

PEARL-SCALLOP: Parameter Extension Applicable in Real Life for SCALLOP

Bill Allombert, Jean-François Biasse, Jonathan Komada Eriksen, Péter Kutas, Chris Leonardi, Aurel Page, Renate Scheidler, Marton Tot Bagi

▶ To cite this version:

Bill Allombert, Jean-François Biasse, Jonathan Komada Eriksen, Péter Kutas, Chris Leonardi, et al.. PEARL-SCALLOP: Parameter Extension Applicable in Real Life for SCALLOP. 2024. hal-04755827

HAL Id: hal-04755827 https://inria.hal.science/hal-04755827v1

Preprint submitted on 5 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



PEARL-SCALLOP: Parameter Extension Applicable in Real Life for SCALLOP

Bill Allombert¹, Jean-François Biasse², Jonathan Komada Eriksen³, Péter Kutas^{4,5}, Chris Leonardi⁶, Aurel Page¹, Renate Scheidler⁷, Márton Tot Bagi⁴

Inria, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, IMB, UMR5251, Talence, France
 University of South Florida, USA
 KU Leuven, Belgium
 Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary
 University of Birmingham, UK
 ISARA Corporation, Waterloo, Canada
 University of Calgary, Canada

Abstract. A crucial ingredient for many cryptographic primitives such as key exchange protocols and advanced signature schemes is a commutative group action where the structure of the underlying group can be computed efficiently. SCALLOP provides such a group action, based on oriented supersingular elliptic curves. We present PEARL-SCALLOP, a variant of SCALLOP that changes several parameter and design choices, thereby improving on both efficiency and security and enabling feasible parameter generation for larger security levels. Within the SCALLOP framework, our parameters are essentially optimal; the orientation is provided by a 2^e -isogeny, where 2^e is roughly equal to the discriminant of the acting class group.

As an important subroutine we present a practical algorithm for generating oriented supersingular elliptic curves. To demonstrate our improvements, we provide a proof-of-concept implementation which instantiates PEARL-SCALLOP at all relevant security levels. Our timings are more than an order of magnitude faster than any previous implementation.

1 Introduction

Isogeny-based cryptography dates back to Couveignes' seminal work [27] where he introduced the concept of hard homogeneous spaces, which are today often referred to as cryptographic group actions [1], as a quantum-resistant alternative to the usual Diffie-Hellman key exchange [34]. Cryptographic group actions are a useful tool for designing cryptographic primitives reminiscent of discrete logarithm-based primitives that are post-quantum secure. Rostovtsev and Stolbunov [51] rediscovered Couveignes' ideas and the resulting scheme is now dubbed the CRS key exchange. The CRS key exchange utilizes the group action of certain class groups of imaginary quadratic orders on the set of ordinary elliptic curves. The security of the scheme relies on the hardness of inverting the group action. Unfortunately, constructions based on ordinary curves are rather slow.

A breakthrough in this direction was CSIDH [20], where the key idea is to replace ordinary elliptic curves by supersingular ones defined over \mathbb{F}_p . On this set of curves, there is a natural group action of the class group of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ that can be utilized to build a key exchange.

De Feo and Galbraith constructed a signature scheme combining CSIDH with the Fiat-Shamir scheme with aborts in a technique called SeaSign [30]. The difficulty (and hence inefficiency) of CSIDH-based signatures is that for cryptographically sized parameters it is hard to compute the structure of the class group. For CSIDH-512, Beullens, Kleinjung and Vercauteren computed the structure of the class group using a record-breaking computation which they then applied to build the signature scheme CSi-FiSh [11]. The framework of CSi-FiSh can also be applied to build threshold signatures [32], ring signatures [10], group signatures [9] and many more cryptographic primitives.

Unfortunately, due to [48] and [15], it is unclear whether CSIDH-512 (and thus CSi-FiSh) achieves NIST level I security, so it is important to have instantiations with larger parameters. Even though CSIDH easily generalizes to higher security levels, CSi-FiSh would require class group computations that are out of reach for current algorithms and computational resources. SeaSign does scale for larger parameter sets but is highly impractical.

The notion of an orientation of an elliptic curve by an arbitrary imaginary quadratic order was introduced to cryptography by Colò and Kohel in their OSIDH protocol [26]. Recently De Feo, Fouotsa, Kutas, Leroux, Merz, Panny and Wesolowski proposed SCALLOP [39] which is a cryptographic group action different from CSIDH/CSi-FiSh that builds on the notion of an orientation.

The key idea of SCALLOP is to use a supersingular elliptic curve oriented by a non-maximal order of large prime conductor in a quadratic number field of small class number, such as prime conductor suborders of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. The class number of this order can be calculated easily using a standard formula relating the two class numbers. Then computing the structure of the class group reduces to computing certain discrete logarithms in said class groups. By carefully generating parameters, this allows for an implementation of signature schemes for security levels comparable to CSIDH-512 and CSIDH-1024 without the need to use particularly large resources (i.e., pre-computations can be carried out on a laptop). However, from the original construction, it is somewhat unclear whether SCALLOP can be instantiated for security levels comparable to CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096, and SCALLOP is significantly slower than CSIDH.

SCALLOP-HD is a variant of SCALLOP that uses higher dimensional tools developed in [29] to provide polynomial-time parameter generation. The reason is as follows. In SCALLOP, the natural generator of the order has non-smooth degree. In order to evaluate this endomorphism, it needs to be represented in a compact way. In SCALLOP this is done by writing it as a linear combination of 1 and a smooth degree endomorphism which is a non-trivial task in the parameter generation phase. SCALLOP-HD bypasses this obstacle by representing the isogeny using higher dimensional techniques.

1.1 Our contributions

We make different design choices compared to SCALLOP for security and efficiency purposes. We use a maximal order with a class number that is large but still efficiently computable (i.e., has a discriminant of roughly 256 bits). We also use a conductor defining a non-maximal order $\mathfrak O$ that is not smooth but also not prime; specifically, it is the product of a few, large primes. Choosing such a conductor defeats all the attacks already considered in SCALLOP and hence does not seem to pose a security threat. Furthermore, using a maximal order with a conductor of this form ensures that its class number will not be smooth, so the method is potentially more resistant against hidden shift attacks.

In the original SCALLOP, the conductor f is chosen to be prime and in such a way that $f\pm 1$ is smooth, in order to utilize the Pohlig-Hellmann algorithm for discrete logarithm computations. This makes the class group computation easy, but becomes hard to achieve for larger security levels. By switching to a product of large primes, we can reduce the class group computations to mid-size discrete logarithm computations in finite fields where this computation is efficient in practice.

The main benefit of this construction is that the group action evaluation is significantly faster than in SCALLOP and SCALLOP-HD. The extra flexibility in our parameter generation facilitates a representation of the orientation by an endomorphism whose degree is a power of 2. In this way, compared to SCALLOP-HD, we do not require higher dimensional isogeny representations and do not need to evaluate higher dimensional isogenies for translating the orientation; explicitly, we replace the $(2^e, 2^e)$ -isogenies with 2^e -isogenies. Furthermore, we can use odd degree isogenies in the group action evaluation. As a result, we do not encounter the expensive issue of SCALLOP where the norm of the ideal to be evaluated is not coprime to the norm of the endomorphism that represents the orientation.

As a subroutine, we design a more efficient algorithm for generating oriented elliptic curves together with the orientation. In theory, this can be accomplished in polynomial time using the maximal order to elliptic curve algorithm from [36] or its more practical variant [38]. However, for larger parameter sets, generating a supersingular elliptic curve with prescribed endomorphism ring is computationally very expensive.

Putting all of these ingredients together we propose a new SCALLOP variant, PEARL-SCALLOP, that we instantiate for the security levels comparable to CSIDH-512, CSIDH-1024 and CSIDH-1536, and demonstrate a significant practical speed-up, compared to SCALLOP and SCALLOP-HD. When defining security levels, we will always compare to versions of CSIDH (as the quantum bit security of Kuperberg's algorithm instantiated for class groups is debated). An implementation of PEARL-SCALLOP can be found in the repository https://www.github.com/biasse/SCALLOP-params.

1.2 Technical overview

Here, we give a more detailed analysis of our technical ideas and make a comparison between SCALLOP, SCALLOP-HD and PEARL-SCALLOP.

It is known [47] that one can instantiate class group actions with any orientation. However, there are three important requirements when designing efficient signature schemes and more advanced primitives:

- Security: Disclosing the orientation should not reveal too much information about the endomorphism ring of the curve;
- Efficient representation: The orientation should have an efficient representation that enables the the evaluation of the class group action;
- Efficiently computable class group structure.

CSIDH satisfies the first two criteria, but its class group structure (or even its class number) cannot be efficiently computed for larger security levels, as CSIDH-512 already entailed a record class group computation. The idea of SCALLOP is to use non-maximal orders of large conductor. In SCALLOP and SCALLOP-HD, the maximal quadratic order has small class number (in the proposed parameters it has class number 1). It would be natural to use a non-maximal order of smooth conductor, as in this case, the orientation would have an efficient representation and an oriented curve could be computed with a single smooth-degree isogeny evaluation. Unfortunately such a construction is insecure because of the following. Let ι be the endomorphism of the curve oriented by the maximal order. Then the orientation of the non-maximal order corresponds to an endomorphism of the form $\tau = \phi \circ \iota \hat{\phi}$. Now we can evaluate τ on any point of powersmooth degree and then recover ϕ using techniques developed in [33].

In order to avoid such an attack, one can use orders of non-smooth conductor. However, problems arise in satisfying the requirement outlined above. In terms of efficiently representing the orientation, in SCALLOP, this is achieved by using a smooth generator for the underlying order. Such a generator always exists, but making this construction practical is challenging. Finding a smooth generator usually takes subexponential time and the smoothness bounds are highly impractical, which significantly affects the runtime of the group action evaluation. Thus, in SCALLOP, one finds the smooth generator first and then tries to find a suitable conductor f. Since we need f-1 to be smooth, this places restrictions on the particular structure of f, which effects the biggest efficiency loss of SCALLOP.

SCALLOP-HD resorts to higher dimensional isogeny representation to address this issue. It uses a prime conductor f where $f\pm 1$ is smooth. This is easy as one can just simply choose a prime of the form $2^k 3^l h - 1$ where h is a small cofactor. This construction allows for polynomial-time class group computation and hence scales well for any security level. The minor drawback here is that one needs to use higher dimensional isogenies for evaluating the orientation and the class group has smooth order (which may or may not be a problem for certain applications or improved hidden shift attacks).

The idea of PEARL-SCALLOP is to use a non-maximal order of larger discriminant whose class group is still efficiently computable. We use conductors that are not prime but are not smooth, they are a product of a few primes, depending on the security level. We revisit the idea of representing orientations by smooth generators. The advantage now comes from the fact that we search simultaneously for a suitable conductor and the maximal order. Specifically, we find positive integers a and d such that $d + a^2$ (the norm of $a + \sqrt{-d}$) is a fixed power of 2 and the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$ in a small power of $a+\sqrt{-d}$ is the product of a few primes. This has two major benefits. First, our orientation is represented via an isogeny whose degree is a power of 2. Second, the class group computation reduces to computing the class group of the maximal order and discrete logarithm computations in moderate sized finite fields. This approach is faster than SCALLOP-HD but does not scale in polynomial time, as eventually the discrete logarithm computations will become too expensive. One extra benefit is that the class group will not have smooth degree as the maximal order has non-smooth discriminant and the prime factors of the conductor are not special primes.

One difficulty in using non-maximal orders of large class number is that is must be feasible to generate an oriented curve. This can be done in polynomial time but is extremely costly in practice, even for 1000-bit primes. Our new idea to make this construction more practical is as follows. Assume that we want to generate a curve oriented by $\mathfrak{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. First, we find a smooth positive integer g such that $\mathbb{Z}[g\omega]$ embeds into $\operatorname{End}(E_0)$ for the curve $E_0: y^2 = x^3 + x$. This involves solving a relatively simple Diophantine equation and it is known that every quadratic order embeds into $\operatorname{End}(E_0)$ if it embeds into $B_{p,\infty}$ and its discriminant is of size $\Omega(p^2)$. Using the efficient representation of $\operatorname{End}(E_0)$, one only needs to compute an ascending g-isogeny to arrive at a curve oriented by \mathfrak{O} . The efficiency gain comes from the fact that previous algorithms computed the orientation on the quaternion side first and executed a full maximal order to elliptic curve algorithm. Note that our technique could be interesting on its own or for further variants of SCALLOP.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall some necessary mathematical preliminaries and the high-level idea and design choices of SCAL-LOP [39]. In Section 3 we present our new framework and propose algorithms for generating parameters. In Section 4 we discuss the concrete instantiation, implementation challenges and our novel algorithm for generating suitable oriented curve (Algorithm 1).

1.3 Acknowledgements

This project started at the 2023 Banff workshop on isogeny-based cryptography, and we want to thank the organisers for a successful workshop. We also want to thank Gioella Lorenzon and Frederik Vercauteren for useful comments and feedback on an earlier version of this paper. B. Allombert and A. Page were supported by ANR CIAO ANR-19-CE48-0008 and PEPR Cryptanalyse

ANR-22-PECY-0010. R. Scheidler was supported NSERC of Canada, RGPIN-2019-04844. This research was supported by the Ministry of Culture and Innovation and the National Research, Development, and Innovation Office within the Quantum Information National Laboratory of Hungary (Grant No. 2022-2.1.1-NL-2022-00004). Péter Kutas is supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the UNKP-23-5 New National Excellence Program. Péter Kutas is also partly supported by EPSRC through grant number EP/V011324/1.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the main theoretical concepts needed for understanding SCALLOP, and the class group computation.

2.1 Supersingular elliptic curves and orientations

We begin with a brief review of the required background material on elliptic curves and their orientations. For details, we refer the reader to [39] and the sources cited therein.

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. For any elliptic curve $E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and any non-negative integer n, we denote by E[n] the group of n-torsion points on E, i.e. the kernel of the multiplication-by-n map on E. Throughout, we will only consider supersingular elliptic curves, i.e. curves $E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for which E[p] is trivial. Since every supersingular elliptic curve is isomorphic to a curve defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , we may assume that E is given by a short Weierstrass equation

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

with $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$.

For any isogeny $\phi: E \to E'$ from E to another elliptic curve E', let $\hat{\phi}$ denote its dual and $\deg(\phi)$ its degree. All isogenies herein are assumed to be separable; in particular, $p \nmid \deg(\phi)$ and $\deg(\phi) = \# \ker(\phi)$ is the cardinality of the kernel of ϕ . The only exception is the p-power Frobenius isogeny $\pi: E \to E^p$ defined via $\pi((x,y)) = (x^p, y^p)$, where E^p is given by $y^2 = x^3 + A^p x + B^p$.

Let $\operatorname{End}(E)$ denote the endomorphism ring of E and $\operatorname{End}^0(E) = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ the associated endomorphism algebra. Then $\operatorname{End}^0(E) \cong B_{p,\infty}$, the rational quaternion algebra ramified only at p and ∞ , and $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is isomomorphic to a maximal order of $B_{p,\infty}$.

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field such that p does not split in K. Then K embeds into $B_{p,\infty}$. A K-orientation of E is a (necessarily injective) ring homomorphism $\iota: K \to \operatorname{End}^0(E)$. If $\phi: E \to E'$ is an isogeny, then ϕ induces a K-orientation ι' of E' defined via

$$\iota'(\beta) = \frac{1}{\deg(\phi)} \phi \circ \iota(\beta) \circ \hat{\phi} \text{ for all } \beta \in K.$$

If there exists an order $\mathfrak{O} \subset K$ (which is unique in this case) such that $\iota(\mathfrak{O}) = \operatorname{End}(E) \cap \iota(K)$, then ι is said to be an \mathfrak{O} -orientation.⁸ Then E is said to be \mathfrak{O} -orientable and the pair (E, ι) is referred to as an \mathfrak{O} -oriented elliptic curve.

Note that every \mathfrak{O} -orientation ι of E gives rise to an orientation on $E^p = \pi(E)$, since $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \operatorname{End}(E^p)$. The set $S_{\mathfrak{O}}(p)$ of \mathfrak{O} -oriented elliptic curves up to isomorphism and Frobenius conjugacy is non-empty if and only if p does not divide the conductor of \mathfrak{O} . If in addition p splits in K, then $\#S_{\mathfrak{O}}(p) = h(\mathfrak{O})$, the class number of \mathfrak{O} . In this case, the class group $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ acts freely and transitively on $S_{\mathfrak{O}}(p)$ as follows. For an \mathfrak{O} -oriented curve (E, ι_E) and an \mathfrak{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} coprime to the conductor of \mathfrak{O} , put $E[\mathfrak{a}] = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}} \ker(\iota_E(\alpha))$ and let $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}}^E : E \to E/E[\mathfrak{a}]$ be the isogeny with kernel $E[\mathfrak{a}]$, of degree $N(\mathfrak{a})$ where $N(\mathfrak{a}) = [\mathfrak{O} : \mathfrak{a}]$ is the norm of \mathfrak{a} . Then $\mathfrak{a} \star (E, \iota_E) = (E_{\mathfrak{a}}, \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in S_{\mathfrak{O}}(p)$, where

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}} = E/E[\mathfrak{a}], \qquad \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(\beta) = \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \, \varphi^{E}_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ \iota_{E}(\beta) \circ \hat{\varphi}^{E}_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in K.$$

Since principal ideals act trivially on $S_{\mathfrak{D}}(p)$, this extends to an action \star : $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{D}) \times S_{\mathfrak{D}}(p) \to S_{\mathfrak{D}}(p)$. In practice, $\varphi^E_{\mathfrak{a}}$ will always be given as a product of low degree isogenies of coprime degrees, corresponding to a factorization of \mathfrak{a} into powers of prime ideals in $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Specifically, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{bc}$, where \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{c} are \mathfrak{D} -ideals whose norms are relatively prime to each other and to the conductor of \mathfrak{D} , then $E_{\mathfrak{b}}[\mathfrak{c}] = \varphi^E_{\mathfrak{b}}(E[\mathfrak{c}])$ and $\varphi^E_{\mathfrak{a}} = \varphi^{E_{\mathfrak{b}}}_{\mathfrak{c}} \circ \varphi^E_{\mathfrak{b}}$. If \mathfrak{c} is primitive, i.e. not divisible by any rational integers other than ± 1 , and given by a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{c, u + \omega\}$ with $c = N(\mathfrak{c})$, then $E[\mathfrak{c}]$ is a cyclic group, computable as $E[\mathfrak{c}] = E[c] \cap \ker(\iota_E(\omega) + [u])$, where [u] is the multiplication-by-u map on E.

2.2 SCALLOP

In this section we describe the main mechanism and design choices of SCAL-LOP [39]. As explained in the previous section, every \mathfrak{D} -orientation yields an action of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{D})$ on the set of \mathfrak{D} -oriented supersingular elliptic curves. The aim of SCALLOP was to find an orientation with the following properties:

- 1. The class number of \mathfrak{O} is easy to compute;
- 2. The relation lattice of $Cl(\mathfrak{O})$ is easier to compute than in the one used in CSIDH (for the same security level);
- 3. Computing the endomorphism ring of an \mathfrak{D} -oriented curve (even when the orientation is provided) is hard (i.e., there does not exists a quantum polynomial-time algorithm for computing the endomorphism ring).

In order to satisfy the first condition, SCALLOP uses non-maximal orders of quadratic fields with small class number. Assuming the factorization of the

⁸ In some sources, \mathfrak{D} -orientations are referred to as *primitive* \mathfrak{D} -orientations (with \mathfrak{D} -orientations without this attribute only requiring $\iota(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \operatorname{End}(E) \cap \iota(K)$), or as *optimal embeddings*.

conductor is known, class numbers of such orders are easy to compute using the formula

$$h(\mathfrak{O}) = \frac{h(\mathfrak{O}_K)f}{[\mathfrak{O}_K^* : \mathfrak{O}^*]} \prod_{q \mid f} \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_K}{q} \right) \frac{1}{q} \right);$$

see [28, Theorem 7.24]. Here, \mathfrak{O}_K is the maximal order of the field of fractions K of \mathfrak{O} , d_K is its discriminant, \mathfrak{O}_K^* and \mathfrak{O}^* are the respective unit groups of \mathfrak{O}_K and \mathfrak{O} , f is the conductor of \mathfrak{O} , and the product runs over all primes dividing f.

The third condition is somewhat trickier to satisfy in this case. As a particular example, let \mathfrak{D} be an order in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of smooth conductor f. Given an \mathfrak{D} -orientable elliptic curve E, one can recover the degree f isogeny from E to E_0 , where E_0 is the unique curve oriented by $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, in the following fashion. For each prime factor $\ell \mid f$, one can try all of the ℓ -isogenies from E_0 , and choose the right one by evaluating the action of an ideal that is trivial in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[f/\ell)i]$, but not in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[fi])$, thus stepwise climbing the oriented isogeny vulcamo.

The natural idea to counter this attack is to take f to be non-smooth; in SCALLOP [39], it is taken to be a large prime. Then the attack fails, as the f-torsion of E is defined over a large extension of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} and one cannot evaluate degree f isogenies without knowing the endomorphism ring of E. On the other hand, when taking an order of prime conductor, it is not obvious how to represent the orientation. In SCALLOP, efficiency is ensured by writing the natural generator σ as a linear combination of 1 and θ , where θ is an endomorphism of smooth degree. Choosing the orientation first and θ afterwards is generally a challenging task in practice. The key idea in SCALLOP is to choose θ first and the corresponding f afterwards. One possible choice is to take the first few primes of the form 4m+1 and represent them as norms of primes $a_k \pm b_k i$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then one can take a particular choice for each prime (either plus or minus) and take their product. If the coefficient of i of this product is prime, then it is an appropriate choice for f.

This motivates a hard problem underlying SCALLOP:

Problem 2.1. Let $\phi: E_0 \to E$ a degree f isogeny. Suppose we can evaluate $\sigma = \phi \circ [i] \circ \hat{\phi} \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ at any point on E (the cost of the evaluation is the size of the representation of the point). Compute $\operatorname{End}(E)$.

In fact, the recent break of pSIDH [23] implies that it is sufficient to be able to evaluate ϕ at any point on E_0 , instead of only σ , as then $\operatorname{End}(E)$ can be computed in quantum polynomial time.

These design choices already satisfy the first and third requirement, but in general computing the relation lattice of the class group can be still time consuming. The way this is handled in SCALLOP is to ensure that f-1 or f+1 is smooth, in which case the relation lattice can be computed by solving low-order discrete logarithms using the Pohlig-Hellman algorithm.

A different route is taken in SCALLOP-HD [24]. There, the authors represent orientations using higher dimensional isogenies. In that setting, f can be selected before choosing θ and then a natural choice is to take f-1 to be a product of large powers of 2 and 3.

Finally, we emphasize that in all cases the group action evaluation also entails transporting the orientations (this is not needed in CSIDH as Frobenius provides a canonical orientation by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$). In SCALLOP, this requires translating the smooth degree endomorphism θ . When the isogeny degree (corresponding to a small norm ideal) and $\deg(\theta)$ are coprime, this just entails pushing the kernel of θ through the isogeny. The parameter choices in SCALLOP require the translation through non-coprime degree isogenies. This is more complicated and time consuming; we refer the reader to [39, Section 5.2.] for details.

2.3 Class group computation

Once an \mathfrak{D} -orientation of a curve E is known, the cost of calculating the action of an ideal \mathfrak{a} of large norm on the isomorphism class of E can be greatly reduced by finding prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i of small norm and exponents x_i such that $\mathfrak{p}_1^{x_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_k^{x_k} = (\alpha)\mathfrak{a}$ for some $\alpha \in K$. This means that the \mathfrak{a} and $\mathfrak{p}_1^{x_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_k^{x_k}$ represent the same class in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{D})$. Thus, the action of \mathfrak{a} is simply the composition of the actions of the \mathfrak{p}_i , which are significantly easier to compute.

Under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), the class group of an order $\mathfrak O$ in a number field is generated by the classes of prime ideals of norm less than $48\log^2(|\Delta_{\mathfrak O}|)$ where $\Delta_{\mathfrak O}$ is the discriminant of $\mathfrak O$ (a direct consequence of [6, Th. 4]; see also [13]). In practice [14], it was observed that significantly fewer primes are necessary to generate $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak O)$. Once generators $\mathfrak p_1,\ldots,\mathfrak p_k$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak O)$ are chosen, our goal to minimize the cost of evaluating the action of $\mathfrak a$ is to find the smallest exponents x_1,\ldots,x_k such that the class $[\mathfrak a]$ of $\mathfrak a$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak O)$ is equal to $\prod_i [\mathfrak p_i]^{x_i}$. To this effect, we note that the exponent vectors (e_1,\ldots,e_k) such that $\prod_i [\mathfrak p_i]^{e_i} = [1]$ form a Euclidean lattice $\mathcal L$ dubbed the lattice of relations. Given an initial decomposition of $[\mathfrak a]$ with exponent vector $\mathbf x = (x_1,\ldots,x_k)$, we can obtain a shorter one by finding a vector $\mathbf u \in \mathcal L$ close to $\mathbf x$. Then $\mathbf x - \mathbf u$ is a new exponent vector of such a decomposition of $[\mathfrak a]$. If $\mathbf u$ is the closest vector to $\mathbf x$, then it yields the shortest decomposition possible.

The typical strategy for decomposing $[\mathfrak{a}]$ with respect to a small set of prime generators $(\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i\leq k}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ is to multiply \mathfrak{a} by random short products of the \mathfrak{p}_i and use an ideal reduction technique to obtain \mathfrak{a}' of norm in $O(\sqrt{|\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{O}}|})$ such that $[\mathfrak{a}'] = [\mathfrak{a}] \cdot \prod_i [\mathfrak{p}_i]^{x_i}$ until \mathfrak{a}' is a product of the $(\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i\leq k}$ (see for example [13, Alg. 2,3]). A similar strategy can be used to compute a generating set of the lattice of relations \mathcal{L} : we look for sufficiently many different random decompositions of $\mathfrak{a} = (1)$. When \mathfrak{O} is the maximal order of K (or is non-maximal with a small conductor), the above strategy is the best known technique. For example, this is the case with the signature scheme CSI-FiSh [20] which requires the fast decomposition of random elements in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ to avoid having to use an expensive rejection sampling method to ensure security. The best known technique for computing the lattice of relations between a generating set of primes of such an order \mathfrak{O} relies on the class group computation algorithm of Hafner-McCurley [40]. Under the GRH, its complexity is in $L_{|\mathcal{O}|}(1/2)$ where

$$L_x(\alpha) = \exp(O((\log x)^{\alpha}(\log\log x)^{1-\alpha})).$$

For objects of size $\log x$, a complexity in $L_x(0)$ means polynomial time, and a complexity in $L_x(1)$ means exponential time. The subexponential nature of the complexity of the Hafner–McCurley algorithm means that for large values of $|\Delta|$, the search for the relation lattice (and decompositions in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$) quickly becomes impractical. Practically speaking, the record computation performed to instantiate CSI-FiSh reached Δ with 512 bits [20].

When the conductor f of the non-maximal order \mathfrak{O} is large, one can significantly reduce the cost of computing the lattice of relations and of ideal decomposition in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ by using an algorithm due to Klüners and Pauli [44]. From a high level standpoint, this approach takes advantage of the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathfrak{O}^* \to \mathfrak{O}_K^* \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \mid f} \mathfrak{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^* / \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O}) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O}_K) \to 1,$$

where $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the localization of \mathfrak{O} at \mathfrak{p} . In a nutshell, this means that ideal decomposition (and the search for relations) in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ reduces to ideal decomposition in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O}_K)$ and to the resolution of the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP) in the multiplicative groups of the residue fields $\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \mid f$ (assuming the factorization of the conductor f is known). See [14, Algorithms 2 and 3] for more details. Note that for a split prime \mathfrak{p} , the corresponding instance of the DLP is in a prime field of size $p = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})$, while in an inert prime, the size of the field is p^2 . In the setting of SCALLOP [39], we have $\mathfrak{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$, which makes all computations in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{O}_K)$ easy. On the other hand, no practical implementation beyond 1024-bit discriminants has been achieved due to the hardness of the discrete logarithms. The best known algorithms for solving instances of the DLP are variants of the number field sieve (NFS), which has complexity $L_q(1/3)$, where q is the cardinality of the residue field [43]. Practically speaking, we will only use prime fields, where record computations reach q with approximately 800 bits [18].

In summary, computing the class group of an order of discriminant $\Delta = -df^2$ where -d is a fundamental discriminant and the factorisation of f is known can be achieved in time

$$L_d(1/2) + \sum_{p|f} L_p(1/3).$$

3 New parameter and design choices

In this section, we propose new instantiations of SCALLOP focusing on both security and efficiency.

The key idea is twofold. Firstly, we use a maximal order with larger class number. Secondly, we choose a conductor f that is not smooth but is also not prime. This approach targets concrete efficiency of protocols with security levels equivalent to CSIDH-1024, CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096. Computing class groups of this size in the CSIDH setting is far out of reach with current classical algorithms and infrastructures. SCALLOP was instantiated for the CSIDH-1024 equivalent case [39], but for the higher security levels, finding a conductor f such

that $f \pm 1$ is sufficiently smooth might be more challenging. Furthermore, our goal is to provide more efficient group action evaluations.

Instead of the setting of the Gaussian integers, we start with a quadratic order $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ where d>0 is a 256-bit integer that will be determined by suitable parameter choices. Computing class groups of this size is feasible in practice [12]. We wish to choose f in such a way that the discriminant of $\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}]$ has 1024/2048/4096 bits. This implies that f should have 384/896/1920 bits.

The high-level idea is as follows. We do not fix d right away, but rather restrict our search to maximal orders that contain an endomorphism with particularly smooth degree of the form $2N^2$. This is ensured by introducing a variable parameter a and looking for pairs a,d such that $a^2+d=2N^2$. This quantity is then the norm of the element $a+\sqrt{-d}\in\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$. Next, we look for small powers of this element such that the coefficient f of $\sqrt{-d}$ in this power has a particular factorization. We focus on the parameters sets corresponding to CSIDH-1024 and CSIDH-2048.

3.1 Effective orientation from a generator of a suborder

Our generation procedure produces parameters f,d such that we know an element $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}]$ of smooth norm, which will correspond to the effective orientation. However, the element ω will in fact never be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}]$. Instead it will generate a suborder $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \subset \mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}]$, with relative index $g = [\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}] : \mathbb{Z}[\omega]]$. Therefore, being able to evaluate ω will not satisfy the original definition of an effective representation [39]. However, Proposition 3.1, shows that this causes no extra problems, as long as we can avoid ideals above primes dividing g.

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{D} be an imaginary quadratic order, and let $\mathfrak{D}' \subset \mathfrak{D}$ be a suborder of relative index $g = [\mathfrak{D} : \mathfrak{D}']$. Then given an oriented curve $(E, \iota_E) \in S_{\mathfrak{D}}(p)$, together with an endomorphism ω of E generating $\iota_E(\mathfrak{D}') \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$, one can efficiently evaluate the action of any \mathfrak{D} -ideal \mathfrak{l} above $\ell \in O(\log(p))$ on (E, ι_E) , provided $\gcd(\ell, g) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{O}=\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$, and let \mathfrak{l} be an \mathfrak{O} ideal of norm ℓ . Recall that finding the isogeny corresponding to $\mathfrak{l}=(a+\delta,\ell)$ is done by computing $E[[a]+\iota_E(\delta)]\cap E[\ell]=([a]+\iota_E(\delta))(E[\ell])$. To compute this quantity only with knowledge of the isogeny corresponding to ω , we use that $g\delta\in \mathfrak{O}'$, hence $g\delta=c+\omega$ for some $c\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then, since $\gcd(\ell,g)=1$, we have $\mathfrak{l}=(a+\delta,\ell)=(g(a+\delta),\ell)=(ga+g\delta,\ell)=(ga+c+\omega,\ell)$, and the isogeny corresponding to \mathfrak{l} can be found in the same way as before, given only the evaluation of ω on $E[\ell]$.

For our application in SCALLOP, it will be sufficient to avoid using ideals above primes dividing $g = [\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-d}] : \mathbb{Z}[\omega]]$ in the basis of the lattice of relations, or ignoring the issue entirely, by additionally searching until all small primes dividing g are non-split in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$.

3.2 The CSIDH-1024 case

In this setting we aim to obtain f as the product of three primes of 128 bits each and a very small cofactor. Since we wish to achieve 128-bit security, the natural attacks will fail just as they do when f is prime; thus, there is no compelling reason to take f to be prime. The benefit of this approach is that computing the relation lattice reduces to relatively small finite field discrete logarithm problems.

Fix $N=2^{129}$; we wish to find a and d such that $d+a^2=2N^2=2^{259}$. A natural idea would be to take a uniformly at random, compute d accordingly, and raise $a+\sqrt{-d}$ to a small power, hoping that the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$ is the product of three prime numbers of size roughly 128 bits (and a possibly very small cofactor). Numbers that are the product of three prime numbers of equal size are relatively dense, but detecting them in practice is potentially hard and time consuming. Instead, we take the more formal approach of computing powers of $a+\sqrt{-d}$ symbolically and expressing the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$ in terms of a and d.

For this specific setting the fourth power, $(a + \sqrt{-d})^4$, represents a particularly suitable choice, as the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$ in this quantity is

$$4(a^2 - d)a = 4(2a^2 - 2N^2)a = 8(a - N)(a + N)a,$$

which already splits into three factors and the small cofactor 8. So our goal is find d and a subject to the following two restrictions:

- -a, N-a, a+N are all small multiples of 128-bit primes;
- The 128-bit prime factors are all split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

Remark 3.2. The reason for considering N-a instead of a-N is that N>a as a is chosen to be a 128-bit integer and $N=2^{129}$.

The second condition comes from the fact that we need discrete logarithm computations modulo the prime ideals above those primes (see Section 2.3) which should involve 128-bit (as opposed to 256-bit) discrete logarithm computations.

The goal is to sample a from a certain residue class to ensure that whenever a, a + N, a - N are (almost) prime (a precise statement is given in Lemma 3.3), then they are also split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. In our specific setting, have m = 64.

Lemma 3.3. Let $N = 2^{2m+1}$ with $m \ge 0$ and $d = 2N^2 - a^2$ with 0 < a < N. If $a \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$, then whenever a, (a+N)/3 and N-a are prime numbers, they split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$

Proof. Recall that a prime q is split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ if and only if $(\frac{-d}{q}) = 1$, where $(\frac{-d}{q})$ denotes the Legendre symbol. Also note that $a \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ is equivalent to $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$.

Since $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{-d}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{a^2 - 2N^2}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{2}{a}\right) = (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Similarly, $N - a \equiv -a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ implies

$$\left(\frac{-d}{N-a}\right) = \left(\frac{(a+N)(a-N) - N^2}{N-a}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{N-a}\right) = 1.$$

Finally, since $N \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, we see that a+N is divisible by 3. Since $a+N \equiv a \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, we see that $(N+a)/3 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, so

$$\left(\frac{-d}{(a+N)/3}\right) = \left(\frac{(a+N)(a-N) - N^2}{(a+N)/3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{(a+N)/3}\right) = 1.$$

Remark 3.4. Analogous reasoning to the proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that if N is an even power of 2 and $a \equiv 11 \pmod{24}$, then a, (a+N)/3 and N-a split again in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ when they are prime.

Appropriate parameters can now be generated as follows:

- Set $N = 2^{129}$.
- Sample a random 128-bit number $a \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$.
- Check if a, (a + N)/3 and N a are prime numbers.
- If yes, then set f = 8(a+N)(N-a)a and $d = 2N^2 a^2$

3.3 The CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096 cases

For the larger security levels, using the same method would require the following discrete logarithm computations:

- In the 2048-bit case the maximal order has discriminant 256 bits, so the large prime factors of the conductor will have $\frac{2048-256}{6} \approx 299$ bits.
- In the 4096-bit case, the same calculation gives 640 bits.

In order to save on discrete logarithm computations we will instead use a slight variation of the previous approach. Rather than taking the fourth power of $a + \sqrt{-d}$, we take the 12th power and again consider the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$, which is given by the expression

$$4a(a^2-d)(a^2-3d)(3a^2-d)(a^4-14a^2d+d^2).$$

Again we let $N=2^{2m+1}$ be an odd power of 2 of appropriate size and search for d of the form $d=2N^2-a^2$. Then the factorization of the expression above becomes

$$128a(a+N)(a-N)(2a^2-3N^2)(2a^2-N^2)(2a^2-2aN-N^2)(2a^2+2aN-N^2).$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $N = 2^{2m+1}$ with $m \ge 0$ and $d = 2N^2 - a^2$ with $0 < a < N/\sqrt{2}$. Let

$$P = \frac{N^2}{2} - a^2$$
, $Q = \frac{3N^2 - 2a^2}{10}$.

If $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$, then whenever P and Q are prime numbers, they split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

Proof. Note that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$ if and only if a is odd and a^2 is congruent to 1 modulo both 3 and 5. The first of these properties is equivalent to $a^2 \equiv 1$ (mod 8) (so we actually obtain $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{120}$).

Clearly P is an integer. Since $3N^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$ and $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, Q is also an integer. Since $a^2 < N^2/2$, we see that both P and Q are positive. We have $-d = -3N^2/2 - P = -6 \cdot (2^{2m})^2 - P$, so

$$\left(\frac{-d}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{P}\right) \left(\frac{2}{P}\right) \left(\frac{3}{P}\right).$$

Now a is odd, so $P \equiv -a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ (and hence also $P \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$). Furthermore, $N^2/2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ imply $P \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{P}\right) = -1, \quad \left(\frac{2}{P}\right) = 1, \quad \left(\frac{3}{P}\right) = -\left(\frac{P}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -1,$$

so $\left(\frac{-d}{P}\right)=1$. Similarly, $-d=-N^2/2-5Q=-2\cdot(2^{2m})^2-5Q$. We have $5Q\equiv -a^2\equiv -1\pmod 8$, and hence $Q\equiv 3\pmod 8$. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{-d}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $N = 2^{2m+1}$ with $m \ge 0$ and $d = 2N^2 - a^2$ with $(\sqrt{3} - 1)N/2 < 1$

$$R = a^2 + aN - \frac{N^2}{2}, \quad S = \frac{N^2/2 + aN - a^2}{3}.$$

If $a \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, then whenever R and S are prime numbers, they split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

Proof. The congruence condition on a yields $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Clearly R is an integer, and since $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we see that S is also an integer.

We have $R = (a + N/2)^2 - 3N^2/4$ which is positive because of the lower bound on a. Moreover, $S > N^2/6 > 0$ as a < N.

Now $-d = R - N(a + 3N/2) = R - 2 \cdot (2^m)^2(a + 3N/2)$, so

$$\left(\frac{-d}{R}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{R}\right) \left(\frac{2}{R}\right) \left(\frac{a+3N/2}{R}\right).$$

Since $R \equiv a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{-1}{R}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{R}\right) = 1, \quad \left(\frac{a+3N/2}{R}\right) = \left(\frac{R}{a+3N/2}\right).$$

It is easy to verify that $R = (a + 3N/2)(a - N/2) + N^2/4$, so $\left(\frac{R}{a+3N/2}\right) = 1$. Hence $\left(\frac{-d}{R}\right) = 1$.

Similarly, $-d = -N(3N/2 - a) - 3S = -2 \cdot (2^m)^2 (3N/2 - a) - 3S$, where we note that 3N/2 - a > 0 as a < N. So

$$\left(\frac{-d}{S}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{S}\right) \left(\frac{2}{S}\right) \left(\frac{3N/2 - a}{S}\right).$$

Since $3S \equiv -a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$, we have $S \equiv -3 \pmod 8$, so $S \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and we obtain

$$\left(\frac{-1}{S}\right) = 1, \quad \left(\frac{2}{S}\right) = -1, \quad \left(\frac{3N/2 - a}{S}\right) = \left(\frac{S}{3N/2 - a}\right),$$

and hence $\left(\frac{-d}{S}\right) = -\left(\frac{S}{3N/2-a}\right)$.

Again one readily checks that $3S = (3N/2 - a)(a + N/2) - N^2/4$. Since $3N/2 - a \equiv -a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{3S}{3N/2-a}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3N/2-a}\right) = 1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{3}{3N/2-a}\right) = \left(\frac{3N/2-a}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{-a}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = -1.$$

Overall, we get

$$\left(\frac{-d}{S}\right) = -\left(\frac{S}{3N/2 - a}\right) = -\left(\frac{3S}{3N/2 - a}\right)\left(\frac{3}{3N/2 - a}\right) = -1(-1) = 1.$$

The numerical values of the constants in the bounds on a relative to N appearing in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 are $1/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.707$ and $(\sqrt{3} - 1)/2 \approx 0.336$.

Combining the congruence conditions on a in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 yields $a \equiv 19$ or 31 (mod 60). In conjunction with the restriction $a \equiv 19$ (mod 24) from Lemma 3.5, we would require $a \equiv 19$ or 91 (mod 120). However, even if any of the smaller factors a, N-a and (N+1)/3 are not prime and contain nonsplit prime factors, the corresponding discrete log computations are negligible compared to the cost of the discrete log extraction modulo P, Q, R and S.

As in the CSIDH-1024 case, we can now generate suitable parameters for CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096 as follows (note that $30720 = 2^{11} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$).

- Set $N = 2^{129}$ for CSIDH-2048 or $N = 2^{175}$ for CSIDH-4096.
- Sample a random number $a \equiv 19$ or 31 (mod 60) with $(\sqrt{3} 1)N/2 < a < N/\sqrt{2}$.
- Check if P, Q, R, S as given in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 are prime numbers.
- If yes, then set f = 30720a(N+a)(N-a)PQRS and $d = 2N^2 a^2$.

Remark 3.7. It might not be immediately obvious why we set $N=2^{129}$ for CSIDH-2048 or $N=2^{175}$ for CSIDH-4096. For CSIDH-2048 we make use of Section 3.1, i.e., the case when the smooth-norm endomorphism only generates a

suborder. In this case we ignore the factor $(a^4-14a^2d+d^2)$ for efficiency purposes. Then the size of N is determined by the fact that we need the factor f to have 896 bits. For the CSIDH-4096 case we aim to optimize the precomputation time; hence, we would desire less costly discrete logarithm computations and we utilize an endomorphism that generates the entire order. The size of N is then determined by the fact that we need the factor f to have 1920 bits.

Alternatively, one could also use the same approach for the 4096-bit case as for the 2048-bit case, which would result in larger discrete logarithm computations. However, this approach has the advantage of having significantly fewer trial iterations to ensure that all factors are prime (only 4 factors compared to 7).

Remark 3.8. We have $R = (a + N/2)^2 - 3N^3/4$, $3S = (a - N/2)^2 - 3N^2/4$. So for any prime q such that 3 is a quadratic residue modulo q, say $3 \equiv u^2 \pmod{q}$, we have

 $R \equiv \left(a + (1+u)\frac{N}{2}\right) \left(a + (1-u)\frac{N}{2}\right) \pmod{q},$

with an analogous factorization for S. Thus, if $a \equiv \pm (1 \pm u)N/2 \pmod q$, for all four possible sign combinations, then R or S is a multiple of q. For example, if $a \equiv \pm 1$ or $\pm 7 \pmod {11}$, then one of R, S is divisible by 11. This rules out four residue classes modulo q for a for every prime $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod {12}$. A test for eliminating these congruence class requires the computation of a square root of 3 (mod q). For small primes q such as 11 and 13, this idea might aid in speeding up the search for suitable parameters, but for large q, such a square root computation is too costly to be useful.

3.4 An intermediate case

One drawback (from a purely implementation standpoint) of the 2048-bit method is that it requires computing discrete logarithms in non-prime finite fields. This is not a theoretical obstacle, however at the apparent sizes existing open source software is only available for prime fields. Instead we provide again a slight variation of the above method tuned for an intermediate parameter set where the discriminant of the order is roughly 1500 bits. This is simply based on taking the 6th power of $a + \sqrt{-d}$ and looking at the coefficient of $\sqrt{-d}$. This coefficient is the following:

$$2(3a^2-d)(a^2-3d)a$$

Now we set $d = 4N^2 - a^2$ where $N = 2^{126}$. In this setting the factorization will be

$$32 * 3 * a(a - N)((a + N)/3)(a^2 - 3N^2)$$

Here our goal is to ensure that the last four terms are all prime numbers which split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. A similar calculation as in Section 3.2 shows that if a is chosen to be a prime congruent to 29 modulo 36, then all four factors will indeed be split primes. Here the largest discrete logarithm computation will correspond to the last factor (as it is quadratic). In the 1500-bit case this amounts to a 500-bit discrete logarithm computation

3.5 Security

The security offered by these new SCALLOP parameters can be analyzed similarly to the earlier parameter sets, with a few differences taken into consideration for the changes in f and d.

Note that with every parameter set we target 128-bit classical security (and comparable quantum security), as the debate on CSIDH security is about which parameter set achieves 128-bits of security [48],[8].

Generic attacks. Recall that a free and transitive group action \star on a group G and set X creates a *hard homogeneous space* if it can be evaluated efficiently and the following two problems are intractable.

Problem 3.9 (Vectorization). Given $x, y \in X$, find $g \in G$ such that $g \star x = y$.

Problem 3.10 (Parallelization). Given $x, g \star x, h \star y \in X$ (for undisclosed $g, h \in G$), find $(g \cdot h) \star x$.

It is a very hard homogeneous space if the following problem is also intractable.

Problem 3.11 (Decisional Parallelisation). Given $x, y, u, v \in X$, decide whether there exists some $g \in G$ satisfying $g \star x = y$ and $g \star u = v$.

When $G = \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{O})$ and $X = S_{\mathfrak{O}}(p)$ we refer to Problem 3.9 as the \mathfrak{O} -Vectorization problem; similarly for the other two problems. It is known that \mathfrak{O} -Vectorization reduces in quantum polynomial time to \mathfrak{O} -Parallelization [55, Theorem 3].

The fastest known generic classical algorithm for solving the \mathfrak{O} -Vectorization problem [55, Proposition 3] runs in time

$$\log (p+d)^{O(1)} \min (p^{1/2}, f^{1/2}),$$

where $d = |\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})|$. An asymptotically faster quantum attack [55, Proposition 4] on \mathfrak{O} -Vectorization utilizing Kuperberg's algorithm for the Abelian hidden shift problem [45] has complexity

$$\log(p)^{O(1)} L_{|\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})|}(1/2).$$

There are faster quantum algorithms for this problem [21,25,41] that rely on specific group structures; however, the class groups from Section 3 have drastically different structures. The general principle in these results is that if the exponent of the group is small, then special purpose algorithms are faster than Kuperberg's algorithm. All SCALLOP variants use class groups with large exponent but our new parameter choice has the extra advantage that the group order is not smooth and has extra flexibility (e.g., one can ensure that the group order has a large prime factor which is useful for threshold schemes [32]).

Remark 3.12. If one does not care about the smoothness of the class group, then we can restrict the parameter search to only search for a divisible by a large power of 2, thereby making most of the discrete logarithm computations significantly easier trivial. However, this is in general not necessary, as the sizes of the discrete logarithms are computationally heavy, but still doable on a laptop.

For the security of the \mathfrak{O} -Decisional Parallelization problem, in addition to the above attacks on Vectorization, there are also distinguishers built from quadratic characters [19,22]. These attacks apply to our case as the order of the class group is even by design. However, one can counter this attack in the usual sense by restricting the group action to acting only by elements of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])^2$ (this is only necessary for applications where the decisional problem must be hard). Such characters exist for each divisor $m \mid \mathrm{disc}(\mathfrak{O})$; however, their evaluation (at least classically) takes time polynomial in m. Hence this class of attacks are inefficient when applied to our parameters.

pSIDH type attacks. The original SCALLOP construction crucially relies on the hardness of Problem 2.1. The hardness of this problem stems from the following observation. Given the evaluation of $\phi \circ \iota \circ \hat{\phi}$ on a point P, the required task is to find the subgroup generated by $\phi(P)$. If this can be done for arbitrary P, then Problem 2.1 can be efficiently solved [55, Proposition 7].

Let n_P denote the order of P. Then purely working modulo n_P will not be sufficient to recover $\langle \phi(P) \rangle$. Indeed, when precomposing ϕ with an endomorphism that commutes with ι and whose degree is congruent to 1 (mod n_P), the evaluation of the composition does not change, whereas the isogeny ϕ may change. Specifically, for integers a, b satisfying $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n_P}$, one obtains

$$\left(\phi\circ(a+b\iota)\circ\iota\circ(a-b\iota)\circ\hat{\phi}\right)(P)=\left((a^2+b^2)\phi\circ\iota\circ\hat{\phi}\right)(P)=\left(\phi\circ\iota\circ\hat{\phi}\right)(P).$$

There are however certain exceptions.

The following counter-example to the above assertion is based on [17, §10]. As in Problem 2.1, consider the isogeny $\phi: E_0 \to E$ of degree f and an endomorphism $\theta \in \operatorname{End}(E_0)$ of degree n_θ . Suppose we can evaluate some $\sigma = \phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi}$ at any point on E. Note that this is a more general setting than Problem 2.1, since it allows an arbitrary $\theta \in \operatorname{End}(E_0)$. Assume that n_P is prime and coprime to f and n_θ . We show that if the subgroup generated by P is fixed by θ , then this subgroup can be efficiently computed. That is, given $P \in E_0[n_P]$, we compute $[\lambda]\phi(P)$ for some $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/n_P\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Suppose $\theta(P) = [a]P$; that is, θ fixes the subgroup generated by P. Using the oracle for σ on $E[n_P]$, we can solve discrete logarithms and use linear algebra to compute a point $U \in E[n_P]$ satisfying $\sigma(U) = [fa]U$. We show that $\phi(P)$ is in the subgroup generated by U; by comparing orders, we see that $U = [\lambda]\phi(P)$ for some invertible λ which is our goal. Let $Q \in E_0[n_P]$ be a point independent of P satisfying $\theta(Q) = [b]Q$ for some invertible $b \not\equiv a \pmod{n_P}$. By coprimality and the independence of P and Q, we can write $U = \phi([x]P + [y]Q)$ for some

integers x, y. We show that y = 0:

$$[fa]U = \sigma(U) = \sigma \circ \phi([x]P + [y]Q)$$

= $[f]\phi \circ \theta([x]P + [y]Q) = [fxa]\phi(P) + [fyb]\phi(Q).$

Multiplying both sides by $f^{-1}a^{-1} \pmod{n_P}$ yields $U = [x]\phi(P) + [yba^{-1}]\phi(Q)$. Since $U = \phi([x]P + [y]Q)$ and $ba^{-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n_P}$, we conclude that y = 0.

So in this setting, it is possible to glean local information on the evaluation of P. This could potentially be combined with an l-adic approach where local information is combined to obtain the global evaluation of certain points. Note that the above argument is a local one, as precomposing ϕ with an endomorphism changes the degree (which should be the fixed f) globally but not locally. Another potential approach is to utilize the attack [23] on the NIKE scheme pSIDH [46] directly on isogenies of the form $\phi \circ \iota \circ \hat{\phi}$, as theese can be evaluated at any point. There is a similar group action on the set of these types of endomorphisms that is rather closely related to the corresponding isogenies ϕ . However, it is not obvious how to evaluate this action as the approach from [23] does not translate.

In our case using a maximal order with large class number ensures that a similar approach will definitely fail. The reason is that having an oriented curve oriented by a non-maximal order it is hard to find the corresponding curve oriented by the maximal order. One potential avenue here is to go through every curve that is oriented by the maximal order. However, choosing a 256-bit discriminant ensures that such an attack would need 2¹²⁸ iterations.

Torsion-point attacks. As mentioned before, choosing f to be smooth would be insecure essentially due the torsion-point attack framework pioneered by [50]. The cost of this attack depends on f-isogeny evaluations and representing points of order f. In our case both have a very large cost as the f-torsion is defined over an extension of the base field \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of degree larger than 2^{128} and the evaluation of f-isogenies requires at least 2^{64} field operations (utilizing [7]) in this large extension. At present there seems to be no practical advantage to using a prime degree isogeny instead of an isogeny which is the product of a few primes.

4 Explicit instantiation of PEARL-SCALLOP

In this section we discuss the implementation details, followed by the timing results comparing our new parameter set with SCALLOP [39]. Our implementation using SageMath [54], PARI/GP [53] and CADO-NFS [52] can be found in the repository https://www.github.com/biasse/SCALLOP-params.

4.1 Discriminant generation

We describe our instantiation of CSIDH-1024. Following the method explained in Section 3, we generate a quadratic order \mathfrak{O} , providing the class group action, together with an element $\omega \in \mathfrak{O}$, which we will use to evaluate the action.

Numerically, reusing the notation from Section 3, we set $N=2^{129}$ and find that the prime

a = 340282366920938463463374607431770911081

generates the following values of d and f:

$$\begin{split} d &= 18466951 \times 19397359 \times 114814706502110352989273153 \\ &\times 19707957158568828802463753229623541551, \\ f &= 2^3 \times 3 \times 340282366920938463463374607431770911081 \\ &\times 340282366920938463463374607431776310331. \end{split}$$

Finding this value of a took seconds on a laptop; similarly, a suitable value of a for CSIDH-2048 was found within minutes.

We then select a value of n and let ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_n be the first n split primes that do not divide the relative conductor $[\mathbb{Z}[\omega]: \mathfrak{D}]$. Subsequently, we choose a prime of the form

$$p = c2^e \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_i - 1$$

where e satisfies $N(\omega) = 2^{2e}$, the ℓ_i correspond to the primes in the basis of the lattice of relations, and c is a small cofactor such that p is a prime satisfying $\left(\frac{-\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})}{p}\right) = 1$. Continuing our example parameters for CSIDH-1024, we found that n = 75, e = 518 and c = 817 generate suitable parameters for our chosen values of a and N.

4.2 Computing the relation lattice

Our implementation of relation lattice computation is a variant of the algorithms mentioned in Section 2.3. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field with maximal order \mathfrak{O}_K , $f \geq 1$ an integer, and $\mathfrak{O} = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathfrak{O}_K$ the order of conductor f. Let S be a finite set of prime ideals of \mathfrak{O}_K not dividing f and $S_{\mathfrak{O}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{O} : \mathfrak{p} \in S\}$, so that every ideal in $S_{\mathfrak{O}}$ is invertible. Recall that the group \mathfrak{O}_S^{\times} of S-units of \mathfrak{O} is the set of $u \in K^{\times}$ such that the ideal $u\mathfrak{O}$ is a product of the elements of $S_{\mathfrak{O}}$. Define the morphism $V_S \colon K^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}^S$ by

$$V_S(x) = (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x))_{\mathfrak{p} \in S},$$

where $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ denotes the \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation of x. We write $V_S^{\mathfrak{D}}$ for the restriction of V_S to \mathfrak{D}_S^{\times} . Then the kernel of $V_S^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is the group of units \mathfrak{D}^{\times} (which is finite, cyclic, and equal to $\{\pm 1\}$ unless $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ or $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$), and the cokernel of $V_S^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the subgroup of the class group of \mathfrak{D} generated by the classes of elements in $S_{\mathfrak{D}}$. The image of $V_S^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is the relation lattice of \mathfrak{D} (relative to S).

Remark 4.1. From a relation $v \in V_S(\mathfrak{O}_S^{\times})$, we can easily recover a preimage: construct the corresponding ideal and apply lattice reduction with respect to the norm. Then the shortest vector will be a generator of the ideal and a preimage of v. Thus, computing the S-unit group is equivalent to computing the relation lattice.

The paper [44] focuses on computing the class group of an order, while we are more interested in the relation lattice, so we use a variant of their algorithm. This has the additional advantage of not requiring any computation of discrete logarithms in the class group, once a relation lattice is known for the maximal order. We use the natural reduction modulo f map $\text{Red}_{S,f}\colon \mathfrak{O}_{K,S}^{\times} \to (\mathfrak{O}_K/f\mathfrak{O}_K)^{\times}$, which is well-defined since f is not divisible by any ideals in S. We will compute \mathfrak{O}_S^{\times} using the well-known identity

$$\mathfrak{O}_{S}^{\times} = \operatorname{Red}_{S,f}^{-1}((\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}). \tag{1}$$

The Klüners–Pauli algorithm is implemented in Magma [16], but appears to be unable to handle instances with a maximal order of non-trivial discriminant.

With this setup in place, we can describe our implementation of computing the relation lattice relative to a set S.

- 1. Pick a set S_0 containing S that provably generates the class group of K and compute the relation lattice of \mathfrak{O}_K relative to S_0 using PARI/GP [53]. There are two algorithms implemented for this task: bnfinit, which is designed for number fields of arbitrary degree, and quadclassunit, which is a faster implementation for quadratic fields. However, none of these implementations uses sieving⁹, and even for 256-bit discriminants they struggle. We therefore adapted Pari's implementation (originally created by Papanikolaou and Roblot) of the quadratic sieve factoring algorithm (MPQS) so that it computes the relation lattice of \mathfrak{O}_K relative to S_0 .
- 2. Compute the relation lattice of \mathfrak{O}_K relative to S from the relation lattice relative to S_0 by computing an integer kernel.
- 3. Compute discrete logarithms of a basis of S-units of \mathfrak{O}_K modulo all prime power divisors of f, yielding a description of the map $\operatorname{Red}_{S,f}$ as a matrix. We use
 - (a) the Pari implementation of discrete logarithm computations for field sizes up to 150 bits, and
 - (b) CADO-NFS for larger sizes.
- 4. Compute the relation lattice of \mathfrak{O} relative to S using (1) by computing a kernel modulo the exponent of $(\mathfrak{O}_K/f\mathfrak{O}_K)^{\times}$.
- 5. Check that the cardinality of the cokernel of $V_S^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is equal to the class number of \mathfrak{D} , thus proving that S generates the class group of \mathfrak{D} .

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ and let S be the set of prime ideals above ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_n . The running times of the various steps were as follows, using a single core of an Intel Xeon CPU E5-2623 v3 @ 3.00GHz:

 $^{^{9}}$ Our implementation with sieving will be included in the next release of PARI/GP.

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3a	Step 4	Step 5
3 hrs	$38 \mathrm{sec}$	31 min	12 ms	$64 \mathrm{\ ms}$

The structure of the class group of the maximal order is

$$Cl(\mathfrak{O}_K) \cong C_{85291128024656765643024956902338426256} \times C_2^2$$
.

The bottleneck for CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096 will be the computation of the discrete logarithms. The running times of the steps for CSIDH-1536 were as follows (single core as above).

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3a	Step 3b	Step 4	Step 5
3 hrs	1 hr	30 min	1 hr	$27 \mathrm{\ ms}$	140 ms

4.3 Lattice reduction

We performed lattice reduction of the relation lattice of $\mathfrak O$ relative to S on a 64-core AMD Threadripper 3990X 2.9GHz CPU with 256GB of DDR4 RAM. We used the implementation of the BKZ algorithm [49] from the G6K python library [3] originally presented in [2]. For the lattice reduction corresponding to the CSIDH-1024 parameters, the lattice dimension was |S| = 75. Then the reduction of an input product $\prod_i \mathfrak p^{x_i}$ was obtained by using Babai's nearest plane algorithm [5] to find a lattice point u close to x, followed by a random walk approach used in CSI-fish [11] due to Doulgerakis, Laarhoven and de Weger [35]. The timings, given in CPU seconds, were as follows:

Security level	HKZ reduction	Babai & random walk
1024	$739 \sec$	3.9 sec
1536	$750 \sec$	$4.6 \sec$

Additionally, we performed weighted reductions of the input lattice to account for the cost of evaluating the action of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. Indeed, since that cost is proportional to $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})$, the cost of the evaluation of the product $\prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{x_i}$ is proportional to $\sum_i x_i \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. Therefore, we reduced a weighted lattice where the *i*-th coordinate is multiplied by $1 + c\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, for some constant 0 < c < 1. This strategy successfully produced short decompositions where the coefficients corresponding to larger primes were significantly smaller than those corresponding to the smaller primes of S. The optimal value of c with respect to the group action evaluation is hard to compute, as it depends on many factors, but it can be estimated for specific implementations.

We do not anticipate that lattice reduction will be the bottleneck to instantiate our system with CSIDH-2048 and CSIDH-4096 parameters.

4.4 Generating the Starting Curve

Recall that we need a starting curve that is \mathfrak{D} -oriented and an efficient way to evaluate the orientation. This is achieved through a triple (E, P, Q) where P, Q

are points on E generating smooth isogenies ϕ_P , ϕ_Q , such that their composition $\hat{\phi}_Q \circ \phi_P$ is an element of $\mathfrak{O} \subseteq \operatorname{End}(E)$ (see Section 3.1 for a remark on not using a generator).

We now present a new algorithm for efficiently generating a curve with an effective orientation by an order \mathfrak{O} , provided an element in \mathfrak{O} of smooth norm is known. Our algorithm is more general, and comparable in efficiency to the curve generation algorithm SetUpCurve from the original SCALLOP paper [39, Algorithm 1], which only works for suborders of a quadratic order that embed into a special p-extremal maximal quaternion order (such as $\mathbb{Z} + f\mathbb{Z}[i]$).

We fix a special p-extremal maximal quaternion order \mathcal{O}_0 . The idea of the algorithm is to utilize the fact that the quaternion embedding problem is easily solvable in \mathcal{O}_0 , provided we hit an easy Cornacchia instance [4, Remark 5.14] (see also [37, Proposition 2]). Hence, we can try different smooth values of g until we can compute an embedding of $\mathbb{Z} + g\mathfrak{D}$ into \mathcal{O}_0 . We use the heuristic algorithm GenericOrderEmbeddingFactorisation for this purpose, see [37, Algorithm 3]. Given such an embedding, it is then easy to compute an ideal corresponding to the ascending isogeny of degree g. The codomain of this isogeny will then be oriented by \mathfrak{D} .

Next, to compute the effective orientation given by a smooth element ω , finding the corresponding kernel generators can easily be done by the standard technique of factoring the ideal into two equal parts, and then translating back and forth to E_0 . This technique is the same as what is used in SQIsign [31]. The complete method is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Remark 4.2. Note that GenerateStartingCurve is dual to the original method from SCALLOP in the following sense. In the original method, one computes a descending isogeny from a curve with special, p-extremal endomorphism ring oriented by a superorder, while in GenerateStartingCurve, we compute the ascending isogeny from a curve with special, p-extremal endomorphism ring oriented by a suborder.

Proposition 4.3. GenerateStartingCurve is correct and runs in probabilistic polynomial time, under standard heuristics.

Proof. First, we prove that the first part of the algorithm terminates and is correct. Each call to GenericOrderEmbeddingFactorisation runs in polynomial time under [37, Heuristic 1, Heuristic 2], and again under [37, Heuristic 2], the number of maximal orders oriented by $\mathbb{Z} + g\mathfrak{O}$ is O(p), hence a solution is expected to exist.

Assume now that the orientation given by δ has been found. The quaternion ideal $I := \mathcal{O}_0 \langle \delta, g \rangle$ corresponds to an ascending isogeny of degree f (it is generated by the unique invertible $(\mathbb{Z} + g\mathfrak{D})$ -ideal of norm g). Further, since f is powersmooth of magnitude $O(p/\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(\mathfrak{D})})$, translating I to its corresponding isogeny ϕ_I using IdealTolsogeny is efficient.

Once we have a curve E with $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}$ oriented by \mathfrak{O} , we use the smooth norm ideal $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ to find the effective orientation. This is done by writing $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$

Algorithm 1 GenerateStartingCurve (γ, p, ω, T)

```
Input: A generator \gamma of \mathfrak{O}, a prime p such that \left(\frac{-\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})}{p}\right) = 1, an element \omega \in \mathfrak{O}
     with N(\omega) = L_1 L_2, L_i smooth and E[L_i] defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}, and a powersmooth
     value T \gg p/\sqrt{\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})}, with \gcd(L,T) = 1.
Output: An effectively oriented curve (E, P, Q)
 1: Let i, j, k be a basis of B_{p,\infty}, such that i^2 = -q and j^2 = -p.
 2: Let \mathcal{O}_0 be a special, p-extremal maximal order in B_{p,\infty}, and E_0 a supersingular
     elliptic curve with \operatorname{End}(E_0) \cong \mathcal{O}_0.
 3: for n \mid T such that T/n > p/\sqrt{\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O})} do
         Set q := T/n.
 5:
         Set \delta := \mathsf{GenericOrderEmbeddingFactorisation}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathrm{trd}(g\gamma), \mathrm{nrd}(g\gamma)).
 6:
 7:
             Break loop.
 8:
         end if
 9: end for
10: Set I := \mathcal{O}_0 \langle g, \delta \rangle.
11: Compute \phi_I from I using IdealTolsogeny.
12: Set E := \phi_I(E_0), and compute \mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_R(I).
13: Let H_1 := \mathcal{O}\langle L_1, \omega \rangle, and H_2 := \mathcal{O}\langle L_2, \bar{\omega} \rangle.
14: Translate [I]^*H_i to their kernel generators K_i using IdealToKernel.
15: Set P := \phi_I(K_1) and Q = \phi_I(K_2).
16: return (E, P, Q)
```

 $\bar{H}_2 \cdot H_1$, where H_i can be efficiently translated, by pulling back to an ideal of \mathcal{O}_0 , using I, since $\gcd(\operatorname{nrd}(I), \operatorname{nrd}(H_i)) = 1$.

4.5 Computing the class group action

When given an element of $Cl(\mathfrak{O})$, whose action we wish to evaluate, we first find a smooth representative, as explained in Section 4.3. Once such a representing ideal

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{l}_i^{e_i}$$

has been obtained, we need to compute its action. As usual, this is done by repeatedly applying Algorithm 2 on ideals $\mathfrak{a}_0 \mid \mathfrak{a}$ of the form

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{l}_i,$$

until all of \mathfrak{a} has been evaluated. Since the norm of ω is a power of 2 and hence coprime to the primes used in the factor base, this allows Algorithm 2 to be particularly simple, compared to the equivalent algorithms in the original version of SCALLOP [39, Algorithm 2], or SCALLOP-HD [24, Algorithm 3].

Algorithm 2 GroupAction(\mathfrak{a}, E, P, Q)

Input: A smooth \mathfrak{O} -ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{l}_i$, an elliptic curve E oriented by \mathfrak{O} , and points $P, Q \in E$ generating ϕ_P, ϕ_Q such that $\widehat{\phi_Q} \circ \phi_P$ is an endomorphism corresponding to an element of \mathfrak{O} of norm 2^e

```
Output: An effectively oriented curve (E_{\mathfrak{a}}, P_{\mathfrak{a}}, Q_{\mathfrak{a}})
 1: Let B_1, B_2 be a basis of E[L], where L = \prod \ell_i with \ell_i = N(\mathfrak{l}_i)
 2: Let \widehat{\omega} := \widehat{\phi_P} \circ \phi_Q
 3: Compute B_1' = \widehat{\omega}(B_1), B_2' = \widehat{\omega}(B_2).
 4: for i \in \{1, \dots, N\} do
          Compute K_i := [L/\ell_i]([\lambda_i]B_1 + B_1'), where \mathfrak{l}_i = (\lambda_i + \omega, \ell_i).
 5:
 6:
          if K_i = \infty then
 7:
              Compute K_i := [L/\ell_i]([\lambda_i]B_2 + B_2').
 8:
          end if
 9: end for
10: Compute \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}: E \to E_{\mathfrak{a}} from its kernel K = \langle K_1, K_2, \dots, K_N \rangle.
11: return E_{\mathfrak{a}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}(P), \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q)
```

As an optimization, we also present an even simpler, but probabilistic group action evaluation algorithm below. This is based on a standard CSIDH-optimization that avoids sampling points of full order. Since Algorithm 2 requires computing full torsion bases, this optimized sampling is particularly suitable for in SCALLOP.

Algorithm 3 GroupActionOptimized(\mathfrak{a}, E, P, Q)

Input: A smooth \mathfrak{O} -ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \prod \mathfrak{l}_i$, an elliptic curve E oriented by \mathfrak{O} , and points $P, Q \in E$ generating ϕ_P, ϕ_Q such that $\widehat{\phi_Q} \circ \phi_P$ is an endomorphism corresponding to an element of \mathfrak{O} of norm 2^e

Output: An effectively oriented curve $(E'_{\mathfrak{a}}, P_{\mathfrak{a}'}, Q_{\mathfrak{a}'})$, and $N(\mathfrak{a}')$, where $\mathfrak{a}' \mid \mathfrak{a}$

- 1: Compute $K := \left[\frac{p+1}{L}\right] K_0$, where K_0 is a random point on E and $L = \prod N(\mathfrak{l}_i)$
- 2: Let $\widehat{\omega} := \widehat{\phi_P} \circ \phi_Q$
- 3: Compute $K' := \widehat{\omega}(K)$
- 4: Compute $K_{\mathfrak{a}'} := [\lambda]K + K'$, where $\mathfrak{a}' = (\lambda + \omega, L)$.
- 5: Compute $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}: E \to E_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ from its kernel $\langle K_{\mathfrak{a}'} \rangle$
- 6: **return** $E_{\mathfrak{a}'}, \phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}(P), \phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}(Q), L'$, where $L' = N(\mathfrak{a}')$.

4.6 Implementation

We implemented a proof-of-concept version of PEARL-SCALLOP with our parameters in C++. The parameters used can be found in the repository https://www.github.com/biasse/SCALLOP-params. Our implementation applies some well-known, standard optimizations. We work with Montgomery curves

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x,$$

and for computing the 2^e -isogeny corresponding to the orientation, we use the formula for 4-isogenies together with optimal strategies following the SIKE documentation [42]. For evaluating the group action, we use Algorithm 3.

We give timings for evaluating a group element, and compare with the timings reported in SCALLOP and SCALLOP-HD in Table 1. The timings are given as the average time of evaluating 10 random group elements.

Table 1. Timings from SCALLOP [39, Section 6.2], SCALLOP-HD[24, Section 5.6] and PEARL-SCALLOP.

Security level	SCALLOP	SCALLOP-HD	PEARL-SCALLOP
CSIDH-512 CSIDH-1024 CSIDH-1536	35 sec 12 min, 30 sec	1 min, 28 sec 19 min	30 sec 58 sec 11 min, 50 sec

Note that all three implementations are proof-of-concept with non-optimized code, so the timings provide only an approximate comparison. In particular, the timings for SCALLOP-HD are based on a SageMath [54] implementation, rather than C++. Although SCALLOP-HD's GitHub repository contains data for all security levels, timings were only reported for the two lowest levels in [24]. However, a theoretical efficiency comparison of PEARL-SCALLOP and SCALLOP-HD is in fact easy. The order p of the base field can be chosen almost identical, and the group action evaluation is very similar, except in SCALLOP-HD it requires the evaluation of a $(2^e, 2^e)$ -isogeny between abelian surfaces, while PEARL-SCALLOP relies on the evaluation of a 2^e -isogeny between elliptic curves

5 Conclusion

In this work, we presented PEARL-SCALLOP, a new way of instantiating an efficient cryptographic group action based on SCALLOP [39]. In contrast to SCALLOP, our technique is feasible to instantiate for higher security levels, employs a significantly more efficient group action evaluation, and is based on a different hardness assumption.

SCALLOP-HD [24], another efficient cryptographic group action based on SCALLOP, does allow instantiations for higher security levels. However, in comparison, PEARL-SCALLOP is again more efficient in terms of group action evaluation and is based on a different hardness assumption. It also permits practical instantiations for security levels equivalent to CSIDH-4096. We hence argue that PEARL-SCALLOP is currently the ideal choice for efficiency, while also allowing realistic instantiations for secure parameter levels.

References

- 1. Navid Alamati, Luca De Feo, Hart Montgomery, and Sikhar Patranabis. Cryptographic group actions and applications. In Shiho Moriai and Huaxiong Wang, editors, Advances in Cryptology ASIACRYPT 2020 26th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Daejeon, South Korea, December 7-11, 2020, Proceedings, Part II, volume 12492 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 411–439. Springer, 2020.
- Martin R. Albrecht, Léo Ducas, Gottfried Herold, Elena Kirshanova, Eamonn W. Postlethwaite, and Marc Stevens. The general sieve kernel and new records in lattice reduction. In Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2019. Part II, volume 11477 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 717–746. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- 3. Martin R. Albrecht, Léo Ducas, Gottfried Herold, Elena Kirshanova, Eamonn W. Postlethwaite, and Marc Stevens. The General Sieve Kernel (G6K), 2019.
- Sarah Arpin, James Clements, Pierrick Dartois, Jonathan Komada Eriksen, Péter Kutas, and Benjamin Wesolowski. Finding orientations of supersingular elliptic curves and quaternion orders. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/1268, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/1268.
- 5. László Babai. On Lovász' lattice reduction and the nearest lattice point problem. *Combinatorica*, 6(1):1–13, 1986.
- Eric Bach. Explicit bounds for primality testing and related problems. Math. Comp., 55(191):355–380, 1990.
- Daniel J. Bernstein, Luca De Feo, Antonin Leroux, and Benjamin Smith. Faster computation of isogenies of large prime degree. In ANTS XIV—Proceedings of the Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium, volume 4 of Open Book Ser., pages 39–55. Math. Sci. Publ., Berkeley, CA, 2020.
- 8. Daniel J. Bernstein, Tanja Lange, Chloe Martindale, and Lorenz Panny. Quantum circuits for the csidh: optimizing quantum evaluation of isogenies. In Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT 2019: 38th Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, Darmstadt, Germany, May 19-23, 2019, Proceedings, Part II 38, pages 409-441. Springer, 2019.
- 9. Ward Beullens, Samuel Dobson, Shuichi Katsumata, Yi-Fu Lai, and Federico Pintore. Group signatures and more from isogenies and lattices: generic, simple, and efficient. In *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2022. Part II*, volume 13276 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 95–126. Springer, Cham, [2022] ©2022.
- 10. Ward Beullens, Shuichi Katsumata, and Federico Pintore. Calamari and Falafl: logarithmic (linkable) ring signatures from isogenies and lattices. In *Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2020. Part II*, volume 12492 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 464–492. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- 11. Ward Beullens, Thorsten Kleinjung, and Frederik Vercauteren. CSI-FiSh: efficient isogeny based signatures through class group computations. In *Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2019. Part I*, volume 11921 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 227–247. Springer, Cham, [2019] ©2019.
- 12. Jean-François Biasse. Improvements in the computation of ideal class groups of imaginary quadratic number fields. *Adv. in Math. of Comm.*, 4(2):141–154, 2010.
- Jean-François Biasse and Claus Fieker. Subexponential class group and unit group computation in large degree number fields. LMS J. Comput. Math., 17:385–403, 2014.
- 14. Jean-François Biasse, Claus Fieker, and Michael J. Jacobson, Jr. Fast heuristic algorithms for computing relations in the class group of a quadratic order, with applications to isogeny evaluation. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 19:371–390, 2016.

- Xavier Bonnetain and André Schrottenloher. Quantum security analysis of CSIDH. In Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2020. Part II, volume 12106 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 493–522. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system.
 I. The user language. J. Symbolic Comput., 24(3-4):235-265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). https://www.math.ru.nl/~bosma/pubs/JSC1997Magma.pdf.
- 17. Paul Bottinelli, Victoria de Quehen, Chris Leonardi, Anton Mosunov, Filip Pawlega, and Milap Sheth. The dark SIDH of isogenies. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2019/1333, 2019. https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1333.
- 18. Fabrice Boudot, Pierrick Gaudry, Aurore Guillevic, Nadia Heninger, Emmanuel Thomé, and Paul Zimmermann. Comparing the difficulty of factorization and discrete logarithm: a 240-digit experiment. In *Advances in cryptology—CRYPTO 2020. Part II*, volume 12171 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 62–91. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- Wouter Castryck, Marc Houben, Frederik Vercauteren, and Benjamin Wesolowski.
 On the decisional Diffie-Hellman problem for class group actions on oriented elliptic curves. Res. Number Theory, 8(4):Paper No. 99, 18, 2022.
- Wouter Castryck, Tanja Lange, Chloe Martindale, Lorenz Panny, and Joost Renes. CSIDH: an efficient post-quantum commutative group action. In Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2018. Part III, volume 11274 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 395–427. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- 21. Wouter Castryck and Natan Vander Meeren. Two remarks on the vectorization problem. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2022/1366, 2022. https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/1366.
- 22. Wouter Castryck, Jana Sotáková, and Frederik Vercauteren. Breaking the decisional Diffie-Hellman problem for class group actions using genus theory. In Advances in cryptology—CRYPTO 2020. Part II, volume 12171 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 92–120. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- 23. Mingjie Chen, Muhammad Imran, Gábor Ivanyos, Péter Kutas, Antonin Leroux, and Christophe Petit. Hidden stabilizers, the isogeny to endomorphism ring problem and the cryptanalysis of psidh. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/779, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/779.
- 24. Mingjie Chen, Antonin Leroux, and Lorenz Panny. SCALLOP-HD: group action from 2-dimensional isogenies. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/1488, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/1488.
- Andrew M. Childs and Wim van Dam. Quantum algorithms for algebraic problems. Rev. Modern Physics, 82(1):1, 2010.
- Leonardo Colò and David Kohel. Orienting supersingular isogeny graphs. J. Math. Cryptol., 14(1):414–437, 2020.
- 27. Jean Marc Couveignes. Hard homogeneous spaces. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2006/291, 2006. https://eprint.iacr.org/2006/291.
- 28. David A. Cox. Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, third edition, [2022] ©2022. With contributions by Roger Lipsett.
- 29. Pierrick Dartois, Antonin Leroux, Damien Robert, and Benjamin Wesolowski. SQISignHD: New dimensions in cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/436, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/436.
- 30. Luca De Feo and Steven D. Galbraith. SeaSign: compact isogeny signatures from class group actions. In *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2019. Part III*,

- volume 11478 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 759–789. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- 31. Luca De Feo, Antonin Leroux, Patrick Longa, and Benjamin Wesolowski. New algorithms for the Deuring correspondence: towards practical and secure SQISign signatures. In *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2023. Part V*, volume 14008 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 659–690. Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023.
- 32. Luca De Feo and Michael Meyer. Threshold schemes from isogeny assumptions. In *Public-key cryptography—PKC 2020. Part II*, volume 12111 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 187–212. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- 33. Victoria de Quehen, Péter Kutas, Chris Leonardi, Chloe Martindal, Lorenz Panny, Christophe Petit, and Katherine E. Stange. Improved torsion-point attacks on SIDH variants. In Advances in cryptology—CRYPTO 2021. Part III, volume 12827 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 432–470. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- 34. Whitfield Diffie and Martin E. Hellman. New directions in cryptography. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 22(6):644–654, 1976.
- 35. Emmanouil Doulgerakis, Thijs Laarhoven, and Benne de Weger. Finding closest lattice vectors using approximate Voronoi cells. In *Post-quantum cryptography*, volume 11505 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 3–22. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- 36. Kirsten Eisenträger, Sean Hallgren, Kristin Lauter, Travis Morrison, and Christophe Petit. Supersingular isogeny graphs and endomorphism rings: reductions and solutions. In Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2018. Part III, volume 10822 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 329–368. Springer, Cham, 2018
- 37. Jonathan Komada Eriksen and Antonin Leroux. Computing orientations from the endomorphism ring of supersingular curves and applications. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2024/146, 2024. https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/146.
- 38. Jonathan Komada Eriksen, Lorenz Panny, Jana Sotáková, and Mattia Veroni. Deuring for the people: Supersingular elliptic curves with prescribed endomorphism ring in general characteristic. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/106, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/106.
- 39. Luca De Feo, Tako Boris Fouotsa, Péter Kutas, Antonin Leroux, Simon-Philipp Merz, Lorenz Panny, and Benjamin Wesolowski. SCALLOP: scaling the CSI-FiSh. In *Public-key cryptography—PKC 2023. Part I*, volume 13940 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 345–375. Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023.
- James L. Hafner and Kevin S. McCurley. A rigorous subexponential algorithm for computation of class groups. J. Am. Math. Soc., 2(4):837–850, 1989.
- 41. Gabor Ivanyos. On solving systems of random linear disequations. https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.16644, April 2007.
- 42. David Jao, Reza Azarderakhsh, Matthew Campagna, Craig Costello, Luca De Feo, Basil Hess, Amir Jalali, Brian Koziel, Brian LaMacchia, Patrick Longa, Michael Naehrig, Joost Renes, Vladimir Soukharev, David Urbanik, Geovandro Pereira, Koray Karabina, and Aaron Hutchinson. SIKE. Technical report, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2022. available at https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/post-quantum-cryptography/round-4-submissions.
- 43. Antoine Joux, Andrew Odlyzko, and Cécile Pierrot. The past, evolving present, and future of the discrete logarithm. In *Open problems in mathematics and computational science*, pages 5–36. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- 44. Jürgen Klüners and Sebastian Pauli. Computing residue class rings and Picard groups of orders. J. Algebra, 292(1):47 64, 2005.
- 45. Greg Kuperberg. A subexponential-time quantum algorithm for the dihedral hidden subgroup problem. SIAM J. Computing, 35(1):170–188, 2005.

- 46. Antonin Leroux. A new isogeny representation and applications to cryptography. In Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2022. Part II, volume 13792 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 3–35. Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023.
- Hiroshi Onuki. On oriented supersingular elliptic curves. Finite Fields Appl.,
 69:Paper No. 101777, 18, 2021.
- 48. Chris Peikert. He gives C-sieves on the CSIDH. In Advances in cryptology— EUROCRYPT 2020. Part II, volume 12106 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 463–492. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- Claus Peter Schnorr and M. Euchner. Lattice basis reduction: Improved practical algorithms and solving subset sum problems. *Math. Program.*, 66(2):181–199, September 1994.
- 50. Christophe Petit. Faster algorithms for isogeny problems using torsion point images. In *Advances in cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2017. Part II*, volume 10625 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 330–353. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- 51. Alexander Rostovtsev and Anton Stolbunov. Public-keycryptosystems based on isogenies. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2006/145, 2006. https://eprint.iacr.org/2006/145.
- 52. The CADO-NFS Development Team. CADO-NFS, an implementation of the number field sieve algorithm, 2017. Release 2.3.0.
- 53. The PARI Group, Univ. Bordeaux. *PARI/GP version 2.16.1*, 2022. available from http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.
- 54. The Sage Developers. SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (version 9.7), 2022. https://sagemath.org.
- 55. Benjamin Wesolowski. Orientations and the supersingular endomorphism ring problem. In *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2022. Part III*, volume 13277 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 345–371. Springer, Cham, [2022] ©2022.