



**HAL**  
open science

## Computing Largest Circles Separating Two Sets of Segments

Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, Jurek Czyzowicz, Olivier Devillers, Jorge Urrutia,  
Mariette Yvinec

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, Jurek Czyzowicz, Olivier Devillers, Jorge Urrutia, Mariette Yvinec. Computing Largest Circles Separating Two Sets of Segments. RR-2705, INRIA. 1995. inria-00073985

**HAL Id: inria-00073985**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00073985v1>**

Submitted on 24 May 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

## *Computing Largest Circles Separating Two Sets of Segments*

Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, Jurek Czyzowicz, Olivier Devillers, Jorge Urrutia,  
Marianne Yvinec,

**N° 2705**

Novembre 1995

PROGRAMME 4



*Rapport  
de recherche*



## Computing Largest Circles Separating Two Sets of Segments

Jean-Daniel Boissonnat\*, Jurek Czyzowicz\*\*, Olivier Devillers\*\*\*, Jorge Urrutia\*\*\*\*, Mariette Yvinec\*\*\*\*\*,

Programme 4 — Robotique, image et vision  
Projet Prisme

Rapport de recherche n° 2705 — Novembre 1995 — 17 pages

**Abstract:** A circle  $C$  separates two planar sets if it encloses one of the sets and its open interior disk does not meet the other set. A separating circle is a largest one if it cannot be locally increased while still separating the two given sets. An  $\Theta(n \log n)$  optimal algorithm is proposed to find all largest circles separating two given sets of line segments when line segments are allowed to meet only at their endpoints. This settles an open problem from a previous paper[BCDY95]. In the general case, when line segments may intersect  $\Omega(n^2)$  times, our algorithm can be adapted to work in  $O(n\alpha(n) \log n)$  time and  $O(n\alpha(n))$  space, where  $\alpha(n)$  represents the extremely slowly growing inverse of Ackermann function.

**Key-words:** computational geometry, separability

(Résumé : *tsvp*)

Research of J. Czyzowicz and J. Urrutia are supported by NSERC.

\* INRIA, B.P.93, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis cedex (France), Phone: +33 93 65 77 63, E-mail: Jean-Daniel.Boissonnat@sophia.inria.fr.

\*\* Département d'informatique, Université du Québec à Hull (Canada), E-mail: czyzowicz@uqah.quebec.ca

\*\*\* INRIA, Phone: +33 93 65 77 63, E-mail: Olivier.Devillers@sophia.inria.fr.

\*\*\*\* Dept. of Computer Science, University of Ottawa(Canada),

\*\*\*\*\* INRIA and Laboratoire I3S, URA CNRS 1376, 250 rue Albert Einstein, Sophia Antipolis (France) E-mail: Mariette.Yvinec@sophia.inria.fr.

## Calcul des plus grand cercles séparant deux ensembles de segments

**Résumé :** Un cercle  $C$  sépare deux ensembles du plan, si il contient l'un des ensemble et que le disque ouvert intérieur au cercle ne rencontre pas l'autre ensemble. Un cercle séparateur est un plus grand cercle, si on ne peut pas localement augmenter son rayon tout en préservant la propriété de séparation. Nous proposons un algorithme optimal de complexité  $\Theta(n \log n)$  pour trouver les plus grands cercles séparants deux ensemble de segments sans points d'intersections. Cet algorithme résoud un problème ouvert soulevé dans [BCDY95]. Dans le cas général où les segments se coupent, l'algorithme peut être adapté pour fonctionner en temps  $O(n\alpha(n) \log n)$  et avec une mémoire  $O(n\alpha(n))$ , où  $\alpha(n)$  est une fonction à croissance extrêmement lente.

**Mots-clé :** géométrie algorithmique, séparabilité

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote a family of Jordan curves in the plane. Two sets  $P$  and  $Q$  in the plane are  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable if there exists  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ , such that every point of one of these sets lies in the closed region inside  $\xi$ , and every point of the other set lies in the closed region outside  $\xi$ . In this paper we restrict our consideration to elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  being circles. A circle  $C(X, r)$ , with center  $X$  and radius  $r$ , separating  $P$  from  $Q$  is said to be a largest separating circle if there is a neighbourhood  $B$  of  $X$  such that there is no separating circle with radius strictly greater than  $r$  centered in  $B$ . We propose an optimal algorithm to find all largest circles separating two given sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$ .

Some previous research on this subject concerned polygonal separability (see [EP88, ABO<sup>+</sup>89, Mou92]) or its extension to higher dimensions, where the construction of a polyhedron with small number of faces, separating two given polyhedra was considered ([DJ90, MS92, BG94]. Line or hyperplane separability of two given sets of points may be solved using linear programming [Meg84].

The problem of circular separability was first asked in the context of applications in pattern recognition and image processing - recognition of digital disks [KA84, Fis86]. Kim and Anderson [KA84] gave a quadratic algorithm to determine the circular separability of two finite sets of points. Bhattacharya [Bha88] computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time the planar region at which may be centered all circles separating two given point sets. O'Rourke, Kosaraju and Megiddo [OKM86] presented optimal algorithms for the circular separability of point sets. The first one determine the circular separability of two given point sets and find the smallest circle separating circle in linear time and the second one find all the largest separating circles in  $O(n \log n)$  time. These authors have used the paraboloid transformation which convert the smallest separating circle problem for two points sets into a convex quadratic minimization problem in three dimensions. This method generalizes to spherical separability in higher dimensions, however it does not apply to the problem of circular separability of two simple polygons. In this case, the method leads to the computation of the convex hull of the segments of parabola in 3D which is an unsolved problem. This problem has been considered in [BCDY95] and a linear algorithm to find the smallest separating circle of two polygons has been proposed.

In the present paper we consider the problem of finding all largest circles separating two given sets of line segments. We suppose that different line segments may meet only at their endpoints. An  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm is given to solve this problem. This settles an open question from [BCDY95], asking for the largest circle separating two simple polygons. As our algorithm works in the case when segments

degenerate to single points, it may be considered as a generalization of a result from [OKM86].

Our algorithm can be adapted to work in the general case when line segments may intersect. In this case, it works in  $O(n\alpha(n)\log^2 n)$  deterministic time or in  $O(n\alpha(n)\log n)$  randomized time and  $O(n\alpha(n))$  space, where  $\alpha(n)$  is the extremely slowly growing inverse of Ackermann function.

## 2 Preliminaries

We will refer to the *hierarchical representation* of convex polygons introduced in [Kir83] and [DK85]. Originally, such a representation was introduced in the context of the point location problem, in which the construction of a hierarchy of planar maps permitted point location queries in optimal  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Hereafter, we use outer hierarchical representations. An outer hierarchical representation of a convex polyhedron  $D$  is a nested sequence  $D_0 \supset D_1 \supset \dots \supset D_k$  of convex polyhedra, such that

1.  $D_0$  is a tetrahedron,
2.  $D_k$  is the polyhedron  $D$ ,
3. the set  $F_i$  of faces of  $D_i$  is obtained from  $F_{i+1}$  by removing a subset  $I_{i+1}$  of pairwise non adjacent faces of  $D_{i+1}$ . Extending the remaining faces  $F_{i+1} \setminus I_{i+1}$  will then form polyhedron  $D_i$ .

It may be proved that in any convex polyhedron  $D_{i+1}$  it is possible to find a constant fraction of its faces of bounded degree which are pairwise non adjacent. As a consequence, the hierarchy of a convex polyhedron  $D$  with  $n$  vertices has a depth  $k = O(\log n)$ . The whole hierarchical representation takes  $O(n)$  space and it may be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time. After computing the hierarchical representation of a convex polyhedron, line intersection queries may be performed in  $O(\log n)$  time.

The paper will use a well-known transformation  $\Phi$ , mapping circles in the  $xy$ -plane to points in the three-dimensional space which we will call the *space of circles*. According to this transformation, the image of a circle of radius  $r$ , centered at  $(x_0, y_0)$ , is the point  $(x_0, y_0, r)$ . Observe that images of the circles passing through a point  $(x_1, y_1)$  lie on the surface of a cone originating at  $(x_1, y_1, 0)$  with vertical axis and 45 degrees apex angle. Such a cone will be called a *lifting cone* and denoted by  $LC(x_1, y_1)$ . Observe as well, that the image of a circle tangent to a given line

$l$  lie on a halfplane containing  $l$  and having 45 degree angle with  $xy$ -plane. There are two such *lifting halfplanes*,  $LH^-(l)$  and  $LH^+(l)$ , denoting the images of the circles tangent to  $l$  and centered, respectively, on the left- or the right-hand side of the oriented line  $l$ . The space of circles in fact is a halfspace, as only points with non-negative  $z$ -coordinate belong to it.

Let  $S$  denote the set of line segments (sites)  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$  in the plane. The closest site Voronoi diagram of  $S$ , noted  $CSVor(S)$ , is the partition of the plane into  $m$  regions, such that any point belonging to the  $i$ -th region is closer to  $s_i$  than to any other segment of  $S$ . Suppose that we want to decide whether a query disk contains any point of a given set  $S$ . Such a query may be answered quickly if the closest site Voronoi diagram of the set  $S$  has been precomputed. The center of the query disk is localised in a Voronoi cell determining the closest segment  $s_i$  of  $S$ . The radius of the disk is then compared to the distance from its center to  $s_i$ .

Similarly, in order to decide whether a query disk contains entirely a given set of line segments  $S$ , we will precompute the furthest site Voronoi diagram of  $S$ , noted  $FSVor(S)$ , which is just the furthest site Voronoi diagram of the vertices of the convex hull,  $CH(S)$ , of  $S$ .

For the purpose of the paper it is useful to introduce the following three-dimensional structure, which contains all the information included in the furthest site Voronoi diagram  $FSVor(S)$ . For each vertex  $v$  of  $CH(S)$ , take a cone originating at  $v$  having vertical axis and 45 degrees apex angle. Let  $UE(S)$  denote the upper envelope of all such cones. A point of  $UE(S)$  corresponds, in terms of transformation  $\Phi$ , to a tangent enclosing circle for  $S$  that is a circle which encloses  $S$  but touches  $S$  in some points. The portions of cones belonging to  $UE(S)$  are limited by segments of hyperbola, where two neighbouring cones meet. Observe that the projection of these segments of hyperbola onto the  $xy$ -plane forms the furthest site Voronoi diagram  $FSVor(S)$ . All of its cells are convex, unbounded polygonal regions.

### 3 Largest Separating Circles.

In the sequel, a segment is said to lie inside (resp. outside) a given circle  $C$  if it is included in the closed region which is inside (resp. outside)  $C$ ; such a segment and the circle  $C$  are allowed to be tangent which mean that they meet in a single point. Two sets of segments  $P$  and  $Q$  are said to be in general position if they do not admit parallel segments and if there is no circle tangent to four segments of  $P \cup Q$ .

A largest circle  $C$  separating two given sets of segments  $P$  and  $Q$  must be constrained in at least three points by the elements of  $P$  and  $Q$ . Suppose that






Figure 1: For Lemma 1

$P$  lies inside and  $Q$  lies outside circle  $C$ . When the two sets of segments are in general position, it is easy to see that  $C$  either is tangent in three points to the elements of  $Q$ , or is tangent in two points to the elements of  $Q$  and meets a vertex of the convex hull  $CH(P)$ . We can conclude that a largest separating circle must verify one of the two conditions given by the following lemma. The proof of this lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 1** *If  $C$  is a largest circle separating two given sets of segments  $P$  and  $Q$  in general position,  $P$  lying inside and  $Q$  lying outside  $C$ , then one of the following two conditions must be verified (see Figure 1) :*

1.  *$C$  is tangent to three segments of  $Q$  in points  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  such that each of the three arcs determined on  $C$  by these points is smaller than a semicircle (see Fig. 1, case 1).*
2.  *$C$  is tangent to two segments of  $Q$  in points  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , and meet the convex hull  $CH(P)$  in a vertex  $p_1$ , such that the arc of  $C$  extending from  $q_1$  through  $p_1$  to  $q_2$  is smaller than a semicircle, (see Fig 1, case 2).*

If  $Q$  admits parallel segments, a largest separating circle may be tangent to two parallel segments of  $Q$  without meeting  $P$  or touching a third segment in  $Q$  ( see Fig. 2, case 1' or 2'). In such a case there is an infinite number of circles tangents to those two segments of  $Q$  which are largest separating circles according to the above

definition. However all those circles are deduced by translation from two extremes circles which, in addition to the two contact points with parallel segments of  $Q$ , have a third contact point with either set  $P$  or  $Q$ . Our algorithm restrict to report only the largest separating circles that have at least three contact points. When point sets  $P$  and  $Q$  are not in general situation, those circles may be in one of the degenerate case listed in the lemma below.

**Lemma 1 (bis)** *Let  $C$  be a largest circle separating two sets of segments  $P$  and  $Q$ , such that  $P$  lies inside,  $Q$  lies outside and  $C$  has at least three contact points with sets  $P$  and  $Q$ . Then, in addition to cases 1 and 2 of lemma 1 above,  $C$  may be in one of the following degenerate cases (see Fig. 2) :*

- 1'.  *$C$  is tangent to two parallel segments of  $Q$  (in two antipodal points) and to a third segment in  $Q$ .*
- 1''.  *$C$  touches  $Q$  in two pairs of antipodal points.*
- 2'.  *$C$  is tangent to two parallel segments of  $Q$  and meet a vertex of  $CH(P)$ .*
- 2''.  *$C$  touches  $Q$  in two antipodal points  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  and  $P$  in two vertices  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  such that points  $P_1, q_1, p_2,$  and  $q_2$  appear in that cyclic order on circle  $C$ .*

## 4 Intersecting Upper Envelope of Cones.

In this section we will apply the idea of hierarchical representation of convex polyhedra to some other types of surfaces.

For any set  $S = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  of points (sites) in the  $xy$ -plane let  $LC(S)$  denote the family of lifting cones  $LC(s_1), LC(s_2), \dots, LC(s_n)$ . For each cone only the part with the positive values of  $z$ -coordinate is taken into consideration. We will be looking for the points of intersection of  $UE(S)$ , the upper envelope of the family of cones  $LC(S)$ , with the query curves belonging to a family  $F$  including some lines, parabolae and hyperbolae.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $LC(S)$  be a family of lifting cones and  $F$  be a family of lines in the three-dimensional space. It is possible to preprocess the set of cones  $LC(s_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , in  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space, so that the intersections of  $UE(S)$  with a query line  $l$  may be found in  $O(\log n)$  time.*




Figure 2: Degenerate cases of Lemma 1

*Proof (sketch):* According to an earlier observation, the projection on the  $xy$ -plane of the segments of hyperbola, along which the portions of cones contributing to  $UE(S)$  meet, is a straight-line planar subdivision whose cells are unbounded. It is possible to find, in time proportional to the graph size, a fraction of its faces, which are pairwise non adjacent and such that each face has a bounded number of edges. After eliminating from  $S$  the origins of the cones corresponding to these faces we are left with a subset  $S'$  of  $S$ . Continuing this process we construct a hierarchical representation of sets  $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset S_k = S$ , where  $S_1$  is a single point. We obtain as well a hierarchy  $G(S_1), G(S_2), \dots, G(S_k)$  of  $k = O(\log n)$  straight-line planar subdivision, such that any face  $G(S_i)$  intersects a bounded number of faces of  $G(S_{i-1})$  and vice versa. Using techniques from [Kir83], this hierarchical representation may be found in  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space.

Observe that because of the convexity of the intersection of the cones in  $LC(S)$ ,  $l$  intersects  $UE(S)$  in at most two points. In fact, if  $l$  intersects  $UE(S)$  in two points it intersects each  $UE(S_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , in two points and if  $l$  intersects  $UE(S)$  in a single point it intersects each  $UE(S_i)$  in a single point as well. Suppose that we know the intersection  $x$  of a query line  $l$  with  $UE(S_{i-1})$ , and the localisation  $x'$  of the projection of  $x$  in one of the faces of  $G(S_{i-1})$ . In constant time, we can compute the intersection of  $l$  with  $UE(S_i)$ , as well as the face of  $G(S_i)$  containing its projection. In  $k = O(\log n)$  steps, each one taking a constant time, we can compute the intersection of  $l$  with  $UE(S)$ .  $\square$

Note that, as  $UE(S_i)$  is contained in  $UE(S_{i-1})$ , it may happen that  $l$  intersects  $UE(S_{i-1})$  but not  $UE(S_i)$ , so after some steps of the search the process may detect no intersection points.

Suppose that, instead of a line, we can have as a query any curve  $\zeta \in F$  belonging to one of the three following categories:

1.  $\zeta = LC(x_1, y_1) \cap LC(x_2, y_2)$ , intersection of two lifting cones, for any two points  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  of the  $xy$ -plane,
2.  $\zeta = LC(x_1, y_1) \cap LH^+(l)$ , intersection of a lifting cone with a lifting halfplane, for any point  $(x_1, y_1)$  and any oriented line  $l$  of the  $xy$ -plane,
3.  $\zeta = LH^+(l_1) \cap LH^+(l_2)$ , intersection of any two lifting halfplanes.

Family  $F$  contains now branches of hyperbola, parabola and lines. It is possible to prove that the above theorem generalises then to

**Theorem 3** *Let  $F$  be a family of curves in three dimensions such that any curve  $\zeta \in F$  is an intersection of two surfaces, each of these surfaces being either a lifting cone or a lifting halfplane.*

1. *There are at most two intersections of  $\zeta \in F$  with  $UE(S')$ , for any  $S' \subseteq S$ .*
2. *It is possible to preprocess the cones  $LC(S)$  in  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space, so that the intersections of  $UE(S)$  with a query curve  $\zeta \in F$  may be found in  $O(\log n)$  time.*

Proof: omitted

## 5 The Algorithm.

Before turning our attention to the algorithm we make a few simple observations stated in the following lemmas. They concern the images of some families of circles in the space of circles.

**Lemma 4** *The image with respect to the transformation  $\Phi$  of a family of circles passing through two given points  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  is the branch of hyperbola being the intersection of  $LC(s_1)$  and  $LC(s_2)$*

**Lemma 5** *The image with respect to the transformation  $\Phi$  of a family of circles tangent from the right-hand side to a given oriented line  $l_1$ , and passing through a given point  $s_1$  lying on the right-hand side of  $l_1$  is the parabola being the intersection of the cone  $LC(s_1)$  with the halfplane  $LH^+(l_1)$*

**Lemma 6** *The image with respect to the transformation  $\Phi$  of a family of circles tangent from the right-hand side to two given oriented lines  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  is the line of intersection of two halfplanes  $LH^+(l_1)$  and  $LH^+(l_2)$*

To find the largest circles separating two sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$  we will run twice the algorithm given below. First the algorithm looks for the largest circles  $C$  enclosing  $P$  and leaving  $Q$  outside. Both  $P$  and  $Q$  may meet a largest circle  $C$  in a finite number of points, but they must be disjoint with the open regions lying, respectively, inside or outside  $C$ . In the second run of the algorithm the roles of  $P$  and  $Q$  are reversed. The algorithm will report all largest separating circles with at least three contact points.

The idea of the algorithm is to search for all the circles which may possibly verify one of the conditions of Lemma 1 or 1(bis). Consider first conditions 1, 1' or 1''. Any circle  $C$  tangent to  $Q$  in three points and not containing any point of  $Q$  inside  $C$  is centered at a vertex of  $CSVor(Q)$ , the closest site Voronoi diagram of the set of line segments  $Q$ . Each such center of a candidate circle is point-located in some face of  $FSVor(P)$ , the furthest site Voronoi diagram of the vertices of the convex hull  $CH(P)$  of the set of line segments  $P$ . This way we can compute the distance from the center of  $C$  to the furthest point of  $P$ . If this distance appears to be smaller than the radius of  $C$ ,  $C$  separates  $P$  and  $Q$ . In such a case, if  $C$  verifies conditions 1, 1' or 1'', it is reported as a largest separating circle.

When the separating circle  $C$  verifies the conditions 2 of Lemma 1 or one of the degenerated condition 2' and 2'', it must be internally tangent to some vertex  $p_1$  of  $CH(P)$  and externally tangent to some two points  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  of  $Q$ . The first condition means that  $\Phi(C)$  lies on  $UE(P)$ , the upper envelope of the lifting cones of the vertices of  $CH(P)$ , within the face corresponding to vertex  $p_1$ . At the same time, the center of  $C$  lies then on a Voronoi edge of  $CSVor(Q)$  equidistant from  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Suppose that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are internal points of two edges of  $Q$ , then in the space of circle  $\Phi(C)$  lies then on a segment belonging to a line determined according to Lemma 6. Similarly, if  $q_1$  or  $q_2$  are endpoints of segments of  $Q$ , the corresponding edge of  $CSVor(Q)$  is mapped in the space of circles to a segment of parabola or to a segment of hyperbola as stated in Lemmas 4 and 5. Thus, to find the largest separating circles which fulfill conditions 2, 2' or 2'', it is sufficient to scan, one by one, all the  $O(n)$  edges of  $CSVor(Q)$ . For each edge of  $CSVor(Q)$ , the segment of line, parabola or hyperbola which is the transformed of the largest circles centered on this edge is intersected with the envelope  $UE(P)$ . The hierarchical representation of  $UE(P)$  is used for this purpose. Each point of intersection corresponding to a circle which verify one of the conditions 2, 2' or 2'' is reported as a largest separating circles.

### Algorithm All Largest Separating Circles

**Input:** Two sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$  with a total of  $n$  segments meeting only at their endpoints.

**Output:** All largest separating circles  $C$ , with  $P$  inside,  $Q$  outside and at least three contact points.

1. Compute  $FSVor(P)$  the furthest site Voronoi diagram of the vertices of the convex hull  $CH(P)$  of set  $P$ ; compute  $UE(P)$  the representation of  $FSVor(P)$  in the space of circles.
2. Compute the hierarchical representation of  $UE(P)$ .
3. Compute  $CSVor(Q)$ , the closest site Voronoi diagram of the set  $Q$ .
4. **for** each vertex  $v$  of  $CSVor(Q)$ 
  - 4.1. Compute the distance  $d(v, Q)$  from  $v$  to  $Q$ .
  - 4.2. Locate  $v$  in a face of  $FSVor(P)$  and compute  $d(v, FSVor(P))$ , the distance from  $v$  to the furthest vertex of  $P$ .
  - 4.3. **if**  $d(v, Q) \geq d(v, FSVor(P))$  **and** one of the conditions 1, 1' or 1'' holds for circle  $C$  centered in  $v$  with radius  $d(v, Q)$  **then Output**( $C$ ).
5. **for** each edge  $e$  of  $CSVor(Q)$ 
  - 5.1. Compute a curve segment  $z$  in the space of circles corresponding to largest circles centered on  $e$  and externally tangent to  $Q$  and the curve  $\zeta$  (line, parabola or hyperbola) supporting  $z$ .
  - 5.2. Compute  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , the two intersections of  $\zeta$  with  $UE(CH(P))$  if they exist.
  - 5.3. **for**  $i = 1, 2$  **if**  $x_i \in z$  **and**  $x_i$  is the image of a circle  $C_i$  such that conditions 2, 2' or 2'' hold **then Output**( $C_i$ ).

### End of the Algorithm

Correctness of the algorithm is obvious considering Lemmas 1, 1(bis) and the previous discussion.

## 6 Complexity of the Algorithm.

The computation of the furthest site Voronoi diagram in step 1 takes  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space by well known algorithms, see for example [Kir79]. To obtain in linear time the upper envelope  $UE(P)$ , each of  $O(n)$  faces of  $FSVor(P)$  is transformed into a portion of a cone, and each of the  $O(n)$  edges of  $FSVor(S(P))$  is transformed into a segment of hyperbola.

The hierarchical representation of  $UE(P)$  from step 2 is computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space by Theorem 3.

The Voronoi diagram of the set of line segments from step 3 is computed within  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space using one of the well known algorithms (see for ex. [LD81]).

The for loop from step 4 is run  $O(n)$  times. Step 4.1 takes a constant time. The point location in the planar map  $FSVor(CH(P))$  from step 4.2 takes  $O(\log n)$  time, for example using the technique from [Kir83]. Observe that a hierarchical representation of  $FSVor(P)$ , needed for this approach is implied by the structure computed in step 2. In step 4.3, in time proportional to the degree of vertex  $v$  we check whether one of the conditions 1, 1' or 1'' is met. Over all iterations of the for loop the complexity of step 4.3 is  $O(n)$ . Thus the total complexity of the for loop from step 4 is  $O(n \log n)$ .

Similarly, the for loop from step 5 is executed  $O(n)$  times. Depending on the case, the curve segment  $z$  needed in step 5.1 is computed using one of the lemmas 4, 5 or 6. By Theorem 3, there are at most two intersections of  $z$  with  $UE(CH(P))$  and they may be computed in  $O(\log n)$  time. In constant time we then check in step 5.3 if the candidate circle meets one of the conditions 2, 2' or 2'' in order to report it as a largest separating circle. We conclude that the loop 5 takes  $O(n \log n)$  time.

We have thus proved

**Theorem 7** *For two sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$  with a total of  $n$  segments meeting only at their endpoints, it is possible to compute in  $O(n \log n)$  time and  $O(n)$  space all largest circles separating  $P$  and  $Q$ .*

Once all largest separating circles have been reported, the largest one can be easily found comparing their radii.

In order to show the optimality of our result we sketch the proof of a  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound of our problem given in [OKM86]. It is obtained by reduction of the maximum gap problem for which the  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound was established in the linear decision-tree model of computation [MT85]. Let  $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a set of






Figure 3: The lower bound example

points on the real line between  $x_{min}$  and  $x_{max}$  for which the maximum gap must be computed, i.e. the pair of consecutive points of  $X$  maximizing the distance between them. Let set  $Q$  contain  $n$  line segments, each one extending between the points  $(x_i, -1)$  and  $(x_i, 0)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and the  $(n + 1)$ -th segment  $s$  extending between the points  $(x_{min}, x_{max} - x_{min})$  and  $(x_{max}, x_{max} - x_{min})$ . Let  $P$  be a single point of coordinates  $(\frac{x_{min} + x_{max}}{2}, \frac{x_{max} - x_{min}}{2})$ . Clearly, the largest circle separating  $P$  and  $Q$  is tangent to  $s$  and passes through segments at  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  defining the maximum gap in  $X$  (see Figure 3).

It follows from the algorithm that there is at most  $O(n)$  largest separating circles with at least three contact points. Indeed, for each of  $O(n)$  vertices of  $CSVor(Q)$  there is at most one such candidate separating circle, and for each of  $O(n)$  edges of  $CSVor(Q)$  there are at most two candidate circles. The above example where values of  $X$  are equally spaced shows that there are sets  $P$  and  $Q$  actually admitting  $O(n)$  largest separating circles.

## 7 Conclusions.

The paper gives an efficient algorithm for the problem of finding all largest circles separating two given sets of line segments. The solution is proven optimal in the linear decision-tree model of computation. However, for the general algebraic tree

model, the lower bound for the maximum gap problem is not known so far. Thus in this model of computation the optimality of our solution remains open.

Note that the previous discussion does not imply an  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound for the problem of the largest circle separating two given polygons. Indeed, it is not possible to build in linear time a polygon using the set of line segments from Figure 3.

It was supposed in this paper that the line segments meet only at their endpoints. For two arbitrary sets of segments we can obtain  $\Omega(n^2)$  points of intersection. However, the following corollary states that we can tackle the problem of largest circles separating two arbitrary sets of line segments in less than quadratic time.

**Corollary 8** *For two sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$  containing a total of  $n$  segments, it is possible to compute in  $O(n\alpha(n) \log^2 n)$  deterministic time or in  $O(n\alpha(n) \log n)$  randomized time and  $O(n\alpha(n))$  space all locally largest circles separating  $P$  and  $Q$ .*

To prove this, observe that if there exists a circle  $C$  separating two sets of line segments  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $P$  lying inside and  $Q$  lying outside  $C$ , it is sufficient to take into consideration separation of the external cell of the arrangement of line segments of set  $P$ , and, containing it, a single cell of the arrangement of line segments of  $Q$ . By [EGS90], the complexity of such cell is at most  $O(n\alpha(n))$  and it may be computed in  $O(n\alpha(n) \log^2 n)$  deterministic time [CEG<sup>+</sup>93] or in  $O(n\alpha(n) \log n)$  randomized time [dBDS94]. Once both cells are computed, we can apply our algorithm to  $O(n\alpha(n))$  portions of line segments which do not meet outside their endpoints.

An interesting open problem is to extend the algorithm onto some other classes of objects like, for example, circles or figures bounded by line segments and circular arcs.

The original approach of the hierarchical representation (see [Kir83]) was applied to the problem of intersection of convex polyhedra (envelopes of planes in three dimensions) by query lines. The approach presented here applied this idea to the upper envelopes of some families of cones. Moreover, not only lines are used as the intersection queries, but also some other curves as parabola and hyperbola. It is of independent interest to extend this idea to some other families of surfaces.

## References

- [ABO<sup>+</sup>89] A. Aggarwal, H. Booth, J. O'Rourke, S. Suri, and C. K. Yap. Finding minimal convex nested polygons. *Inform. Comput.*, 83(1):98–110, October 1989.

- [BCDY95] J.-D. Boissonnat, J. Czyzowicz, O. Devillers, and M. Yvinec. Circular separability of polygon. In *Proc. 6th ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. 1995.
- [BG94] H. Brönnimann and M. T. Goodrich. Almost optimal set covers in finite vc-dimension. In *Proc. 10th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 293–302, 1994.
- [Bha88] B. K. Bhattacharya. Circular separability of planar point sets. In G. T. Toussaint, editor, *Computational Morphology*, pages 25–39. North-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1988.
- [CEG<sup>+</sup>93] B. Chazelle, H. Edelsbrunner, L. Guibas, M. Sharir, and J. Snoeyink. Computing a face in an arrangement of line segments and related problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 22:1286–1302, 1993.
- [dBDS94] M. de Berg, K. Dobrindt, and O. Schwarzkopf. On lazy randomized incremental construction. In *Proc. 26th Annu. ACM Sympos. Theory Comput.*, pages 105–114, 1994.
- [DJ90] G. Das and D. Joseph. The complexity of minimum convex nested polyhedra. In *Proc. 2nd Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom.*, pages 296–301, 1990.
- [DK85] D. P. Dobkin and D. G. Kirkpatrick. A linear algorithm for determining the separation of convex polyhedra. *J. Algorithms*, 6:381–392, 1985.
- [EGS90] H. Edelsbrunner, L. Guibas, and M. Sharir. The complexity of many cells in arrangements of planes and related problems. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5:197–216, 1990.
- [EP88] H. Edelsbrunner and F. P. Preparata. Minimum polygonal separation. *Inform. Comput.*, 77:218–232, 1988.
- [Fis86] S. Fisk. Separating points by circles and the recognition of digital discs. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 8(4):554–556, 1986.
- [KA84] C. E. Kim and T. Anderson. Digital disks and a digital compactness measure. In *Proc. 16th Annu. ACM Sympos. Theory Comput.*, pages 117–124, 1984.

- 
- [Kir79] D. G. Kirkpatrick. Efficient computation of continuous skeletons. In *Proc. 20th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 18–27, 1979.
- [Kir83] D. G. Kirkpatrick. Optimal search in planar subdivisions. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 12:28–35, 1983.
- [LD81] D. T. Lee and R. L. Drysdale, III. Generalization of Voronoi diagrams in the plane. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10:73–87, 1981.
- [Meg84] N. Megiddo. Linear programming in linear time when the dimension is fixed. *J. ACM*, 31:114–127, 1984.
- [Mou92] D. M. Mount. Intersection detection and separators for simple polygons. In *Proc. 8th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 303–311, 1992.
- [MS92] J. S. B. Mitchell and S. Suri. Separation and approximation of polyhedral surfaces. In *Proc. 3rd ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms*, pages 296–306, 1992.
- [MT85] U. Manber and M. Tompa. The complexity of problems on probabilistic, nondeterministic, and alternating decision trees. *J. ACM*, 32(3):720–732, 1985.
- [OKM86] J. O’Rourke, S. R. Kosaraju, and N. Megiddo. Computing circular separability. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 1:105–113, 1986.



---

Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois, Campus scientifique,  
615 rue du Jardin Botanique, BP 101, 54600 VILLERS LÈS NANCY  
Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes, Irisa, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu, 35042 RENNES Cedex  
Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes, 46 avenue Félix Viallet, 38031 GRENOBLE Cedex 1  
Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt, Domaine de Voluceau, Rocquencourt, BP 105, 78153 LE CHESNAY Cedex  
Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, 2004 route des Lucioles, BP 93, 06902 SOPHIA-ANTIPOLIS Cedex

---

Éditeur  
INRIA, Domaine de Voluceau, Rocquencourt, BP 105, 78153 LE CHESNAY Cedex (France)  
ISSN 0249-6399