

How can we ensure that (symmetric) cryptographic primitives are trustworthy?

Léo Perrin

► To cite this version:

Léo Perrin. How can we ensure that (symmetric) cryptographic primitives are trustworthy?. Rostock University Seminar, Jun 2023, Rostock, Germany. 2023. hal-04327478

HAL Id: hal-04327478 https://inria.hal.science/hal-04327478v1

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

How can we ensure that (symmetric) cryptographic primitives are trustworthy?

Léo Perrin Based on joint works with Biryukov, Bonnetain, Canteaut, Duval, Tian and Udovenko

> June, 2023 University of Rostock



 $\begin{aligned} \pi' &= (252,\ 238,\ 221,\ 17,\ 207,\ 110,\ 49,\ 22,\ 251,\ 196,\ 250,\ 218,\ 35,\ 197,\ 4,\ 77,\ 233,\ 119,\ 240,\ 219,\ 147,\ 46,\ 153,\ 186,\ 23,\ 54,\ 241.\ 187,\ 20,\ 205,\ 95,\ 193,\ 249,\ 24,\ 101,\ 90,\ 226,\ 92,\ 239,\ 33,\ 129,\ 28,\ 60,\ 66,\ 139,\ 1,\ 142,\ 79,\ 5132,\ 2,\ 174,\ 227,\ 106,\ 143,\ 160,\ 61,\ 123,\ 152,\ 52,\ 44,\ 81,\ 234,\ 200,\ 72,\ 174,\ 227,\ 106,\ 143,\ 162,\ 253,\ 58,\ 206,\ 204,\ 181,\ 112,\ 143,\ 86,\ 81,\ 214,\ 184,\ 191,\ 114,\ 197,\ 1156,\ 183,\ 393,\ 135,\ 21,\ 161,\ 150,\ 41,\ 162,\ 123,\ 154,\ 199,\ 243,\ 145,\ 120,\ 111,\ 157,\ 158,\ 178,\ 177,\ 50,\ 117,\ 25,\ 61,\ 255,\ 53,\ 138,\ 126,\ 109,\ 84,\ 198,\ 128,\ 195,\ 189,\ 138,\ 72,\ 224,\ 55,\ 51,\ 107,\ 215,\ 153,\ 175,\ 196,\ 115,\ 30,\ 0,\ 86,\ 86,\ 45,\ 414,\ 45,\ 424,\ 44,\ 185,\ 37,\ 224,\ 155,\ 30,\ 86,\ 456,\ 130,\ 100,\ 159,\ 38,\ 65,\ 170,\ 64,\ 59,\ 94,\ 85,\ 47,\ 140,\ 163,\ 165,\ 125,\ 105,\ 213,\ 149,\ 59,\ 7,\ 88,\ 179,\ 64,\ 134,\ 172,\ 29,\ 247,\ 48,\ 55,\ 107,\ 228,\ 136,\ 133,\ 97,\ 32,\ 113,\ 103,\ 164,\ 45,\ 43,\ 9,\ 91,\ 203,\ 155,\ 37,\ 208,\ 190,\ 229,\ 108,\ 82,\ 89,\ 166,\ 116,\ 210,$

How can we go from \uparrow to \downarrow ?

$$\pi: \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_{2^8} & \to \mathbb{F}_{2^8} \\ 0 & \mapsto \kappa(0) , \\ (\alpha^{2^m+1})^j & \mapsto \kappa(2^m-j), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq 2^m-1 , \\ \alpha^{i+(2^m+1)j} & \mapsto \kappa(2^m-i) \oplus (\alpha^{2^m+1})^{\mathfrak{s}(j)}, \text{ for } 0 < i, 0 \leq j < 2^m-1 . \end{cases}$$

 π' = (252, 238, 221, 17, 207, 110, 49, 22, 251, 196, 250, 218, 35, 197, 4, 77, 233, 119, 240, 219, 147, 46, 153, 186, 23, 54, 241. 187, 20, 205, 95, 193, 249, 24, 101, 90, 226, 92, 239, 33, 129, 28, 60, 66, 139, 1, 142, 79, 5, 132, 2, 174, 227, 106, 143, 160, 6, 11, 237, 152, 127, 212, 211, 31, 235, 52, 44, 81, 234, 200, 72, 171, 242, 42, 104, 162, 253, 58, 206, 204, 181, 112, 14, 86, 8, 12, 118, 18, 191, 114, 19, 71, 156, 183, 93, 135, 21, 161, 150, 41, 162, 123, 154, 199, 243, 145, 120, 111, 157, 158, 178, 177, 50, 117, 25, 61, 255, 53, 138, 126, 109, 84, 198, 128, 195, 189, 13, 87, 223, 245, 36, 169, 62, 168, 67, 201, 215, 121, 214, 246, 124, 34, 185, 3, 224, 15, 236, 222, 122, 148, 176, 188, 220, 232, 40, 80, 78, 51, 10, 74, 167, 151, 96, 115, 30, 0, 86, 82, 61, 144, 56, 130, 100, 159, 38, 65, 173, 69, 70, 146, 39, 94, 85, 47, 140, 163, 165, 125, 105, 213, 149, 59, 7, 88, 179, 64, 134, 172, 29, 247, 48, 55, 107, 228, 136, 217, 231, 137, 225, 27, 131, 73, 76, 63, 248, 254, 141, 83, 170, 144, 202, 216, 133, 97, 32, 113, 103, 164, 45, 43, 9, 91, 203, 155, 37, 208, 190, 229, 108, 82, 89, 166, 116, 210, 230, 244, 180, 192, 209, 102, 175, 194, 57, 75, 99, 182).

How can we go from \uparrow to \downarrow ?

$$\pi: \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_{2^8} & \to \mathbb{F}_{2^8} \\ 0 & \mapsto \kappa(0) , \\ (\alpha^{2^m+1})^j & \mapsto \kappa(2^m-j), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq 2^m-1 , \\ \alpha^{i+(2^m+1)j} & \mapsto \kappa(2^m-i) \oplus (\alpha^{2^m+1})^{s(j)}, \text{ for } 0 < i, 0 \leq j < 2^m-1 . \end{cases}$$

Why do we care?

Outline



- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)
- 4 Conclusion

What are S-Boxes?

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography

Outline



1 What are S-Boxes?

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Plan of this Section



- Basics of Symmetric Cryptography
- Block Cipher Design
- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)
- 4 Conclusion

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Symmetric Cryptography

There are many symmetric algorithms! Hash functions, MACs...

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Symmetric Cryptography

There are many symmetric algorithms! Hash functions, MACs...



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Symmetric Cryptography

There are many symmetric algorithms! Hash functions, MACs...



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

No Cryptanalysis?

Let us look at a typical cryptanalysis technique: the differential attack.

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Differential Attacks



Differential Attack

If there are many x such that $E_{\kappa}(x) \oplus E_{\kappa}(x \oplus a) = b$, then the cipher is **not** secure.

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Basic Block Cipher Structure

How do we build block ciphers that prevent such attacks (as well as others)?

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Basic Block Cipher Structure

How do we build block ciphers that prevent such attacks (as well as others)?



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

Basic Block Cipher Structure

How do we build block ciphers that prevent such attacks (as well as others)?



Substitution-Permutation Network

Such a block cipher iterates the round function above several times. *S* is the **S**ubstitution **B**ox (S-Box).

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

The S-Box (1/2)

 π' = (252, 238, 221, 17, 207, 110, 49, 22, 251, 196, 250, 218, 35, 197, 4, 77, 233, 119, 240, 219, 147, 46, 153, 186, 23, 54, 241. 187, 20, 205, 95, 193, 249, 24, 101, 90, 226, 92, 239, 33, 129, 28, 60, 66, 139, 1, 142, 79, 5, 132, 2, 174, 227, 106, 143, 160, 6, 11, 237, 152, 127, 212, 211, 31, 235, 52, 44, 81, 234, 200, 72, 171, 242, 42, 104, 162, 253, 58, 206, 204, 181, 112, 14, 86, 8, 12, 118, 18, 191, 114, 19, 71, 156, 183, 93, 135, 21, 161, 150, 41, 16, 123, 154, 199, 243, 145, 120, 111, 157, 158, 178, 177, 50, 117, 25, 61, 255, 53, 138, 126, 109, 84, 198, 128, 195, 189, 13, 87, 223, 245, 36, 169, 62, 168, 67, 201, 215, 121, 214, 246, 124, 34, 185, 3, 224, 15, 236, 222, 122, 148, 176, 188, 220, 232, 40, 80, 78, 51, 10, 74, 167, 151, 96, 115, 30, 0, 98, 68, 26, 184, 56, 130, 100, 159, 38, 65, 173, 69, 70, 146, 39, 94, 85, 47, 140, 163, 165, 125, 105, 213, 149, 59, 7, 88, 179, 64, 134, 172, 29, 247, 48, 55, 107, 228, 136, 217, 231, 137, 225, 27, 131, 73, 76, 63, 248, 254, 141, 83, 170, 144, 202, 216, 133, 97, 32, 113, 103, 164, 45, 43, 9, 91, 203, 155, 37, 208, 190, 229, 108, 82, 89, 166, 116, 210, 230, 244, 180, 192, 209, 102, 175, 194, 57, 75, 99, 182).

The S-Box π of the latest Russian standards, Kuznyechik (BC) and Streebog (HF).

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

The S-Box (2/2)

Importance of the S-Box

If S is such that

$$S(x) \oplus S(x \oplus a) = b$$

does not have many solutions x for all (a, b) then the cipher may be proved secure against differential attacks.

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

The S-Box (2/2)

Importance of the S-Box

If S is such that

$$S(x) \oplus S(x \oplus a) = b$$

does not have many solutions *x* for all (*a*, *b*) then the cipher may be proved secure against differential attacks.

In academic papers presenting new block ciphers, the choice of S is carefully explained.

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

S-Box Design

- AES S-Box
- Inverse (other)
- Exponential
- Math (other)
- SPN
- Misty
- Feistel
- Lai-Massey
- Pseudo-random
- Hill climbing
- Unknown

Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

S-Box Design

- AES S-Box
- Inverse (other)
- Exponential
- Math (other)
- SPN
- Misty
- Feistel
- Lai-Massey
- Pseudo-random
- Hill climbing
- Unknown



Basics of Symmetric Cryptography Block Cipher Design

S-Box Design

- AES S-Box ■ Inverse (other) Exponential Math (other) SPN Misty Feistel Lai-Massey Pseudo-random ■ Hill climbing
- Unknown



How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Outline



- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)

4 Conclusion

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Plan of this Section



- 2 On Standardization and Trust
 - How Standardization Works
 - How Standardization Fails

3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)

4 Conclusion

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Life Cycle of a Cryptographic Primitive

Fundamental Research

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails



How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails



How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Fundamental Research				
Design	Public	Analysis	: Dep	loyment
Small teams	Academic	community	lr	ndustry
 Scope statement Algorithm specification Design choices 				
justifications				
Security analysis				
				\longrightarrow
Public	ation	Standa	ardization	time
Conf., con	npetition	NIST, I	ISO, IETF	

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails



Fundamental Research				
Design	Public Analysis	_ Deploy	yment	
Small teams	Academic communi	ty Indu	istry	
 Scope statement Algorithm specification Design choices 	Try and break pub- lished algorithms			
justifications				
Security analysis				
Publica Conf. com	ation St	andardization	──→ tin	

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

	Fundamental Research	
Design	Public Analysis	Deployment
Small teams	Academic community	Industry
 Scope statement Algorithm specification 	Try and break pub- lished algorithms	
 Design choices justifications 		
Security analysis		
Public Conf., con	ation Standa apetition NIST, IS	rdization 50, IETF

	Fundamental Research	
Design Small teams	Public Analysis Academic community	Deployment Industry
 Scope statement Algorithm specification Design choices justifications Security analysis 	Try and break pub- lished algorithms Unbroken algorithms are even- tually trusted	
Public Conf., con	npetition Standar	dization 0, IETF
How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Life Cycle of a Cryptographic Primitive

Fundamental Research									
Design Small teams	Public Analysis Academic community	Deployment Industry							
 Scope statement Algorithm specification Design choices justifications Security analysis 	Try and break pub- lished algorithms Unbroken algorithms are even- tually trusted	Implements algorithms in actual products unless a new attack is found							

Publication

Conf., competition



Standardization

NIST, ISO, IETF...

time

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations) August 2018 Call for submissions

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process

- 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)
- August 2018 Call for submissions
- March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Some Examples

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process

2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)

August 2018 Call for submissions

March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)



CRYPTANALYSIS TIME

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014First mentions of a LW standardization process2015-2018Calls for comments (several iterations)August 2018Call for submissionsMarch 2019Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)August 2019start of second round (32 candidates left)

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

- March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process
- 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)
- August 2018 Call for submissions
- March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)
- August 2019 start of second round (32 candidates left)



CRYPTANALYSIS TIME

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition \rightarrow AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process

- 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)
- August 2018 Call for submissions
- March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)
- August 2019 start of second round (32 candidates left)
- March 2021 start of third (final) round (10 candidates left)

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

- March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process
- 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)
- August 2018 Call for submissions
- March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)
- August 2019 start of second round (32 candidates left)
- March 2021 start of third (final) round (10 candidates left)



not much cryptanalysis...

- Advanced Encryption Standard competition → AES block cipher
- **S**ecure Hashing Algorithm-3 competition \rightarrow SHA-3 hash function

Lightweight Cryptography (not) Competition

- March 2014 First mentions of a LW standardization process
- 2015-2018 Calls for comments (several iterations)
- August 2018 Call for submissions
- March 2019 Submission deadline/start of first round (62 candidates)
- August 2019 start of second round (32 candidates left)
- March 2021 start of third (final) round (10 candidates left)
- February 2023 NIST chose ASCON

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

The best approach is the one used in practice!

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

The best approach is the one used in practice!

All is well?

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

The best approach is the one used in practice!

All is well?

... no.

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Breaking the Pipeline

	Fundamental Research							
Design	Public Analysis	Deployment						
Small teams	Academic community	Industry						
Scope	Try and break pub-	Implements						
statement	lished							
 Algorithm 	algorithms	algorithms in actual						
specification								
 Design choices justifications 	algorithms are	products						
Security analysis	eventually trusted							
Publication Standardization								

How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Breaking the Pipeline



How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Breaking the Pipeline



How Standardization Works How Standardization Fails

Some (Counter-)Examples

https://eprint.iacr.org/<year>/<number>

A5/2

- stream cipher
- 🛯 1980's
- used in 2G
- designer: ??
- wikipedia

DUAL EC

- PRNG
- **2**000's
- general standard
- designer: NSA
- 2015/767
 (2016/376)

GEA-1

- **1**990's
- stream cipher
- used in EDGE
- designer: ??
- 2021/819

	Streebog and Kuznyechik
	Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box
Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)	Generation Process
	Cryptographic Properties

Outline



- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)
- 4 Conclusion

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Seneration Process Gryptographic Properties

Plan of this Section

1 What are S-Boxes?

2 On Standardization and Trust

- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)
 - Streebog and Kuznyechik
 - Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box
 - Generation Process
 - Cryptographic Properties

4 Conclusion

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Kuznyechik/Stribog

Stribog

Type Hash function Publication 2012

Kuznyechik

Type Block cipher Publication 2015



Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Kuznyechik/Stribog

Stribog

Type Hash function Publication 2012

Kuznyechik

Type Block cipher Publication 2015



Common ground

- Both are standard symmetric primitives in Russia.
- Both were designed by the FSB (TC26).
- Both use the same 8 \times 8 S-Box, π .

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

 π' = (252, 238, 221, 17, 207, 110, 49, 22, 251, 196, 250, 218, 35, 197, 4, 77, 233, 119, 240, 219, 147, 46, 153, 186, 23, 54, 241. 187, 20, 205, 95, 193, 249, 24, 101, 90, 226, 92, 239, 33, 129, 28, 60, 66, 139, 1, 142, 79, 5, 132, 2, 174, 227, 106, 143, 160, 6, 11, 237, 152, 127, 212, 211, 31, 235, 52, 44, 81, 234, 200, 72, 171, 242, 42, 104, 162, 253, 58, 206, 204, 181, 112, 14, 86, 8, 12, 118, 18, 191, 114, 19, 71, 156, 183, 93, 135, 21, 161, 150, 41, 16, 123, 154, 199, 243, 145, 120, 111, 157, 158, 178, 177, 50, 117, 25, 61, 255, 53, 138, 126, 109, 84, 198, 128, 195, 189, 13, 87, 223, 245, 36, 169, 62, 168, 67, 201, 215, 121, 214, 246, 124, 34, 185, 3, 224, 15, 236, 222, 122, 148, 176, 188, 220, 232, 40, 80, 78, 51, 10, 74, 167, 151, 96, 115, 30, 0, 98, 68, 26, 184, 56, 130, 100, 159, 38, 65, 173, 69, 70, 146, 39, 94, 85, 47, 140, 163, 165, 125, 105, 213, 149, 59, 7, 88, 179, 64, 134, 172, 29, 247, 48, 55, 107, 228, 136, 217, 231, 137, 225, 27, 131, 73, 76, 63, 248, 254, 141, 83, 170, 144, 202, 216, 133, 97, 32, 113, 103, 164, 45, 43, 9, 91, 203, 125, 57, 75, 99, 182).

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

S-Box Reverse-Engineering

- AES S-Box
- Inverse (other)
- Exponential
- Math (other)
- SPN
- Misty
- Feistel
- Lai-Massey
- Pseudo-random
- Hill climbing
- Unknown



Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

S-Box Reverse-Engineering

- AES S-Box
- Inverse (other)
- Exponential
- Math (other)
- SPN
- Misty
- Feistel
- Lai-Massey
- Pseudo-random
- Hill climbing
- Unknown



Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The Two Tables

Let $S: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be an S-Box.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The Two Tables

Let $S : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be an S-Box.

Definition (DDT)

The Difference Distribution Table of S is a matrix of size $2^n \times 2^n$ such that

$$DDT[a, b] = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid S(x \oplus a) \oplus S(x) = b\}.$$

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The Two Tables

Let
$$S : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$$
 be an S-Box.

Definition (DDT)

The Difference Distribution Table of S is a matrix of size $2^n \times 2^n$ such that

$$\mathsf{DDT}[a, b] = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \mathsf{S}(x \oplus a) \oplus \mathsf{S}(x) = b\}.$$

Definition (LAT)

The Linear Approximations Table of S is a matrix of size $2^n \times 2^n$ such that

$$LAT[a, b] = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid x \cdot a = S(x) \cdot b\} - 2^{n-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \times \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{a \cdot x + b \cdot S(x)}$$

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Example

S = [4, 2, 1, 6, 0, 5, 7, 3]

The DDT of S.

The LAT of S.

Г8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	Г	- 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 Τ
0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2		0	0	2	2	0	0	2	-2
0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2		0	2	2	0	0	2	-2	0
0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0		0	2	0	2	0	-2	0	2
0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2		0	2	0	-2	0	-2	0	-2
0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0		0	-2	2	0	0	-2	-2	0
0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0		0	0	-2	2	0	0	-2	-2
	Ω	Ο	Ο	2	2	2	2		Ω	Ω	Ο	0	_/.	Ο	Ω	

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Coding Time!

- Using the sage.crypto.sboxes module.
- 2 The AES S-box: differential uniformity, etc
- The Jackon Pollock representation
- **4** Comparison with a random permutation

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Coding Time! (Kuznyechik)

- 1 JP representation of the LAT of π
- 2 Reordering the columns
- **B** Reordering both rows and columns with linear permutations
- **4** Deduce an interesting permutation $L' \circ \pi \circ L$
- 5 Notice the integral distinguisher

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The TU-Decomposition

Definition

The **TU-decomposition** is a decomposition algorithm working against S-Boxes with **vector spaces** of zeroes in their LAT.



T and U are mini-block ciphers ; μ and η are linear permutations.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Final Decomposition Number 1



- \odot Multiplication in \mathbb{F}_{2^4}
- α Linear permutation
- \mathcal{I} Inversion in \mathbb{F}_{2^4}
- ν_0, ν_1, σ 4 × 4 permutations
 - ϕ 4 imes 4 function
 - *ω* Linear permutation

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Conclusion for Kuznyechik/Stribog?

The Russian S-Box was built like a strange Feistel...
Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Conclusion for Kuznyechik/Stribog?

The Russian S-Box was built like a strange Feistel...

... or was it?

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Conclusion for Kuznyechik/Stribog?

The Russian S-Box was built like a strange Feistel...

... or was it?

Belarussian inspiration

- The last standard of Belarus (BelT) uses an 8-bit S-box,
- somewhat similar to π...

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Conclusion for Kuznyechik/Stribog?

The Russian S-Box was built like a strange Feistel...

... or was it?

Belarussian inspiration

- The last standard of Belarus (BelT) uses an 8-bit S-box,
- somewhat similar to π...
- ... based on a finite field exponential!

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Timeline

July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986) June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)

¹A. Biryukov, L. Perrin, A. Udovenko. *Reverse-engineering the S-box of Streebog, Kuznyechik and STRIBOB1*. EUROCRYPT'16

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Timeline

July 2012GOST standardization of StreebogAug. 2013RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)June 2015GOST standardization of KuznyechikMar. 2016RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)May 2016Publication of the first decomposition1

¹A. Biryukov, L. Perrin, A. Udovenko. *Reverse-engineering the S-box of Streebog, Kuznyechik and STRIBOBr1.* EUROCRYPT'16

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

- July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog
- Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)
- June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik
- Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)
- May 2016 Publication of the first decomposition¹
- Oct. 2018 ISO standardization of Streebog (ISO 10118-3)

¹A. Biryukov, L. Perrin, A. Udovenko. *Reverse-engineering the S-box of Streebog, Kuznyechik and STRIBOBr1.* EUROCRYPT'16

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

A Third and Final Decomposition: the TKlog

π is a TKlog!

 π operates on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ where m = 4 using:

- α : a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$,
- κ : an affine function $\mathbb{F}_2^m \to \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ with $\kappa(\mathbb{F}_2^m) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2^m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$,
- **s**: a permutation of $\mathbb{Z}/(2^m 1)\mathbb{Z}$;

it works as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \pi(0) &= \kappa(0) \,, \\ \pi\left((\alpha^{2^m+1})^j\right) &= \kappa(2^m-j), \text{ for } 1 \le j \le 2^m - 1 \,, \\ \pi\left(\alpha^{i+(2^m+1)j}\right) &= \kappa(2^m-i) \oplus \left(\alpha^{2^m+1}\right)^{s(j)}, \text{ for } 0 < i, 0 \le j < 2^m - 1 \,. \end{cases}$$

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

- July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog
- Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)
- June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik
- Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)
- May 2016 Publication of the first decomposition
- Oct. 2018 ISO standardization of Streebog (ISO 10118-3)
- Jan. 2019 Publication of the final decomposition²

²L. Perrin. Partitions in the S-box of Streebog and Kuznyechik. IACR ToSC. 2019.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

- July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog
- Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)
- June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik
- Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)
- May 2016 Publication of the first decomposition
- Oct. 2018 ISO standardization of Streebog (ISO 10118-3)
- Jan. 2019 Publication of the final decomposition²
- Feb. 2019 Kuznyechik at ISO: decision post-poned

²L. Perrin. Partitions in the S-box of Streebog and Kuznyechik. IACR ToSC. 2019.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

- July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog
- Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)
- June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik
- Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)
- May 2016 Publication of the first decomposition
- Oct. 2018 ISO standardization of Streebog (ISO 10118-3)
- Jan. 2019 Publication of the final decomposition²
- Feb. 2019 Kuznyechik at ISO: decision post-poned
- Sep. 2019 Kuznyechik at ISO: decision had to be taken!

²L. Perrin. Partitions in the S-box of Streebog and Kuznyechik. IACR ToSC. 2019.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

From the Designers, at ISO

questioned is the S-box π . This S-box was chosen from Streebog hash-function and it was synthesized in 2007. Note that through many years of cryptanalysis no weakness of this S-box was found. The S-box π was obtained by pseudorandom search and the following properties were taken into account.

[...] No secret structure was enforced during construction of the S-box. At the same time, it is obvious that for any transformation a lot of representations are possible (see, for example, a lot of AES S-box representations).

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

From the Designers, at ISO

questioned is the S-box π . This S-box was chosen from Streebog hash-function and it was synthesized in 2007. Note that through many years of cryptanalysis no weakness of this S-box was found. The S-box π was obtained by pseudorandom search and the following properties were taken into account.

[...] No secret structure was enforced during construction of the S-box. At the same time, it is obvious that for any transformation a lot of representations are possible (see, for example, a lot of AES S-box representations).

Everything is wrong except for the green part.

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The Russian S-box is too simple

p(x){unsigned char*k="@`rFTDVbpPB vdtfR@\xacp?\xe2>4\xa6\xe9{z\xe3q 5\xa7\xe8",a=2,l=0,b=17;while(x&& (l++,a^x))a=2*a^a/128*29;return l %b?k[l%b]^k[b+l/b]^b:k[l/b]^188;}

- 165 ASCII characters that fit on 7 bits: this program is 1155-bit long
- It is impossible that all 2¹⁶⁸⁴ 8-bit permutations have an implementation this short!

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

The Russian S-box is too simple

p(x){unsigned char*k="@`rFTDVbpPB vdtfR@\xacp?\xe2>4\xa6\xe9{z\xe3q 5\xa7\xe8",a=2,l=0,b=17;while(x&& (l++,a^x))a=2*a^a/128*29;return l %b?k[l%b]^k[b+l/b]^b:k[l/b]^188;}

- 165 ASCII characters that fit on 7 bits: this program is 1155-bit long
- It is impossible that all 2¹⁶⁸⁴ 8-bit permutations have an implementation this short!

https://codegolf.stackexchange.com/questions/186498/ proving-that-a-russian-cryptographic-standard-is-too-structured















Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties



What are S-Boxes? Street On Standardization and Trust Decor Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it) Gener Conclusion Crypto

Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties



Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Why it is Worrying

Russia's π



Backdoored S-box



Streebog and Kuznyechik Decomposing the Mysterious S-Box Generation Process Cryptographic Properties

Timeline

- July 2012 GOST standardization of Streebog
- Aug. 2013 RFC for Streebog (RFC6986)
- June 2015 GOST standardization of Kuznyechik
- Mar. 2016 RFC for Kuznyechik (RFC7801)
- May 2016 Publication of the first decomposition
- Oct. 2018 ISO standardization of Streebog (ISO 10118-3)
- Jan. 2019 Publication of the final decomposition³
- Feb. 2019 Kuznyechik at ISO: decision post-poned
- Sep. 2019 Kuznyechik at ISO: thanks, but no thanks

The Russian delegation failed to convince the experts that they were honest: the standardizatoin of Kuznyechik was **refused**

Conclusion

Outline



- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)

4 Conclusion

Conclusion

Plan of this Section

- 1 What are S-Boxes?
- 2 On Standardization and Trust
- 3 Don't Trust, Verify (and How to do it)
- 4 Conclusion

Conclusion

Cryptographers use mathematics but mathematicians could also use crypto!

Conclusion

Cryptographers use mathematics but mathematicians could also use crypto!

If you design a cipher, justify every step of your design.

Conclusion

- Cryptographers use mathematics but mathematicians could also use crypto!
- **2** If you design a cipher, justify every step of your design.
- If you choose a cipher, demand a full design explanation.

Conclusion

- Cryptographers use mathematics but mathematicians could also use crypto!
- If you design a cipher, justify every step of your design.
- If you choose a cipher, demand a full design explanation.
- When in doubt, don't trust! (at least as far as crypto is concerned)

Conclusion

The Last S-Box

14	11	60	6d	e9	10	e3	2	b	90	d	17	c5	b0	9f	c5
d8	da	be	22	8	f3	4	a9	fe	f3	f5	fc	bc	30	be	26
bb	88	85	46	f4	2e	е	fd	76	fe	b0	11	4e	de	35	bb
30	4b	30	d6	dd	df	df	d4	90	7a	d8	8c	6a	89	30	39
e9	1	da	d2	85	87	d3	d4	ba	2b	d4	9f	9c	38	8c	55
d3	86	bb	db	ec	e0	46	48	bf	46	1b	1c	d7	d9	1b	e0
23	d4	d7	7f	16	3f	3	3	44	c3	59	10	2a	da	ed	e9
8e	d8	d1	db	cb	cb	cЗ	c7	38	22	34	3d	db	85	23	7c
24	d1	d8	2e	fc	44	8	38	c8	c7	39	4c	5f	56	2a	cf
d0	e9	d2	68	e4	e3	e9	13	e2	с	97	e4	60	29	d7	9b
d9	16	24	94	b3	e3	4c	4c	4f	39	e0	4b	bc	2c	d3	94
81	96	93	84	91	d0	2e	d6	d2	2b	78	ef	d6	9e	7Ъ	72
ad	c4	68	92	7a	d2	5	2b	1e	d0	dc	b1	22	3f	c3	c3
88	b1	8d	b5	e3	4e	d7	81	3	15	17	25	4e	65	88	4e
e4	Зb	81	81	fa	1	1d	4	22	0	6	1	27	68	27	2e
Зb	83	c7	сс	25	9b	d8	d5	1c	1f	e5	59	7f	3f	3f	ef

Conclusion



