

# PINNs for the time-domain Maxwell equations -Preliminary results

Amine Bennini, Séphane Lanteri, Frédéric Valentin, Tadeu A Gomes, Larissa

Miguez da Silva

## ► To cite this version:

Amine Bennini, Séphane Lanteri, Frédéric Valentin, Tadeu A Gomes, Larissa Miguez da Silva. PINNs for the time-domain Maxwell equations - Preliminary results. CARLA 2022 - Latin America High Performance Computing Conference, Sep 2022, Porto Alegre, Brazil. hal-03933994

## HAL Id: hal-03933994 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03933994

Submitted on 11 Jan2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## PINNs for the time-domain Maxwell equations Preliminary results

Amine Bennini, Séphane Lanteri ATLANTIS project-team Université Côte d'Azur, Inria, CNRS, LJAD 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France

Frédéric Valentin, Antônio Tadeu A. Gomes, Larissa Miguez da Silva IPES research group Laboratório Nacional de Computação Científica (LNCC) Av. Getúlio Vargas, 333, Petrópolis-RJ, 25651-075, Brazil



September 26, 2022

## Objectives of the study

- 2 Mathematical model
- INN formulation
- Results using DeepXDE CHECK OUR TUTORIAL "A Short Introduction to Physics-Informed Neural Networks" TOMORROW!
  - Standing wave in a metallic cavity : homogeneous material case
  - Standing wave in a metallic cavity : heterogeneous material case

#### 5 Closure

## Objectives

#### Motivations

- Surrogate models : ultra-fast electromagnetic solvers
- Include physical and geometrical parameters in addition to space and time/frequency ones
- Main target : inverse design of large-scale photonic devices



Figure: A compact demultiplexer for telecommunications wavelengths.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Source: https://nqp.stanford.edu/inverse-design-photonics

#### Roadmap

- Evaluate PINN approach for electromagnetic wave propagation (Maxwell equations)
  - Time-domain formulation
  - Frequency-domain formulation
- Identify possible directions of methodological improvement
  - Training point sampling strategy
  - Activation function formulation
  - Network topology
  - Other routes : transfer learning, tensor-compressed training, adaptive strategies, etc.
- Extend PINN models to the nanophotonics setting

#### Maxwell equations, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , t > 0

 $\begin{cases} \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} - \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0} \\ \\ \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \text{ and } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ 

#### Boundary conditions: $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_a \cup \Gamma_m$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_m \text{ (metallic boundary)} \\ \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_{\text{inc}} \times \mathbf{n}) \text{ on } \Gamma_a \text{ (absorbing boundary)} \end{cases}$$

where  $(\mathbf{E}_{inc}, \mathbf{H}_{inc})$  is a given incident field.

#### Initial conditions

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$$
 and  $\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ 

< D >

## Transverse Magnetic mode $(TM_z)$

 $\mathbf{H} = {}^{t} (H_{x}, H_{y}, 0), \mathbf{E} = {}^{t} (0, 0, E_{z})$ 

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} & - & \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y} = 0, \\ \mu \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} & + & \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \mu \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} & - & \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} = 0 \end{cases}$$

#### **PINN** formulation

#### General framework

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{N}[u(\mathbf{x}, t); \lambda] &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ u(\mathbf{x}, t) &= g(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ u(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= h(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}[u(\mathbf{x}, t); \lambda]$  is a differential operator parametrized by  $\lambda;^a$  and  $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the solution.

Let  $u_{\theta}$  be the NN prediction for u and  $f(\mathbf{x}, t; \theta, \lambda) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathcal{N}[u_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, t); \lambda].$ Let also  $\{\mathbf{x}_i, t_i\}_{i=1}^{N_i} \in \Omega \times [0, T].$ 

Residual part of the loss function : L<sub>f</sub>(θ, λ) = 1/N<sub>f</sub> ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N<sub>f</sub></sup> |f(**x**<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i</sub>; θ, λ)|<sup>2</sup>
Data loss for initial and boundary conditions : L<sub>u</sub>(θ) = 1/N<sub>u</sub> ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N<sub>u</sub></sup> |u<sub>θ</sub>(**x**<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i</sub>) - u<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup>|<sup>2</sup> where {**x**<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i</sub>, u<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup>}<sup>N<sub>u</sub></sup> denotes the initial and boundary training data on u(**x**, t).

<sup>a</sup>For direct problems  $\lambda$  is (in general) specified.

0 ( .)

### General framework: problem statement (NN training)

Find the parameters  $\theta$  (and  $\lambda$  in the inverse case) which minimizes the loss function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta,\lambda) = w_f \mathcal{L}_f(\theta,\lambda) + w_u \mathcal{L}_u(\theta)$$

where  $w_f$  and  $w_u$  are loss weights.



## Results using DeepXDE

#### Standing wave in a metallic cavity : homogeneous material case

- Material is taken to be the vacuum, i.e.,  $\varepsilon=\mu=1^a$
- $\bullet\,$  The computational domain is defined by  $\Omega=[0,1]\times[0,1]$
- Time window for the training is  $[0, 2m]^b$
- Exact time-domain solution

$$\begin{cases} H_x = \frac{-\pi}{\omega} \sin(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) \sin(\omega t) \\ H_y = \frac{\pi}{\omega} \cos(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) \sin(\omega t) \\ E_z = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) \cos(\omega t) \end{cases}$$

with  $\omega = \pi \sqrt{2}$ .

- Boundary condition:  $E_z = 0$
- Electromagnetic field at the time t = 0 for  $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$

$$H_x = H_y = 0$$
,  $E_z = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$ 

<sup>a</sup>Relative quantities.

<sup>b</sup>Renormalized units.

- Numbers of random sample points are  $N_0 = 400$ ,  $N_b = 400$  and  $N_f = 2000$
- Network structure: 3 inputs (*x*, *y*, *t*), 3 outputs (*E<sub>z</sub>*, *H<sub>x</sub>*, *H<sub>y</sub>*), 4 hidden layers and 50 neurons per layer
- Nonlinear activation function: hyperbolic tangent tanh
- The model is first trained for 10 000 iterations using Adam optimizer with learning rate set to 0.001
- L-BFGS optimizer is then used to improve the convergence



Image: Image:



Solutions at x = 0.75 m and y = 0.75

	$L_2$ errors	$4 \times 20$	$4 \times 50$
$t_0 = 0.25$	$\mathcal{L}_{2,E_{z}}(t_{0})$	$7.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	$\mathcal{L}_{2,H_{x}}(t_{0})$	$5.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	$\mathcal{L}_{2,H_{y}}(t_{0})$	$5.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$t_1 = 1$	$\mathcal{L}_{2,E_{z}}(t_{1})$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	$\mathcal{L}_{2,H_{x}}\left(t_{1}\right)$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	$\mathcal{L}_{2,H_{v}}\left(t_{1}\right)$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$

Relative  $L_2$  errors of  $E_z$ ,  $H_x$  and  $H_y$  for two network configurations (4 × 20 and 4 × 50)

## Results using DeepXDE

#### Standing wave in a metallic cavity : heterogeneous material case

• The computational domain is defined by  $\Omega = [0, \frac{5}{4}] \times [0, 1]$ 

• 
$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2$$
 if  $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ , and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$  if  $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{5}{4}$  and  $0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ 

• Exact time-domain solution

$$E_{z} = \begin{cases} \sin(a_{1}x)\sin(by)\sin(\omega t), & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} & 0 \leq y \leq 1\\ \cos(a_{2}x)\sin(by)\sin(\omega t), & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{5}{4} & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$
$$H_{y} = \begin{cases} -\frac{a_{1}}{\omega}\cos(a_{1}x)\sin(by)\cos(\omega t), & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} & 0 \leq y \leq 1\\ \frac{a_{2}}{\omega}\sin(a_{2}x)\sin(by)\cos(\omega t), & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{5}{4} & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$
$$H_{x} = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{\omega}\sin(a_{1}x)\cos(by)\cos(\omega t), & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} & 0 \leq y \leq 1\\ \frac{b}{\omega}\cos(a_{2}x)\cos(by)\cos(\omega t), & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{5}{4} & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 2, a_1 = 3\pi, a_2 = 2\pi, b = \pi$ , and  $\omega = \sqrt{5\pi}$ .

#### Standing wave in a metallic cavity : heterogeneous material case

• The computational domain is defined by  $\Omega = [0, \frac{5}{4}] \times [0, 1]$ 

• 
$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2$$
 if  $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ , and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$  if  $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{5}{4}$  and  $0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ 

• Time window for the training is 
$$[0, 2m]^a$$

• Boundary condition:  $E_z = 0$ 

• Electromagnetic field at the time t = 0 for  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  computed from exact solution

<sup>a</sup>Renormalized units.

- Numbers of random sample points are  $N_0 = 1000$ ,  $N_b = 500$  and  $N_f = 5000$
- Network structure: 3 inputs (*x*, *y*, *t*), 3 outputs (*E<sub>z</sub>*, *H<sub>x</sub>*, *H<sub>y</sub>*), 4 hidden layers and 80 neurons per layer
- Nonlinear activation function: hyperbolic tangent tanh
- The model is first trained for 30 000 iterations using Adam optimizer with learning rate set to 0.001
- L-BFGS optimizer is then used to improve the convergence



Plots of the analytical solution along the line y = 0.75 at time t = 0.75 m



Solutions at t = 0.75 m and y = 0.75.



Solutions at t = 0.75 m and y = 0.75.

Number of	Error on	Error on	Error on	CPU (mn)
training points	$E_z$	$H_{x}$	$H_y$	. ,
1000	$1.38 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.08 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.33 \cdot 10^{-2}$	19
2500	$7.73 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.53 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.37 \cdot 10^{-3}$	28
4000	$7.43 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.48 \cdot 10^{-3}$	35
5000	$4.88 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.60 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.35 \cdot 10^{-3}$	40

Relative  $L_2$  errors of  $E_z$ ,  $H_x$  and  $H_y$  with different training points

- Extension to 3D case
- PINNs formulation for parameterized problems
- Interpolation versus extrapolation in output predictions
- Detailed performance study
- CPU versus GPU acceleration

### {Amine.Bennini,Stephane.Lanteri}@inria.fr

{atagomes,lamiguez,valentin}@lncc.br

