



HAL
open science

Exploring the evolution of users' subjective ratings in three Motor Imagery (MI)-based BCI sessions

Aline Roc, Léna Kolodzienski, Aurélien Appriou, Thibaut Monseigne, Pauline Dreyer, Fabien Lotte

► **To cite this version:**

Aline Roc, Léna Kolodzienski, Aurélien Appriou, Thibaut Monseigne, Pauline Dreyer, et al.. Exploring the evolution of users' subjective ratings in three Motor Imagery (MI)-based BCI sessions. CORTICO 2022: Invasive and non invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces – A handshake over the cliff, Mar 2022, Autrans, France. hal-03936455

HAL Id: hal-03936455

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03936455v1>

Submitted on 12 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exploring the evolution of users' subjective ratings in three Motor Imagery (MI)-based BCI sessions

Aline Roc*^{1,2}, Léna Kolodzienski^{1,3}, Aurélien Appriou^{1,2}, Thibaut Monseigne⁴, Pauline Dreyer⁴, and Fabien Lotte^{1,2}

¹Inria Bordeaux - Sud-Ouest (INRIA) – Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique – 200, avenue de la Vieille Tour, 33405 Talence, France

²Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique (LaBRI) – Université de Bordeaux, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMR5800 / URA1304, École Nationale Supérieure d'Électronique, Informatique et Radiocommunications de Bordeaux (ENSEIRB) – Domaine Universitaire 351, cours de la Libération 33405 Talence Cedex, France

³Université Paul-Valéry - Montpellier 3 (UM3) – ufr5 – Route de Mende - 34199 Montpellier cedex 5, France

⁴Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest (INRIA) – Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest – 200 avenue de la vieille tour, 33405, Talence Cedex, France

Abstract

Many challenges remain to understand user-BCI interactions and make them easier, more effective, and more enjoyable - especially for Motor Imagery (MI)-based BCI (1). Past research in MI without feedback showed possible relations between prolonged practice (time) and decreased EEG features separability or increased subjective effort and mental fatigue (e.g. (2)). In MI-BCI applications, varying results (e.g. (3-9)) suggest an unclear relationship between online performance and user subjective ratings e.g. fatigue or performance auto-evaluation. Often, studies show either very few subjects or one single session. Thus, how user experience evolves during standard training, both within- and between-session is still unclear.

Therefore, we investigated how users' subjective ratings vary in relation to time or online performances when training with the standard Graz cue-based MI-BCI (10).

24 participants engaged in 3 sessions of 12 short runs. Each short run (16 trials/run) was followed by self-reported ratings (Frustration, Anxiety, MentalDemand, SubjectivePerformance, MentalEffort, and MentalFatigue).

Statistical analysis indicated a significant time effect for user ratings, with an increase within-sessions of Frustration, MentalDemand, MentalEffort, and MentalFatigue. Session 1 was rated significantly more challenging than the other two regarding Frustration, Anxiety, MentalDemand, MentalEffort, and MentalFatigue. This highlights the importance of conducting studies across multiple sessions. BCI performances did not correlate with subjective ratings.

We provide a ground truth in a standard training paradigm to help elucidate the possible

*Speaker

relationships between time, performance, and user ratings during prolonged BCI operation by novice users.

- (1)Chavarriaga et al. Brain-Computer-Interfaces. 2017.
- (2)Jacquet et al. Neuropsychologia. 2021.
- (3)Myrden and Chau Front. Hum. Neurosci.. 2015.
- (4)Li et al. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2021.
- (5)Emami and Chau Behavioural brain research. 2020.
- (6)Mladenovic et al. IEEE TBME. 2021.
- (7)Sannelli et al. PLoS One. 2019.
- (8)Pitt and Brumberg Assistive Technology. 2021.
- (9)Benaroch et al. Front. Hum. Neurosci.. 2021.
- (10)Pfurtscheller and Neuper Proc. IEEE. 2001.

Keywords: User, experience, subjective ratings, performance