



Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence

Abdel Berkaoui, Mireille Bossy, Awa Diop

► To cite this version:

Abdel Berkaoui, Mireille Bossy, Awa Diop. Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence. [Research Report] RR-5637, 2005, 14 p. inria-00000176v1

HAL Id: inria-00000176

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00000176v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2005 (v1), last revised 10 Jan 2006 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence

Abdel Berkaoui — Mireille Bossy — Awa Diop

N° 5637

Juillet 2005

Thème NUM

 ***rapport
de recherche***



Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence

Abdel Berkaoui^{*}, Mireille Bossy[†], Awa Diop[†]

Thème NUM — Systèmes numériques
Projets Oméga

Rapport de recherche n° 5637 — Juillet 2005 — 14 pages

Abstract: We consider one-dimensional stochastic differential equations in the particular case of diffusion coefficient functions of the form $|x|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$. In that case, we study the rate of convergence of a symmetrized version of the Euler scheme. This symmetrized version is easy to simulate on a computer. We prove its strong convergence and obtain the same rate of convergence as when the coefficients are Lipschitz.

Key-words: Euler scheme, strong error, CIR process, Hull-White process, SABR processes.

^{*} Dept of Statistics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill road, Coventry CV4 7AL – UK

[†] INRIA, OMEGA

Schéma d'Euler pour des EDS à coefficients de diffusion non lipschitziens : convergence forte

Résumé : On s'intéresse à la discrétisation d'EDS unidimensionnelles, dans le cas particulier d'un coefficient de diffusion de la forme $|x|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$. Dans ce cas, on étudie la vitesse de convergence d'un schéma d'Euler symétrisé. Le schéma reste simple à simuler sur un ordinateur. On démontre la convergence forte du schéma : on obtient la même vitesse de convergence que dans le cas de coefficients lipschitziens.

Mots-clés : Schéma d'Euler, erreur forte, processus de CIR, processus d'Hull-White, processus SABR.

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to present an original technique for the strong convergence rate analysis of discretization schemes applied to SDE's with non Lipschitz diffusion coefficients. To treat the non Lipschitz property of the coefficient, we use a stochastic time change inspired from Berkaoui [2]. We restrict ourselves to one dimensional SDE's, with diffusion coefficients of the form $\sigma(x) = |x|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$ and we analyze the strong rate of convergence of the symmetrized Euler scheme.

More precisely, we consider $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the $\mathbb{R}$ -valued process solution to the following one-dimensional Itô stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \sigma \int_0^t |X_s|^\alpha dW_s,$$

where $x_0 \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ are given constants and $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on a given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the Brownian filtration. To ensure the existence of such process, we state the following hypotheses:

(H) $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$ and there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\begin{aligned} b(0) &> 0, \\ \text{and } |b(x) - b(y)| &\leq K|x - y|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Under hypotheses (H), strong existence and uniqueness holds for the previous equation (see e.g. [10]). Moreover, as $b(x) \geq -Kx$, using comparison results one can show that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is valued in $[0, +\infty)$. Then $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the unique strong solution to

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \sigma \int_0^t X_s^\alpha dW_s. \tag{1.1}$$

Simulation schemes for (1.1) are motivated by applications in Finance where equations like (1.1) models the dynamics of the short term interest rate : we refer to the Cox, Ingersoll and Ross model [5], the Hull and White model [9] or the *SABR*-models [8]. The solution processes are positive. This is one of the reasons to introduce these models in practice. By using the classical Euler scheme, one cannot define a positive approximation process.

We study a symmetrized Euler scheme for (1.1), which preserves the sign of the solution and which can be simulated easily. The symmetrized Euler scheme, introduce to treat reflected stochastic differential equations, leads to a weak convergence rate of order one, for Lipschitz coefficients (see [4]). The convergence in the weak sense of the present scheme for equation (1.1), has already been studied by Bossy and Diop in [3],

In this work, we aim to study the L^p -convergence rate, which required original arguments to face the fact that the diffusion coefficient is not globally Lipschitz.

In section 2, we describe the time discretization scheme for $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$. In this particular case, symmetrized Euler scheme means that we take the absolute value of the classical Euler

scheme. In section 3, we prove the convergence. When the coefficients are globally Lipschitz, results on the convergence in $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm for the Euler scheme are well known. A classical technique to obtain the convergence rate consists in applying the Itô formula to the function x^{2p} and the error approximation process $\mathcal{E}_t = |X_t - \bar{X}_t|$ and using the Lipschitz property of the coefficients (see [7]).

Here, we replace the Itô formula by the Itô–Tanaka formula, in order to deal with the absolute value of the classical Euler scheme. When $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, we obtain the usual rate of convergence in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Delta t})$. We prove the same when $\alpha = 1/2$ on stronger hypothesis on the drift coefficient. Let us mention two close works. First, Deelstra and Delbaen in [6] give a strong rate of convergence using Yamada’s method for a quite similar scheme. Second, Alfonsi in [1] analyses the (strong and weak) rate of convergence of some implicit schemes (with analytical solution) in the special case of the CIR process and compare them numerically with the Deelstra and Delbaen scheme’s and the present one.

2 On the symmetrized Euler scheme for (1.1)

In all what follows, we assume (H) even if this is no more explicitly mentioned.

2.1 The scheme and notations

Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be given by (1.1). For a fixed time $T > 0$, we define a discretization scheme $(\bar{X}_{t_k}, k = 0, \dots, N)$ by

$$\begin{cases} \bar{X}_0 = x_0 > 0, \\ \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}} = \left| \bar{X}_{t_k} + b(\bar{X}_{t_k})\Delta t + \sigma \bar{X}_{t_k}^\alpha (W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}) \right|, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where N denotes the number of discretization times $t_k = k\Delta t$ and $\Delta t > 0$ is a constant time step such that $N\Delta t = T$.

In the following, we use the time continuous version $(\bar{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$:

$$\bar{X}_t = \left| \bar{X}_{\eta(t)} + (t - \eta(t))b(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}) + \sigma \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^\alpha (W_t - W_{\eta(t)}) \right|, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\eta(s) = \sup_{k \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \{t_k; t_k \leq s\}$. The process $(\bar{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ takes positive values. Using Tanaka’s formula, we can easily show, by induction on each subinterval $[t_k, t_{k+1})$, for $k = 0$ to $N-1$, that $(\bar{X}_t)$ is a continuous semi martingale with a continuous local time $(L_t^0(\bar{X}))$ at point 0. Indeed, for any $t \in [0, T]$, if we set

$$\bar{Z}_t = \bar{X}_{\eta(t)} + b(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)})(t - \eta(t)) + \sigma \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^\alpha (W_t - W_{\eta(t)}), \quad (2.3)$$

then, $\bar{X}_t = |\bar{Z}_t|$ and

$$\bar{X}_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) ds + \sigma \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) \bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha dW_s + L_t^0(\bar{X}), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\text{sgn}(x) := 1 - 2\mathbb{1}_{(x \leq 0)}$.

The following lemma ensures the existence of all positives moments of $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and $(\bar{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$:

Lemma 2.1 *For any $x_0 > 0$, for any $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C(p)$, depending on p , but also on the parameters $b(0)$, K , σ , α and T , such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} X_t^{2p} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \bar{X}_t^{2p} \right) \leq C(p)(1 + x_0^{2p}). \quad (2.5)$$

The proof can be found in [3]

2.2 Strong convergence

Theorem 2.2 *Consider $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, the solution of (1.1) and $(\bar{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, the corresponding symmetrized Euler scheme given by (2.1). Let Δt satisfying $\Delta t \leq 1/(2K)$.*

- i) *For all $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, for all $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C(T, p)$ depending on α , σ , b , T and x_0 , increasing in T and p , such that*

$$\left[\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^{2p} \right]^{1/2p} \leq C(T, p) \sqrt{\Delta t}. \quad (2.6)$$

- ii) *When $\alpha = 1/2$, the estimate (2.6) holds if we assume also that*

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{8} \left(\frac{2b(0)}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right)^2 > \mathcal{K}(2p), \quad (2.7)$$

with $\mathcal{K}(p) = K(4p - 1) \vee (4\sigma\alpha(2p - 1))^2$.

We emphasize the difference between the situation $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$. Let $\tau_0 = \inf\{t \geq 0; X_t = 0\}$. When $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 > 0$, Feller's test on process (X_t) shows that it is enough to suppose $b(0) > 0$ as in (H) to ensure that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_0 = \infty) = 1$. When $\alpha = 1/2$, $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfies the equation

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \sigma \int_0^t \sqrt{X_s} dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2.8)$$

When $b(x)$ is of the form $a - bx$, with $a > 0$, (X_t) is the classical CIR process. When $b(x) = a > 0$, (X_t) is the square of a Bessel process. When $x_0 > 0$, for any drift $b(x)$ satisfying (H) and $b(0) \geq \sigma^2/2$, using the classical comparison lemma and Feller's test, one can show that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_0 = \infty) = 1$. Moreover, when $b(0) \geq \sigma^2/2$, we are able to control the exponential inverse moment of the CIR-like process (X_t) (see Lemma 3.1 below). the constraint (2.7) on $b(0)$ and σ is stronger than $b(0) \geq \sigma^2/2$ due to the particular use of Lemma 3.1. in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

3 Proof

In all the sequel, C denotes a positive constant depending on p or on the parameters b , σ , α and x_0 of the model but not on Δt . We also use the following notation

$$\mathcal{O}_{\exp}(\Delta t) = \exp\left(-\frac{C}{\Delta t^\beta}\right), \text{ for some } \beta > 0.$$

3.1 Preliminaries

In this subsection, we gather a few results on exponential moments of (X) and on the behavior of the approximation processes $(\bar{X})$ and $(\bar{Z})$ visiting zero. Those results are crucial in the proof of convergence.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be the solution of (1.1) with $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. For all $\mu \geq 0$, there exists a positive constant $C(T, \mu)$, increasing in μ and T , depending also on b , σ , α and x_0 such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\mu \int_0^T \frac{ds}{X_s^{2(1-\alpha)}}\right) \leq C(T, \mu). \quad (3.1)$$

When $\alpha = 1/2$, Inequality (3.1) holds if $\mu \leq \frac{\nu^2 \sigma^2}{8}$ with $\nu = \left(\frac{2b(0)}{\sigma^2} - 1\right) \geq 0$.

The proof can be found in [3].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $(\bar{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be the approximation process defined by (2.2) and $(\bar{Z}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ given by (2.3). Let $\Delta t \leq 1/(2K)$.*

- i) *If $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, then $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0) \leq \mathcal{O}_{\exp}(\Delta t)$.*
- ii) *If $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\Delta t \leq 1/(2K) \wedge x_0$, $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0) \leq C \left(\frac{\Delta t}{x_0}\right)^{\frac{b(0)}{\sigma^2}}$.*

Proof. Under (H), for any $x \geq 0$, $b(x) \geq b(0) - Kx$. Thus, using (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)} + (b(0) - K\bar{X}_{\eta(t)})(t - \eta(t)) + \sigma \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^\alpha (W_t - W_{\eta(t)}) \leq 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(W_t - W_{\eta(t)} \leq \frac{-\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}(1 - K(t - \eta(t))) - b(0)(t - \eta(t))}{\sigma \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^\alpha}, \bar{X}_{\eta(t)} > 0\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}(1 - K(t - \eta(t))) + b(0)(t - \eta(t)))^2}{2\sigma^2(t - \eta(t))\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{2\alpha}}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{\eta(t)} > 0\}}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

When $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, noting that $(1 - K\Delta t) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{8\sigma^2 \Delta t} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{b(0)}{2\sigma^2 \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{2\alpha-1}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{\eta(t)} > 0\}} \right].$$

We distinguish the events $(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)} \leq \sqrt{\Delta t})$ and $(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)} > \sqrt{\Delta t})$ and easily conclude that $\mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{exp}}(\Delta t)$.

When $\alpha = 1/2$, we deduce from the previous computation that

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0 / \bar{X}_{\eta(t)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}}{8\sigma^2 \Delta t} \right).$$

Lemma 3.5 in [3] ensures that, for any $\gamma \geq 1$

$$\sup_{k \leq N} \mathbb{E} \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{X}_{t_k}}{\gamma \sigma^2 \Delta t} \right) \leq C \left(\frac{\Delta t}{x_0} \right)^{\frac{2b(0)}{\sigma^2} (1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma})},$$

which ends the proof. ■

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

We start with estimating the local error of the scheme (2.2):

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\Pi_{[0,T]}$ be the set of all stopping times in $[0, T]$. For all $p \geq 1$ and all $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$, there exists a positive constant $C(p)$, depending on $b(0)$, σ , α , p , K and T but not on Δt such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} |\bar{X}_\tau - \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}|^{2p} \right\} \leq C(p) \Delta t^p.$$

Proof. Using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} |\bar{X}_\tau - \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}|^{2p} &\leq \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} \left| b(\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)})(\tau - \eta(\tau)) + \sigma \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}^\alpha (W_\tau - W_{\eta(\tau)}) \right|^{2p} \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} \Delta t^{2p} \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} |b(\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)})|^{2p} + 2^{2p-1} \sigma^{2p} \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}^{2p\alpha} \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} |W_\tau - W_{\eta(\tau)}|^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

But $|b(\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)})|^{2p} \leq 2^{2p-1} (b(0)^{2p} + K^{2p} |\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}|^{2p})$ and we easily conclude by using Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tau \in \Pi_{[0,T]}} |\bar{X}_\tau - \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau)}|^{2p} &\leq C 2^{2p-1} \Delta t^{2p} + C 2^{2p-1} \sigma^{2p} (\mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta \in \Pi_{[0,\Delta t]}} |W_\theta|^{4p})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(p) \Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

■

We define the process $(\gamma(t))_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$\gamma(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\left(X_s^{1-\alpha} + \bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^{1-\alpha}\right)^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\gamma(t)$ is well defined. Indeed, almost surely the process $(\bar{X})$ is positive and under (H) (and the condition $b(0) > \sigma^2/2$, when $\alpha = 1/2$), the process (X) is almost surely strictly positive.

Let τ_λ be the stopping time defined by

$$\tau_\lambda = \inf\{s \in [0, T], \gamma(s) + s \geq \lambda\}, \quad (3.3)$$

with $\inf \emptyset = T$. We begin the convergence analysis, considering the strong error at the stopping time τ_λ :

Lemma 3.4 *For all $\lambda \geq 0$ and all integer $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C(p)$ depending on $b(0)$, σ , α , p , K and T but not on Δt such that*

$$\mathbb{E}|\bar{X}_{\tau_\lambda} - X_{\tau_\lambda}|^{2p} \leq \exp(\mathcal{K}(p)\lambda)C(p)\Delta t^p \quad (3.4)$$

with $\mathcal{K}(p) = K(4p-1) \vee (4\sigma\alpha(2p-1))^2$.

Proof. The error process $(\mathcal{E}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ defined by $\mathcal{E}_t := \bar{X}_t - X_t$, satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_t = \int_0^t (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)})\text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - b(X_s)) ds + \sigma \int_0^t (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha) dW_s + L_t^0(\bar{X}).$$

For an arbitrary stopping time τ valued in $[0, T]$, we apply the Itô formula to $\mathcal{E}_t^{2p}$, between 0 and τ . As $\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} dL_s^0(\bar{X}) = \int_0^\tau (-X_s)^{2p-1} dL_s^0(\bar{X}) \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}_\tau|^{2p} &\leq 2p\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)})\text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - b(X_s)) ds \right] \\ &\quad + p(2p-1)\sigma^2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \text{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha)^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq 2p\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) - b(X_s)) ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p(2p-1)\sigma^2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha)^2 ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau \left\{ 2p(\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} |b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)})| + 2p(2p-1)\sigma^2 (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^{2\alpha} \right\} \mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Z}_s \leq 0\}} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.1, one can easily check that for any $\beta \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^\beta \left(|b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)})| + \bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^{2\alpha} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Z}_s \leq 0\}} ds \right] \leq C \sqrt{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0)}. \quad (3.5)$$

When $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, by Lemma 3.2, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_\tau|^{2p} &\leq 2p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) - b(X_s)) ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p(2p-1)\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds \right] + \mathcal{O}_{\text{exp}}(\Delta t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

When $\alpha = 1/2$, in the case of CIR-like processes, from Lemma 3.2 we only have

$$\sqrt{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_t \leq 0)} \leq C \Delta t^{\frac{b(0)}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

When we sum up the case $\alpha = 1/2$ and $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_\tau|^{2p} &\leq 2p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) - b(X_s)) ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p(2p-1)\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds \right] + C \Delta t^{\frac{b(0)}{2\sigma^2} \wedge p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the case $\alpha = 1/2$, the convergence rate seems to decrease from Δt^p to $\Delta t^{\frac{b(0)}{2\sigma^2} \wedge p}$. This also occurs when one analyzes the weak convergence rate in [3]. Indeed, for smooth enough test function $f(x)$, one has that $|\mathbb{E}f(X_T) - \mathbb{E}f(\bar{X}_T)| \leq C \Delta t^{\frac{b(0)}{\sigma^2} \wedge 1}$ instead of $C \Delta t$, and such behavior is confirmed by numerical experiments (see [1]).

Here, due to constraint (2.7), we are in the situation where $\frac{b(0)}{2\sigma^2} \geq p$ and the convergence rate does not change when $\alpha = 1/2$. The reason to impose this so strong constraint (2.7) will appear later, when we will try to apply Lemma 3.1 in the case $\alpha = 1/2$, in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

In view of (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha)^2 ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha)^2 (X_s^{1-\alpha} + \bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^{1-\alpha})^2 d\gamma(s) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As, for all $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\forall x \geq 0, y \geq 0, \quad (x^\alpha - y^\alpha)(x^{1-\alpha} + y^{1-\alpha}) \leq 2\alpha|x - y|, \quad (3.8)$$

we deduce

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha)^2 ds \leq 4\alpha^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2(p-1)} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s)^2 d\gamma(s),$$

from which (3.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_\tau|^{2p} &\leq 2pK \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s| ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p(2p-1)\sigma^2 4\alpha^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2(p-1)} (\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s)^2 d\gamma(s) \right] + C\Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that for $r = 1, 2$,

$$(\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-r} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s|^r \leq (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-r} (\mathcal{E}_s + |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|)^r \leq 2^{r-1} (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-r} ((\mathcal{E}_s)^r + |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|^r).$$

By the Young Inequality we also have

$$(\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-r} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|^r \leq \frac{2p-r}{2p} (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p} + \frac{r}{2p} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|^{2p}$$

so that

$$(\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-r} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s|^r \leq r(1 + \frac{2p-r}{2p}) (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p} + \frac{r^2}{2p} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|^{2p}, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_\tau|^{2p} &\leq \mathcal{K}(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p} d(s + \gamma(s)) \right] \\ &\quad + [K \vee (2p-1)(4\alpha\sigma)^2] \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\tau |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - \bar{X}_s|^{2p} d(s + \gamma(s)) \right] + C\Delta t^p, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{K}(p) = K(4p-1) \vee (4\sigma\alpha(2p-1))^2$.

Now we choose $\tau = \tau_\lambda$ defined in (3.3). Noting that $\tau_\lambda + \gamma(\tau_\lambda) = \lambda$, we apply the change of time $u = s + \gamma(s)$ in the above integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{\tau_\lambda}|^{2p} &\leq \mathcal{K}(p) \left[\int_0^\lambda \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u}|^{2p} du \right] \\ &\quad + [K \vee (2p-1)(4\alpha\sigma)^2] \left[\int_0^\lambda \mathbb{E} |\bar{X}_{\tau_u} - \bar{X}_{\tau_u}|^{2p} du \right] + C\Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{\tau_\lambda}|^{2p} &\leq C\Delta t^p \exp(\mathcal{K}(p)\lambda) \\ &\quad + [K \vee (2p-1)(4\alpha\sigma)^2] \int_0^\lambda \mathbb{E} |\bar{X}_{\tau_u} - \bar{X}_{\tau_u}|^{2p} \exp[\mathcal{K}(p)(\lambda - u)] du \end{aligned}$$

and finally we use the local error estimate in Lemma 3.3 to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{E}_{\tau_\lambda}|^{2p} \leq \exp(\mathcal{K}(p)\lambda) C(p) \Delta t^p.$$

■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We proceed as before to get for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq 2p \int_0^t (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - b(X_s)) ds \\ &\quad + p(2p-1) \sigma^2 \int_0^t (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2p\sigma \int_0^t (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha \right) dW_s \end{aligned}$$

and by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq 2p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} (b(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - b(X_s)) ds \right] \\ &\quad + p(2p-1) \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p\sigma \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{4p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{Z}_s) - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds}. \end{aligned}$$

We again use (3.5) and Lemma 3.2, (together with the condition (2.7) when $\alpha = 1/2$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq 2pK \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} |\bar{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s| ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2p(2p-1) \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds \right] \\ &\quad + 8p\sigma \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{4p-2} \left(\bar{X}_{\eta(s)}^\alpha - X_s^\alpha \right)^2 ds} + C \Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

We now again use (3.2) and (3.8). It comes :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq 2pK \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-1} |\overline{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s| ds \right] \\ &\quad + 8p(2p-1)\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p-2} (\overline{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s)^2 d\gamma(s) \right] \\ &\quad + 16p\sigma\alpha \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{4p-2} (\overline{X}_{\eta(s)} - X_s)^2 d\gamma(s)} + C\Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

We use the upper-bound (3.9) in each of the three terms above:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq J_1(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{2p} d(\gamma(s) + s) \right] \\ &\quad + J_2(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\overline{X}_{\eta(s)} - \overline{X}_s|^{2p} d(\gamma(s) + s) \right] \\ &\quad + J_3(p) \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\mathcal{E}_s)^{4p} d\gamma(s)} \\ &\quad + J_4(p) \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\overline{X}_{\eta(s)} - \overline{X}_s|^{4p} d\gamma(s)} + C\Delta t^p, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(p) &= \mathcal{K}(p), & J_2(p) &= [K \vee (2p-1)(4\alpha\sigma)^2] \\ J_3(p) &= 16\sigma\alpha\sqrt{p(4p-1)}, & J_4(p) &= 16\sigma\alpha\sqrt{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we apply the change of time $u = s + \gamma(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} &\leq J_1(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} (\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{2p} du \right] \\ &\quad + J_2(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} |\overline{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)} - X_{\tau_u}|^{2p} du \right] \\ &\quad + J_3(p) \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} (\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{4p} du} \\ &\quad + J_4(p) \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} |\overline{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)} - X_{\tau_u}|^{4p} du} + C\Delta t^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} (\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{2p} du \right] &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\gamma(T)+T \geq u\}} (\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{2p} \right) du \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) + T \geq u)]^{1/2} [\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{4p}]^{1/2} du \\ &\leq C(p) \Delta t^p \left[T \exp(T\mathcal{K}(p)) + \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) \geq u)]^{1/2} \exp(u\mathcal{K}(p)) du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} |\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)} - \bar{X}_{\tau_u}|^{2p} du \right] &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\gamma(T)+T \geq u\}} (\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)} - \bar{X}_{\tau_u})^{2p} \right) du \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) + T \geq u)]^{1/2} [\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{\tau_u} - \bar{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)})^{4p}]^{1/2} du \\ &\leq C(p) \Delta t^p \left[T + \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) \geq u)]^{1/2} du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed similarly to bound $\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} (\mathcal{E}_{\tau_u})^{4p} du}$ and $\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_0^{\gamma(T)+T} |\bar{X}_{\eta(\tau_u)} - X_{\tau_u}|^{4p} du}$ from above. We thus get

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\mathcal{E}_t|^{2p} \leq C(p, T) \Delta t^p \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) \geq u)]^{1/2} \exp(u\mathcal{K}(2p)) du.$$

To finish the proof, we have to show that $u \longrightarrow [\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) \geq u)]^{1/2} \exp(u\mathcal{K}(2p)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By the Markov inequality, we observe that, for $\mu > 0$,

$$[\mathbb{P}(\gamma(T) \geq u)]^{1/2} \leq \exp(-\mu u) (\mathbb{E}[\exp(2\mu\gamma(T))])^{1/2}.$$

We choose $\mu > \mathcal{K}(2p)$. Moreover, in view of the definition of $\gamma(T)$ and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(2\mu\gamma(T))] \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left(2\mu \int_0^T \frac{ds}{X_s^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) \leq C(T, \mu),$$

Note that in the case $\alpha = 1/2$, the constraint (2.7) allows us to choose $\mu \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{8} \left(\frac{2b(0)}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right)^2$ as required in Lemma 3.1. ■

References

- [1] A. ALFONSI. On the discretization schemes for the CIR (and Bessel squared) processes. *Preprint*, 2005.
- [2] A. BERKAOUI. On the discretization of the solution of one dimensional reflected stochastic differential equation. *Preprint*, 2002.
- [3] M. BOSSY and A. DIOP. An efficient discretization scheme for one dimensional SDEs with a diffusion coefficient function of the form $|x|^a$, a in $[1/2, 1)$. Technical report, INRIA, Décembre 2004. preprint RR-5396.
- [4] M. BOSSY, E. GOBET, and D. TALAY. A symmetrized Euler scheme for an efficient approximation of reflected diffusions. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 41(3):877–889, 2004.
- [5] J. COX, J.E. INGERSOLL, and S.A. ROSS. A theory of the term structure of the interest rates. *Econometrica*, 53, 1985.
- [6] G. DEELSTRA and F. DELBAEN. Convergence of discretized stochastic (interest rate) processes with stochastic drift term. *Appl. Stochastic Models Data Anal.*, 14(1):77–84, 1998.
- [7] O. FAURE. *Simulation du Mouvement Brownien et des Diffusions*. PhD thesis, Ecole Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, 1992.
- [8] P.S. HAGAN, D. KUMAR, A.S. LESNIEWSKI, and D.E. WOODWARD. Managing smile risk. *WILMOTT Magazine*, September, 2002.
- [9] J.C. HULL and A. WHITE. Pricing interest-rate derivative securities. *Rev. Finan. Stud.*, 3:573–592, 1990.
- [10] I. KARATZAS and S.E. SHREVE. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.



Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis
2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

Éditeur
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)
<http://www.inria.fr>
ISSN 0249-6399