

Errors of spectral estimators in ARMA processes

M. Takeuchi, H. Sakai

▶ To cite this version:

 ${\rm M.}$ Takeuchi, H. Sakai. Errors of spectral estimators in ARMA processes. RR-0538, INRIA. 1986. inria-00076016

HAL Id: inria-00076016 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00076016

Submitted on 24 May 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



CENTRE DE ROCQUENCOURT

Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique

Don aine de Voluceau. Récquencourt & BP105 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex

Tel:(1)39635511

Rapports de Recherche

Nº 538

ERRORS OF SPECTRAL ESTIMATORS IN ARMA PROCESSES

Makoto TAKEUCHI Hideaki SAKAI

Juin 1986

ERRORS OF SPECTRAL ESTIMATORS IN ARMA PROCESSES

Makoto TAKEUCHI*

Hideaki SAKAI

6-7.7 Chome Suganodai Suma-ku

KOBE 654, JAPON

RESUME

Notre étude porte sur le problème suivant : Evaluer l'erreur qui apparaît sur un modèle ARMA lorsque la densité spectrale du processus est approximée par une méthode estimative.

Nous estimons l'erreur introduite quand nous évaluons une erreur de covariance pour des estimations spectrales ; de plus nous la comparons avec deux autres méthodes apparues dans la littérature.

SUMMARY

Our purpose is the study of the following problem: to evaluate the error aWppearing when a spectrum density (SPD) of an autoregressive moving average process (ARMA) is approximated by an estimative method.

Finally, we estimate the error introduced when evaluating a covariance error (CVE) for spectral estimators (SPE); in addition we compare it with two other methods appearing in the literature.

By using the periodogram for ARMA processes, we simplify the calculation of the SPE. It's clear that we don't need to care about the errors of the AR when evaluating the errors of spectral estimations.

^{*} This report was written during the first author's sejourn at INRIA as a visiting professor, October 1985 - March 1986.



CHRORS OF EPRUTAM, ESTIMATIONS IN ARMA PROCESSES

1 - INTRODUCTION

In AR processes, spectral analysis has been realized by theoretical establishment and development of computer algorithms [1-3].

TANAR INESELF

Random time series have been fitted to ARMA processes, and auto and cross spectra have been estimated by several methods. Recently, complex evaluations and incomplete theories have been gradually solved in ARMA processes. Akaike proposed a canonical correlation method by fitting random time series to multi-ARMA processes [4]. The calculation procedures based on the mximum likelihood method need an excessive computation time. Several authors points out that the SEE form ARMA processes might be computed by using only AR parameters, i.e. and sometimentum and Takeyand propses in the followithment and Takeyand propses [5] is method for continuing spectra which only uses AR parameters in ARMA processes [5] is method for continuing spectra

ab numre entwe apopped the dequipe of the party of the party of the party of a state model of the party of the the party of the party of the the party of the par

:UMMARY

In this paper, we will analize errors of the SPE matrix $S(\omega)$ in a state corresponded therefore deadworth with ARMAD processes is copequatione, since the escreve smultitude researches more involved by the same people of the the same methods. A SPD matrix was total conservational second of the special copequation of the well known that SPE are unbiased estimators, but the construction of its well known that SPE are unbiased estimators, but the construction of its well and the special copequation of the second of the special copequation of the method with errors of our method with errors of the method based on high order AR approximation for ARMA and with the errors of the method based on high order AR approximation for ARMA

By using the periodogram for ARMA processes, we simplify sebsecontarion of the SPE. It's clear that we don't need to care about the errors of the AR when evaluating the errors of spectral estimations.

2 - THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF ERRORS

* "his report was written during the first author's sejourn at INRIA as a

Previously, we have adeduced MSPECKIN ARMATOPROCESSES on Ingathis section we discuss about errors of these SPE. The periodogram is introduced in order to simplify evaluations.



2 - 1. The estimations of SPD in ARMA models

In this section, we will recall same facts about SPD of ARMA processes. Let $\boldsymbol{y}_{_{\boldsymbol{S}}}$ be time series, we write them :

(2.1)
$$y_s = y_1 + y_2 + ... + y_n$$

The SPD in time series y_s have been deduced as follows [5], [7],

(2.2)
$$S(\omega) = Q(\omega) + Q(-\omega) - R_0$$

with

(2.3)
$$R_{\ell} \equiv E[y_{s} \cdot y_{s+\ell}]$$

and

and
$$Q(\omega) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \alpha_{l} R_{n-l-k}}{\sum_{l=0}^{n} \alpha_{l} e^{j\omega(n-l)}}$$

where α_{ℓ} is an AR coefficient and α_{0} = 1 and j = $\sqrt{-1}$ (See Appendix A1).

We can write SPE as follows :

(2.5)
$$\hat{S}(\omega) = \hat{Q}(\omega) + \hat{Q}(-\omega) - \hat{R}_0$$

where

(2.6)
$$\hat{Q}(\omega) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{l=0}^{M-k} \hat{\alpha}_{l} \hat{R}_{M-l-k} e^{j\omega k}}{\sum_{l=0}^{M} \hat{\alpha}_{l} e^{j\omega(M-l)}}$$

with

$$\hat{R}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{N} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N - \ell \\ \Sigma \\ i = 1 \end{array} \quad y_{i} \quad y_{i+\ell}$$

and satisfies

$$\hat{R}_{\ell} = \hat{R}_{-\ell}$$

where N is the sample size.

 $\hat{\alpha}_{\ell}$, $\hat{Q}(w)$ and \hat{R}_{ℓ} are estimators. We try to deduced mathematical error of SPE in this work.

2 - 2. Introducing the periodogram

We study the approximate properties of SPE. Even if we change the definition of SPD and auto-covariance like this section, influences may be neglected.

We deduce several important equations in this section as follows. SPD $f(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is :

(step 1)
$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \{Q(\omega) + Q(-\omega) - R_o\}$$

The error ($\Delta \alpha$) of estimators $\hat{\alpha}$ is :

(step 2)
$$\mathbf{F}\Delta\alpha = -\Delta\mathbf{f} - \Delta\mathbf{F}\alpha = -(\mathbf{R}'\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{R}'\hat{\mathbf{R}})\alpha$$

and the error (ΔQ) of $\stackrel{\frown}{Q}(\omega)$ is :

(step 3)
$$\Delta Q(\omega) \simeq H^{\bullet}(\omega) \Delta \alpha + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(s,\omega) I_{N}(s)ds - Q(\omega)$$

where $I_{N}(s)$ is the periodogram.

The error $(\Delta f(\omega))$ of SPE $f(\omega)$ is written

(step 4)
$$\Delta f(\omega) \simeq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) I_{N}(s) ds - f(\omega)$$
.

2.2.1. Step 1: the definition of $f(\omega)$

We define the following equation for SDP $f(\omega)$.

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R_i e^{-ji\omega}$$

(2.8) where R_i is a scalar and

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \{Q(\omega) + Q(-\omega) - R_0\}$$

where $Q(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ are

(2.9)
$$Q(\omega) = \frac{1}{B(\omega)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \alpha_k R_i e^{j\omega(n-k-i)}$$

and

(2.10)
$$B(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i e^{j\omega(n-i)}.$$

2.2.2. Step 2: the error of estimators â

Assume that true orders n of ARMA processes are known. We define following equations by using auto-covariances $\{R_g\}$ and AR coefficients $\{\alpha_i\}$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}, \ldots, \alpha_1)$$

$$r_{\ell} = (R_{\ell}, R_{\ell+1}, \ldots, R_{L})$$

(2.11)
$$R = (r_0, r_1, ..., r_{n-1})$$

F = R'R

$$f = R'r_n$$

where n is the true order.

When we substitute \hat{R}_{ℓ} for R_{ℓ} , we obtain estimators like $\hat{\alpha}$, \hat{r}_{ℓ} and \hat{r} . These errors of estimators are $\Delta\alpha = \hat{\alpha} - \alpha$, $\Delta R = \hat{R} - R$ and $\Delta F = \hat{F} - F$. If N is large enough, then these errors are sufficiently small by the asymptotic theory of statistics. Therefore terms over second order can be neglected. We obtain the following equation for the error of $\hat{\alpha}$

(2.12)
$$\mathbf{F}\Delta\alpha \simeq -\Delta\mathbf{f} - (\Delta\mathbf{F})\alpha$$
$$\simeq -(\mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\mathbf{\hat{r}} + \mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\mathbf{\hat{R}})\alpha$$

where ' means transpose.

2.2.3. Step 3: the error of
$$\hat{Q}(\omega)$$

Let's introduce the periodogram $I_N(s)$. $I_N(s)$ is defined as follows :

(2.13)
$$I_N(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N y_i e^{-jis} \right|^2 \quad (|s| \le \pi)$$

In other word, \hat{R}_k is defined as

(2.14)
$$\hat{R}_{k} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} I_{N}(s) e^{jks} ds.$$

The ith element of eq. (2.12) is given by

(2.15)
$$(\mathbf{F}\Delta\alpha)_{\mathbf{i}} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{s}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} R_{\ell-2+\mathbf{i}} \sum_{t=0}^{\kappa} \alpha_{t} e^{\mathbf{j}(\ell+n-1-t)\mathbf{s}} d\mathbf{s}.$$

After expansion $\Delta Q(\omega)=\hat{Q}(\omega)-Q(\omega)$ by Taylor expansion, we will chose only the first term. Then we get

$$\Delta Q(\omega) = \frac{1}{B(\omega)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} (\Delta \alpha_k R_i + \alpha_k \hat{R}_i - \alpha_k R_i) e^{j\omega(n-k-i)}$$

$$-\frac{Q(\omega)}{B(\omega)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Delta \alpha_i e^{j\omega(n-i)} \qquad (\Delta \alpha_0 = 0)$$

 $\Delta Q(\omega)$ becomes, by eq. (2.14):

(2.17)
$$\Delta Q(\omega) \simeq H'(\omega) \Delta \alpha + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(s,\omega) I_{N}(s) ds - Q(\omega)$$

where $H^{\bullet}(\omega) = (H_n(\omega), H_{n-1}(\omega), \ldots, H_1(\omega)).$

And then H_k and $T(s,\omega)$ are

(2.18)
$$H_{k} = \frac{1}{B(\omega)} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} R_{i} e^{j\omega(n-k-1)} (1 - \delta_{n,k}) - Q(\omega) e^{j\omega(n-k)} \right\}$$

$$T(s,\omega) = \frac{1}{B(\omega)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{jks} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \alpha_i e^{j\omega(n-k-i)}$$

(2.19)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& n-1 \\
\Delta & \Sigma & e^{jks} J_k(\omega) \\
&= k=0
\end{array}$$

where
$$J_k(\omega) = \frac{1}{B(\omega)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \alpha_i e^{j\omega(n-k-1)}$$
.

2.2.4. Step 4: the error of
$$\hat{f}(\omega)$$

We try to represent for the first term of eq. (2.17) by the periodogram. $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\omega)$ is given by $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{r}}(\omega) = (M_1(\omega), M_2(\omega), ..., M_n(\omega))$. A new vector $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{r}}(\omega)$ is defined. We can deduce the following equation from eq. (2.15),

(2.20) H'(ω) Δα = H'(ω) FΔα = -
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} I_N(s) K(s, ω) ds$$

where

(2.21)
$$K(s,\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{k}(\omega) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} R_{\ell-2+k} \sum_{t=0}^{n} \alpha_{t} e^{j(\ell+n-1-t)s}.$$

The error $\Delta f(\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega) - f(\omega)$ of SPE which we are looking for is

$$\Delta f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \{ \Delta Q(\omega) + \Delta Q(-\omega) - \hat{R}_o + R_o \}$$

$$(2.22)$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) I_N(s) ds - f(\omega)$$

and we can represent as follows

(2.23)
$$2\pi G(s,\omega) = -K(s,\omega) + T(s,\omega) + [-K(s,\omega) + T(s,\omega)]-1.$$

2 - 3. The covariance of error (CVE)

We intend to deduce the CVE of SPE. We can say as

$$E[I_N(s)] \simeq f(\omega)$$

and also

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) f(s)ds = f(\omega).$$

The CVE of SPE can be deduced at any angular frequencies of ω and η as follows :

$$E[\Delta f(\omega) \Delta f(\eta)] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) G(t,\eta) \operatorname{cov} [I_{N}(s), I_{N}(t)] dsdt$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} -\pi$$

$$+ \{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) E[I_{N}(s)] ds - f(\omega) \} \{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t,\eta) E[I_{N}(t)] dt - f(\eta) \}$$

where the second terms of this equation can be neglected because they are of order N $^{-2}$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) G(t,\eta) cov [I_{N}(s), I_{N}(t)] dsdt$$

(See Appendix A2).

According to the general theory as to the periodogram, covariance is given by

$$cov[I_N(s), I_N(t)] = 2\pi N^{-1} f(s) f(t) [\delta(s+t) + \delta(t-s)]$$

$$(2.25)$$

$$+ N^{-1} f(s) f(t) K_* \sigma^{-4}.$$

In this equation, $\delta(\cdot)$ is Dirac's delta function and K, is the fourth cumulants of innovation of ARMA processes and σ^2 means variance. We can deduce the following equation by eqs. (2.24) and (2.25):

(2.26)
$$NE\left[\frac{\Delta f(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \cdot \frac{\Delta f(\eta)}{f(\eta)}\right] = k_* \sigma^{-4} + \frac{2\pi}{f(\omega)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[G(s,\omega) G(s,\eta) + G(s,\omega) G(-s,\eta)\right] f^2(s) ds.$$

Using eqs. (2.9), (2.19), (2.21) and (2.23), we obtain the following equation:

(2.27)
$$G(s,\omega) = \sum_{k=1,-n}^{L+n} g_k(\omega) e^{jk\omega} \qquad (g_{-k}(\omega) = g_k(-\omega))$$

where $g_{k}(\omega)$ is

$$g_{0}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \{J_{0}(\omega) + J_{0}(-\omega) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{k}(\omega) R_{k-1} \alpha_{n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{k}(-\omega) R_{k-1} \alpha_{n}^{-1} \},$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \{J_{k}(\omega) - \sum_{t=0}^{k} \alpha_{n-t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i-1+k-t} M_{i}(\omega) \}; \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1$$

$$g_{k}(\omega) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{t=0}^{n} \alpha_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i+t-1+k-n} M_{i}(\omega); \quad n \leq k \leq L$$

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi} \sum_{t=0}^{L+n-k} \alpha_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i+t-1+k-n} M_{i}(\omega); \quad L+1 \leq k \leq L+n.$$

When we substitute eq. (2.26) for eqs. (2.8) and (2.27), we obtain

$$NE\left[\frac{\Delta f(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \cdot \frac{\Delta f(\eta)}{f(\eta)}\right] = K_{\omega} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (f(\omega) f(\eta))^{-1} \underbrace{\sum_{k=-L-n}^{L+n} \sum_{k'=-L-n}^{L+n} g_{k}(\omega)}_{k=-L-n}$$

$$g_{k'}(\eta) \underbrace{\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{i} \left[R_{k+k'-i}^{-1} + R_{k-k'-i}^{-1}\right]}_{k=-L-n}$$

This is a final result to evaluate the CVE of SPE.

3 - NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

3 - 1. Formulas of CVE

We deduce the CVE of SPE at chapter 2. This CVE is compared with other two CVE in SPE. One of them is based on a method to solve first n simultaneous equations for having AR. The other one consists of the use of high order approximations of AR processes as acceptable values for ARMA processes.

We will evaluate the CVE of SPE found in chapter 2 and then compare this CVE with other two CVE. First, we show the method to solove simultaneous equations by the Yule-Walker equation. The CVE of SPE is given by

$$NE\left[\frac{\Delta f(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \cdot \frac{\Delta f(\eta)}{f(\eta)}\right] \simeq K_{+} \sigma^{-4} + f(\omega)f(\eta)^{-1} \sum_{k=-2n+1}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma$$
(3.1)

$$g_{k}(\omega)g_{k'}(\eta)R_{i}(R_{k+k'-i} + R_{k-k'-i})$$
 [8]

Second, when we estimate SPE by high order AR approximations for ARMA processes, we want to show:

$$NE\left[\frac{\Delta f(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \cdot \frac{\Delta f(\eta)}{f(\eta)}\right] = K_{+} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}} + 2 + \sigma^{2} L'(\omega) R^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\eta)L(\eta)$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{where } L'(\omega) = (L_{1}(\omega), L_{2}(\omega), \dots, L_{n}(\omega))$$

$$\text{and } L_{k}(\omega) = 2R_{e} \left[\frac{e^{-jk(\omega)}}{1+c_{1}e^{-j\omega} + \dots + c_{m}e^{-jm\omega}}\right] [9].$$

It is difficult to find general properties of our equation in CVE. In other methods we also find very complex equations as above. Since we can not find statistical properties from CVE equations, a numerical example is carried out.

3 - 2. The evaluation of CVE

Let's evaluate CVE at $\omega = \eta$ angular frequencies on the example of an ARMA '(2.1) model. An ARMA model is

$$y_{t}$$
 - 0.25 y_{t-1} + 0.1 y_{t-2} = u_{t} + 0.1 u_{t-1}

where u_t is white noise.

In this case, CVE are computed by different sample time series of 300 sets the length of each one being 1500, and the average of the CVE of 300 sets sample time series is obtained. The results of computations are shown in Figure 1.

If the order of ARMA processes is sufficiently high, then it is evident that

(3.3)
$$NE\left[\frac{\Delta f(s)}{f(s)} \cdot \frac{\Delta f(t)}{f(t)}\right] = \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & & s \neq t \\ 2m(1+\delta_{0,s} + \delta_{\pi,s}) & & s = t \end{array}\right. [10].$$

CVE is rather large at s=0 and $s=\pi$ in all methods. The result of eq. (2.29) is similar to the result of eq. (3.1). It is evident that both results of eqs. (2.29) and (3.1) are smaller than the result of eq. (3.2). When we compare L=40 with L=10 and L=20 in eq. (2.29), we obtain almost the same results. From this fact, we may choose rather freely as to the value of L. In this example, CVE is stable in all frequencies.

3 - 3. The conclusion of numerical examples

- 1° It is difficult to find general properties in eqs. (2.29), (3.1) and (3.2).
- 2° Results of eqs. (2.29) and (3.1) are almost the same, and both are smaller than results of eq. (3.2).
- 3° We can choose freely the value of L in eq. (2.29) (L = 10, 20, 40).
- 4° CVE in SPE is rather large near $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = \pi$.




Figure 1 : VARIOUS CVE OF SPE

4 - CONCLUSION

This paper presents two results. First, the mathematical equation of the CVE in SPE for ARMA processes has been obtained. Second, the equation has been compared with other two CVEs in the SPE on our example. By means of the periodogram technique, one avoids using $\Delta\alpha$ for the calculation of the CVE in our theory.

This is a remarkable fact in the CVE of SPE for ARMA processes. From the result of our example, the result of the evaluation in eq. (2.29) is similar to the result of eq. (3.11). In the near future, we will study errors of SPE in multi-ARMA processes.

APPENDIX 1

OBTAINING A STATE SPACE MODEL AND Q(w)

We consider an ARMA (m, m-1) process for the time series y_s . An ARMA process is given by

$$y_{s} + \alpha_{1} y_{s-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{m} y_{s-m} = u_{s} + \beta_{1} u_{s-1} + \cdots + \beta_{m-1} u_{s-m+1}$$
and then it follows the state space model:
$$x_{s+1} = Ax_{s} + Bu_{s+1}$$

$$y_{s} = C'x_{s}$$

where x_s is an n × 1 vector and the input vector u_s is an r × 1 stationary white noise. In eq. (A1-1), the noises u_s^1 , u_s^2 , ..., u_s^r are mutually independent. The characteristic equation is written:

(A1-2)
$$\det [\lambda I - A] = \lambda^n + \alpha_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n = 0$$

where I is the unit matrix and the roots are λ_1 , λ_2 , ..., λ_n . We assume that the roots of eq. (A1-1) lie within the unit circle. When absolute values of all these roots are smaller than 1, the eq. (A1-1) of a system is asymptotically stable. Eliminating x_g in eq. (A1-1), the following equation holds:

$$(A1-3) y_{s+n} + \alpha_1 y_{s+n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n y_s = Y_0' u_{s+n} + Y_1' u_{s+n-1} + \dots + Y_{n-1}' u_{s+1}$$

where the Y' is an $r \times 1$ vector, defined as follows:

$$\gamma_0' = C'B$$

$$\gamma_1' = C'(A + \alpha_1 I)B$$
(A1-4)
$$\vdots$$

$$\gamma_{n-1}' = C'(A^{n-1} + \alpha_1 A^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} I)B.$$

In eq. (A1-1), the relations between u_s and x_{s-l} ($l=0,1,2,\ldots$) are uncorrelated. From this fact, the autocovariance R_l of y_s is given by

(A1-5)
$$R_{\ell} \equiv E[y_s \ y_{s+\ell}] = C' A^{\ell} E[x_t \ x_t'] C$$

Then we obtain the following Yule-Walker equation

(A1-6)
$$R_{n+\ell} + \alpha_1 R_{N+\ell-1} + \dots + \alpha_n R_{\ell} = 0, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

When we multiply the Yule-Walker equations by $e^{-j\omega\ell}$ and sum them from $\ell=0$ to ∞ , we obtain

(A1-7)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k e^{j\omega(n-k)} \{Q(\omega) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k-1} R_{\ell} e^{-j\omega\ell}\} = 0.$$

From this equation, we will obtain the formula for $Q(\omega)$.

APPENDIX 2

ON THE SECOND TERM OF EQ. (2.24)

From eq. (2.14), it follows that

(A2-1)
$$E[I_N(s)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} (1 - \frac{|k|}{N}) R_k e^{-jks} ds.$$

If the equation

(A2-2)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} R_k e^{-jks} ds = f(\omega)$$

is satisfied, then we can prove for N enough large, that the order of the second terms of eq. (2.24) becomes N^{-2} and thus these terms can be neglected.

From eq. (2.21), the following equation can be derived:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(s,\omega) \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} R_k e^{-jks} ds$$

(A2-3)
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{L+1} M_{i}(\omega) R_{k-2+i} \sum_{i'=0}^{n} \alpha_{i'} \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} R_{k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-j(\ell+n-1-i'-k)s} ds$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{L+1} M_{i}(\omega) R_{\ell-2+i} \sum_{i'=0}^{n} \alpha_{i'} R_{\ell+n-1-i'}.$$

By the Yule-Walker equation (A1-6) $\sum_{i'=0}^{n} \alpha_{i'} R_{\ell+n-1-i'}$ is equal to zero, and hence the result finally becomes equal to zero.

We can obtain the equation

$$(A2-4) \qquad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(s,\omega) \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} R_k e^{-jks} ds = Q(\omega)$$

by eqs. (2.10) and (2.19), and finally eq. (A2-2) is obtained.

When $N \rightarrow \infty$, eq. (A.2.2) can be written

(A2-5)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(s,\omega) f(s)ds = f(\omega).$$

AKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors wish to express sincere thanks to C. KLIMANN, F. DELEBECQUE (INRIA-Rocquencourt), Y. HANATANI (Caen University) and H. TOKUMARU (Kyoto University, Japan) for their constant encouragement and useful advices. And also authors are indebted to H. UEMURA (Matsushita Electric Company Ltd., Japan) for his kind assistance in our numerical example.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.B. Burg, "Maximum entropy power spectral analysis", presented at the 37th Annu. Int. SEG. Meeting, Oklahoma City, Oct. 31. 1967.
- [2] H. Akaike, "Power spectrum estimation through autoregressive model fitting", Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., Vol. 21, pp. 407-419, 1969.
- [3] M. Pagano, "Estimation of models of autoregressive signal plus white noise", Ann. Statist., Vol. 2, n° 1, pp. 99-108, 1974.
- [4] H. Akaike, "Canonical correlation analysis of time series and the use of an information criterion", in System Identification: Advances and Case Studies, D.G. Lainiotis and R.K. Mehra, Eds. New York. Academic 1976.
- [5] H. Tokumaru and K. Takeyasu, "A new method for estimating the power spectral density functions of stationary ARMA processes", Trans. Soc. Instrum. Contr. Eng., Vol. 13, n° 2, pp. 148-153, 1977.

- [6] J.F. Kinkel, J. Perl, L.L. Scharf and A.R. Stubberud, "A note on covariance-invariant digital filter design and autoregressive moving average spectrum analysis", IEEE Trans. Acous., Speech, Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-27, pp. 200-202, Apr. 1979.
- [7] M. Takeuchi, T. Uemura and H. Sakai, "On the estimation of spectral density by multiple ARMA model", Trans. IECE, Vol. J67-D, n° 3, pp. 343-350, 1984.
- [8] H. Sakai and H. Tokumaru, "Statistical Analysis of a Spectral Estimator for ARMA processes", IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., Vol. AC-25, nº 1, pp. 122-124, 1980.
- [9] H. Sakai, T. Soeda and H. Tokumaru, "On the relation between fitting autoregression and periodgram with applications", Ann. Statist., Vol. 7, pp. 96-97, Jan. 1979.
- [10] H. Sakai, "Statistical Properties of AR Spectral Analysis", IEEE Trans. Acous., Speech, Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-27, nº 4, pp. 402-409, Aug. 1979.

Imprimé en France

par l'Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique

