



**HAL**  
open science

# A modification of a low-order Reissner-Mindlin plate bending element

L.P. Franca, R. Stenberg

► **To cite this version:**

L.P. Franca, R. Stenberg. A modification of a low-order Reissner-Mindlin plate bending element. RR-1084, INRIA. 1989. inria-00075475

**HAL Id: inria-00075475**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00075475>**

Submitted on 24 May 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# INRIA

UNITE DE RECHERCHE  
INRIA-ROCOUENCOURT

Institut National  
de Recherche  
en Informatique  
et en Automatique

Domaine de Voluceau  
Rocquencourt  
BP 105  
78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
France  
Tel (1) 39 63 5511

## Rapports de Recherche

N° 1084

*Programme 7  
Calcul Scientifique,  
Logiciels Numériques et Ingénierie Assistée*

### A MODIFICATION OF A LOW-ORDER REISSNER-MINDLIN PLATE BENDING ELEMENT

**Leopoldo P. FRANCA  
Rolf STENBERG**

Septembre 1989



2154

# **A MODIFICATION OF A LOW-ORDER REISSNER-MINDLIN PLATE BENDING ELEMENT**

**Leopoldo P. FRANCA(\*)**  
Laboratorio Nacional de Computação Científica  
22290 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil  
and

**Rolf STENBERG(\*\*)**  
Helsinki University of Technology  
02150 Espoo, Finland

## **Abstract**

We propose a modification of a recent plate bending element by Arnold and Falk [1]. The optimal convergence rate of the original method is preserved, but the modification is considerably easier to implement.

# **UNE MODIFICATION D'UN ELEMENT DE PLAQUE DE BAS-DEGRE POUR LE MODELE DE REISSNER-MINDLIN**

## **Résumé**

On propose une modification d'un élément de plaque récent dû à Arnold et Falk [1]. L'ordre de convergence optimal de la méthode originale est préservé, mais la modification rend l'implémentation beaucoup plus simple.

(\*) Professeur invité (Projet MODULEF) du 1 juin au 30 septembre 1989

(\*\*) Professeur invité (Projet MODULEF) du 1 septembre 1987 au 1 mars 1989.

## 1. Introduction

During the last decade there has been a continuous search for simple "locking free" plate bending elements based on the Reissner-Mindlin theory. A great number of methods have been proposed and many of these have been shown to perform rather well in practical computations, cf. e.g. [2, 12] and the references therein.

However, relatively few methods have allowed a rigorous mathematical stability and error analysis [1,3,4,13,14,15], a fact which suggests that the task of finding a good Reissner-Mindlin element is a non trivial problem. From a practical side, this conclusion is supported by the fact that so many methods have been, and are being, proposed.

To our knowledge the simplest method proposed, for which the optimal order of convergence has rigorously been proved, is a recent method by Arnold and Falk [1].

The purpose of this note is to point out a modification of Arnold and Falk's element, which is considerably simpler to implement. Furthermore, for the modification it is possible to prove error estimates which are identical to those of the original method.

In the next section we recall some theoretical results on the Reissner-Mindlin model and the method by Arnold and Falk. In section 3 we give our modification, discuss its advantage, and give the error analysis.

Our notation is standard (cf. [7]) and consistent, though not completely equivalent, with that of [1].

## 2. The Reissner-Mindlin model and the Arnold-Falk method

Let  $\Omega$  be the region occupied by the plate, the thickness of which is denoted by  $t$ . Denote by  $w$  and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  the transverse deflection of  $\Omega$ , and the rotation of the normals to  $\Omega$ , respectively. Assuming a clamped boundary, the model is: Find  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$  such that

$$a(\phi, \psi) + \lambda t^{-2} (\phi - \mathbf{grad} w, \psi - \mathbf{grad} v) = (g, v), \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \psi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $g$  is the (appropriately scaled, cf. [4]) load and

$$\lambda = \frac{E\kappa}{2(1+\nu)}$$

is the shear modulus multiplied with the shear correction factor  $\kappa$ . As usual,  $E$  and  $\nu$  denote Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively. The bilinear form  $a$  is defined through

$$a(\phi, \psi) = \frac{E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu) \varepsilon(\phi) : \varepsilon(\psi) + \nu \operatorname{div} \phi \operatorname{div} \psi],$$

where  $\varepsilon$  the linear strain operator.

We recall that Korn's inequality implies

$$a(\phi, \phi) \geq C \|\phi\|_1^2, \quad \phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2,$$

for  $-1 < \nu \leq 1/2$ .

Above and below  $C, C_1, C_2, \dots$  denote positive constants independent of  $t, g$  and the mesh parameter  $h$ .

Introducing the shear

$$\mathbf{q} = \lambda t^{-2} (\operatorname{grad} w - \phi)$$

as an independent variable, (2.1) can equivalently be written as: Find  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$  and  $\mathbf{q} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\phi, \psi) - (\mathbf{q}, \psi) &= 0, & \psi &\in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2, \\ (\mathbf{q}, \operatorname{grad} v) &= (g, v), & v &\in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \lambda^{-1} t^2 (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s}) + (\phi - \operatorname{grad} w, \mathbf{s}) &= 0, & \mathbf{s} &\in [L^2(\Omega)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For the analysis the following Helmholtz decomposition is useful.

LEMMA 1. Every  $\mathbf{q} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$  can be uniquely written as

$$\mathbf{q} = \operatorname{grad} r + \operatorname{curl} p, \quad r \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad p \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L_0^2(\Omega).$$

*Proof:* Cf. [4]. ■

Here and in the sequel we denote

$$\operatorname{curl} v = \left( -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right) \quad \text{for } v \in H^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\operatorname{curl} \psi = \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_1} \quad \text{for } \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in [H^1(\Omega)]^2.$$

In [1,4] the following result for a generalization of (2.2) is proved.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\Omega$  be a convex polygonal or smoothly bounded domain in the plane. For any  $t$ ,  $0 < t \leq C$ ,  $g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , and  $\mathbf{f} \in [H^{-1}(\Omega)]^2$ , there is a unique solution  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$  and  $\mathbf{q} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$  to

$$\begin{aligned} a(\phi, \psi) - (\mathbf{q}, \psi) &= (\mathbf{f}, \psi), & \psi &\in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2, \\ (\mathbf{q}, \text{grad } v) &= (g, v), & v &\in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \lambda^{-1}t^2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s}) + (\phi - \text{grad } w, \mathbf{s}) &= 0, & \mathbf{s} &\in [L^2(\Omega)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{f} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$ , then  $\phi \in [H^2(\Omega)]^2$ , and we have

$$\|r\|_1 + \|\phi\|_2 + \|p\|_1 + t\|p\|_2 + \|w\|_1 \leq C(\|g\|_{-1} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_0),$$

with  $\mathbf{q} = \text{grad } r + \text{curl } p$ .

If additionally  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $r, w \in H^2(\Omega)$ , and we have

$$\|r\|_2 + \|w\|_2 \leq C(\|g\|_0 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_0). \quad \blacksquare$$

Next, let us recall the method of [1]. We introduce a regular triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h$  of  $\overline{\Omega}$ , which henceforth is assumed to be polygonal. As usual the mesh parameter is defined through

$$h = \max_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T,$$

where  $h_T$  denotes the diameter of  $T$ . The triangulation is not assumed to be quasiuniform.

For approximating the deflection the space of piecewise linear nonconforming elements is used:

$$W_h = \{ v \in L^2(\Omega) \mid v|_T \in P_1(T), T \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } v \text{ is continuous at midpoints of element edges and vanishes at midpoints of boundary edges} \}. \quad (2.3)$$

The space for the rotation is

$$\mathbf{V}_h^B = \{ \phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \mid \phi|_T \in [P_1(T) \oplus B(T)]^2, T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $B(T)$  denotes the spaces of cubic "bubbles" on  $T$ :

$$B(T) = \{ v \in P_3(T) \mid v|_{\partial T} = 0 \}.$$

Futhermore, denote

$$\mathbf{Q}_h = \{ \mathbf{q} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2 \mid \mathbf{q}|_T \in [P_0(T)]^2, T \in \mathcal{T}_h \} \quad (2.5)$$

and let  $\mathbf{P}_0 : [L^2(\Omega)]^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_h$  be the orthogonal projection. For  $v \in W_h + H^1(\Omega)$  we define  $\mathbf{grad}_h v$  to be the  $[L^2(\Omega)]^2$  function whose restriction to each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$  is given by  $\mathbf{grad} v|_T$ .

The method is then defined as: Find  $w_h \in W_h$  and  $\phi_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^B$  such that

$$a(\phi_h, \psi) + \lambda t^{-2} (\mathbf{P}_0 \phi_h - \mathbf{grad}_h w_h, \mathbf{P}_0 \psi - \mathbf{grad}_h v) = (g, v), \quad v \in W_h, \quad \psi \in \mathbf{V}_h^B. \quad (2.6)$$

The error estimate proved in [1] is the following.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is convex,  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and that  $0 < t \leq C$ . For the unique solutions  $(w, \phi)$  and  $(w_h, \phi_h)$  to (2.1) and (2.6), respectively, we have*

$$\|w - w_h\|_0 + \|\phi - \phi_h\|_0 \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_0. \quad \blacksquare$$

### 3. The modified method

The modification we are proposing is the following: The space for the deflection is kept as defined in (2.3). For the rotations we use the standard space of continuous piecewise linear functions

$$\mathbf{V}_h = \{\phi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2 \mid \phi|_T \in [P_1(T)]^2, T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \quad (3.1)$$

i.e. the bubble degrees of freedom in (2.4) are dropped. We again denote by  $\mathbf{P}_0$  the orthogonal projection onto the space  $\mathbf{Q}_h$  as defined in (2.5). The method is then defined as: Find  $w_h \in W_h$  and  $\phi_h \in \mathbf{V}_h$  such that

$$a(\phi_h, \psi) + \lambda \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2)^{-1} (\mathbf{P}_0 \phi_h - \mathbf{grad}_h w_h, \mathbf{P}_0 \psi - \mathbf{grad}_h v)_T = (g, v), \quad (3.2)$$

$$v \in W_h, \quad \psi \in \mathbf{V}_h,$$

where  $\alpha_T$  are positive parameters restricted to lie in a fixed range,  $C_1 \leq \alpha_T \leq C_2$ .

For the modification we can prove error estimates analogous to those of the original method.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is convex,  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and that  $0 < t \leq C$ . For the unique solutions  $(w, \phi)$  and  $(w_h, \phi_h)$  to (2.1) and (3.2), respectively, we have*

$$\|w - w_h\|_0 + \|\phi - \phi_h\|_0 \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Before turning to the error analysis of the method, let us discuss the difference in implementing the two methods.

First, considering the original method (2.6), we see that when calculating the contribution to the stiffness matrix from the bilinear form  $a$  an integration formula exact for fourth order polynomials has to be used due to the presence of the bubble functions. In addition, when calculating the

contribution from the shear energy, the local projections of the rotations have to be calculated. Furthermore, when for an element the local stiffness matrix has been calculated, it is preferable to eliminate the bubble degrees of freedom by condensation. Taken together, all this leads to rather cumbersome calculations.

Looking at the modification (3.2), we first note that since the rotations are piecewise linear, the constant value of the projection of a function in  $\mathbf{V}_h$  is merely the value of the function at the midpoint (i.e. center of gravity) of the element. Since the functions of  $W_h$  and  $\mathbf{V}_h$  are piecewise linear, this mean that

*the local stiffness matrix in (3.2) is obtained by the midpoint rule.*

Hence, it is evident that our modification implies a considerably cheaper and simpler calculation of the stiffness matrix.

Let us remark that (3.2) can equivalently be written as:

Find  $w_h \in W_h$ ,  $\phi_h \in \mathbf{V}_h$  and  $\mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\phi_h, \psi) - (\mathbf{q}_h, \psi) &= 0, & \psi \in \mathbf{V}_h, \\ (\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{grad}_h v) &= (g, v), & v \in W_h, \\ \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) (\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{s})_T + (\phi_h - \mathbf{grad}_h w_h, \mathbf{s}) &= 0, & \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Q}_h. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Comparing with (2.2), we see that (3.3) is a "Galerkin-least-squares", or "stabilized", mixed method. Recently these techniques have been applied to a number of different problems; cf. [5,6,9,10] and the references therein. For Reissner-Mindlin plates methods of this kind have been proposed in [13,14,15].

For the analysis of the method we need the discrete Helmholtz decomposition theorem of Arnold and Falk.

LEMMA 2 (Arnold and Falk [1]).

$$\mathbf{Q}_h = \mathbf{grad}_h W_h \oplus \mathbf{curl} \hat{S}_h,$$

with

$$\hat{S}_h = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L_0^2(\Omega) \mid v|_T \in P_1(T), T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}.$$

This is an orthogonal decomposition in  $[L^2(\Omega)]^2$ . ■



Furthermore a classical estimate for nonconforming methods will be needed [1,8].

LEMMA 3. *There is a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \mathbf{n}_T \right| \leq Ch \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_1 \inf_{q \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{grad}_h(v - q)\|_0, \\ \boldsymbol{\psi} \in [H^1(\Omega)]^2, v \in W_h + H_0^1(\Omega). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let us introduce some additional notation.

By  $\Pi_h : \mathbf{Q}_h \rightarrow \mathbf{grad}_h W_h$  we denote the orthogonal projection, and we define a norm in  $\mathbf{Q}_h$  through

$$\|\mathbf{s}\|_h^2 = \|\Pi_h \mathbf{s}\|_0^2 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + h_T^2) \|\mathbf{s}\|_{0,T}^2.$$

REMARK. It is also possible to perform the error analysis using the same norm as in [1] for the shear, i.e.

$$\|\mathbf{s}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{grad}_h k\|_0^2 + \|l\|_0^2 + t^2 \|l\|_1^2,$$

where the decomposition  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{grad}_h k + \mathbf{curl} l$ ,  $k \in W_h$ ,  $l \in \hat{S}_h$ , is used for  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Q}_h$  (note that  $\Pi_h \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{grad}_h k$ ). For this some extra technical details are needed (cf. Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 of [10]) and it gives the optimal estimate

$$\|\mathbf{grad} r - \mathbf{grad}_h r_h\|_0 + \|p - p_h\|_0 + t \|p - p_h\|_1 \leq Ch \|g\|_0,$$

with  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{grad} r + \mathbf{curl} p$  and  $\mathbf{q}_h = \mathbf{grad}_h r_h + \mathbf{curl} p_h$ . However, this result does not seem to be very interesting, and hence we prefer to present a more straight-forward error analysis.  $\blacksquare$

For this we write the method (3.3) with a more compact notation as

$$\mathcal{B}_h(w_h, \phi_h, \mathbf{q}_h; v, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbf{s}) = (g, v), \quad v \in W_h, \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Q}_h,$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}_h(w, \phi, \mathbf{q}; v, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbf{s}) \\ = a(\phi, \boldsymbol{\psi}) + (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{grad}_h v - \boldsymbol{\psi}) + (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{grad}_h w - \phi) - \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s})_T.$$

Introducing the notation

$$\|(\mathbf{w}, \phi, \mathbf{q})\|_h^2 = \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_0^2 + \|\phi\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{q}\|_h^2,$$

our stability estimate is the following.

LEMMA 4.

$$\sup_{\substack{(v, \psi, s) \in W_h \times V_h \times Q_h \\ (v, \psi, s) \neq (0, 0, 0)}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_h(w, \phi, \mathbf{q}; v, \psi, s)}{\| (v, \psi, s) \|_h} \geq C \| (w, \phi, \mathbf{q}) \|_h, \quad w \in W_h, \phi \in V_h, \mathbf{q} \in Q_h.$$

*Proof:* Let  $w \in W_h$ ,  $\phi \in V_h$  and  $\mathbf{q} \in Q_h$  be given.

Further, let  $z \in W_h$  be such that  $\mathbf{grad}_h z = \mathbf{\Pi}_h \mathbf{q}$ .

Choosing  $v = w + z$ ,  $\psi = \phi$ ,  $s = -\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{grad}_h w$ , and letting  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}_h(w, \phi, \mathbf{q}; v, \psi, s) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_h(w, \phi, \mathbf{q}; w + z, \phi, -\mathbf{q}) + \delta \mathcal{B}_h(w, \phi, \mathbf{q}; 0, 0, \mathbf{grad}_h w) \\ &= a(\phi, \phi) + \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q}\|_{0,T}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h \mathbf{q}\|_0^2 \\ &\quad - \delta (\mathbf{grad}_h w, \phi) + \delta \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_0^2 - \delta \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) (\mathbf{grad}_h w, \mathbf{q})_T \\ &\geq (C_1 - \frac{\delta}{2}) \|\phi\|_1^2 + \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q}\|_{0,T}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h \mathbf{q}\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_0^2 - \frac{\delta \varepsilon}{2\lambda} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_{0,T}^2 - \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon \lambda} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q}\|_{0,T}^2 \\ &\geq (C_1 - \frac{\delta}{2}) \|\phi\|_1^2 + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon}) \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q}\|_{0,T}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h \mathbf{q}\|_0^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} [1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} (t^2 + C_2 h^2)] \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_0^2 \\ &\geq C (\|\phi\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{q}\|_h^2 + \|\mathbf{grad}_h w\|_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough and  $\delta < \min\{2C_1, 2\varepsilon\}$ .

Since we also have

$$\| (v, \psi, s) \|_h \leq C \| (w, \phi, \mathbf{q}) \|_h,$$

the assertion is proven. ■

We will now close the paper by giving the

*Proof of the Theorem :* We first use Lemmas 1 and 2 to decompose the exact and approximate shear:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{grad} r + \mathbf{curl} p, \quad r \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad p \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L_0^2(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{q}_h &= \mathbf{grad}_h r_h + \mathbf{curl} p_h, \quad r_h \in W_h, \quad p_h \in \hat{S}_h, \end{aligned}$$

and to construct an interpolant  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$  to  $\mathbf{q}$  as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{grad} \tilde{r} + \mathbf{curl} \tilde{p},$$

where  $\tilde{r} \in W_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{p} \in \hat{S}_h$  are the Clément interpolants (cf. [11, pp. 109-111]) to  $r$  and  $p$ , respectively.

We remark that  $\Pi_h \mathbf{q}_h = \mathbf{grad}_h r_h$  and  $\Pi_h \tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{grad} \tilde{r}$ .

Further, let  $\phi$  be interpolated by  $\tilde{\phi} \in V_h$ , and  $w$  by  $\tilde{w} \in W_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Our stability estimate now supplies us with a triple  $(v, \psi, \mathbf{s}) \in W_h \times V_h \times Q_h$ , such that

$$\| (v, \psi, \mathbf{s}) \|_h \leq C \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\| (w_h - \tilde{w}, \phi_h - \tilde{\phi}, \mathbf{q}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}) \|_h \leq \mathcal{B}_h(w_h - \tilde{w}, \phi_h - \tilde{\phi}, \mathbf{q}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}; v, \psi, \mathbf{s}). \quad (3.5)$$

Using (2.2), (3.3) and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{grad}_h v) &= (\mathbf{grad}_h r_h, \mathbf{grad}_h v) = (g, v), \quad v \in W_h, \\ (\mathbf{grad} r, \mathbf{grad}_h v) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} v \mathbf{grad} r \cdot \mathbf{n}_T + (g, v), \quad v \in W_h, \end{aligned}$$

the normal technique gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}_h(w_h - \tilde{w}, \phi_h - \tilde{\phi}, \mathbf{q}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}; v, \psi, \mathbf{s}) \\ &= \alpha(\phi - \tilde{\phi}, \psi) - (\mathbf{grad}(\tau - \tilde{\tau}), \psi) - (\mathbf{curl}(p - \tilde{p}), \psi) \\ &+ (\mathbf{grad}(\tau - \tilde{\tau}), \mathbf{grad}_h v) + (\mathbf{grad}(w - \tilde{w}), \mathbf{s}) - (\phi - \tilde{\phi}, \mathbf{s}) \\ &- \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) (\mathbf{q} - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{s})_T \\ &+ \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2 (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s})_T - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} v \mathbf{grad} r \cdot \mathbf{n}_T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let us estimate the different terms above.

Integrating by parts gives

$$|(\mathbf{curl}(p - \tilde{p}), \psi)| = |(p - \tilde{p}, \mathbf{curl} \psi)| \leq Ch |p|_1 |\psi|_1. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, we have

$$|(\phi - \tilde{\phi}, \mathbf{s})| \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^2 |\phi|_{2,T} \|\mathbf{s}\|_{0,T} \leq Ch |\phi|_2 \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^2 \|\mathbf{s}\|_{0,T}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.8)$$

and using Lemma 2

$$|(\mathbf{grad}(w - \tilde{w}), \mathbf{s})| = |(\mathbf{grad}(w - \tilde{w}), \Pi_h \mathbf{s})| \leq Ch |w|_2 \|\Pi_h \mathbf{s}\|_0. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3 gives

$$\left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} v \mathbf{grad} r \cdot \mathbf{n}_T \right| \leq Ch \|r\|_2 \|\mathbf{grad}_h v\|_0. \quad (3.10)$$

From the definition of  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + \alpha_T h_T^2) (\mathbf{q} - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{s})_T \right| \\ & \leq Ch [t(|r|_2 + |p|_2) + |r|_1 + |p|_1] \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + h_T^2) \|\mathbf{s}\|_{0,T}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The estimation of the rest of the terms in the right hand side of (3.6) is straight-forward, and combining (3.4) -(3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |(w_h - \bar{w}, \phi_h - \bar{\phi}, \mathbf{q}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{q}})| \|_h \\ & \leq Ch \{ |\phi|_2 + |w|_2 + \|r\|_2 + |p|_1 + t(|r|_2 + |p|_2) \} \| |(v, \psi, \mathbf{s}) \| \|_h \\ & \leq Ch \{ |\phi|_2 + |w|_2 + \|r\|_2 + |p|_1 + t(|r|_2 + |p|_2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the use of the triangle inequality and Proposition 1 gives

$$\|\mathbf{grad}_h(w - w_h)\|_0 + \|\phi - \phi_h\|_1 + \|\mathbf{grad}_h(r - r_h)\|_0 + \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{0,T}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch \|g\|_0. \quad (3.12)$$

To proceed, we let  $z \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\theta \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$  and  $\mathbf{r} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2$  solve

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\theta, \psi) - (\mathbf{r}, \psi) &= (\phi - \phi_h, \psi), & \psi &\in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^2, \\ (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{grad} v) &= (w - w_h, v), & v &\in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \lambda^{-1} t^2 (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) + (\theta - \mathbf{grad} z, \mathbf{s}) &= 0, & \mathbf{s} &\in [L^2(\Omega)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Using Lemma 1 to write  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{grad} k + \mathbf{curl} l$ , Proposition 1 yields

$$\|\theta\|_2 + \|z\|_2 + \|k\|_2 + \|l\|_1 + t\|l\|_2 \leq C(\|\phi - \phi_h\|_0 + \|w - w_h\|_0). \quad (3.14)$$

Integrating by parts in the second equation of (3.13) gives

$$-\mathbf{div} \mathbf{r} = -\Delta k = w - w_h,$$

and thus we get

$$\|w - w_h\|_0^2 = (\mathbf{grad} k, \mathbf{grad}_h(w - w_h)) - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} (w - w_h) \mathbf{grad} k \cdot \mathbf{n}_T. \quad (3.15)$$

Let now  $\bar{z} \in W_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbf{V}_h$  be the Lagrange interpolants to  $z$  and  $\theta$ , respectively. We again use the Clément construction to define  $\bar{k} \in W_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  interpolating  $k$ , and  $\bar{l} \in \hat{S}_h$  interpolating  $l$ . The interpolant  $\bar{r}$  to  $r$  is then defined through  $\bar{r} = \mathbf{grad} \bar{k} + \mathbf{curl} \bar{l}$ .

Using (2.2), (3.3), (3.13), (3.15) and Lemma 2, we now get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi - \phi_h\|_0^2 + \|w - w_h\|_0^2 \\ &= \alpha(\phi - \phi_h, \theta - \tilde{\theta}) - (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \theta - \tilde{\theta}) + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{grad}(z - \bar{z})) \\ & \quad - (\mathbf{r} - \bar{r}, \phi - \phi_h) + (\mathbf{grad}(k - \bar{k}), \mathbf{grad}_h(w - w_h)) - \lambda^{-1} t^2 (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{r} - \bar{r}) \quad (3.16) \\ & \quad - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} (w - w_h) \mathbf{grad} k \cdot \mathbf{n}_T - \lambda^{-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2(\mathbf{q}_h, \bar{r}). \end{aligned}$$

Standard interpolation estimates give

$$|(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \theta - \tilde{\theta})| \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^2 \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{0,T} |\theta|_2 \leq Ch \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^2 \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{0,T}^2 \right)^{1/2} |\theta|_2. \quad (3.17)$$

Lemmas 1 and 2 give

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{grad}(z - \bar{z}))| = |(\mathbf{grad}_h(r - r_h), \mathbf{grad}(z - \bar{z}))| \\ & \leq Ch \|\mathbf{grad}_h(r - r_h)\|_0 |z|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathbf{r} - \bar{r}, \phi - \phi_h)| \leq |(\mathbf{grad}(k - \bar{k}), \phi - \phi_h)| + |(\mathbf{curl}(l - \bar{l}), \phi - \phi_h)| \\ & = |(\mathbf{grad}(k - \bar{k}), \phi - \phi_h)| + |(l - \bar{l}, \mathbf{curl}(\phi - \phi_h))| \\ & \leq Ch(|k|_2 + |l|_1) \|\phi - \phi_h\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since  $\|\bar{r}\|_0 \leq C\|r\|_0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2(\mathbf{q}_h, \bar{r}) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h, \bar{r}) \right| + \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2(\mathbf{q}, \bar{r}) \right| \\ & \leq Ch \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \alpha_T h_T^2 \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{0,T}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|r\|_0 + Ch^2 \|\mathbf{q}\|_0 \|r\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Further, Lemma 3 implies

$$\left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} (w - w_h) \mathbf{grad} k \cdot \mathbf{n}_T \right| \leq Ch \|k\|_2 \|\mathbf{grad}_h(w - w_h)\|_0. \quad (3.21)$$

Collecting (3.16) through (3.21) and estimating the rest of the terms in the standard manner we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi - \phi_h\|_0^2 + \|w - w_h\|_0^2 \\
& \leq Ch\{\|\text{grad}_h(w - w_h)\|_0 + \|\phi - \phi_h\|_1 + \|\text{grad}_h(r - r_h)\|_0 \\
& \quad + (\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (t^2 + h_T^2) \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{0,T}^2)^{1/2} + h\|\mathbf{q}\|_0\}. \tag{3.22} \\
& \{|\theta|_2 + |z|_2 + \|k\|_2 + \|l\|_1 + t|l|_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\mathbf{q}\|_0 \leq C\|g\|_0$ , the final estimate now follows from (3.22), (3.14) and (3.12). ■

### Acknowledgements

This work was initiated when Rolf Stenberg was visiting LNCC-Rio de Janeiro (April - May 1989) and finished during a stay of Leopoldo Franca at INRIA-Rocquencourt (June - September 1989). The financial support by FAPERJ Proc. E-29/170.742/88, CAPES CEF 033.89, CNPq Proc. 400491/89-3, and Ministère Français des Affaires Etrangères, is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- [1] D.N. ARNOLD and R.S. FALK, A uniformly accurate finite element method for the Reissner-Mindlin plate. To appear in SIAM J. Num. Anal.
- [2] K.J. BATHE, Finite Element Procedures in Engineering Analysis, Prentice-Hall 1982
- [3] K.J. BATHE and F. BREZZI, A simplified analysis of two plate bending elements - The MITC4 and MITC9 elements, In NUMETA 87, Vol. 1, Numerical Techniques for Engineering Analysis and Design, G.N. Pande and J. Middleton, Eds. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 1987, D46/1
- [4] F. BREZZI and M. FORTIN, Analysis of some low-order finite element schemes for Mindlin-Reissner plates, Math. Comp. 47 (1986) 151-158
- [5] F. BREZZI and J. DOUGLAS, Stabilized mixed methods for Stokes problem, Numer. Math., 53 (1988) 225-236.
- [6] F. BREZZI and J. PITKÄRANTA, On the stabilization of finite element approximations for the Stokes problem, Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics Vol. 10. W. Hackbusch (Ed.), Vieweg, Braunschweig 1984, 11-19
- [7] P.G. CIARLET, The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems, North - Holland 1978
- [8] M. CROUZEIX and P.A. RAVIART, Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations, RAIRO Anal. Numér., R-3 (1973) 33-76
- [9] L.P. FRANCA and T.J.R. HUGHES, Two classes of mixed finite element methods, Comp. Meths. Appl. Mech. Engrg. 69 (1988) 89-129
- [10] L.P. FRANCA and R. STENBERG, Error analysis of some Galerkin-least-squares methods for the elasticity equations, Rapport de Recherche No. 1054, INRIA-Rocquencourt, France, 1989
- [11] V. GIRAULT and P.A. RAVIART, Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Algorithms, Springer 1986
- [12] T.J.R. HUGHES, The Finite Element Method: Linear Static and Dynamic Analysis, Prentice-Hall 1987
- [13] T.J.R. HUGHES and L.P. FRANCA, A mixed finite element formulation for Reissner-Mindlin plate theory: Uniform convergence of all higher-order spaces, Comp. Meths. Appl. Mech. Engrg. 67 (1988) 223-240
- [14] R. PIERRE, Convergence properties and numerical approximation of the solution of the Mindlin plate bending problem, Math. Comp. 51 (1988) 15-25
- [15] J. PITKÄRANTA, Analysis of some low-order finite element schemes for Mindlin-Reissner and Kirchhoff plates, Numer. Math. 53 (1988) 237-254

Imprimé en France

par

l'Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique

