



HAL
open science

Virtual customers in sensitivity and light traffic analysis via Campbell's formula for point processes

François Baccelli, Pierre Bremaud

► **To cite this version:**

François Baccelli, Pierre Bremaud. Virtual customers in sensitivity and light traffic analysis via Campbell's formula for point processes. [Research Report] RR-1294, INRIA. 1990. inria-00075265

HAL Id: inria-00075265

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00075265v1>

Submitted on 24 May 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

IRIA

UNITÉ DE RECHERCHE
IRIA-SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS

Institut National
de Recherche
en Informatique
et en Automatique

Domaine de Voluceau
Rocquencourt
B.P.105
78153 Le Chesnay Cedex
France
Tél.: (1) 39 63 55 11

Rapports de Recherche

N° 1294

Programme 2
Structures Nouvelles d'Ordinateurs

VIRTUAL CUSTOMERS IN SENSITIVITY AND LIGHT TRAFFIC ANALYSIS VIA CAMPBELL'S FORMULA FOR POINT PROCESSES

François BACCELLI
Pierre BRÉMAUD

Octobre 1990



* R R - 1 2 9 4 *

Virtual Customers in Sensitivity and Light Traffic Analysis via Campbell's Formula for Point Processes.

by

F. Baccelli¹ and P. Brémaud²

1: INRIA Sophia-Antipolis France

2: Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes, Gif sur Yvette France

July 1990

Abstract: This article provides the theoretical basis of the virtual customer method or positive rare perturbation (RPA) method of sensitivity analysis and in particular gives a short proof of the light traffic derivative result of Reiman and Simon [4].

Keywords: Sensitivity analysis, Light traffic, Queues, Point processes.

1. Introduction

Sensitivity analysis and light traffic analysis are concerned with the computation of derivatives with respect to a parameter of performance measures of discrete event systems occurring for instance in flexible manufacturing or in queueing networks.

In the case of light traffic theory, the derivative is computed at a value of the parameter for which the average intensity of a given flow of events is null. A typical example is the computation of the average workload derivative of a GI/GI/1/ ∞ /FCFS queue with respect to θ for $\theta = \theta_0$ in the case where the interarrival time goes to ∞ in distribution as θ tends to θ_0 . In light traffic theory the computation of derivatives of first and higher orders leads to explicit results in closed form, whereas in sensitivity analysis, the derivatives are given under the form of an expectation which is then evaluated by the standard methods of simulation. In sensitivity analysis the derivatives are computed at a value of the parameter θ for which, in general, flows of events have positive average intensity.

In the landmark article of Reiman and Simon [4], a direct analytic method leads to formulas that can be interpreted in terms of virtual customers. In sensitivity analysis, the method which has received most of the attention is the perturbation method of Ho et alii ([3], [5] for instance). It is called the IPA method (infinitesimal perturbation analysis) and is based on the infinitesimal perturbation of a single trajectory. There is an alternative method called the RPA method (rare perturbation analysis) in Brémaud [2]. The RPA method of [2] is of the negative type: it consists of “phantomizing” one customer per cycle, by comparing the nominal system with the same system with the phantom customer removed. As mentioned in [2], there is a dual RPA method, where the nominal system is augmented by one virtual customer per cycle. The phantom RPA method was called the negative RPA method in [2], whereas the virtual RPA method was named the positive RPA method.

The light traffic analysis of Reiman and Simon [4] will be revisited in the present article as a special case of the virtual customer RPA method. However our analysis will be different from that of [2]. It will be shown in particular that the negative

RPA formula and the light traffic derivative formula can be obtained in a very simple manner by using Campbell's formula for point processes (see [1] for instance).

This new approach has to be compared in the light traffic theory to the analytic approach of Reiman and Simon [4]. First of all, we do not require a priori a condition on the basic functional because there are several inconveniences to this approach: first of all it seems to require a complicated proof, and secondly it does not stay close enough to generality in the sense that one might very well loose systems just because they do not verify the assumption when these systems could be otherwise analyzed. In our approach, we make a simple use of Campbell's theorem for point processes and impose an "ad hoc" condition just to make things work. The "ad hoc" condition is always readily checked at least for all cases that have been considered in [4] for instance. One should notice that in the approach of Reiman and Simon, the fundamental assumption must be checked for every system, therefore showing no advantage on our "ad hoc" condition. Also, our argument is purely probabilistic and involves only one limiting procedure, the one essential to the problem, that is: the intensity vanishes.

Our method of proof easily extends to the "computation" of derivatives at non null intensities, and provides theoretical ground for the positive RPA method, or the virtual customer method of sensitivity analysis. In addition it easily extends, without further work, to the computation of derivatives of the first order when the flow of events is not Poisson.

2. Derivatives at Null Intensity: the Poisson Case

Let N be a Poisson process of intensity $\Delta\lambda$ defined on \mathfrak{R} , and let $\{T_n\}, n \in Z$, be its sequence of event times with the convention $\dots < T_{-1} < T_0 \leq 0 < T_1 < T_2 \dots$. Let $\{Z_n\}, n \in Z$, be an iid sequence of random marks taking their values in some measurable space (E, ε) . The processes N and $\{Z_n\}$ are assumed independent.

Call $P_{\Delta\lambda}$ the underlying probability measure.

Let ψ be a functional of $(N, \{Z_n\})$ of the form

$$(1) \quad \psi = h(T_0, Z_0, \tau_{-1}, Z_{-1}, \tau_{-2}, Z_{-2}, \dots)$$

where $\tau_n = T_{n+1} - T_n$ is the n th time interval of N . The objective of this paper is to compute

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\Delta\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta\lambda} E_{\Delta\lambda}[\psi].$$

Assuming that the functional $\psi(N, \{Z_n\})$ is null if the trajectory N has no points, the quantity (2) is the right-hand derivative at time $\lambda = 0$ of $E_\lambda[\psi]$.

Before stating the conditions under which the derivative (2) will be computed a few notations have to be introduced. Define the functional g by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(T_n, Z_n, \tau_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}, \tau_{n-2}, Z_{n-2}, \dots) \\ &= h(T_n, Z_n, \tau_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}, \dots) - h(T_n - \tau_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}, \tau_{n-2}, Z_{n-2}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

In order to shorten the notations, write the left-hand side of the above identity $g(T_n, Z_n, Y_{n-1})$ where $Y_{n-1} = (\tau_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}, \tau_{n-2}, Z_{n-2}, \dots)$. Also for $\alpha > 0$, define $Y_{n-1}^\alpha = (\alpha\tau_{n-1}, Z_{n-1}, \alpha\tau_{n-2}, Z_{n-2}, \dots)$; Y_{n-1}^α is therefore obtained from Y_{n-1} by dilation of the sequence $(\tau_{n-1}, \tau_{n-2}, \dots)$ by α .

The assumptions on ψ are the following.

(A1) For some $\alpha_0 > 0$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 E_1 \left[|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}^\alpha)| \right] dt < \infty \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq \alpha_0.$$

(A2) There exists a functional $g_\infty(t, Z_0)$, not depending on Y_{-1} , such that

$$\lim_{\substack{\alpha \uparrow \infty \\ \alpha \geq \alpha_0}} \int_{-\infty}^0 E_1 \left[|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}^\alpha) - g_\infty(t, Z_0)| \right] dt = 0.$$

Theorem 1. Under conditions (A1) and (A2),

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\Delta\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta\lambda} E_{\Delta\lambda}[\psi] = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_1 [g_\infty(t, Z_0)] dt$$

Remark 1. Assumption A1 is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 E_\lambda \left[\left| g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}) \right| \right] dt < \infty \quad \text{for } \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}.$$

By Campbell's formula

$$\lambda \int_{-\infty}^0 E_\lambda \left[\left| g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}) \right| \right] dt = E_\lambda \left[\sum_{n \leq 0} \left| g(T_n, Z_n, Y_{n-1}) \right| \right]$$

and therefore, for $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}$, $\sum_{n \leq 0} g(T_n, Z_n, Y_{n-1})$ converges P_λ *a.s.* and is equal to ψ . Thus (A1) implies that $E_\lambda[|\psi|] < \infty$ for $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}$. \diamond

Remark 2. Since $(t, \omega) \rightarrow g_\infty(t, Z_0(\omega))$ is a L_1 limit (for the measure $dP_1 \times dt$), $E_1 \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 |g(t, Z_0)| \right] dt < \infty$ and therefore the quantity $\int_{-\infty}^0 E_1 \left[g(t, Z_0) \right] dt$ is well defined. \diamond

Remark 3. Going back to the expression (3), one sees that the obvious choice of g_∞ is

$$(5) \quad g_\infty(t, Z_0) = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow \infty} \{ h(t, Z_0, \alpha\tau_{-1}, Z_{-1}, \dots) - h(t - \alpha\tau_{-1}, Z_{-1}, \dots) \}$$

The physical interpretation of $g_\infty(t, Z_0)$ is that of the value of the functional ψ when there arrived only one customer before time 0 (this customer arriving at time $T_0 = t$, and being marked by Z_0). \diamond

Proof of the theorem. From Campbell's formula and Remark 1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} E_\lambda[\psi] &= \frac{1}{\lambda} E_\lambda \left[\sum_{n \leq 0} g(T_n, Z_n, Y_{n-1}) \right] \\ &= E_\lambda \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}) dt \right] = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_1 \left[g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}^\alpha) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. The theorem immediately follows from this observation and Assumption A2. \diamond

Example 1: The workload of M/GI/1/∞/FCFS. Interpret T_n as the arrival of a customer with required service $Z_n = \sigma_n$ into a $\cdot / \cdot / 1 / \infty / \text{FCFS}$ queueing system. Take ψ to be the workload at time $t = 0$ in the stationary regime ($\lambda < E[\sigma_1]^{-1}$). Observe that

$$\psi = \sum_{n \leq 0} f(T_n, \sigma_n, W_n)$$

where W_n is the waiting time of customer n and

$$f(T_n, \sigma_n, W_n) = \begin{cases} \sigma_n & \text{if } T_n + W_n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } T_n + W_n < -\sigma_n \\ \sigma_n + T_n + W_n & \text{if } -\sigma_n \leq T_n + W_n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore since $W_n = v(\tau_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots) = v(Y_{n-1})$ for some functional v ,

$$g(T_n, \sigma_n, Y_{n-1}) = f(T_n, \sigma_n, v(Y_{n-1})).$$

Also

$$g(t, \sigma_0, Y_1^\alpha) = f(t, \sigma_0, W_0^\alpha)$$

where W_0^α is the waiting time of customer 0 corresponding to the data $\alpha\tau_{-1}, \sigma_{-1}, \alpha\tau_{-2}, \sigma_{-2}, \dots$. Since at equilibrium the workload is null on a set of times of infinite Lebesgue measure, it is clear that W_0^α decreases and eventually becomes null for a finite α (depending on the trajectory). Therefore

$$g_\infty(t, \sigma_0) = f(t, \sigma_0, 0) = (\sigma_0 + t)^+$$

Condition A1 is guaranteed by $E[\sigma_0^2] < \infty$. As for assumption A2, it follows by Lebesgue's theorem. Finally, since

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 E[(\sigma_0 + t)^+] dt = \frac{1}{2} E[\sigma_0^2]$$

the result is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} E_\lambda[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} E[\sigma_0^2]$$

as can be directly checked on Pollaczek-Khintchine's formula. \diamond

3. Derivative at Positive Intensity and Higher Order Derivatives at 0: the Poisson Case

Let N and $\{Z_n\}$ be as before. Let \tilde{N} and $\{\tilde{Z}_n\}$ have the same statistics as $N, \{Z_n\}$ except that the intensity of the Poisson process \tilde{N} is $\lambda > 0$, $(N, \{Z_n\})$ and $(\tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$ are assumed to be independent. If one merges the input flows $(N, \{Z_n\})$ and $(\tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$ one obtains an input flow $(N', \{Z'_n\})$ of the same type, only with N' being of intensity $\lambda + \Delta\lambda$.

Call $P_{\lambda, \Delta\lambda}$ the underlying probability measure.

The functional ψ is now of the form

$$(6) \quad \psi = h(T_0, Z_0, \tau_{-1}, Z_{-1}, \dots; \{\tilde{T}_n\}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$$

where for $N = \emptyset$, we denote $\psi = h(\emptyset; \{\tilde{T}_n\}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$. The objective is now to find an expression for

$$\lim_{\Delta\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta\lambda} [E_{\lambda, \Delta\lambda}[\psi] - E_{\lambda, 0}[\psi]]$$

provided this limit exists.

The same notations as before are used, except that there is now an extra dependency on $\tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\}$, and that the following assumptions are made:

(B1) For some $\alpha_0 > 0$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\lambda, 1} [|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}^\alpha, \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})|] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geq \alpha_0$$

(B2) There exists a functional $g_\infty(t, Z_0, \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$ not depending on Y_{-1} , such that

$$\lim_{\substack{\alpha \uparrow \infty \\ \alpha \geq \alpha_0}} \int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\lambda, 1} [|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}^\alpha; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\}) - g_\infty(t, Z_0; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})|] dt = 0.$$

Theorem 2. Under conditions (B1) and (B2)

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\Delta\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta\lambda} \{E_{\lambda, \Delta\lambda}[\psi] - E_{\lambda, 0}[\psi]\} = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\lambda, 1} [g_\infty(t, Z_0; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})] dt$$

Proof: same as Theorem 1. \diamond

Remark 4. The interpretation of $g_\infty(t, Z_0; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\})$ is that it is the value of ψ computed with the input flow $\tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\}$ plus a customer at t with an attribute mark Z_0 . \diamond

Higher order derivatives: From Theorems 1 and 2

$$(8) \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} E_\lambda[\psi] - \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} E_\lambda[\psi] \Big|_{\lambda=0} \right) = E_\lambda \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 E \left[g_\infty(t, Z_0; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\}) - g_\infty(t, Z_0) | \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\} \right] dt \right] = E_\lambda[\Phi]$$

where

$$(9) \quad \Phi = \int_{-\infty}^0 E \left[g_\infty(t, Z_0; \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\}) - g_\infty(t, Z_0) | \tilde{N}, \{\tilde{Z}_n\} \right] dt.$$

In order to compute, if it exists, the second derivative at $\lambda = 0$ of $E_\lambda[\psi]$, i.e.

$$(10) \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} E_\lambda[\Phi] = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} E_\lambda[\psi] \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$

one can use again Theorem 1.

4. First Order Derivative: the non-Poisson Case

Consider the situation described at the beginning, except that now $\{T_n\}$ is not required to be Poisson. It is required to be a stationary renewal point process with intensity $\lambda(\theta)$ where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a parameter governing the underlying probability P_θ . It is still assumed that N and $\{Z_n\}$ are P_θ -independent.

We are interested in computing

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta} E_\theta[\psi]$$

Assuming that $E_0[\psi] = 0$, the quantity (11) is the derivative of $E_\theta[\psi]$ with respect to θ , at $0+$.

The assumptions on ψ are:

(C1) For some $\theta_0 > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\theta} [|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1})|] dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } \theta \leq \theta_0.$$

(C2) There exists a functional $g_0(t, Z_0)$, not depending on Y_{-1} , such that

$$\lim_{\substack{\theta \downarrow 0 \\ \theta \leq \theta_0}} \int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\theta} [|g(t, Z_0, Y_{-1}) - g_0(t, Z_0)|] dt = 0.$$

Assume moreover

$$(C3) \quad \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(\theta)}{\theta} = \lambda'(0).$$

Theorem 3. Under conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3)

$$(12) \quad \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta} E_{\theta}[\psi] = \lambda'(0) \int_{-\infty}^0 E [g_0(t, Z_0)] dt.$$

Proof: same as Theorem 1. \diamond

Example 2. Workload of a GI/GI/1/ ∞ /FCFS queue. The situation is as in Example 1 except that now $\{T_n\}$ is a stationary renewal process with interarrival distribution $G_{\theta}(x)$ such that

$$\lambda(\theta) = \frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} x dG_{\theta}(x)}$$

verifies (C3) and such that $\lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} P_{\theta}(\tau_1 \geq a) = 1$ for all $a > 0$ ($\tau_1 \xrightarrow{D} \infty$ as $\theta \downarrow 0$).

Theorem T3 applies with $g_0(t, \sigma_0) = (\sigma_0 + t)^+$ and $g(t, \sigma_0, Y_{-1})$ as in Example 1. Assumption (C2) reads

$$\lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^0 E_{\theta} [f(t, \sigma_0, W_0) - (\sigma_0 + t)^+] dt = 0$$

where f is as an Example 1. To show this, it suffices to show that $W_0 \xrightarrow{D} 0$ as $\theta \downarrow 0$. This can be done by observing that $W_1 \sim W_0$ and that

$$E_\theta \left[e^{iuW_1} \right] = E_\theta \left[e^{iu(W_0 + \sigma_0 - \tau_0)^+} \right]$$

and by using the hypothesis $\tau_0 \xrightarrow{D} +\infty$ as $\theta \downarrow 0$. \diamond

Remark 5. If one takes N to be an arbitrary stationary point process in place of a renewal process, the result of Theorem 3 also holds provided the conditions (C1'), (C2') and (C3) hold, where (C2') and (C3') are similar to (C2) and (C3), but with E_θ replaced by the Palm probability of N , E_θ^0 . \diamond

References

- [1] Baccelli, F. and Brémaud, P. (1987) Palm Probability and Stationary Queueing Systems, Lect. Notes in Statistics 41, Springer Verlag, New-York.
- [2] Brémaud, P. (1990) On computing derivatives with respect to the rate of a Poisson process trajectorywise: the phantom method, submitted to QUESTA.
- [3] Ho, Y.C. and Cao, X.R. (1983) Perturbation Analysis and Optimization of Queueing Networks. J. Optim. Theory and Appl., 40, 559-582.
- [4] Reiman, M.I. and Simon, B. (1989) Open Queueing Systems in Light Traffic, Math. of Oper. Res., 14, 1, 26-59.
- [5] Suri, R. (1989) Perturbation Analysis: The State of the Art and Research Issues Explained Via the GI/GI/1 Queue. Proceedings of the IEEE, 77, 1, 114-137.

ISSN 0249 - 6399