



**HAL**  
open science

## **HLA-EpiCheck: A B-cell epitope prediction tool on HLA antigens using molecular dynamics simulation data**

Diego Amaya-Ramirez, Romain Lhotte, Cedric Usureau, Magali Devriese, Malika Smaïl-Tabbone, Jean-Luc Taupin, Devignes Marie-Dominique

### ► To cite this version:

Diego Amaya-Ramirez, Romain Lhotte, Cedric Usureau, Magali Devriese, Malika Smaïl-Tabbone, et al.. HLA-EpiCheck: A B-cell epitope prediction tool on HLA antigens using molecular dynamics simulation data. ISMB-ECCB 2023 - Intelligent System in Molecular Biology and European Conference on Computational Biology merged event, Jul 2023, Lyon, France. 2023. hal-04405086

**HAL Id: hal-04405086**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-04405086>**

Submitted on 19 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## Introduction

In the context of organ transplantation, recipient's antibodies against donor-specific **HLA** antigens are the main reason for transplant loss. The prediction of B-cell (antibody) epitopes on **HLA** antigens is therefore a key challenge on the way to improving the matching step between donor and recipient from a structural point of view. Here, we present **HLA-EpiCheck**, a B-cell epitope prediction tool that relies on an unprecedented dataset of short Molecular Dynamics (**MD**) simulations of 207 **HLA** antigens. We use hydrophobic properties, electrostatic charges, flexibility and solvent accessibility as descriptors calculated on patches sampled from **MD** trajectories. Then, we train an Extremely Randomized Trees machine learning model. This model outperforms the state-of-the-art **DiscoTope 3.0** tool [1] for B-cell epitope prediction on HLA antigens.

### MD data :

- **207 HLA antigens** (the most prevalent in the European population).
- Initial templates for **MD simulations** were obtained from **PDB** [3] or **AlphaFold2** [4].
- 10ns MD simulations performed for each HLA antigen and 500 frames extracted from the last 5ns of the trajectory.
- **NAMD3** software [5], **CHARMM36** [6] force field and **TIP3P** explicit solvent model were used for MD runs.



## Dataset generation

Patch Descriptors Dictionary (18)				
Name	Description (per patch)	Descriptor type		Value range
		Static	Dynamic	
H_central	Hydrophobicity of central residue	x		[-4.5, 4.5] <sup>‡</sup>
H_patch_min	Minimum residue hydrophobicity	x		[-4.5, 4.5] <sup>‡</sup>
H_patch_max	Maximum residue hydrophobicity	x		[-4.5, 4.5] <sup>‡</sup>
H_patch_avg	Average of all residue hydrophobicities	x		[-4.5, 4.5] <sup>‡</sup>
Pos_central	Positive charge of central residue	x		[0, 1]
Pos_patch	Sum of positive charges of all residues	x		[0, 1]
Neg_central	Negative charge of central residue	x		[-1, 0]
Neg_patch	Sum of negative charges of all residues	x		[-1, 0]
S_central_min	Minimum RSASA* of central residue over MD		x	[0, 91] Å <sup>2</sup>
S_central_max	Maximum RSASA of central residue over MD		x	[21, 138] Å <sup>2</sup>
S_central_avg	Average RSASA of central residue over MD		x	[10, 116] Å <sup>2</sup>
S_patch_min	Weighted** average of all residue minimum RSASAs over MD		x	[2, 49] Å <sup>2</sup>
S_patch_max	Weighted average of all residue maximum RSASAs over MD		x	[9, 90] Å <sup>2</sup>
S_patch_avg	Weighted average of all residue average RSASAs over MD		x	[6, 65] Å <sup>2</sup>
F_central	N-RMSF*** of central residue		x	[-2, 13]
F_patch_min	Minimum residue N-RMSF		x	[-2, 3]
F_patch_max	Maximum residue N-RMSF		x	[-2, 15]
F_patch_Avg	Weighted average of all residue N-RMSFs		x	[-2, 6]

\* RSASA : Relative Accessible Surface Area.  
 \*\* Weights (of residue values) correspond to the frequency of presence of each residue in the patch over MD (% frames)  
 \*\*\* N-RMSF : Normalized-Root Mean Square Fluctuation during MD [7].  
 ‡ Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity scale was used.

### Dataset :

#### Patch definition

- Calculated for each frame of each MD run of each HLA antigen
- Centered on every surface accessible residue (RSASA\*  $\geq 20\%$ )
- Composed of the surface accessible residues within a radius of 7Å from the central residue (centers of mass where used to measure distances).
- **18 descriptors**: (8 static and 10 dynamic).
- **2 classes**: epitope and non-epitope.
- Epitope labels = confirmed eplets in the **HLA Eplet Registry database** [2].
- Non epitope = neither confirmed nor putative eplet.
- Patches composed solely of conserved residues (with respect to the locus) were discarded.


	A	B	C	DP	DQ	DR	Total
# antigens	34	59	17	31	30	36	207
Dataset	# epitope class	435	542	174	179	464	2102
	# non-epitope class	1302	3072	793	535	1646	7974
Training set (80%)	# epitope samples	349	434	133	137	377	1673
	# non-epitope class	1047	2458	605	432	1335	6349
Test set (20%)	# epitope class	81	101	44	47	88	427
	# non-epitope class	260	621	185	98	310	1627

## Results

### Model selection (on Training set)

Algorithm	F1-score for 10 repetitions of 10-fold cross-validations			
	With Dynamic features		Only static features	
	Class epitope	Class non-epitope	Class epitope	Class non-epitope
Logistic regression	0.17 +/- 0.05	0.88 +/- 0.01	0.05 +/- 0.01	0.76 +/- 0.002
Decision trees	0.57 +/- 0.08	0.88 +/- 0.03	0.48 +/- 0.06	0.74 +/- 0.07
Random Forest	0.64 +/- 0.11	0.92 +/- 0.03	0.50 +/- 0.06	0.83 +/- 0.05
Extremely Randomized Trees (Extra Trees) [8]	0.74 +/- 0.10	0.93 +/- 0.03	0.67 +/- 0.08	0.92 +/- 0.04

### Feature Importance for the Extra Trees model



### Comparison with DiscoTope 3.0 (on Test set)

	HLA-EpiCheck		DiscoTope	
	Class epitope	Class non-epitope	Class epitope	Class non-epitope
<b>Precision</b>	0.94	0.94	0.41	0.79
<b>Recall</b>	0.78	0.99	0.05	0.98
<b>F1-score</b>	0.85	0.97	0.09	0.88

## Conclusion and Perspectives

- ✓ Modest contribution of MD descriptors on prediction performance.
- ✓ Poor performance of DiscoTope 3.0 : no HLA antigen in the dataset used to train DiscoTope.
- ✓ Find better descriptors for MD : analyse false negative epitopes.
- ✓ Try instance-based predictors such as K-NN.

## References

- [1] M. Høie *et al.* DiscoTope-3.0 - bioRxiv, 2023.
- [2] R. Duquesnoy *et al.* 16th IHIW. Int. J. Immunogenetics, 2017.
- [3] H. M. Berman *et al.* PDB. Nucleic Acids Research, 2000.
- [4] J. Jumper *et al.* AlphaFold2. Nature, 2021.
- [5] J. Phillips. *et al.* NAMD3. Journal of Chemical Physics, 2020.
- [6] J. Huang *et al.* CHARMM36m. Nat Methods, 2017.
- [7] K. Dong-Gun *et al.* J. of Chem. Information and Modeling 2021.
- [8] P. Geurts *et al.* Extremely randomized trees. Machine Learning, 2006.

## Acknowledgements

DAR is recipient of an Inria-Inserm doctoral fellowship. This project is funded by ANR EPI-HLA N° ANR-22-CE15-0036-03. Experiments presented in this poster were carried out using the Grid'5000 testbed, supported by a scientific interest group hosted by Inria and including CNRS, RENATER and several Universities as well as other organizations (see <https://www.grid5000.fr>), as well as the MBI-DS4H platform hosted by Inria/Loria and funded by CPER IT2MP (Contrat Plan État Région, Innovations, Technologiques, Modélisation & Médecine Personnalisée), including a FEDER co-funding.