



**HAL**  
open science

# Connection-Minimal Abduction in EL via Translation to FOL (Extended Abstract)

Fajar Haifani, Patrick Koopmann, Sophie Touret, Christoph Weidenbach

## ► To cite this version:

Fajar Haifani, Patrick Koopmann, Sophie Touret, Christoph Weidenbach. Connection-Minimal Abduction in EL via Translation to FOL (Extended Abstract). 35th International Workshop on Description Logics (DL 2022), Aug 2022, Haifa, Israel. hal-03937189

**HAL Id: hal-03937189**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03937189v1>**

Submitted on 13 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Connection-Minimal Abduction in $\mathcal{EL}$ via Translation to FOL

Extended Abstract

Fajar Haifani<sup>1,2</sup>, Patrick Koopmann<sup>3</sup>, Sophie Tournet<sup>4,1</sup> and Christoph Weidenbach<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Informatik, Saarland Informatics Campus, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Computer Science, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA, Nancy, France

Abduction is about finding extensions to a knowledge base that are sufficient to imply a given entailment. Specifically, in the context of description logics (DLs), we consider an ontology  $\mathcal{O}$  of *background knowledge*, an axiom  $\alpha$  s.t.  $\mathcal{O} \not\models \alpha$  (called the *observation*), and we want to compute a suitable set  $\mathcal{H}$  of axioms called *hypothesis* such that  $\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{H} \models \alpha$  [1]. Abduction as a reasoning problem has a long history [2] and has several applications: for example, it can be used to explain missing entailments of an ontology [3, 4, 5], to repair incomplete knowledge bases [6], and to provide possible explanations for unexpected observations [7]. We consider the special case of *TBox abduction* in the lightweight description logic  $\mathcal{EL}$ , where the observation is an  $\mathcal{EL}$  concept inclusion  $C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2$  and the background knowledge is an  $\mathcal{EL}$  TBox  $\mathcal{T}$ . To avoid useless answers, abduction problems usually come with further restrictions on the solution space and/or minimality criteria that help sort the chaff from the grain. We argue that existing minimality notions suffer from certain limitations, and introduce *connection minimality* as a new notion that overcomes the limitations of earlier notions. Furthermore, we developed and evaluated a method to compute connection-minimal solutions in practice.

Our criterion follows Occam's razor by rejecting hypotheses that use concept inclusions unrelated to the problem at hand. To illustrate, consider the following TBox about relationships in academia:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_a = \{ & \exists \text{employment.ResearchPosition} \sqcap \exists \text{qualification.Diploma} \sqsubseteq \text{Researcher}, \\ & \exists \text{writes.ResearchPaper} \sqsubseteq \text{Researcher}, \text{Doctor} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{qualification.PhD}, \\ & \text{Professor} \equiv \text{Doctor} \sqcap \exists \text{employment.Chair}, \\ & \text{FundsProvider} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{writes.GrantApplication} \}. \end{aligned}$$

The observation  $\alpha_a = \text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \text{Researcher}$  does not follow from  $\mathcal{T}_a$  although it should. If we are only interested in subset minimal solutions, possible hypotheses to create the desired


 DL 2022: 35th International Workshop on Description Logics, August 7–10, 2022, Haifa, Israel


 [fajar.haifani@mpi-inf.mpg.de](mailto:fajar.haifani@mpi-inf.mpg.de) (F. Haifani); [patrick.koopmann@tu-dresden.de](mailto:patrick.koopmann@tu-dresden.de) (P. Koopmann);

[sophie.tournet@inria.fr](mailto:sophie.tournet@inria.fr) (S. Tournet); [christoph.weidenbach@mpi-inf.mpg.de](mailto:christoph.weidenbach@mpi-inf.mpg.de) (C. Weidenbach)

 0000-0001-5139-4503 (F. Haifani); 0000-0001-5999-2583 (P. Koopmann); 0000-0002-6070-796X (S. Tournet);

0000-0001-6002-0458 (C. Weidenbach)

 © 2022 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

 CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

entailment include the following

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\text{PhD} \sqsubseteq \text{Diploma}, \text{Chair} \sqsubseteq \text{ResearchPosition}\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \{\text{Doctor} \sqsubseteq \text{FundsProvider}, \text{GrantApplication} \sqsubseteq \text{ResearchPaper}\}.$$

We argue that  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is preferable over  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , because  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is less linked to the observation. Indeed, for any two concepts  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_a$  entails  $D_1 \sqsubseteq \exists \text{writes}.D_2$ , a hypothesis  $\{\text{Doctor} \sqsubseteq D_1, D_2 \sqsubseteq \text{ResearchPaper}\}$  could be created (consider for instance that  $\mathcal{T}_a$  additionally entails  $\text{Poet} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{writes}. \text{Poetry}$ ), since neither  $D_1$  nor  $D_2$  need to be connected to either Professor or Researcher. Our new minimality notion discards  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , and favors  $\mathcal{H}_1$  as connection-minimal.

Intuitively, for an observation  $C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2$ , connection minimality only accepts those hypotheses which ensure that every CI in the hypothesis is connected to both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . The definition of connection minimality is based on the following ideas:

- 1 Hypotheses for the abduction problem have to create a *connection* between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , in the form of a concept  $D$  that satisfies  $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{H} \models C_1 \sqsubseteq D, D \sqsubseteq C_2$ .
- 2 To ensure that Occam's razor is followed, we want this connection to be based on concepts  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  for which we already have  $\mathcal{T} \models C_1 \sqsubseteq D_1, D_2 \sqsubseteq C_2$ .
- 3 We additionally want to make sure that the connecting concepts are not more complex than necessary, and that  $\mathcal{H}$  only contains CIs that directly connect parts of  $D_2$  to parts of  $D_1$  by closely following their structure.

We call  $D$  a *connecting concept*: A concept  $D$  connects  $C_1$  to  $C_2$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T} \models C_1 \sqsubseteq D$  and  $\mathcal{T} \models D \sqsubseteq C_2$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{T} \models C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2$  then both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are connecting concepts from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ , and if  $\mathcal{T} \not\models C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2$ , it means no concept connects them.

In the above example, we would use  $\mathcal{T}_a \models C_1 \sqsubseteq D_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_a \models D_2 \sqsubseteq C_2$  where

$$D_1 = \exists \text{qualification}. \text{PhD} \sqcap \exists \text{employment}. \text{Chair}$$

$$D_2 = \exists \text{qualification}. \text{Diploma} \sqcap \exists \text{employment}. \text{ResearchPosition} \sqcap \text{Researcher}$$

from which we construct the hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_1$  by linking the fillers of the existential restrictions. In the full paper, we define the connection between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  formally using a relaxed notion of homomorphism between the *description trees* of  $D_2$  and  $D_1$ . We show that this notion of minimality is deeply connected with the generation of prime-implicates in first-order logic [8]. That is, using a translation scheme from abduction problems to first-order clauses, we are able to reconstruct the connection-minimal hypotheses using the prime implicates of the translation. In addition to soundness and completeness, we show a quadratic bound on the depth of the terms occurring in the prime implicates, which gives us a termination condition for our method, and ensures completeness for hypotheses that are both connection-minimal and subset-minimal.

We implemented a prototype of our method consisting of two components: a Java component that takes care of preprocessing, translation into first-order logic, and construction of the hypotheses from prime implicates, and a first-order reasoning component that uses a modified version of the theorem prover SPASS [9] for the prime implicate generation. The prototype was evaluated on a set of ontologies from the medical domain for which we generated abduction problems in different ways, show-casing the practicality of our approach. The full paper has been accepted at IJCAR 2022 [10].

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Grant 389792660 within TRR 248.

## References

- [1] C. Elsenbroich, O. Kutz, U. Sattler, A case for abductive reasoning over ontologies, in: Proceedings of the OWLED'06 Workshop on OWL: Experiences and Directions, 2006. URL: [http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-216/submission\\_25.pdf](http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-216/submission_25.pdf).
- [2] C. S. Peirce, Deduction, induction, and hypothesis, *Popular science monthly* 13 (1878) 470–482.
- [3] P. Koopmann, Two ways of explaining negative entailments in description logics using abduction, in: Informal Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Explainable Logic-Based Knowledge Representation (XLoKR-2021) co-located with the 18th international Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR-2021), 2021.
- [4] Í. Í. Ceylan, T. Lukasiewicz, E. Malizia, C. Molinaro, A. Vaicnavicius, Explanations for negative query answers under existential rules, in: D. Calvanese, E. Erdem, M. Thielscher (Eds.), Proceedings of KR 2020, AAAI Press, 2020, pp. 223–232. URL: <https://doi.org/10.24963/kr.2020/23>. doi:10.24963/kr.2020/23.
- [5] D. Calvanese, M. Ortiz, M. Simkus, G. Stefanoni, Reasoning about explanations for negative query answers in DL-Lite, *J. Artif. Intell. Res.* 48 (2013) 635–669. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.3870>. doi:10.1613/jair.3870.
- [6] F. Wei-Kleiner, Z. Dragisic, P. Lambrix, Abduction framework for repairing incomplete  $\mathcal{EL}$  ontologies: Complexity results and algorithms, in: Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI Press, 2014, pp. 1120–1127. URL: <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI14/paper/view/8239>.
- [7] M. Obeid, Z. Obeid, A. Moubaidin, N. Obeid, Using description logic and Abox abduction to capture medical diagnosis, in: F. Wotawa, G. Friedrich, I. Pill, R. Koitz-Hristov, M. Ali (Eds.), *Advances and Trends in Artificial Intelligence. From Theory to Practice*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, pp. 376–388.
- [8] P. Marquis, Extending abduction from propositional to first-order logic, in: P. Jorrand, J. Kelemen (Eds.), *Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence Research*, International Workshop FAIR '91, Smolenice, Czechoslovakia, September 8-13, 1991, Proceedings, volume 535 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 1991, pp. 141–155. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-54507-7\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-54507-7_12). doi:10.1007/3-540-54507-7\_12.
- [9] C. Weidenbach, R. A. Schmidt, T. Hillenbrand, R. Rusev, D. Topic, System description: SPASS Version 3.0, in: F. Pfenning (Ed.), *Automated Deduction - CADE-21*, 21st International Conference on Automated Deduction, Bremen, Germany, July 17-20, 2007, Proceedings, volume 4603 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 2007, pp. 514–520. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-73595-3\\_38](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-73595-3_38). doi:10.1007/978-3-540-73595-3\_38.
- [10] F. Haifani, P. Koopmann, S. Tourret, C. Weidenbach, Connection-minimal abduction in  $\mathcal{EL}$  via translation to fol, in: Proceedings of IJCAR 2022, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 2022. To appear.