



**HAL**  
open science

# Finite speed propagation of the solutions for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system

Mihai Bostan

► **To cite this version:**

Mihai Bostan. Finite speed propagation of the solutions for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. [Research Report] 2006, pp.26. inria-00120719v1

**HAL Id: inria-00120719**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00120719v1>**

Submitted on 17 Dec 2006 (v1), last revised 20 Feb 2008 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Finite speed propagation of the solutions for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system

M. Bostan \*

(December 14, 2006)

## Abstract

In this paper we investigate the continuous dependence with respect to the initial data of the solutions for the 1D and 1.5D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. More precisely we prove that these solutions propagate with finite speed. We formulate our results in the framework of mild solutions, *i.e.*, the particle densities are solutions by characteristics and the electro-magnetic fields are Lipschitz continuous functions.

**Keywords:** Vlasov-Maxwell equations, mild solutions, characteristics, finite speed propagation.

**AMS classification:** 35Q75, 78A35, 82D10.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a population of charged particles with mass  $m$  and charge  $q$  interacting

---

\*Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon, UMR CNRS 6623, Université de Franche-Comté, 16 route de Gray, 25030 Besançon Cedex France. E-mail : [mbostan@univ-fcomte.fr](mailto:mbostan@univ-fcomte.fr)

through their self-consistent electro-magnetic field. We assume that the collisions are so rare such that we can neglect them. Let us denote by  $f(t, x, p)$  the particle density, depending on the time  $t \in [0, +\infty[$ , position  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and momentum  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$  meaning that at any time  $t$  the number of particles having the position and momentum inside the phase space infinitesimal volume  $dx dp$  around  $(x, p)$  is approximately  $f(t, x, p) dx dp$ . The particle density  $f$  satisfies the Vlasov equation

$$\partial_t f + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + q(E(t, x) + v(p) \wedge B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_p f = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $v(p) = \frac{p}{m} \left(1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2}\right)^{-1/2}$  is the relativistic velocity associated to the momentum  $p$  and  $c$  is the light speed in the vacuum. Notice that  $v(p) = \nabla_p \mathcal{E}(p)$  where  $\mathcal{E}(p) = mc^2 \left( \left(1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2}\right)^{1/2} - 1 \right)$  is the relativistic kinetic energy. The Vlasov equation expresses formally the invariance of the density  $f$  along the trajectories  $(X(s), P(s))$  in the phase space

$$\frac{d}{ds} \{f(s, X(s), P(s))\} = 0,$$

where  $(X, P)$  are given by the motion equations under the action of the electro-magnetic field  $(E, B)$

$$\frac{dX}{ds} = v(P(s)), \quad \frac{dP}{ds} = q(E(s, X(s)) + v(P(s)) \wedge B(s, X(s))).$$

We introduce the charge and current densities  $\rho$  and  $j$  given by

$$\rho(t, x) = q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) dp, \quad j(t, x) = q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(p) f(t, x, p) dp.$$

The self-consistent electro-magnetic field  $(E, B)$  satisfies the Maxwell equations

$$\partial_t E - c^2 \text{rot} B = -\frac{j(t, x)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \partial_t B + \text{rot} E = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{div} E = \frac{\rho(t, x) + \rho_{\text{ext}}(x)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \text{div} B = 0. \quad (3)$$

The system (1), (2), (3) is called the tri-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell model. It plays a central role in plasma physics and the study of charged particle beam propagation.

Here  $\varepsilon_0$  stands for the dielectric permittivity of the vacuum and  $\rho_{\text{ext}}$  is the charge density of a background distribution of opposite sign particles. We prescribe initial data

$$f(0, x, p) = f_0(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (E, B)(0, x) = (E_0, B_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (4)$$

satisfying the compatibility constraints

$$\operatorname{div} E_0 = \frac{\rho_0(x) + \rho_{\text{ext}}(x)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (5)$$

and the global neutrality condition

$$q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_0(x, p) \, dp \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\text{ext}}(x) \, dx = 0. \quad (6)$$

It is easily seen, by using the continuity equation  $\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} j = 0$  and (6), that the global neutrality condition holds at any time  $t > 0$ . By taking the divergence in (2) and by using one more time the continuity equation, notice that (3) are consequences of (5).

If we neglect the magnetic field  $B = 0$  we deduce that the electric field derives from a potential satisfying a Poisson equation with a source term proportional to the charge density

$$E = -\nabla \phi, \quad -\Delta \phi = \frac{\rho(t, x) + \rho_{\text{ext}}(x)}{\varepsilon_0}. \quad (7)$$

Neglecting also the relativistic corrections in the Vlasov equation leads to

$$\partial_t f + \frac{p}{m} \cdot \nabla_x f + qE(t, x) \cdot \nabla_p f = 0. \quad (8)$$

The analysis of the Vlasov-Poisson model (8), (7) is simpler due to the elliptic regularity effect of the Poisson equation. The global existence of weak solution was proved in [1]. Global classical solutions were constructed in [2] for small initial data and in [27] for unrestricted initial data. Simpler proofs for the global existence of smooth solutions were presented in [30], [25]. The Vlasov-Poisson model can be justified as the limit of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell model when the particle velocities are small comparing to the light speed cf. [13], [29], [5]. The main global

existence result of weak solution for the tri-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell model was obtained in [14]. One of the crucial points here was the smoothing effect by velocity averaging, see also [20]. The boundary value problems were studied as well [28], [23], [3]. The global existence of classical solutions is still an open problem. For a conditional result we can refer to the Glassey-Strauss theorem [19]: the global existence of smooth solution holds provided that the particle density is compactly supported in momentum. The same problem has been investigated by other authors using different approaches [24], [7]. For results in lower dimensions we can refer to [4], [12], [16], [17], [18].

Generally the analytic resolution of the tri-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell system is impossible, except for some very particular situations. Since the numerical simulation requires important computational efforts, it is worth to take into account the particularities of the physical problem in order to derive reduced models. Recently a reduced Vlasov-Maxwell system was introduced by physicists for studying the laser-plasma interaction. In this case we distinguish a particular direction: it is the propagation direction of the laser wave. Obviously the variations of the unknowns are more important in this direction comparing to the other ones, justifying the main assumption of the reduced model: the unknowns depend on only one spatial variable  $x_1$  ( $\partial_{x_2} = \partial_{x_3} = 0$ ). By introducing the vector potential  $A = (0, A_2, A_3)$  ( $B = \text{curl}A, \text{div}A = 0$ ) it is easily seen that this simplified geometry provides additional invariants along the particle trajectories in the phase space

$$\frac{d}{ds}\{P_2(s) + qA_2(s, X_1(s))\} = \frac{d}{ds}\{P_3(s) + qA_3(s, X_1(s))\} = 0.$$

Therefore it makes sense to look for mono-kinetic densities with respect to the transverse directions  $p_2, p_3$ ,  $f(t, x, p) = f_1(t, x_1, p_1)\delta(p_2 + qA_2(t, x_1)) \otimes \delta(p_3 + qA_3(t, x_1))$ .

After some transformations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1 + \frac{p_1}{m\gamma} \partial_{x_1} f_1 + q \left( E_1(t, x_1) - \frac{q}{m\gamma} A_2(t, x_1) \partial_{x_1} A_2 - \frac{q}{m\gamma} A_3(t, x_1) \partial_{x_1} A_3 \right) \partial_{p_1} f_1 &= 0, \\ \partial_t^2 A_k - c^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 A_k &= -\frac{q(\rho_\gamma A_k)(t, x_1)}{m\varepsilon_0}, \quad \partial_t E_1 = -\frac{j_1(t, x_1)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \partial_{x_1} E_1 = \frac{\rho(t, x_1) + \rho_{\text{ext}}(x_1)}{\varepsilon_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{\rho, \rho_\gamma, j_1\} = q \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, \frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{p_1}{\gamma}\} f_1 dp_1$  and  $\gamma$  is given by

$$\gamma = \left( 1 + \frac{p_1^2}{m^2 c^2} + \frac{q^2}{m^2 c^2} (A_2(t, x_1)^2 + A_3(t, x_1)^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Despite the few number of variables (only one space variable and one momentum variable), the strong non linear coupling through the Lorentz factor  $\gamma$  makes this system difficult to study theoretically but also numerically. From the physical point of view studying the above model is of considerable importance since it captures a lot of the main features of plasma phenomena. Notice also that the solutions of this model provides new exact (measure) solutions for the tri-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell system, which is very interesting from the mathematical point of view. Further simplified models have been considered by introducing the following Lorentz factor approximations:  $\gamma \approx 1$ ,  $\gamma \approx \left( 1 + \frac{p_1^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{1/2}$ . Mathematical results on these models were obtained in [10], [6].

The fully relativistic model for laser-plasma interaction has common features with the Nordström-Vlasov system in astrophysics which describes the evolution of a population of self-gravitating collisionless relativistic particles. It is obtained by coupling the Vlasov equation with a very simplified version of the Einstein equations: the Nordström scalar gravitation equation [26]. The Cauchy problem of the tri-dimensional Nordström-Vlasov model was studied very recently, see [8] for classical solutions and [9] for weak solutions. When the light speed becomes large with respect to the particle velocities this system reduces to the gravitational Vlasov-Poisson model [11].

Other reduced models are obtained by considering asymptotic limits in the Vlasov-Poisson system as the intensity of the external magnetic field tends to infinity. Studying the effect of strong magnetic fields on plasmas is of capital importance for the numerical simulation of tokamaks. These asymptotic regimes lead to the "guiding center approximation", see [21], [22] for recent results on this topic.

Let us come back to the tri-dimensional relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. We consider physical units such that  $m = 1$ ,  $q = -1$  ( $f$  is a density of negative particles),

$\varepsilon_0 = 1, c = 1$ . Assume for the moment that  $(f, E, B)$  is a smooth solution of (1), (2). Multiplying (1) by  $\mathcal{E}(p)$  and (2) by  $(E, B)$  one gets the formula

$$\partial_t \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E|^2 + |B|^2) \right\} + \operatorname{div} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(p) \mathcal{E}(p) f dp + \operatorname{rot} E \cdot B - \operatorname{rot} B \cdot E = 0. \quad (9)$$

For any  $R > 0$  consider the set  $K_R = \{(s, x) : s \in [0, R], |x| \leq R - s\}$  and denote by  $(n_t, n_x)$  the outward unit normal on  $\partial K_R$ . As usually, integrating (9) over  $K_R(t) = \{(s, x) \in K_R : s \leq t\}$  yields for any  $t \in [0, R]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R-t}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E|^2 + |B|^2) \right\} dx + \int_{\Sigma_R(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (n_t + n_x \cdot v(p)) \mathcal{E}(p) f dp d\sigma(s, x) \\ + \int_{\Sigma_R(t)} \left\{ \frac{n_t}{2} (|E|^2 + |B|^2) + (n_x \wedge E) \cdot B \right\} d\sigma \\ = \int_{B_R} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_0 dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E_0|^2 + |B_0|^2) \right\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma_R(t) = \{(s, x) : s \in [0, t], |x| = R - s\}$  and  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq R\}$ . Taking into account that  $|n_x| = n_t$  on  $\Sigma_R(t)$  and  $|v(p)| < 1$ , we deduce the well known inequality

$$\int_{B_{R-t}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E|^2 + |B|^2) \right\} dx \leq \int_{B_R} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_0 dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E_0|^2 + |B_0|^2) \right\} dx. \quad (10)$$

In particular if  $f_0|_{B_R \times \mathbb{R}^3} = 0$ ,  $(E_0, B_0)|_{B_R} = (0, 0)$  then  $f|_{K_R \times \mathbb{R}^3} = 0$  and  $(E, B)|_{K_R} = (0, 0)$ . Motivated by this standard result we inquire about a more general property whose statement, in a simplified form, could be

**Property 1.1** *Assume that  $(f^k, E^k, B^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$  are two solutions of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell equations satisfying  $(f^1(0) - f^2(0))|_{B_R \times \mathbb{R}^3} = 0$ ,  $(E^1(0) - E^2(0), B^1(0) - B^2(0))|_{B_R} = (0, 0)$ . Then we have  $(f^1 - f^2)|_{K_R \times \mathbb{R}^3} = 0$ ,  $(E^1 - E^2, B^1 - B^2)|_{K_R} = (0, 0)$ .*

We recognize here the finite speed propagation for the solution of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. The propagation speed do not exceed the light speed, here normalized to the unity. The purpose in this paper is to establish this property

for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell equations in the one dimensional case (1D) (see Theorem 2.1) and the one and one half dimensional case (1.5D) (see Theorem 3.1). Obviously this feature inherits from the hyperbolic structure of the Maxwell equations combined with the relativistic character of the particle dynamics. Although this property seems very natural we think that it is important to perform a rigorous analysis of it. This leads to a better understanding of the transport of relativistic charged particles: we justify the existence of a dependence domain in space. For the numerical point of view this property has important consequences: it shows that the numerical approximation of these equations can be localized with respect to the space variable. Since the numerical simulation of the Vlasov-Maxwell model is very expensive it is worth getting the possibility to handle only a sub-domain of the spatial domain.

The method we propose allows the treatment of other systems as the reduced model for laser-plasma interaction and the one dimensional Nordström-Vlasov model.

An interesting question concerns the validity of this result in the general framework of the tri-dimensional relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system as suggested by (10). We expect that this holds true at least for solutions compactly supported in momentum. Probably combining our techniques with the representation formula for the electro-magnetic field obtained in [19] would provide the desired result.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall a basic existence and uniqueness result for the mild solution of the relativistic 1D Vlasov-Maxwell equations. The main tool here is the formulation by characteristics. Adapting the above method yields also a continuous dependence result with respect to the initial data. In particular we establish the finite speed propagation property. In Section 3 the same program is carried out for the relativistic 1.5D Vlasov-Maxwell equations. Basically we follow the same steps but some of them are much more difficult in this case. For example a delicate step is how to estimate the derivatives of the electro-magnetic field. This can be achieved by duality computations. One of the key point here is the relativistic character of the particle dynamics.



## 2 The 1D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell equations

In this case we obtain the system

$$\partial_t f + v(p)\partial_x f - E(t, x)\partial_p f = 0, \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_t E = j(t, x), \quad \partial_x E = \rho_{\text{ext}}(x) - \rho(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

$$f(0, x, p) = f_0(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad E(0, x) = E_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dp$ ,  $j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(p)f dp$ . We assume that the initial conditions and  $\rho_{\text{ext}}$  verify the hypotheses

H1) there is a function  $g_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  non decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^-$  and non increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $0 \leq f_0(x, p) \leq g_0(p)$ ,  $\forall (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  ;

H2)  $E_0$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $E_0' = \rho_{\text{ext}} - \rho_0$ , where  $\rho_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0 dp$  ;

H3)  $\rho_{\text{ext}} \geq 0$ ,  $\rho_{\text{ext}}$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Observe that H1 implies  $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and therefore  $E_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Under the above hypotheses there is a unique mild solution  $(f, E)$  (*i.e.*,  $E$  is Lipschitz continuous and  $f$  is solution by characteristics) for (11), (12), (13), cf. [12], [4]. We recall here some bounds for  $E$  and its derivatives which will be useful in our further computations.

Let us introduce the system of characteristics for (11)

$$\frac{dX}{ds} = v(P(s)), \quad \frac{dP}{ds} = -E(s, X(s)), \quad (14)$$

with the conditions

$$X(t) = x, \quad P(t) = p. \quad (15)$$

The solution of (14), (15) is denoted by  $(X(s; t, x, p), P(s; t, x, p))$ . Saying that  $f$  is solution by characteristics for (11) means that  $f(t, x, p) = f_0(X(0; t, x, p), P(0; t, x, p))$ ,  $\forall (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . For any test function  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  one gets by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E(t, x) - E_0(x))\varphi(x) dx &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, x, p)v(p)\varphi(x) dp dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0(X(0; s, x, p), P(0; s, x, p))v(p)\varphi(x) dp dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\det \left( \frac{\partial(X(s;t,x,p), P(s;t,x,p))}{\partial(x,p)} \right) = 1$ , for any  $(s, t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$  and thus, after change of variables along the characteristics we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E(t, x) - E_0(x)) \varphi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0(x, p) \int_0^t \frac{dX}{ds} \varphi(X(s)) ds dp dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0(x, p) \int_x^{X(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Observe that  $|X(t; 0, x, p) - x| \leq t$  and therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0 \int_x^{X(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u-x| \leq |X(t;0,x,p)-x|\}} dp dx du \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0(p) \mathbf{1}_{\{|u-x| \leq t\}} dp dx du \\ &\leq 2t \|g_0\|_{L^1} \|\varphi\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\|E(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|E_0\|_{L^\infty} + 2t \|g_0\|_{L^1} =: a(t). \quad (17)$$

For estimating  $\partial_x E$  we denote by  $g_0^R$  the function given by  $g_0^R(p) = g_0(p + R)$  if  $p < -R$ ,  $g_0^R(p) = g_0(p - R)$  if  $p > R$  and  $g_0^R(p) = g_0(0)$  if  $|p| \leq R$ . Observe that for any  $(t, x, p) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$  we have

$$|P(0; t, x, p) - p| \leq \int_0^t \|E(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \leq Ta(T) =: R,$$

and thus we deduce by using the monotonicity of  $g_0$  that  $g_0(P(0; t, x, p)) \leq g_0^R(p)$ ,  $\forall (t, x, p) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0(X(0; t, x, p), P(0; t, x, p)) dp \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0(P(0; t, x, p)) dp \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0^R(p) dp \\ &= \|g_0\|_{L^1} + 2Ta(T) \|g_0\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

implying that for any  $T > 0$  we have

$$\max\{\|\partial_x E\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}, \|\partial_t E\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}\} \leq \|\rho_{\text{ext}}\|_{L^\infty} + \|g_0\|_{L^1} + 2\|g_0\|_{L^\infty} Ta(T) =: b(T). \quad (18)$$

**Theorem 2.1** *Assume that  $(f_0^k, E_0^k)_{k \in \{1,2\}}$  satisfy the hypotheses H1-H3 and denote by  $(f^k, E^k)_{k \in \{1,2\}}$  the global mild solutions of the 1D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system corresponding to the initial conditions  $(f_0^k, E_0^k)_{k \in \{1,2\}}$ . Then for any  $R > 0$  there is a constant  $C_R$  depending on  $R, \max_{k \in \{1,2\}} b^k(R)$  such that for all  $t \in [0, R]$ ,  $|x| \leq R - t, p \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |E^1 - E^2|(t, x) + (|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|)(0; t, x, p) &\leq C_R(\|f_0^1 - f_0^2\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(X^k, P^k)$  are the characteristics associated to  $E^k, k \in \{1, 2\}$ . In particular if  $f_0^1(x, p) = f_0^2(x, p), \forall (x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $E_0^1(x) = E_0^2(x), \forall x \in [-R, R]$  for some  $R > 0$  then for any  $t \in [0, R]$  we have

$$f^1(t, x, p) = f^2(t, x, p), \forall (x, p) \in [-(R-t), R-t] \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$E^1(t, x) = E^2(t, x), \forall x \in [-(R-t), R-t].$$

**Proof.** Take  $t \in [0, R]$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^0([-(R-t), R-t])$ . By using formula (16) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E^1(t, x) - E^2(t, x)) \varphi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E_0^1(x) - E_0^2(x)) \varphi(x) dx \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0^k(x, p) \int_x^{X^k(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Observe that for any  $s \in [0, t]$  we have

$$|X^k(s; 0, x, p) - x| = \left| \int_0^s v(P^k(s; 0, x, p)) ds \right| \leq s, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

which implies that  $X^k(t; 0, x, p) \geq R - t$  for any  $(x, p) \in [R, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}, k \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $X^k(t; 0, x, p) \leq -R + t$  for any  $(x, p) \in ]-\infty, -R] \times \mathbb{R}, k \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since the function  $\varphi$  has compact support in  $[-(R-t), R-t]$ , we obtain

$$\int_x^{X^k(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du = \int_x^{X^k(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < R\}}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

and therefore formula (19) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E^1(t, x) - E^2(t, x)) \varphi(x) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (E_0^1(x) - E_0^2(x)) \varphi(x) dx \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0^1(x, p) \int_{X^2(t; 0, x, p)}^{X^1(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_0^1(x, p) - f_0^2(x, p)) \int_x^{X^2(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right| \\
&\leq (\|f_0^1 - f_0^2\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])}) \\
&\times \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \left| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0^1(x, p) h(t, x, p) dp dx \right|,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where  $h(t, x, p) = \int_{X^2(t; 0, x, p)}^{X^1(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) du$ ,  $\forall (t, x, p) \in [0, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . We consider the set

$$K(t) = \{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists \lambda(t) \in [0, 1], |\lambda(t)X^1 + (1 - \lambda(t))X^2|(t; 0, x, p) \leq R - t\}.$$

We claim that  $K(t) \subset K(s)$  or  $\mathbf{C}K(s) \subset \mathbf{C}K(t)$ , for any  $s \leq t$ . Indeed, assume that  $(x, p) \notin K(s)$  for some  $s < t$ . Therefore  $\min\{X^1(s; 0, x, p), X^2(s; 0, x, p)\} > R - s$  or  $\max\{X^1(s; 0, x, p), X^2(s; 0, x, p)\} < -(R - s)$ . In the first case we deduce that

$$X^k(t; 0, x, p) \geq X^k(s; 0, x, p) - (t - s) > R - t, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

whereas in the second case we have

$$X^k(t; 0, x, p) \leq X^k(s; 0, x, p) + (t - s) < -(R - t), \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Therefore in both cases  $(x, p) \notin K(t)$ . Notice also that for any  $(x, p) \notin K(t)$  the segment between  $X^1(t; 0, x, p)$  and  $X^2(t; 0, x, p)$  has void intersection with the support of  $\varphi$  which implies that  $h(t, x, p) = 0$ . We have proved that

$$h(t, x, p) = h(t, x, p) \mathbf{1}_{\{(x, p) \in K(t)\}}, \quad \forall (t, x, p) \in [0, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{21}$$

Thus, when estimating  $h(t, x, p)$ , it is sufficient to consider  $(x, p) \in K(t)$ . For such  $(x, p)$  denote by  $\lambda(s) \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\forall s \in [0, t]$ , a number satisfying

$$Y(s) := \lambda(s)X^1(s; 0, x, p) + (1 - \lambda(s))X^2(s; 0, x, p) \in [-(R - s), R - s].$$

By using the characteristic equations we obtain

$$\frac{d}{ds}|X^1(s) - X^2(s)| \leq |P^1(s) - P^2(s)|, \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}|P^1(s) - P^2(s)| &\leq |E^1(s, X^1(s)) - E^1(s, Y(s))| + |E^1(s, Y(s)) - E^2(s, Y(s))| \\ &\quad + |E^2(s, Y(s)) - E^2(s, X^2(s))| \\ &\leq b^1(t) |X^1(s) - Y(s)| + b^2(t) |Y(s) - X^2(s)| \\ &\quad + \|E^1(s) - E^2(s)\|_{L^\infty([-R-s, R-s])}, \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $b^k(t) = \|\rho_{\text{ext}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|g_0^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2ta^k(t)\|g_0^k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ ,  $a^k(t) = \|E_0^k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 2t\|g_0^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ . From (22), (23) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \{|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|\} &\leq (1 + b(t))(|X^1(s) - X^2(s)| + |P^1(s) - P^2(s)|) \\ &\quad + \|E^1(s) - E^2(s)\|_{L^\infty([-R-s, R-s])}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $b(t) = \max\{b^1(t), b^2(t)\}$ . By Gronwall lemma we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |X^1(t; 0, x, p) - X^2(t; 0, x, p)| &+ |P^1(t; 0, x, p) - P^2(t; 0, x, p)| \leq \exp(t(1 + b(t))) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \|E^1(s) - E^2(s)\|_{L^\infty([-R-s, R-s])} ds \\ &=: Q(t), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and therefore, by using (21) one gets as before

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0^1 h \, dp \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,p) \in K(t)\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|u - X^1(t; 0, x, p)| \leq Q(t)\}} \, dp \, dx \, du \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^1(t, X^1, P^1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|u - X^1| \leq Q(t)\}} \, dP^1 \, dX^1 \, du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^1(t, X^1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|u - X^1| \leq Q(t)\}} \, dX^1 \, du \\ &\leq 2b(t)Q(t)\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Finally combining (20), (26) yields for any  $t \in [0, R]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^1(t) - E^2(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t, R-t])} &\leq \|f_0^1 - f_0^2\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} \\ &\quad + C_1 \int_0^t \|E^1(s) - E^2(s)\|_{L^\infty([-R-s, R-s])} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1(R) = 2b(R) \exp((1 + b(R))R)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^1(t) - E^2(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t, R-t])} &\leq (\|f_0^1 - f_0^2\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])}) \\ &\times C_2(R), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $C_2(R) = \exp(R C_1(R))$ . Observe that for any  $(x, p) \in [-(R-t), R-t] \times \mathbb{R}$  we have  $|X^k(s; t, x, p)| \leq |x| + t - s \leq R - s$ ,  $s \in [0, t]$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ . Therefore we can prove, by performing a similar decomposition as in (23), that

$$\begin{aligned} (|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|)(0; t, x, p) &\leq \exp(t(1 + b(t))) \int_0^t \|(E^1 - E^2)(s)\|_{L^\infty([-R-s, R-s])} ds \\ &\leq \exp(R(1 + b(R))) R C_2(R) (\|f_0^1 - f_0^2\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} + \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])}), \end{aligned}$$

and the first statement of our theorem holds with  $C_R = C_2(R)(1 + R \exp(R(1 + b(R))))$ . The second statement follows immediately by taking into account that for any  $t \in [0, R]$ ,  $|x| \leq R - t$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $|X^k(0; t, x, p)| \leq R$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  and therefore

$$f^1(t, x, p) = f_0^1((X^1, P^1)(0; t, x, p)) = f_0^2((X^2, P^2)(0; t, x, p)) = f^2(t, x, p).$$

□

### 3 The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system in one and one half dimension

We assume that the electron density  $f$  depends on the time  $t \geq 0$ , one space coordinate  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and two momentum coordinates  $p = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We suppose also that the electro-magnetic field is of the form  $\mathbf{E} = (E_1(t, x), E_2(t, x), 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B(t, x))$  for any  $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}$ . In this case we obtain the equations

$$\partial_t f + v_1(p) \partial_x f - (E_1(t, x) + v_2(p) B(t, x)) \partial_{p_1} f - (E_2(t, x) - v_1(p) B(t, x)) \partial_{p_2} f = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\partial_t E_1 = j_1(t, x), \quad \partial_x E_1 = \rho_{\text{ext}} - \rho(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_t E_2 + \partial_x B = j_2(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in ]0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (30)$$

$$\partial_t B + \partial_x E_2 = 0, \quad (t, x) \in ]0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (31)$$

where  $\rho_{\text{ext}} \geq 0$  is the charge density of the background ion population and  $\rho, j$  are the charge and current densities of the electrons

$$\rho(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, p) dp, \quad j(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(p) f(t, x, p) dp, \quad (t, x) \in [0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We supplement the above equations with the initial conditions

$$f(0, x, p) = f_0(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (E_1, E_2, B)(0, x) = (E_{0,1}, E_{0,2}, B_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (32)$$

We assume that the initial conditions and  $\rho_{\text{ext}}$  satisfy the hypotheses H3 and

H4) there is a function  $g_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; u^2 du) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  non increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f_0(x, p) \leq g_0(|p|)$ ,  $\forall (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  ;

H5)  $(E_{0,1}, E_{0,2}, B_0) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})^3$  ;

H6)  $E'_{0,1} = \rho_{\text{ext}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 dp$ ,  $(E'_{0,2}, B'_0) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})^2$ .

Notice that H4 implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) f_0(\cdot, p) dp \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . In particular we have  $E'_{0,1} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Under the hypotheses H3-H6, by using the method of [6] we prove the existence of a unique mild solution  $(f, E, B)$  for the 1.5D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, satisfying  $(1 + |p|)f \in L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R}; L^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$ ,  $(E, B) \in W^{1,\infty}(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})^3$ ,  $\forall T > 0$ . The system (28), (29), (30), (31), (32) was studied in [16], [15]. Let us recall here the main steps for estimating the electro-magnetic field and its derivatives. We denote by  $(X(s; t, x, p), P(s; t, x, p))$  the characteristics of (28) given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{ds} &= v_1(P(s; t, x, p)), \\ \frac{dP_1}{ds} &= -(E_1(s, X(s; t, x, p)) + v_2(P(s; t, x, p))B(s, X(s; t, x, p))), \\ \frac{dP_2}{ds} &= -(E_2(s, X(s; t, x, p)) - v_1(P(s; t, x, p))B(s, X(s; t, x, p))), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the conditions  $X(t; t, x, p) = x$ ,  $P(t; t, x, p) = p$ . Solving (29), (30), (31) with respect to  $E_1, E_2, B$  yields

$$E_1(t, x) = E_{0,1}(x) + J_1(t, x), \quad (33)$$

$$E_2(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}(E_{0,2} + B_0)(x - t) + \frac{1}{2}(E_{0,2} - B_0)(x + t) + \frac{1}{2}J_2^+(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}J_2^-(t, x), \quad (34)$$

$$B(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}(E_{0,2} + B_0)(x - t) - \frac{1}{2}(E_{0,2} - B_0)(x + t) + \frac{1}{2}J_2^+(t, x) - \frac{1}{2}J_2^-(t, x), \quad (35)$$

where  $J_1(t, x) = \int_0^t j_1(s, x) ds$ ,  $J_2^\pm(t, x) = \int_0^t j_2(s, x \mp (t - s)) ds$ . Multiplying (33) by a test function  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , integrating with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and changing the variables along the characteristics yields, as in the 1D case (see (17)), the bound

$$\|E_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|E_{0,1}\|_{L^\infty} + 2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_0(|p|) dp =: a_1(t). \quad (36)$$

For estimating  $(E_2, B)$  we follow the ideas in [16]. Multiplying (28) by  $(1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , the first equation of (29) by  $E_1$ , (30) by  $E_2$ , (31) by  $B$  and integrating with respect to  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  implies

$$\partial_t \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} f dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E|^2 + B^2) \right\} + \partial_x \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_1(p) (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} f dp + E_2 B \right\} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Integrating (37) on  $\{(s, y) : s \in [0, t], |x - y| \leq t - s\}$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + (-1)^k v_1(p)) f(s, x + (-1)^k(t - s), p) dp ds \\ & \leq \int_{x-t}^{x+t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_0(y, p) dp dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} (|E_0(y)|^2 + B_0(y)^2) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $(1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |v_1(p)|) \geq |v_2(p)|$  we obtain

$$|J_2^+(t, x)| + |J_2^-(t, x)| \leq 2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_0(|p|) dp + t (\|E_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|B_0\|_{L^\infty}^2),$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|E_2(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|B(t)\|_{L^\infty}\} & \leq \|E_{0,2}\|_{L^\infty} + \|B_0\|_{L^\infty} + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_0(|p|) dp \\ & + \frac{t}{2} (\|E_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|B_0\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\ & = : a_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$



The charge density can be estimated as in the 1D case by using H4. Indeed, by the characteristic equations we deduce that  $||P(0; t, x, p)| - |p|| \leq ta(t)$ , where  $a(t) = (a_1(t)^2 + a_2(t)^2)^{1/2}$  and therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(X(0; t, x, p), P(0; t, x, p)) dp \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_0(|P(0; t, x, p)|) dp \\ &\leq \pi(ta(t))^2 \|g_0\|_{L^\infty} + 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} g_0(u)(u + ta(t)) du. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

By the second equation in (29) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x E_1\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})} &\leq \max\{\|\rho_{\text{ext}}\|_{L^\infty}, \pi(Ta(T))^2 \|g_0\|_{L^\infty} + 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} g_0(u)(u + Ta(T)) du\} \\ &=: b_1(T). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In a similar way we can estimate  $k(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |p| f(t, x, p) dp$ . We obtain

$$k(t, x) \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} (ta(t))^3 \|g_0\|_{L^\infty} + 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} (u + ta(t))^2 g_0(u) du =: d(t).$$

We estimate now the  $x$  derivatives of  $J_2^\pm$ . For any test function  $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_2^\pm(t, x) \varphi'(x) dx &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(p) \varphi'(x \pm (t-s)) f(s, x, p) dp dx ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x, p) \int_0^t G^\pm(P(s)) \frac{d}{ds} \varphi(X(s) \pm (t-s)) ds dp dx, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where  $G^\pm(p) = \frac{p_2(-p_1 \mp (1+|p|^2)^{1/2})}{1+p_2^2}$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . By direct computation we check that

$$\max\{|G^\pm(p)|, |\nabla_p G^\pm(p)|, |\nabla_p^2 G^\pm(p)|\} \leq C(1 + |p|), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Integrating by parts with respect to  $s$  in (41) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_2^\pm(t, x) \varphi'(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 G^\pm(P(t)) \varphi(X(t)) dp dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 G^\pm(p) \varphi(x \pm t) dp dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x, p) \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \{G^\pm(P(s))\} \varphi(X(s) \pm (t-s)) ds dp dx \\ &= T_1^\pm - T_2^\pm - T_3^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The terms  $T_1^\pm$  can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_1^\pm| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, X(t), P(t)) G^\pm(P(t)) \varphi(X(t)) dp dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, p) G^\pm(p) \varphi(x) dp dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, p) (1 + |p|) |\varphi(x)| dp dx \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) f(t, \cdot, p) dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} (b_1(t) + d(t)).
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$|T_2^\pm| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} (b_1(0) + d(0)). \tag{44}$$

In order to estimate  $T_3^\pm$  notice that for any  $s \in [0, t]$  we have

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \{G^\pm(P(s))\} \right| \leq \left| \frac{dP_1}{ds} \right| + \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2(1 + |P(s)|) \right) \left| \frac{dP_2}{ds} \right| \leq (a_1(t) + 6a_2(t))(1 + |P(s)|),$$

and therefore one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_3^\pm| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 \int_0^t (a_1(t) + 6a_2(t))(1 + |P(s)|) |\varphi(X(s) \pm (t - s))| ds dp dx \\
&= (a_1(t) + 6a_2(t)) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(s, x, p) (1 + |p|) |\varphi(x \pm (t - s))| dp dx ds \\
&= (a_1(t) + 6a_2(t)) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x \pm (t - s))| (\rho(s, x) + k(s, x)) dx ds \\
&\leq (a_1(t) + 6a_2(t)) t \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} (b_1(t) + d(t)).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Collecting the inequalities (43), (44), (45) yields

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_2^\pm(t, x) \varphi'(x) dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} (b_1(t) + d(t)) (2 + t(a_1(t) + 6a_2(t))),$$

saying that  $\|\partial_x J_2^\pm(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq (b_1(t) + d(t))(2 + t(a_1(t) + 6a_2(t)))$ . Finally we deduce by (34), (35)

$$\begin{aligned}
\max\{\|\partial_x E_2\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})} , \|\partial_x B\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})}\} &\leq \|E'_{0,2}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|B'_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad + (b_1(T) + d(T))(2 + T(a_1(T) + 6a_2(T))) =: b_2(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Take  $0 \leq t \leq R$  and let us introduce

$$\tilde{K}(t) = \{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \exists \lambda(t) \in [0, 1], |\lambda(t)X^1 + (1 - \lambda(t))X^2|(t; 0, x, p) \leq R - t\},$$

where  $(X^k, P^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$  are the characteristics associated to some smooth electro-magnetic fields  $(E^k, B^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$ . We intend to establish a continuous dependence result with respect to the electro-magnetic field for characteristics starting from  $\tilde{K}(t)$  at  $s = 0$ . As before we have  $\tilde{K}(t) \subset \tilde{K}(s)$  for all  $s \in [0, t]$  and thus for any  $(s, x, p) \in [0, t] \times \tilde{K}(t)$ , the segment between  $X^1(s; 0, x, p), X^2(s; 0, x, p)$  has non void intersection with  $[-(R - s), R - s]$

$$\forall s \in [0, t], \exists \lambda(s) \in [0, 1], Y(s) := (\lambda(s)X^1 + (1 - \lambda(s))X^2)(s; 0, x, p) \in [-(R - s), R - s].$$

Using the characteristic equations yields

$$\frac{d}{ds}|X^1 - X^2| \leq 2|P^1(s) - P^2(s)|, \forall s \in [0, t],$$

$$\frac{d}{ds}|P^1 - P^2| \leq 2D^R(s) + C(s)\{|X^1(s) - X^2(s)| + |P^1(s) - P^2(s)|\}, \forall s \in [0, t],$$

where  $D^R(s) = \|E^1(s) - E^2(s)\|_{L^\infty(]-(R-s), R-s])} + \|B^1(s) - B^2(s)\|_{L^\infty(]-(R-s), R-s])}$  and  $C(s) = 2 \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} \|\partial_x E^k(s)\|_{L^\infty} + 2 \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} \|\partial_x B^k(s)\|_{L^\infty} + 4 \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} \|B^k(s)\|_{L^\infty}$ .

By Gronwall lemma we deduce that for any  $s \in [0, t]$

$$|X^1(s) - X^2(s)| + |P^1(s) - P^2(s)| \leq 2 \exp(t(2 + \max_{s \in [0, t]} C(s))) \int_0^t D^R(s) ds. \quad (47)$$

**Theorem 3.1** *Assume that  $(f_0^k, E_0^k, B_0^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$  satisfy the hypotheses H3-H6 and denote by  $(f^k, E^k, B^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$  the global mild solutions of the 1.5D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system corresponding to the initial conditions  $(f_0^k, E_0^k, B_0^k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}$ . Then for any  $R > 0$  there is a constant  $C_R$  depending on  $R, \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} \{\|E_0^k\|_{W^{1, \infty}} + \|B_0^k\|_{W^{1, \infty}}\}, \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} \{\|g_0^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; u^2 du)} + \|g_0^k\|_{L^\infty}\}$  such that for all  $t \in [0, R]$  we have*

$$\max_{|x| \leq R-t, p \in \mathbb{R}^2} (|E^1 - E^2| + |B^1 - B^2|)(t, x) + (|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|)(0; t, x, p) \leq C_R D_0^R,$$

where  $(X^k, P^k)$  are the characteristics associated to  $(E^k, B^k)$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  and

$$D_0^R = \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^1 - f_0^2| dp dx + \|E_0^1 - E_0^2\|_{L^\infty(]-R, R])} + \|B_0^1 - B_0^2\|_{L^\infty(]-R, R])}.$$

In particular if  $f_0^1(x, p) = f_0^2(x, p)$ ,  $\forall (x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(E_0^1, B_0^1)(x) = (E_0^2, B_0^2)(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in [-R, R]$  for some  $R > 0$  then for any  $t \in [0, R]$  we have

$$f^1(t, x, p) = f^2(t, x, p), \quad (x, p) \in [-(R-t), R-t] \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$(E^1, B^1)(t, x) = (E^2, B^2)(t, x), \quad x \in [-(R-t), R-t].$$

**Proof.** From now on the notation  $C_R$  stands for any constant as in the statement of the above theorem. By the previous computations we know that

$$\max\{\|E^k\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})}, \|B^k\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})}\} \leq a^k(T), \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

$$\max\{\|\partial_x E^k\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})}, \|\partial_x B^k\|_{L^\infty(]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R})}\} \leq b^k(T), \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

where  $a^k = ((a_1^k)^2 + (a_2^k)^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $b^k = ((b_1^k)^2 + (b_2^k)^2)^{1/2}$ , the coefficients  $a_1^k(T)$ ,  $a_2^k(T)$ ,  $b_1^k(T)$ ,  $b_2^k(T)$  being defined as in (36), (38), (40), (46). Take  $t \in [0, R]$  and  $\varphi \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  with compact support in  $[-(R-t), R-t]$ .

### Estimate of $E_1^2 - E_1^1$

Multiplying (33) by  $\varphi$  and integrating with respect to  $x$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) (E_1^2 - E_1^1) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) (E_{0,1}^2 - E_{0,1}^1) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (f_0^1 - f_0^2) \int_x^{X^2(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1 \int_{X^1(t;0,x,p)}^{X^2(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Notice that if  $x \leq -R$  then  $X^k(t;0,x,p) \leq -R+t$  and if  $x \geq R$  then  $X^k(t;0,x,p) \geq R-t$ . Taking into account that  $\text{supp} \varphi \subset [-(R-t), R-t]$  we can restrict the integrations with respect to  $x$  in the right hand side of (48) to  $[-R, R]$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi (E_1^2 - E_1^1) dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} (\|E_{0,1}^2 - E_{0,1}^1\|_{L^\infty(]-R, R])} + \|f_0^2 - f_0^1\|_{L^1(]-R, R[ \times \mathbb{R}^2)} + |T_4|, \quad (49)$$

where  $T_4 = \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1(x, p) \int_{X^1(t;0,x,p)}^{X^2(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx$ . Observe that if  $(x, p) \notin \tilde{K}(t)$  then  $\int_{X^1(t;0,x,p)}^{X^2(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du = 0$  and if  $(x, p) \in \tilde{K}(t)$  then we have by (47)

$$(|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|)(t; 0, x, p) \leq 2 \exp(t(2 + 4a(t) + 4b(t))) \int_0^t D^R(s) ds =: \tilde{Q}(t), \quad (50)$$

where  $a(t) = \max_{k \in \{1,2\}} a^k(t)$ ,  $b(t) = \max_{k \in \{1,2\}} b^k(t)$ . Therefore we can estimate  $T_4$  as in the one dimensional case

$$\begin{aligned} |T_4| &= \left| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1(x, p) \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,p) \in \tilde{K}(t)\}} \int_{X^1(t;0,x,p)}^{X^2(t;0,x,p)} \varphi(u) du dp dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1(x, p) \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,p) \in \tilde{K}(t)\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|u - X^1(t;0,x,p)| \leq \tilde{Q}(t)\}} dp dx du \\ &\leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t D^R(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We deduce from (49), (51) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_1^2 - E_1^1\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t])} &\leq \|E_{0,1}^2 - E_{0,1}^1\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} + \|f_0^2 - f_0^1\|_{L^1([-R, R] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + C_R \int_0^t D^R(s) ds, \quad s \in [0, t]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Estimate of  $(E_2^2 - E_2^1, B^2 - B^1)$

By (34), (35) it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|E_2^2(t) - E_2^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t)}, \|B^2(t) - B^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t)}\} \\ &\leq \|E_{0,2}^2(t) - E_{0,2}^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} + \|B_0^2(t) - B_0^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|J_2^{+,2}(t) - J_2^{+,1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t)} + \frac{1}{2} \|J_2^{-,2}(t) - J_2^{-,1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

and therefore we need to estimate  $\|J_2^{\pm,2}(t) - J_2^{\pm,1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t], R-t)}$ . Multiplying by

$\varphi$  and integrating with respect to  $x$  yields as in (41)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (J_2^{\pm,2} - J_2^{\pm,1}) \varphi \, dx \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^k \int_0^t v_2(P^k(s)) \varphi(X^k(s) \pm (t-s)) \, ds \, dp \, dx \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^k \int_0^t G^{\pm}(P^k(s)) \frac{d}{ds} \int_{X^k(t)}^{X^k(s) \pm (t-s)} \varphi(u) \, du \, ds \, dp \, dx \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^k G^{\pm}(p) \int_{x \pm t}^{X^k(t)} \varphi(u) \, du \, dp \, dx \right| \\
&+ \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^k \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \{G^{\pm}(P^k(s))\} \int_{X^k(t)}^{X^k(s) \pm (t-s)} \varphi(u) \, du \, ds \, dp \, dx \right| \\
&= |T_5| + |T_6|. \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that for any  $s \in [0, t]$ ,  $|x| > R$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  the segment between  $X^k(t)$  and  $X^k(s) \pm (t-s)$  has void intersection with the support of  $\varphi$  and thus the integrations with respect to  $x$  in the terms  $T_5, T_6$  can be restricted over  $[-R, R]$ . Performing similar computations as those in (26) and taking into account that  $|G^{\pm}(p)| \leq 1 + |p|$  and  $|p| \leq |P^1(t; 0, x, p)| + ta(t)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_5| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| \, dp \, dx + \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) f_0^1 \left| \int_{X^1(t; 0, x, p)}^{X^2(t; 0, x, p)} \varphi(u) \, du \right| \, dp \, dx \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| \, dp \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^1(t, X^1, P^1) \\
&\quad \times (1 + ta(t) + |P^1(t; 0, x, p)|) \mathbf{1}_{\{|u - X^1(t; 0, x, p)| \leq \tilde{Q}(t)\}} \, dp \, dx \, du \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| \, dp \, dx + C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t D^R(s) \, ds. \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

For analyzing the term  $T_6$  we need some notations. For any  $(x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  we define

$$s^k(t, x, p) = \sup\{s \in [0, t] : |X^k(s; 0, x, p)| \leq R - s\}.$$

Observing that  $s \rightarrow |X^k(s; 0, x, p)| - (R - s)$  is strictly increasing we deduce that  $|X^k(s; 0, x, p)| \leq R - s$ ,  $s \in [0, s^k(t, x, p)]$  and  $|X^k(s; 0, x, p)| > R - s$ ,  $s \in [s^k(t, x, p), t]$ . In particular for any  $(x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$  we have  $(x, p) \in \tilde{K}(s(t, x, p))$ , where  $s(t, x, p) = \max_{k \in \{1, 2\}} s^k(t, x, p)$ . Moreover for any  $(x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $s \in$

$]s(t, x, p), t]$  the segment between  $X^k(t), X^k(s) \pm (t - s)$  has void intersection with the support of  $\varphi$  and thus the integration with respect to  $s$  in the term  $T_6$  can be restricted to  $[0, s(t, x, p)]$ . We introduce the functions  $H^\pm : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$H^\pm(p, e, b) = -(e_1 + v_2(p)b) \frac{\partial G^\pm}{\partial p_1}(p) - (e_2 - v_1(p)b) \frac{\partial G^\pm}{\partial p_2}(p), \quad (p, e, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

By direct computation we check that there is a continuous function  $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\max\{|H^\pm(p, e, b)|, |\nabla_{(p,e,b)} H^\pm|\} \leq (1 + |p|)h(e, b), \quad (p, e, b) \in \mathbb{R}^5. \quad (56)$$

Actually the functions  $H^\pm$  are the derivatives of  $G^\pm$  along the characteristics

$$\frac{d}{ds} G^\pm(P^k(s)) = H^\pm(P^k(s), E^k(s, X^k(s)), B^k(s, X^k(s))) =: H^{\pm,k}(s), \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

By using (56) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k \frac{d}{ds} \{G^\pm(P^k(s))\} \int_{X^k(t)}^{X^k(s) \pm (t-s)} \varphi(u) du \right| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^k H^{\pm,k}(s) \right| \\ &+ C_R(1 + |P^1(s)|) \left| \int_{X^1(t)}^{X^2(t)} \varphi(u) du \right| \\ &+ C_R(1 + |P^1(s)|) \left| \int_{X^1(s) \pm (t-s)}^{X^2(s) \pm (t-s)} \varphi(u) du \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Notice also that for any  $(x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $s \in [0, s(t, x, p)]$  we have  $(x, p) \in \tilde{K}(s(t, x, p)) \subset \tilde{K}(s)$  and thus there is  $\lambda(s) \in [0, 1]$  such that  $Z(s) = \lambda(s)X^1(s) + (1 - \lambda(s))X^2(s) \in [-(R - s), R - s]$ . Using now (56), (50) one gets by intercalating  $H^\pm(P^k(s), E^k(s, Z(s)), B^k(s, Z(s)))$

$$\begin{aligned} |H^{\pm,2} - H^{\pm,1}|(s) &\leq C_R(1 + |P^1(s)| + |P^2(s)|)(|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2| + D^R(s)) \\ &\leq C_R(1 + |P^1(s)| + |P^2(s)|) \left( D^R(s) + \int_0^s D^R(\tau) d\tau \right). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Combining (57), (58) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_6| &\leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |P^2(s)|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| dp dx ds \\
&+ C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1 \int_0^{s(t,x,p)} (1 + |P^1(s)| + |P^2(s)|) \left( D^R(s) + \int_0^s D^R d\tau \right) ds dp dx \\
&+ C_R \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1 \int_0^{s(t,x,p)} (1 + |P^1(s)|) \left| \int_{X^1(t)}^{X^2(t)} \varphi(u) du \right| ds dp dx \\
&+ C_R \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0^1 \int_0^{s(t,x,p)} (1 + |P^1(s)|) \left| \int_{X^1(s) \pm (t-s)}^{X^2(s) \pm (t-s)} \varphi(u) du \right| ds dp dx \\
&= |T_7| + |T_8| + |T_9| + |T_{10}|. \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $1 + |P^2(s; 0, x, p)| \leq C_R(1 + |p|)$  we deduce that

$$|T_7| \leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| dp dx. \tag{60}$$

Since  $1 + |P^1(s; 0, x, p)| + |P^2(s; 0, x, p)| \leq C_R(1 + |p|)$  one gets easily that

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_8| &\leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) f_0^1(x, p) \left( D^R(s) + \int_0^s D^R(\tau) d\tau \right) dp dx ds \\
&\leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t \left( D^R(s) + \int_0^s D^R(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) g_0^1(|p|) dp dx \\
&\leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t D^R(s) ds. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

The analysis of  $T_9, T_{10}$  are similar to those of  $T_4$  in (51). Notice that we can apply (50) on  $[0, s(t, x, p)]$  for any  $(x, p) \in [-R, R] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . We obtain

$$|T_9| + |T_{10}| \leq C_R \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \int_0^t D^R(s) ds. \tag{62}$$

Finally we deduce from (54), (55), (59), (60), (61), (62)

$$\|J_2^{\pm,2}(t) - J_2^{\pm,1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t, R-t])} \leq C_R \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| dp dx + C_R \int_0^t D^R ds,$$

and therefore (53) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\{\|E_2^2(t) - E_2^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t, R-t])}, \|B^2(t) - B^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R-t, R-t])}\} \\
&\leq \|E_{0,2}^2(t) - E_{0,2}^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} + \|B_0^2(t) - B_0^1(t)\|_{L^\infty([-R, R])} \\
&+ C_R \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |p|) |f_0^2 - f_0^1| dp dx + C_R \int_0^t D^R(s) ds. \tag{63}
\end{aligned}$$



Combining (52), (63) yields

$$D^R(t) \leq C_R D_0^R + C_R \int_0^t D^R(s) ds,$$

which implies by Gronwall lemma that for any  $t \in [0, R]$  we have  $D^R(t) \leq C_R D_0^R$ . Finally observe that for any  $(x, p) \in [-(R-t), R-t] \times \mathbb{R}^2$  we have  $|X^k(s; t, x, p)| \leq R - s, \forall s \in [0, t]$  and therefore we obtain as in the proof of (47)

$$(|X^1 - X^2| + |P^1 - P^2|)(0; t, x, p) \leq C_R \int_0^t D^R(s) ds \leq C_R D_0^R.$$

□

## References

- [1] A. Arseneev, Global existence of a weak solution of the Vlasov system of equations, U.R.S.S. Comp. Math. Phys. 15(1975) 131-143.
- [2] C. Bardos, P. Degond, Global existence for the Vlasov-Poisson equations in three space variables with small initial data, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire 3(1985) 101-118.
- [3] M. Bostan, Boundary value problem for the three dimensional time periodic Vlasov-Maxwell system, J. Comm. Math. Sci. 3(2005) 621-663.
- [4] M. Bostan, Existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for the 1D Vlasov-Poisson initial-boundary value problem, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37(2005) 156-188.
- [5] M. Bostan, Asymptotic behavior of weak solutions for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell equations with large light speed, J. Differential Equations 227(2006) 444-498.
- [6] M. Bostan, Mild solutions for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system for laser-plasma interaction, to appear in Quart. Appl. Math.
- [7] F. Bouchut, F. Golse, C. Pallard, Classical solutions and the Glassey-Strauss theorem for the 3D Vlasov-Maxwell system, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 170(2003) 1-15.
- [8] S. Calogero, G. Rein, On classical solutions of the Nordström-Vlasov system, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28(2003) 1863-1885.
- [9] S. Calogero, G. Rein, Global weak solutions to the Nordström-Vlasov system, J. Differential Equations 204(2004) 323-338.
- [10] J.A. Carrillo, S. Labrunie, Global solutions for the one-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell system for laser-plasma interaction, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 16(2006) 19-57.

- [11] S. Calogero, H. Lee, The nonrelativistic limit of the Nordström-Vlasov system, *Comm. Math. Sci.* 2(2004) 19-34.
- [12] J. Cooper, A. Klimas, Boundary-value problem for the Vlasov-Maxwell equation in one dimension, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 75(1980) 306-329.
- [13] P. Degond, Local existence of solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations and convergence to the Vlasov-Poisson equations for infinite light velocity, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 8(1986) 533-558.
- [14] R. J. Diperna, P.-L. Lions, Global weak solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* XVII(1989) 729-757.
- [15] F. Filbet, Y. Guo, C.-W. Shu, Analysis of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell model in an interval, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 63(2005) 691-714.
- [16] R. Glassey, J. Schaeffer, On the 'one and one-half dimensional' relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 13(1990) 169-179.
- [17] R. Glassey, J. Schaeffer, The two and one-half dimensional relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 185(1997) 257-284.
- [18] R. Glassey, J. Schaeffer, The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system in two space dimensions, Part I and II, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 141(1998) 331-354 and 355-374.
- [19] R. Glassey, W. Strauss, Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could only occur at high velocities, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 92(1986) 56-90.
- [20] F. Golse, P.-L. Lions, B. Perthame, R. Sentis, Regularity of the moments of the solution of a transport equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 88(1988) 110-125.
- [21] F. Golse, L. Saint-Raymond, The Vlasov-Poisson system with strong magnetic field, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 78(1999) 791-817.
- [22] F. Golse, L. Saint-Raymond, The Vlasov-Poisson system with strong magnetic field in quasineutral regime, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 13(2003) 661-714.
- [23] Y. Guo, Global weak solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell system with boundary conditions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 154(1993) 245-263.
- [24] S. Klainerman, G. Staffilani, A new approach to study the Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 1(2002) 103-125.
- [25] P.-L. Lions, B. Perthame, Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system, *Invent. Math.* 105(1991) 415-430.
- [26] G. Nordström, Zur theorie der gravitation vom standpunkt des relativitätsprinzips, *Ann. Phys. Lpz.* 42(1913) 533.

- [27] K. Pfaffelmoser, Global classical solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system in three dimensions for general initial data, *J. Differential Equations* 95(1992) 281-303.
- [28] F. Poupaud, Boundary value problems for the stationary Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Forum Math.* 4(1992) 499-527.
- [29] J. Schaeffer, The classical limit of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 104(1986) 403-421.
- [30] J. Schaeffer, Global existence of smooth solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson system in three dimensions, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 16(1991) 1313-1335.