouver deux matrices principales (en faite, deux vecteurs) ayant le plus grand produit scalaire. Quand il est utilisé pour calculer la similarité de deux structures de protéines, ce problème est appelé « Contact Map Overlap » (CMO), et par la suite, nous montrons un algorithme de branch and bound exacte, dont les bornes sont calculées en résolvant la relaxation lagrangienne de ce problème. L'efficacité de cette approche est démontrée sur un jeu de test d'instances réelles, en comparaison avec le meilleur algorithme existant.

**Mots-clés :** optimisation combinatoire, algorithmique de graphes, comparaison de structures protéiques

#### **1** Introduction

It is a fundamental axiom of biology that the 3-dimensional (3D) structure of a protein has a crucial influence on its function- two proteins that are similar in their 3D structure will likely have similar functions. Comparing two protein structures for similarity is therefor a crucial task and has been extensively investigated [8].

Since it is not clear what quantitative measure to use for comparing protein structures, a multitude of measures have been proposed. Each measure aims in capturing the intuitive notion of similarity. We study the *contact-map-overlap* (CMO) measure, first proposed in [9]. This measure has been found to be very useful for measuring protein similarity - it is robust, takes partial matching into account, translation invariant and captures the intuitive notion of similarity very well for details. Thus the problem of designing efficient algorithms that guarantee the CMO quality is an important one that has eluded researchers so far.

Here, we present an algorithm for exact solving the CMO problem (the formal definition is given below). The CMO is just one of the scoring schemes used for comparison of protein structures. The protein's primary sequence is usually though-of as composed of residues. Under specific physiological conditions, the linear arrangement of residues will fold and adopt a complex three dimensional shape, called native state (or tertiary structure) of the protein. In its native state, residues that are far away along the linear arrangement may come into proximity in three dimensional space. The proximity relation between residues in a protein is captured by a mathematical construct called a contact map. Formally, a map is specified by a 0-1 symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix C whose 1-elements correspond to pairs of amino acids on 3D contact, i.e.  $c_{ii} = 1$  if the Euclidean distance of two heavy atoms (or the minimum distance between any two atoms belonging to those residues) from the *i*-th and the *j*-th amino acid of a protein is smaller than a given threshold in the protein native fold. In the pairwise comparison one tries to evaluate the similarity in the 3D- folds of two proteins by determining the maximum overlap (also called alignment) of contacts map. This can be formulated in the following manner: given are adjacency matrices of two simple graphs. Find two principal matrices<sup>1</sup> (though they are vectors) having the maximum inner product.

Our interest in the comparison of the 3D structures of protein molecules based on such maximal common sub-graph detection is provoked by the apparent similarity of the resulting optimization problem with the one derived by another challenging problem- the protein folding, approached by the protein threading technique. For the later in [1] we have presented a methodology, based on s.c. non-crossing matching in bipartite graphs, culminated in highly efficient algorithms for solving the PTP by using the Lagrangian duality [2, 3, 4]. In the same time (independently) in [5] a Lagrangian approach have been reported successful for the CMO problem. Please refer to this paper for the history of this problem, the various techniques for solving it, and at the end for the triumph of the algorithm proposed there. So the challenge is : could one create a competitive algorithm based on the above-mentioned PTP platform ? Below, we concentrate on the description of such an algorithm and answer affirmatively to this question. The counterpart of the CMO problem in the graph theory is the well known maximum common subgraph problem (MCS) [10]. The bad news for the later is its APX-hardness (see A compendium of NP optimization problems available at http://www.nada.kth.se/~viggo/problemlist/). The only difference between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>a principal matrix is a sub-matrix of a squared matrix obtained by deleting k rows and the same k columns

the above defined CMO and MCS is that the isomorphism used for the MCS is not restricted to the non-crossing matching only. Nevertheless the CMO is also known [6] to be NP-hard. Some authors [7] use the adjectives sequential, if in doing protein structure alignment the sequential order (the vertices of the graphs are ordered by a linear sequence and the bijection is order-preserving) is enforced, and non-sequential (equivalent to MCS) in the other case.

#### 2 The mathematical model

We are going to present the CMO problem as a matching problem in a bipartite graph, which in turn will be posed as a longest augmented path problem in a structured graph. Toward this end we need to introduce few notations as follows. The contacts maps of two proteins P1 and P2 are given by graphs  $G_m = (V_m, E_m)$  with  $V_m = \{1, 2, ..., n_m\}$  for m = 1, 2. The vertices  $V_m$  are better seen as ordered points on a line and correspond to the residues of the proteins. The arcs (i, j) correspond to the contacts. The right and left neighboring of node *i* are elements of the sets  $\delta_m^+(i) = \{j | j > i, (i, j) \in E_m\}$ ,  $\delta_m^-(i) = \{j | j < i, (j, i) \in E_m\}$ . Let  $i \in V_1$  be matched with  $k \in V_2$  and  $j \in V_1$  be matched with  $l \in V_2$ . We will call a matching *non-crossing*, if i < j implies k < l. A feasible alignment of two proteins  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is given by a non-crossing matching in the complete bipartite graph B with a vertex set  $V_1 \cup V_2$ .

Let the weight  $w_{ikjl}$  of the matching couple (i,k)(j,l) be set as follows

$$w_{ikjl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in E_1 \text{ and } (k,l) \in E_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1)

For a given non-crossing matching M in B we define its weight w(M) as a sum over all couples of edges in M. The CMO problem consists then in maximizing w(M), where M belongs to the set of all non-crossing matching in B.

In [1, 2, 3, 4] we have already dealt with non-crossing matching and we have proposed a network flow presentation of similar one-to-one mappings (in fact the mapping there was many-to-one). The adaptation of this approach to CMO is as follows: The edges of the bipartite graph *B* are mapped to the points of  $n_1 \times n_2$  rectangular grid B' = (V', E') according to: point -  $(i,k) \in V' \longleftrightarrow$  edge - (i,k) in *B*.

**Definition**. The **feasible path** is an arbitrary sequence  $(i_1, k_1), (i_2, k_2), \dots, (i_t, k_t)$  of points in B' such that  $i_j < i_{j+1}$  and  $k_j < k_{j+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ .

The correspondence feasible path  $\leftrightarrow$  non-crossing matching is obvious. This way the problems on non-crossing matching are converted to problems on feasible paths. We also add arcs  $(i,k) \rightarrow (j,l) \in E'$  iff  $w_{ikjl} = 1$ . In B', solving CMO corresponds to finding the densest (in terms of arcs) subgraph of B' whose node set is a feasible path (see for illustration Fig. 1).

To each node  $(i,k) \in V'$  we associate now a 0/1 variable  $x_{ik}$ , and to each arc  $(i,k) \rightarrow (j,l) \in E'$ , a 0/1 variable  $y_{ikjl}$ . Denote by *X* the set of feasible paths. The problem can now be stated as follows (see Fig. 2 a) for illustration)

$$v(CMO) = \max \sum_{(ik)(jl) \in E'} y_{ikjl}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

subject to

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{l \in \delta_{2}^{+}(k)} y_{ikjl}, \quad j \in \delta_{1}^{+}(i) \qquad \begin{array}{l} i = 1, 2, \dots, n1 - 1, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, n2 - 1 \end{array}$$
(3)



Figure 1: Left: Vertex 1 from V1 is matched with vertex 1 from V2 and 2 is matched with 3: matching couple (1,1)(2,3). Other matching couples are (3,4)(5,5). This defines a feasible matching  $M = \{(1,1)(2,3), (3,4)(5,5)\}$  with weight w(M) = 2. Right: The same matching is visualized in graph B'.

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{l \in \delta_{2}^{-}(k)} y_{jlik}, \quad j \in \delta_{1}^{-}(i) \qquad \begin{array}{l} i = 2, 3, \dots, n1, \\ k = 2, 3, \dots, n2 \end{array}$$
(4)

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{i \in \delta_{+}^{+}(i)} y_{ikjl}, \quad l \in \delta_{2}^{+}(k) \qquad \begin{array}{c} i = 1, 2, \dots, n1 - 1, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, n2 - 1 \end{array}$$
(5)

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{j \in \delta_1^-(i)} y_{jlik}, \quad l \in \delta_2^-(k) \qquad \begin{array}{l} i = 2, 3, \dots, n1, \\ k = 2, 3, \dots, n2. \end{array}$$
(6)

$$x \in X \tag{7}$$

Actually, we know how to represent X with linear constraints. Recalling the definition of feasible path, (7) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{il} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{jk} \le 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n2.$$
(8)

We recall that from the definition of the feasible paths in B' (non-crossing matching in B) the *j*-th residue from P1 could be matched with at most one residue from P2 and vice-versa. This explains the sums into right hand side of (3) and (5) – for arcs having their tails at vertex (*i*,*k*); and (4) and (6)– for arcs heading to (*i*,*k*). Any (*i*,*k*)(*j*,*l*) arc can be activated ( $y_{ikjl} = 1$ ) iff  $x_{ik} = 1$  and  $x_{jl} = 1$  and in this case the respective constraints are active because of the objective function. A tighter description of the polytop defined by (3)–(6) and  $0 \le x_{ik} \le 1$ ,  $0 \le y_{ikjl}$ could be obtained by lifting the constraints (4) and (6) as it is shown in Fig. 2 b). The points shown are just the predecessors of (i,k) in graph B' and they form a grid of  $\delta_1^-(i)$  rows and  $\delta_2^-(k)$  columns. Let  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$  be all the vertices in  $\delta_1^-(i)$  ordered according the numbering of the vertices in  $V_1$  and likewise  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_t$  in  $\delta_2^-(k)$ . Then the vertices in the *l*-th column  $(i_1, k_l), (i_2, k_l), \ldots, (i_s, k_l)$  correspond to pairwise crossing matching and at most one of them could be chosen in any feasible solution  $x \in X$  (see (6)). This "all crossing" property will stay even if we add to this set the following two sets:  $(i_1, k_1), (i_1, k_2), \ldots, (i_1, k_{l-1})$  and  $(i_s, k_{l+1}), (i_s, k_{l+2}, \ldots, (i_s, k_t)$ . Denote by  $col_{ik}(l)$ the union of these three sets and analogously by  $row_{ik}(j)$  the corresponding union for the *j*-th row of the grid. When the grid is one column/row only the set  $row_{ik}(j)/col_{ik}(l)$ is empty.

Now a tighter LP relaxation of (3)–(6) is obtained by changing (4) with

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{(r,s)\in row_{ik}(j)} y_{rsik}, \quad j \in \delta_1^-(i) \qquad \begin{array}{l} i = 2, 3, \dots, n1, \\ k = 2, 3, \dots, n2 \end{array}$$
(9)

and (6) with

$$x_{ik} \ge \sum_{(r,s)\in col_{ik}(l)} y_{rsik}, \quad l \in \delta_2^-(k) \qquad \begin{array}{l} i = 2, 3, \dots, n1, \\ k = 2, 3, \dots, n2. \end{array}$$
(10)



Figure 2: The shadowed area represents the set of vertices in V' which are tails for the arcs heading to (i,k). In a):  $\mathbf{\nabla}$  corresponds to the indices of  $y_{jlik}$  in (6) for l fixed.  $\bigcirc$  corresponds to the indices of  $y_{jlik}$  in (4) for j fixed. In b):  $\mathbf{\nabla}$  corresponds to the indices of  $y_{jlik}$  in (10) for l fixed (the set  $col_{ik}(l)$ ).  $\bigcirc$  corresponds to the indices of  $y_{jlik}$  in (9) for j fixed (the set  $row_{ik}(j)$ ).

Remark: Since we are going to apply the Lagrangian technique there is no need neither for an explicit description of the set X neither for lifting the constraints (3) (5).

#### **3** Lagrangian relaxation approach

Here, we show how the Lagrangian relaxation of constraints (9) and (10) leads to an efficiently solvable problem, yielding upper and lower bounds that are generally better than those found by the best known exact algorithm [5].

Let  $\lambda_{ikj}^h \ge 0$  (respectively  $\lambda_{ikj}^v \ge 0$ ) be a Lagrangian multiplier assigned to each constraint (9) (respectively (10)). By adding the slacks of these constraints to the objective function with weights  $\lambda$ , we obtain the Lagrangian relaxation of the CMO problem

$$LR(\lambda) = \max \sum_{\substack{i,k,j \in \delta_{1}^{-}(i) \\ + \sum_{i,k,l \in \delta_{2}^{-}(k)} \lambda_{ikl}^{\nu} (x_{ik} - \sum_{(r,s) \in row_{ik}(j)} y_{rsik}) + \sum_{(ik)(jl) \in E_{B'}} y_{ikjl}} y_{ikjl}$$
(11)

subject to  $x \in X$ , (3), (5) and  $y \ge 0$ .

**Proposition 1**  $LR(\lambda)$  can be solved in O(|V'| + |E'|) time.

Proof:

For each  $(i,k) \in V'$ , if  $x_{ik} = 1$  then the optimal choice  $y_{ikjl}$  amounts to solving the following : The heads of all arcs in E' outgoing from (i,k) form a  $|\delta^+(i)| \times |\delta^+(k)|$  table. To each point (j,l) in this table, we assign the profit max $\{0, c_{ikjl}(\lambda)\}$ , where  $c_{ikjl}(\lambda)$  is the coefficient of  $y_{ikjl}$  in (11). Each vertex in this table is a head of an arc outgoing from (i,k). Then the subproblem we need to solve consists in finding a subset of these arcs having a maximal sum  $c_{ik}(\lambda)$  of profits(the arcs of negative weight are excluded as a candidates for the optimal solution) and such that their heads lay on a feasible path. This could be done by a dynamic programming approach in  $O(|\delta^+(i)||\delta^+(k)|)$  time. Once profits  $c_{ik}(\lambda)$  have been computed for all (i,k) we can find the optimal solution to  $LR(\lambda)$  by using the same DP algorithm but this time on the table of  $n1 \times n2$  points with profits for (i,k)-th one given by

$$c_{ik}(\lambda) + \sum_{j \in \delta_1^-(i)} \lambda_{ikj}^h + \sum_{l \in \delta_2^-(k)} \lambda_{ikl}^{\nu}.$$
(12)

where the last two terms are the coefficients of  $x_{ik}$  in (11).

Remark: The inclusion  $x \in X$  is explicitly incorporated in the DP algorithm.

#### **3.1** The algorithm

In order to find the tightest upper bound on v(CMO) (or eventually to solve the problem), we need to solve in the dual space of the Lagrangian multipliers  $LD = \min_{\lambda \ge 0} LR(\lambda)$ , whereas  $LR(\lambda)$  is a problem in x, y. A number of methods have been proposed to solve Lagrangian duals: subgradient method, dual ascent methods, constraint generation method, column generation, bundel methods, augmented Lagrangian methods, etc. Here, we choose the subgradient method. It is an iterative method in which at iteration t, given the current multiplier vector  $\lambda^t$ , a step is taken along a subgradient of  $LR(\lambda)$ , then if necessary, the resulting point is projected onto the nonnegative orthant. It is well known that practical convergence of the subgradient method is unpredictable. For some problems, convergence is quick and fairly reliable, while other problems tend to produce erratic behavior of the multiplier sequence, or the Lagrangian value, or both. In a "good" case, one usually observe a saw-tooth pattern in the Lagrangian value for the first iterations, followed by a roughly monotonic improvement and asymptotic convergence to a value that is hopefully the optimal Lagrangian bound. The computational runs on a reach set of real-life instances confirm a "good" case belonging of our approach at some expense in the speed of the convergence.

In our realization, the update scheme for  $\lambda_{ikj}$  is  $\lambda_{ikj}^{t+1} = \max\{0, \lambda_{ikj}^t - \Theta^t g_{ikj}^t\}^2$ , where  $g_{ikj}^t = \frac{1}{2} - \sum \frac{1}{2} y_{lik}$  (see (9) and (10) for the sum definition) is the sub-gradient component (0, 1, or -1), calculated on the optimal solution  $\overline{x}$ ,  $\overline{y}$  of  $LR(\lambda)$ . The step size  $\Theta^t$  is  $\Theta^t = \frac{\alpha(LR(\lambda^t) - Z_{lb})}{\sum (g_{ikj}^t)^2 + \sum (g_{ikl}^t)^2}$  where  $Z_{lb}$  is a known lower bound for the CMO problem and  $\alpha$  is an input parameter. Into this approach the *x*-components of  $LR(\lambda^t)$  solution provides a feasible solution to CMO and thus a lower bound also. The best one (incumbent) so far obtained is used for fathoming the nodes whose upper bound falls below the incumbent and also in section 4 for reporting the final gap. If  $LD \leq v(CMO)$  then the problem is solved. If LD > v(CMO) holds, in order to obtain the optimal solution, one could pass to a branch&bound algorithm suitably tailored for such an upper bounds generator.

From among various possible nodes splitting rules, the one shown in Fig. 3 gives quite satisfactory results (see section 4). Formally, let the current node be a subproblem of CMO defined over the vertices of V' falling in the interval [lc(k), uc(k)] for  $k = 1, n_2$  (in Fig. 3 these are the points in-between two broken lines (the white area). Let (*rowbest*, *colbest*) be the  $\arg \max \min(S_u(i,k), S_d(i,k))$ , where  $S_d(i,k) = \sum_{j \le k} \max(uc(j) - i, 0)$  and  $S_u(i,k) = \sum_{j \ge k} \max(i - lc(j), 0)$ . Now, the two descendants of the current node are obtained by discarding from its feasible set the vertices in  $S_d(rowbest, colbest)$  and  $S_u(rowbest, colbest)$  respectively. The goal of this strategy is twofold: to create descendants that are balanced in sense of feasible set size and to reduce maximally the parent node's feasible set.



Figure 3: Illustration of the B&B splitting strategy. a) the white area in-between the two broken lines represents the current node feasible set; b) This set is split by (*rowbest*, *colbest*), D corresponds to the set  $S_d(rowbest, colbest$ ), while U corresponds to the set  $S_u(rowbest, colbest$ ); c) and d) are the two descendants of the node a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>analogously for  $\lambda_{ikl}$ 

In addition, the following heuristics happened to be very effective during the traverse of the B&B tree nodes. Once the lower and the upper bound are found at the root node, an attempt to improve the lower bound is realized as follows.

Let  $(i_{k_1}, k_1), (i_{k_2}, k_2), \dots, (i_{k_s}, k_s)$  be an arbitrary feasible path which activates certain number of arcs (recall that each iteration in the sub-gradient optimization phase generates such path and lower bound as well).

Then for a given strip size sz (an input parameter set by default to 4), the matchings in the original CMO are restricted to fall in a neighborhood of this path, allowing  $x_{ik}$  to be non zero only for

$$\max\{1, i_j - s_z\} \le i \le \min\{n_1, i_j + s_z\}, j = k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s.$$

The Lagrangian dual of this subproblem is solved and a better lower bound is possibly sought. If the bound improves the incumbent, the same procedure is repeated by changing the strip alongside the new feasible solution.

Finally, the main steps of the B&B algorithm are as follows:

<u>Initialization:</u> Set  $L=\{$ original CMO problem, i.e. no restrictions on the feasible paths $\}$ . <u>Problem selection and relaxation:</u> Select and delete the problem  $P^i$  from L having the biggest upper bound. Solve the Lagrangian dual of  $P^i$ . (Here a repetitive call to a heuristics is included after each improvement on the lower bound).

Fathoming and Pruning: Follow the classical rules.

<u>Partitioning</u>: Create two descendants of  $P^i$  using (*rowbest*, *colbest*). Add these descendants to *L*.

<u>Termination</u>: if  $L = \emptyset$ , the solution  $(x^*, y^*)$  which yielded the incumbent objective value is optimal.

#### 4 Computational results

The numerical results presented in this section were obtained on a cluster of 12 AMD Opteron(TM) CPU 2.4 GHz, 4 Gb Ram, RedHat 9 Linux, connected by a 1 Gb Ethernet network. The algorithm was implemented in C. To test its performance we used a set of large proteins suggested by Jeffrey Skolnick that was used in various recent papers related to protein structure comparison [5, 11]. This set contains 33 proteins with a total of 40 domains classified by SCOP into five families (see Table 1)<sup>3</sup>. Below we compare the performance of our approach with the previously known exact algorithm [5]. Note that both approaches use diverse (but Lagrangian type) relaxations. Our algorithm will be called a\_purva<sup>4</sup>, while the other Lagrangian algorithm will be denoted here by (LR)<sup>5</sup>. The Skolnick set requires aligning 780 pairs of proteins. Those are medium size proteins, the number of their residues varies from 95 (2b3iA) to 252 (1aw2A). The maximum number of contacts is 593 (1btmA). We bounded the execution time to 1800 seconds for both algorithms. a\_purva succeeded to solve 171 couples for the given period of time, while LR solved only 157 couples. Figure 4 illustrates LR/a\_purva time ratio as a function of solved instances. It is easily seen that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Caprara et al. [5] mention only four families. This wrong classification is also accepted in other studies [11]. The families are in fact five as shown in Table 1. According to SCOP classification the protein 1arn1 does not belong to the first family as indicated in [5]. Note that this corroborates the results obtained in [5] but the authors considered it as a mistake.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Apurva (Sanskrit) = not having existed before, unknown, wonderful, ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The code of LR, as well as the contact map graphs for the Skolnick set, were kindly provided to us by Giuseppe Lancia.

	Fold	Family	Proteins
1	Flavodoxin-like	CheY-related	1b00, 1dbw, 1nat, 1ntr,
			1qmp(A,B,C,D), 3chy, 4tmy(A,B)
2	Cupredoxin-like	Plastocyanin/	1baw, 1byo(A,B), 1kdi, 1nin, 1pla
		azurin-like	2b3i, 2pcy, 2plt
3	TIM beta/alpha-	Triosephosphate	1amk, 1aw2, 1b9b, 1btm, 1hti
	barrel	isomerase (TIM)	1tmh, 1tre, 1tri, 1ydv, 3ypi, 8tim
4	Ferritin-like	Ferritin	1b71, 1bcf, 1dps, 1hfa, 1ier, 1rcd
5	Microbial	Fungal	1m1(A,B,C)
	ribonucleases	ribonucleases	

Table 1: The Skolnick set

a\_purva is significantly faster than LR (up to several hundred times in the majority of cases). Table 2 in the Appendix contains more details concerning a subset of 164 pairs of proteins. We observed that this set is a very interesting one. It is characterized by the following properties: a) in all but the 6 last instances the a\_purva running time is, less than 10 seconds; b) in all instances the relative gap<sup>6</sup> at the root of the B&B is smaller than 4, while in all other instances this gap is much larger : greater than 18 even for the couples we succeeded to solve for less than 1800 sec: c) this set contains all instances such that both proteins belong to the same family according SCOP classification. In other words, each pair such that both proteins belong to the same family used as a discriminator (at least for the Skolnick set). In fact, by virtue of this relation (similar structure-less computational time and vice versa), we were able to correctly classify this 40 items set in 2000 seconds overall running time an all 780 instances.





Our next observation (see Figures 5 and 6) concerns the quality of gaps obtained by both algorithms on the set of unsolved instances. Remember that when a Lagrangian algorithm stops because of time limit (1800 sec. in our case) it provides two bounds:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We define the relative gap as  $100 \times \frac{UB-LB}{UB}$ .

one upper (UB), and one lower (LB). Providing these bounds is a real advantage of a B&B type algorithm compared to any meta-heuristics. These values can be used as a measure for how far is the optimization process from finding the exact optimum. The value UB-LB is usually called absolute gap. Any one of the 609 points (x, y) in Figure 5) presents the absolute gap for a\_purva (*x* coordinate) and for LR (*y* coordinate) algorithm. All points are above the y = x line (i.e. the absolute gap for a\_purva is always smaller than the absolute gap for LR). On the other hand the entire figure is very asymmetric in a profit of our algorithm since its maximal absolute gap is 33, while it is 183 for LR.

We afterwards similarly compared lower and upper bounds separately. This is illustrated in Fig. 6. Any point denoted by  $\circ$  has the lower bound computed by a\_purva(LR) as x (y) coordinate, while any point denoted by  $\times$  has the upper bound computed by a\_purva(LR) as x (y) coordinate. We observe that in a large majority the points  $\circ$  are below the y = x line while the points  $\times$  are above this line. This shows that usually the lowers bounds found by a\_purva are higher, while its upper bounds are all smaller and it is clear that a\_purva significantly outperforms LR on quality of its bounds.



Figure 5: Comparing absolute gaps on the set of unsolved instances. The gaps computed by a\_purva are significantly smaller.

#### 4.1 Conclusion

In this paper, we give efficient exact B&B algorithm for contact map overlap problem . The bounds are found by using Lagrangian relaxation and the dual problem is solved by sub-gradient approach. The efficiency of the algorithm is demonstrated on a benchmark set of 780 instances and the dominance over the existing algorithms is total. When the



Figure 6: Comparing the quality of lower and upper bounds on the set of unsolved instances. a\_purva clearly outperforms LR on the quality of its bounds.

algorithm is used for classification purposes (and this was the primary goal) the average time for correctly classifying two proteins of the same class is 0.6 seconds.

#### References

12

- R. Andonov, S. Balev, and N. Yanev. Protein threading: From mathematical models to parallel implementations. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 16(4), 2004.
- [2] S. Balev. Solving the protein threading problem by lagrangian relaxation. In Proceedings of WABI 2004: 4th Workshop on Algorithms in Bioinformatics, LNCS/LNBI. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [3] P. Veber, N. Yanev, R. Andonov, V. Poirriez. Optimal protein threading by cost-splitting *Lecture Notes in Bioninformatics*, 3692, pp.365-375, 2005
- [4] N. Yanev, P. Veber, R. Andonov and S. Balev. Lagrangian approaches to a class of matching problems *INRIA-00090635-v2*, 2006 and in *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 2007 (to appear)
- [5] A. Caprara, R. Carr, S. Israil, G. Lancia and B. Walenz, 1001 Optimal PDB Structure Alignments: Integer Programming Methods for Finding the Maximum Contact Map Overlap *Journal of Computational Biology*, 11(1), 2004, pp. 27-52
- [6] D. Goldman, C.H. Papadimitriu, and S. Istrail. Algorithmic aspects of protein structure similarity FOCS 99: Proceedings of the 40th annual symposium on foundations of computer science IEEE Computer Society, 1999

- [7] J. Xu, F. Jiao, B. Berger. A parametrized Algorithm for Protein Structer Alignment *RECOMB 2006, Lecture Notes in Bioninformatics*, 3909, pp. 488-499,2006
- [8] I. Halperin, B. Ma, H. Wolfson, et al. Principles of docking: An overview of search algorithms and a guide to scoring functions *Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet.*, 47, 409-443,2002
- [9] D. Goldman, S. Israil, C. Papadimitriu. Algorithmic aspects of protein structure similarity *IEEE Symp. Found. Comput. Sci.* 512-522,1999
- [10] M. Garey, D. Johnson. Computers and Inractability: A Guide to the Thoery of NP-completness *Freeman and company*, New york, 1979
- [11] D. Pelta, N. Krasnogor, C. Bousono-Calzon, J. L. Verdegay, J. Hirst, E. Burke. A fuzzy sets based generalization of contact maps for the overlap of protein structures *Journal of Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 152(2):103-123, 2005.

F	Proteins	CMO	Time	Time	Proteins	CMO	Time	Time
_	Name		LR	a pr	Name		LR	a pr
1	1b00A 1dbwA	149	192.00	1.2	1ntr 1qmpA	119	545.94	7.18
1	1b00A 1nat_	145	166.98	1.11	1ntr_1qmpB	115	454.01	4.23
1	1b00A 1ntr_	118	565.47	3.59	1ntr_1qmpC	116	610.93	6.56
1	1b00A 1qmpA	143	198.72	1.33	1ntr_1qmpD	118	522.53	4.44
1	1b00A 1qmpB	136	439.95	59.65	1ntr_3chy_	130	339.86	5.53
1	1b00A 1qmpC	139	263.81	1.68	1ntr_4tmyA	126	450.05	3.34
1	1b00A 1qmpD	137	181.23	1.89	1ntr_4tmyB	127	399.26	3.75
1	1b00A 3chy_	154	141.50	0.85	1qmpA 1qmpB	221	3.77	0.03
1	1b00A 4tmyA	155	143.92	0.9	1qmpA 1qmpC	232	0.35	0.02
1	1b00A 4tmyB	155	75.41	0.73	1qmpA 1qmpD	230	0.02	0.03
1	1dbwA 1nat_	157	226.42	1.51	1qmpA 3chy_	160	69.78	1.07
1	1dbwA 1ntr_	130	426.13	5.53	1qmpA 4tmyA	162	98.21	0.78
1	1dbwA 1qmpA	152	159.74	2.93	1qmpA 4tmyB	164	50.48	0.62
1	1dbwA 1qmpB	150	63.63	1.52	1qmpB 1qmpC	221	1.60	0.02
1	1dbwA 1qmpC	150	180.52	2.38	1qmpB 1qmpD	220	1.61	0.03
1	IdbwA IqmpD	152	111.28	1.78	IqmpB 3chy_	156	68.17	0.84
1	IdbwA 3chy_	164	84.22	1.19	IqmpB 4tmyA	157	51.32	0.58
1	IdbwA 4tmyA	161	/3./1	1.1	IqmpB 4tmyB	156	66.11	0.64
1	IdbwA 4tmyB	103	47.87	1.11	IqmpC IqmpD	226	3.65	0.02
1	Inat_Intr_	127	302.39	3.59	1 qmpC 3cny_	157	/5.14 55.46	1.25
1	Inat_IqmpA	157	66.03	1.04	1qmpC 4tmyA	162	55.46 79.52	1.20
1	Inat_IqmpB	149	09.00	0.99	1qmpC 4tmyB	102	78.52 50.47	0.58
1	Inat_IqmpD	152	/ 5.35	1.07	1qmpD 3cmy_	150	50.22	1.11
1	1 nat_ 2 aby	151	99.14 76.05	1.55	1qmpD 4tmyP	157	52.23	0.71
1	1 nat_ 5 cny_	105	15.59	0.80	achy AtmyA	139	54.22	0.59
1	1nat_4tmyB	173	10.06	0.28	3chy_4tmyB	171	J4.55 41.43	0.55
1	That_ +thryD	172	17.00	0.57	4tmvA 4tmvB	230	0.02	0.02
2	1bawA 1bvoA	152	11.59	0.25	1bvoB 2b3iA	135	7.21	0.27
2 2	1bawA 1byoA 1bawA 1byoB	152 155	11.59 6.11	0.25 0.18	1byoB 2b3iA 1byoB 2pcy_	135 175	7.21 2.28	0.27 0.05
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2 \end{array} $	1bawA 1byoA 1bawA 1byoB 1bawA 1kdi_	152 155 140	11.59 6.11 33.84	0.25 0.18 0.55	1byoB 2b3iA 1byoB 2pcy_ 1byoB 2plt_	135 175 174	7.21 2.28 3.90	0.27 0.05 0.06
2 2 2 2	1bawA 1byoA 1bawA 1byoB 1bawA 1kdi_ 1bawA 1nin_	152 155 140 153	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45	0.25 0.18 0.55 0.21	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_ lnin_	135 175 174 129	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53	0.27 0.05 0.06 1.13
2 2 2 2 2 2	lbawA lbyoA lbawA lbyoB lbawA lkdi_ lbawA lnin_ lbawA lpla_	152 155 140 153 124	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04	0.25 0.18 0.55 0.21 0.62	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_ 1nin_ lkdi_ 1pla_	135 175 174 129 126	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59	0.27 0.05 0.06 1.13 0.89
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	lbawA lbyoA lbawA lbyoB lbawA lkdi_ lbawA lnin_ lbawA 1pla_ lbawA 2b3iA	152 155 140 153 124 130	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57	0.25 0.18 0.55 0.21 0.62 0.38	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_ 1nin_ lkdi_ 1pla_ lkdi_ 2b3iA	135 175 174 129 126 122	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83	0.27 0.05 0.06 1.13 0.89 0.84
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA Ipla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91	0.25 0.18 0.55 0.21 0.62 0.38 0.16	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lnin_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19	0.27 0.05 0.06 1.13 0.89 0.84 0.3
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22	0.25 0.18 0.55 0.21 0.62 0.38 0.16 0.13	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_ lnin_ lkdi_ lpla_ lkdi_ 2b3iA lkdi_ 2pcy_ lkdi_ 2plt_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56	0.27 0.05 0.06 1.13 0.89 0.84 0.3 0.32
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA IbyoB	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ \end{array}$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_lpla_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ \end{array}$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_1pla_ lnin_2b3iA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5 \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1nin_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ \end{array}$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_ lpla_ lkdi_ 2b3iA lkdi_ 2pcy_ lkdi_ 2ptt_ lnin_ 1pla_ lnin_ 2b3iA lnin_ 2pcy_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1nin_ IbyoA 1pla_	$ \begin{array}{c} 152\\155\\140\\153\\124\\130\\148\\161\\192\\148\\140\\150\end{array} $	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\end{array}$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_1pla_ lnin_2b3iA lnin_2pcy_ lnin_2plt_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ \end{array}$
$   \begin{bmatrix}     2 \\$	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2b3iA	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.35\\ 0.65\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.55\\ 0.16\\ 0.55\\$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_1pla_ lnin_2b3iA lnin_2pcy_ lnin_2plt_ lpla_2b3iA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.52\\ 0$
$   \begin{bmatrix}     2 \\$	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA Ipla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2b3iA IbyoA 2pcy_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.57\\$	1byoB 2b3iA           1byoB 2pcy_           1byoB 2plt_           1kdi_1nin_           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2pcy_           1kdi_2plt_           1nin_1pla_           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2pcy_           1nin_2plt_           1pla_2b3iA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.52\\ 0$
$   \begin{bmatrix}     2 \\$	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2pcy_ IbyoA 2plt_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176 172	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\end{array}$	1byoB 2b3iA           1byoB 2pcy_           1byoB 2plt_           1kdi_1nin_           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2pcy_           1kdi_2plt_           1nin_1pla_           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2pcy_           1nin_2plt_           1pla_2b3iA           1pla_2pt_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ \end{array}$
$   \begin{bmatrix}     2 \\$	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2psiA IbyoA 2pcy_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176 172 152	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_1pla_ lnin_2b3iA lnin_2pcy_ lnin_2plt_ lpla_2b3iA lpla_2pcy_ lpla_2plt_ 2b3iA 2pcy_ ll diagonality of the second log to t	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2pla_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB Ikdi_ IbyoB Inin_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176 172 152 141	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89 21.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\end{array}$	lbyoB 2b3iA lbyoB 2pcy_ lbyoB 2plt_ lkdi_lpla_ lkdi_2b3iA lkdi_2pcy_ lkdi_2plt_ lnin_1pla_ lnin_2b3iA lnin_2pcy_ lnin_2plt_ lpla_2b3iA lpla_2pcy_ lpla_2plt_ 2b3iA 2pcy_ 2b3iA 2plt_ 2b3iA 2plt_ 2b3	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2pcy_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1nin_ IbyoB 1pla_	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176 172 152 141 148	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \end{array}$	1byoB 2b3iA           1byoB 2pcy_           1byoB 2plt_           1kdi_1nin_           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2pcy_           1kdi_2plt_           1nin_1pla_           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2pcy_           1nin_2plt_           1pla_2b3iA           1pla_2plt_           2b3iA 2pcy_           2b3iA 2plt_           2b3iA 2plt_           2pcy_2plt_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140 172	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ $	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2pcy_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1nin_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_1aw2A	152 155 140 153 124 130 148 161 192 148 140 150 132 176 172 152 141 148 411	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89 21.05 6.94	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ 0.26\\ 0.16\\ 0.26\\ 0.16\\ 0.26\\ 0.16\\ 0.26\\ $	1byoB 2b3iA           1byoB 2pcy_           1byoB 2plt_           1kdi_1nin_           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2b3iA           1kdi_2pcy_           1kdi_2plt_           1nin_1pla_           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2b3iA           1nin_2pcy_           1nin_2plt_           1pla_2b3iA           1pla_2plt_           2b3iA 2pcy_           1pla_2plt_           2b3iA 2plt_           2pcy_2plt_           1bmA 1mhA	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           139           146           122           143           144           127           140           172           432	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.81\\ \hline 2.51\\ 0.52\\ 0.17\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.17\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.17\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.17\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.17\\ 0.52\\ 0.5$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2b3iA IbawA 2pcy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB Ikdi_ IbyoB Inin_ IbyoB Ipla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1b9bA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           401	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89 21.05 6.94 1272.28 1044.23	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.22\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ 0.22\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\$	IbyoB 2b3iA1byoB 2pcy_1byoB 2plt_1kdi_1pla_1kdi_2b3iA1kdi_2pcy_1kdi_2plt_1nin_1pla_1nin_2b3iA1nin_2pcy_1nin_2plt_1pla_2b3iA1pla_2plt_2b3iA 2pcy_1pla_2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_1btmA 1tmhA1btmA 1treA	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           139           146           122           143           144           127           140           172           432           433	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 2.52\\ 0.26\\ \hline \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2pdi_ IbawA 2pt_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1nin_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1bybA Iamk_ 1btmA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89 21.05 6.94 1272.28 1044.23 1287.48	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.16\\ 0.148\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 0.4\\ 0.38\\ 0.4\\ 0.5\\ 0.16\\ 0.28\\ 0.16\\ 0.28\\ 0.16\\ 0.28\\ 0.16\\ 0.28\\ 0.16\\ 0.28\\ 0.$	IbyoB 2b3iA         IbyoB 2pcy_         IbyoB 2plt_         Ikdi_1pla_         Ikdi_2b3iA         Ikdi_2b3iA         Ikdi_2pcy_         Ikdi_2plt_         Inin_1pla_         Inin_2psiA         Inin_2pt_         Ipla_2plt_         1pla_2plt_         2b3iA 2pcy_         Ipla_2plt_         2b3iA 2plt_         2b3iA 2plt_         2b3iA 2plt_         1btmA 1tmhA         1btmA 1treA         1btmA 1treA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140 172 432 433 419	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.5\\ 0.49\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ \hline \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2p3iA IbawA 2p2y_ IbawA 2p1t_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2p3iA IbyoA 2p2t_ IbyoA 2p1t_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1bybA Iamk_ 1btmA Iamk_ 1btmA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           427           407	11.59 6.11 33.84 9.45 28.04 15.57 6.91 5.22 2.61 17.89 30.14 7.55 10.26 2.18 3.77 11.89 21.05 6.94 1272.28 1044.23 1287.48 265.16 (28.25)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.26\\ \hline \end{array}$	IbyoB 2b3iA1byoB 2pcy_1byoB 2plt_1kdi_ 1nin_1kdi_ 1pla_1kdi_ 2b3iA1kdi_ 2pcy_1kdi_ 2plt_1nin_ 1pla_1nin_ 2b3iA1nin_ 2pcy_1nin_ 2plt_1pla_ 2psiA1pla_ 2psiA1pla_ 2psiA2psiA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_1btmA 1treA1btmA 1tri_1btmA 1tri_1btmA 1ydvA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140 172 432 433 419 385	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 1.52$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ipla_ IbawA 2pla_ IbawA 2plt_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1bmA Iamk_ 1tmA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           421	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ \hline 1.52\\ \hline 1.52\\ 0.25\\ 0$	IbyoB 2b3iA1byoB 2pcy_1byoB 2plt_1kdi_ 1nin_1kdi_ 1pla_1kdi_ 2b3iA1kdi_ 2pcy_1kdi_ 2plt_1nin_ 1pla_1nin_ 2b3iA1nin_ 2pcy_1nin_ 2plt_1pla_ 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_1btmA 1treA1btmA 1tri_1btmA 1ydvA1btmA 3ypiA1btmA 2yim_	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140 172 432 433 419 385 406	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ \hline 2.43\\ \hline 2.243\\ 0.26\\ 0.52\\ 0.15\\$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ipla_ IbawA 2pla_ IbawA 2pl_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A 1amk_ 1bmA 1amk_ 1treA 1amk_ 1treA 1amk_ 1treA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           424           411           445	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ 447.54\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ 0.97\\ \hline \end{array}$	1byoB 2b3iA         1byoB 2pcy_         1byoB 2plt_         1kdi_ 1nin_         1kdi_ 1pla_         1kdi_ 2b3iA         1kdi_ 2pcy_         1kdi_ 2plt_         1nin_ 1pla_         1nin_ 2b3iA         1nin_ 2pcy_         1nin_ 2plt_         1pla_ 2plt_         2b3iA 2pcy_         1pla_ 2plt_         2b3iA 2plt_         2pcy_2plt_         1btmA 1tmhA         1btmA 1tri_         1btmA 3ypiA         1btmA 4trimA	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           136           122           145           150           130           129           139           140           172           432           433           419           385           406           416	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09 940.59 589.08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 2\\ 1.07\\ \hline \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\3$	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2pdi_ IbawA 2pdy_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 1kdi_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2pdy_ IbyoA 2pdy_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1btmA Iamk_ 1ttiA Iamk_ 1ttiA Iamk_ 1ttiA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           424           411           441           411	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ 447.54\\ 462.44\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ 0.97\\ 1.05\\ \hline \end{array}$	1byoB 2b3iA         1byoB 2pcy_         1byoB 2plt_         1kdi_ 1nin_         1kdi_ 1pla_         1kdi_ 2b3iA         1kdi_ 2pcy_         1kdi_ 2plt_         1nin_ 1pla_         1nin_ 2b3iA         1nin_ 2pcy_         1nin_ 2pt_         1pla_ 2psiA         1pla_ 2psiA         1pla_ 2pt_         2b3iA 2pcy_         2b3iA 2plt_         2pcy_2plt_         1btmA 1treA         1btmA 1tri_         1btmA 3ypiA         1btmA 8timA         1htiA 1treA	135 175 174 129 126 122 145 150 130 129 139 146 122 143 144 127 140 172 433 419 385 406 408 416 426	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09 940.59 588.98	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 1.07\\ 0.81\\ \hline \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2pla_ IbawA 2ply_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1byoB IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1bybA Iamk_ 1btmA Iamk_ 1triA Iamk_ 1ydvA Iamk_ 1ydvA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           424           411           445           384	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ 447.54\\ 462.44\\ 427.66\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ 0.97\\ 1.05\\ 0.97\\ \hline \end{array}$	1byoB 2b3iA         1byoB 2pcy_         1byoB 2plt_         1kdi_1nin_         1kdi_2b3iA         1kdi_2b3iA         1kdi_2pcy_         1kdi_2plt_         1nin_1pla_         1nin_2b3iA         1nin_2pcy_         1nin_2pt_         1pla_2b3iA         1pla_2pt_         2b3iA 2pcy_         2b3iA 2plt_         2pcy_2plt_         1btmA 1treA         1btmA 1tri_         1btmA 3ypiA         1btmA 1treA         1btmA 3trmA         1htiA 1treA         1btmA 1triA 1treA	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           139           146           122           143           144           127           140           172           432           433           419           385           406           408           416           426	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09 940.59 58.98 395.23 779 84	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 1.07\\ 0.81\\ 1.55\\ \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2pdi_ IbawA 2pdt_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2pdt_ IbyoA 2pdt_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB Ikdi_ IbyoB Ikdi_ IbyoB Ipla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A Iamk_ 1btmA Iamk_ 1ttiA Iamk_ 1ttiA Iamk_ 1ttiA Iamk_ 1ydvA Iamk_ 3ypiA Iamk_ 3timA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           424           411           445           384           412           410	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ \hline 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ 447.54\\ 462.44\\ 427.66\\ 386.73\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ 0.97\\ 1.05\\ 0.97\\ 0.94\\ \end{array}$	J1byoB 2b3iA1byoB 2pcy_1byoB 2plt_1kdi_ 1pla_1kdi_ 2b3iA1kdi_ 2pcy_1kdi_ 2plt_1nin_ 1pla_1nin_ 2b3iA1nin_ 2pcy_1pla_ 2b3iA1pla_ 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_2b3iA 2plt_1btmA 1ttrA1btmA 1ttrA1btmA 1ttrA1btmA 3ypiA1btmA 8timA1htiA 1ttrA1htiA 1ttrA1htiA 1ttrA1htiA 1ttrA1htiA 1ttrA	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           139           146           122           143           144           127           140           172           432           433           419           385           406           416           422           382	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09 940.59 588.98 395.23 779.84 405 04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 1.07\\ 0.81\\ 1.55\\ 1.09\\ \hline \end{array}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	IbawA IbyoA IbawA IbyoB IbawA Ikdi_ IbawA Inin_ IbawA 1pla_ IbawA 2pdi_ IbawA 2pdt_ IbawA 2plt_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 1pla_ IbyoA 2pcy_ IbyoA 2plt_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1kdi_ IbyoB 1pla_ Iamk_ 1aw2A 1amk_ 1bybA 1amk_ 1btmA 1amk_ 1triA 1amk_ 1reA 1amk_ 1reA 1amk_ 1ydvA 1amk_ 1ydvA 1amk_ 1gyiA 1amk_ 2ypiA 1amk_ 2ypiA 1amk_ 2ypiA 1amk_ 2ypiA	152           155           140           153           124           130           148           161           192           148           140           150           132           176           172           152           141           400           427           407           424           411           445           384           412           410           411	$\begin{array}{c} 11.59\\ 6.11\\ 33.84\\ 9.45\\ 28.04\\ 15.57\\ 6.91\\ 5.22\\ 2.61\\ 17.89\\ 30.14\\ 7.55\\ 10.26\\ 2.18\\ 3.77\\ 11.89\\ 21.05\\ 6.94\\ \hline 1272.28\\ 1044.23\\ 1287.48\\ 265.16\\ 638.26\\ 716.51\\ 447.54\\ 462.44\\ 427.66\\ 386.73\\ 961.04\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.18\\ 0.55\\ 0.21\\ 0.62\\ 0.38\\ 0.16\\ 0.13\\ 0.02\\ 0.35\\ 0.85\\ 0.16\\ 0.39\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.21\\ 0.6\\ 0.16\\ \hline 1.48\\ 2.04\\ 2.38\\ 1.4\\ 1.29\\ 1.52\\ 0.97\\ 1.05\\ 0.97\\ 0.94\\ 3.28\\ \hline 3.28\\ \hline \end{array}$	J1byoB 2b3iA1byoB 2pcy_1byoB 2plt_1kdi_ 1pla_1kdi_ 2b3iA1kdi_ 2pcy_1kdi_ 2plt_1nin_ 1pla_1nin_ 2pcy_1nin_ 2pt_1pla_ 2pt_2b3iA 2pcy_2b3iA 2plt_2pcy_ 2plt_1btmA 1tmhA1btmA 1tri_1btmA 3ypiA1btmA 8timA1htiA 1tri_1htiA 1tri_1htiA 1tri_	135           175           174           129           126           122           145           150           130           129           139           146           122           143           144           127           140           172           432           433           419           385           406           426           412           382           426           422	7.21 2.28 3.90 52.53 33.59 40.83 15.19 24.56 22.76 25.55 23.31 18.85 12.65 4.75 7.10 11.79 7.37 3.67 1801.97 1512.26 1455.08 692.72 1425.09 940.59 588.98 395.23 779.84 405.04 148.75	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 1.13\\ 0.89\\ 0.84\\ 0.3\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.69\\ 0.52\\ 0.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.35\\ 0.17\\ 0.06\\ \hline 2.81\\ 2.59\\ 3.26\\ 1.52\\ 2.43\\ 2\\ 1.07\\ 0.81\\ 1.55\\ 1.09\\ 0.56\\ \hline \end{array}$

#### APPENDIX

INRIA

3	1aw2A 1btmA	434	750.67	3.1	1htiA 8timA	463	112.65	0.52
3	1aw2A 1htiA	425	363.03	1.78	1tmhA 1treA	513	119.27	0.23
3	1aw2A 1tmhA	474	185.72	0.51	1tmhA 1tri_	413	630.57	2.19
3	1aw2A 1treA	492	157.79	0.37	1tmhA 1ydvA	384	785.56	1.5
3	1aw2A 1tri_	408	1313.53	3.51	1tmhA 3ypiA	417	766.79	2.11
3	1aw2A 1ydvA	386	650.55	1.62	1tmhA 8timA	421	516.44	1.47
3	1aw2A 3ypiA	401	895.17	2.28	1treA 1tri_	401	1169.41	2.68
3	1aw2A 8timA	423	276.06	1.76	1treA 1ydvA	389	1419.90	2.21
3	1b9bA 1btmA	441	653.29	2.08	1treA 3ypiA	407	522.65	1.34
3	1b9bA 1htiA	394	809.23	2.27	1treA 8timA	425	310.95	1.15
3	1b9bA 1tmhA	418	548.56	1.34	1tri_ 1ydvA	371	1040.31	1.92
3	1b9bA 1treA	410	613.99	1.25	1tri_ 3ypiA	412	607.52	1.75
3	1b9bA 1tri_	391	1804.98	3.32	1tri_ 8timA	412	830.38	1.45
3	1b9bA 1ydvA	362	1608.97	6.1	1ydvA 3ypiA	374	355.82	0.92
3	1b9bA 3ypiA	396	700.45	1.88	1ydvA 8timA	388	399.47	0.99
3	1b9bA 8timA	392	634.48	1.66	3ypiA 8timA	418	267.14	0.65
3	1btmA 1htiA	403	1566.88	3.51				
4	1b71A 1bcfA	211	1800.08	453.08	1bcfA 1rcd_	222	528.84	1.99
4	1b71A 1dpsA	174	1800.43	266.54	1dpsA 1fha_	180	1800.24	9.45
4	1b71A 1fha_	216	1802.46	303.02	1dpsA 1ier_	184	1800.31	8.42
4	1b71A 1ier_	214	1801.32	480.43	1dpsA 1rcd_	184	1490.02	5.7
4	1b71A 1rcd_	211	1802.48	319	1fha_ 1ier_	299	69.34	0.25
4	1bcfA 1dpsA	187	510.17	3.81	1fha_ 1rcd_	295	36.40	0.19
4	1bcfA 1fha_	218	1017.59	2.69	lier_lrcd_	297	24.03	0.15
4	1bcfA 1ier_	226	556.33	3.28				
5	1rn1A 1rn1B	191	1.23	0.03	1rn1B 1rn1C	197	0.21	0.01
5	1rn1A 1rn1C	190	1.01	0.03				
6	1qmpD 1tri_	131	1801.09	1674.98	1byoB 1rn1C	66	1800.09	686.03
6	1kdi_ 1qmpD	73	1800.15	904.75	1dbwA 1treA	145	1802.01	1703.2
6	1tmhA 4tmyB	112	1802.80	1521.23	1dbwA 1tri_	149	1800.73	1173.5
6	1dpsA 4tmyB	89	1800.39	913.24				

Table 2: Column one contains the number of the families according to table 1. The sixth class contains the hardest solved Skolnick set intstances. Column two(six) contains the names of the couples, column three(seven) is the score, column four(height) gives the time in seconds taken by LR algoritm, and column five(nine) presents the corresponding time taken by a\_purva.



Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique 615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

> Éditeur INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) http://www.inria.fr ISSN 0249-6399