



**HAL**  
open science

# Improving Manufacturing System Design by Instantiation of the Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development Reference Framework

José Ramírez, Arturo Molina

► **To cite this version:**

José Ramírez, Arturo Molina. Improving Manufacturing System Design by Instantiation of the Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development Reference Framework. IFIP International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems (APMS), Sep 2021, Nantes, France. pp.99-107, 10.1007/978-3-030-85914-5\_11 . hal-03897860

**HAL Id: hal-03897860**

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03897860v1>

Submitted on 14 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



This document is the original author manuscript of a paper submitted to an IFIP conference proceedings or other IFIP publication by Springer Nature. As such, there may be some differences in the official published version of the paper. Such differences, if any, are usually due to reformatting during preparation for publication or minor corrections made by the author(s) during final proofreading of the publication manuscript.

# Improving manufacturing system design by instantiation of the Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System development reference framework

José Ramírez<sup>[0000-0002-5881-554X]</sup> and Arturo Molina<sup>[0000-0001-5461-2879]</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico City 14380, Mexico  
a00995924@itesm.mx, armolina@tec.mx

**Abstract.** With Industry 4.0 and the changing dynamics of markets, assisting in designing, modifying, or improving manufacturing systems at different scales becomes necessary, both in large manufacturers and SMEs. Enterprise modeling architectures support the specific description of various layers to assist in the product lifecycle development by reusing general models that can be tailored to particular scenarios through instantiation processes. This work improves the development of manufacturing systems through partial and particular instantiated models based on the Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development reference framework. Furthermore, this model benefits from the characterization of different industrial sectors to collect tools and activities to avoid developing manufacturing systems anew. In this way, the proposed solution is to create partial models to assist in the design of different manufacturing scenarios: (i) product transfer, (ii) technology transfer and (ii) manufacturing system design. Case studies are instantiated to prove the effectiveness of this framework, in which the particular project activities are developed, and finally, the results assessed by qualitative and quantitative parameters to allow performance measurement.

**Keywords:** Industry 4.0, Enterprise Engineering, Manufacturing system modeling, Reference Model, Instantiation.

## 1 Introduction

Manufacturing capabilities have always depended on available technology [1]. The evolution of electronics and computational systems allowed greater control and precision in transformation processes, as well as faster and more continuous production of products demanded by society [2]. Specific and coordinated activities are required to optimise resources as market behaviour pushes preferences towards differentiated and personalised products to meet particular consumer needs [3]. Industry must be able to react to changes quickly and cost-effectively to survive in this new manufacturing environment, thus, creating new challenges for the construction and management of manufacturing systems (MS) [4]. MS require strategic and multidisciplinary planning that design methodologies must assist. It is essential to emphasise the structured crea-

tion of these systems as that is where most companies fail in a given time, either due to poor planning or incorrect management of available resources, as considerable investments are required for the creation of a manufacturing plant. It becomes imperative to improve MS development by gathering tools and structuring design activities to be used across different industrial sectors to streamline the processes of creation, construction and evaluation of these complex organisations. Acquiring the necessary strategic skills represent a challenge that many manufacturing companies are facing for speeding up the production system design and optimisation and some appropriate tools and models must be provided that are easily adapted to the needs and unique characteristics of some enterprises. Section 2 is a brief summary of the IPPMD, its roots on enterprise engineering and how it is used to develop the product lifecycle. Section 3 centres on the instantiation for different manufacturing system designs, the workflow of the methodology from decision diagrams to instantiation mappings. Furthermore, Section 4 synthesizes three case studies that elaborate on the development of the proposal to three different design scenarios. Lastly, in Section 5, the conclusions and future work of this article are reported.

## **2 IPPMD To Design Integrated Manufacturing Systems**

Enterprise Architecture frameworks have been created to allow the structured creation of enterprises to deploy systematic engineering practices. The ISO-19439 standard provides a unified concept for enterprise engineering activities that enable the interoperability of various modelling architectures [5]. However, these heavyweight frameworks create a problem increasing the complexity of its adoption among diverse industry sectors. Then, fully customization for a given scenario, utilizing instantiation, allows to inherit the main characteristics and structures of a core model to be adapted to specific characteristics in different levels: (i) general, (ii) partial and (iii) particular. General models gather an extensive set of knowledge that is useful to design any enterprise from different viewpoints. Then, partial models gather common characteristics for a given context with a reusable set of characteristics to develop solutions in certain engineering activities. The Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development (IPPMD), is a lightweight framework that arises from Enterprise Engineering standards and allows straightforward creation of particular instances to assist in the development of the product lifecycle in different sized enterprises operating in a diversity of productive sectors [6][7][8]. IPPMD has been successfully implemented in several environments, and there is extensive literature that delves into the use of the methodology [9][10][11][12]. The IPPMD consists of three entities that constitute the product lifecycle: (i) product design, (ii) process selection and (iii) manufacturing system development [13]. The third entity supports the design and development of MS with different characteristics, diverse progress stages and operating under specific business scopes to optimise resources, detect opportunity areas and gain competitive advantage. To this extent, instantiation advantage is gained by the integration of different tools guided by decision workflows to include a specific set of

engineering activities focused on improving: (i) strategic planning, (ii) resource management, and (iii) outcome validation.




Fig. 1. Methodology to generate partial instances of the IPPMD general model for manufacturing system design.

### 3 Manufacturing system development instantiation

IPPMD instantiation for manufacturing system development is divided into three categories or subentities that guide the path of development of an MS according to the following characteristics: (i) product transfer when an MS already exists, and a new product is meant to be produced using existing processes in the facilities; (ii) technology transfer when an MS already exists, and a new product is to be manufactured, but new processes must be installed at the plant; and (iii) MS design, when there is the need to create a plant from scratch to allocate the production of a new product.




Fig. 2. Partial instantiation flow diagrams



In order to consolidate engineering activities and toolboxes, maps allow instances of development for any scenario to generate the MS design variant in the final step. The instantiation map lists the series of activities explored in much greater detail and links the tools and tollgates expected by each phase of work. Tollgates are the documentation that allows access to the subsequent activities as they combine the series of data and details that will serve as a starting point to the following stages. The different scenarios ought to have the necessary activities to continue the MS development, but tollgates will indicate whether the activity has been successfully completed or if it is required to elaborate on the stage or the activity. When needed, multiple iterations of stages are necessary to refine the workflow. All in all, the partial instantiation derived following the methodology can be used in a specific design that will generate a particular instantiation ad hoc to the development process.

## 4 Case studies

In order to test the development potential and advantage of using MS design using IPPMD, partial models were further instantiated to carry out three case studies to assess planning, resource management, and validation of the methodology. Each case study addressed one of the manufacturing criteria with a defined expected outcome (see Figure 4).




Fig. 4. IPPMD particular instantiations applied in three case studies

### 4.1 Product transfer

An enterprise specialized in removing processes has a query to produce a thousand pieces per month of a new component that requires precise custom cutting and some counterbored holes. A product transfer case is detected with flow diagrams where just revamping existing processes is necessary to achieve new production goals. As all the manufacturing activities are being carried out within the facility, it was a whole internal production route. Following the partial instantiated model (see Figure 5), it was possible to successfully deploy the production of the new piece by adapting current milling machines to produce the needed amount of production per month.

STAGE	ACTIVITIES			TOOLS	TOLLGATES	PROJECT DESIGN VISION ASSESSMENT				
	EVALUATION	SYNTHESIS	ANALYSIS			RESULTS	EFFECTS	IMPACTS	BENEFITS	
1 Individual Component Specification	<b>Determine part or assembly attributes</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decompose design, get datasheets of the components, Determine material, Determine shape and geometry, Determine dimensions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Product Datasheet</li> <li>Product drawings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation about part and assembly key characteristics and candidate processes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part and assembly key characteristics and candidate processes along with machine tool selection and fixtures needed.</li> <li>Report of technological capacity reorganisation to manufacture the new part or assembly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Business process Machinery and equipment</li> <li>More flexibility in the production line</li> <li>Human capital</li> <li>Increased knowledge and experience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance indicators</li> <li>Less raw material and parts expenses</li> <li>Less manufacturing machine hours</li> <li>Less total machine set-ups</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strategy</li> <li>New product sales</li> <li>Reduction time for developing new products</li> <li>Economic feasibility</li> <li>Order fulfillment improvement</li> <li>Better process costs</li> <li>Productivity increase</li> <li>Order assurance</li> <li>Economic</li> <li>Higher productivity</li> <li>Higher value-added for invested capital</li> <li>New investment feasibility</li> </ul>		
	<b>Select production process</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request quotes for similar products, Group components or sub-process (from raw to finish)</li> <li>parts machine current machine tools, Map required process and sub-process (from raw to finish)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PIBADA Matrix</li> <li>Custom part mat</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report of technological capacity reorganisation to manufacture the new part or assembly</li> </ul>						
	<b>Evaluate rearrangement plan</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the machines and required characteristics of volume, tolerances and finish, Determine if processing time match available machinery</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plant simulation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report with volume, processing times, routes and manufacturing supplies needed.</li> </ul>						
2 Quality control	<b>Determine a production plan</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine a production programme including volume and machine availability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Value Stream Mapping</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation on performance indicators and expected quality</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance and quality indicators hand by hand with production data cost analysis reports</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation structure</li> <li>Better procedures and practices</li> <li>Top-notch manufacturing techniques</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance indicators</li> <li>On-time supplies</li> <li>Less inventory</li> <li>Better manufacturing lead time</li> <li>Higher % of processing hours</li> </ul>			
	<b>Select quality parameters</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Define internal quality indicators according to volume, scrap and production schedules</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal quality policies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report on actual vs expected performance and quality</li> </ul>						
	<b>Evaluate manufacturing costs</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate processing costs, Calculate energy consumption, Calculate labour costs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part Cost Estimator</li> <li>Internal cost estimation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report of cost analysis</li> </ul>						
3 Supplier selection	<b>Determine raw material and tool suppliers</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Search for suppliers in the internal database, Search for internal and external suppliers, Candidate suppliers for internal production route, Candidate a contingency supply route</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal supply catalogue</li> <li>Public supplier catalogues</li> <li>Alibaba</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report with supply candidates for internal and external production</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reports and supply candidates for internal and external production</li> <li>Quotation/ratings and contracts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Human capital</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Decision making</li> <li>Best practices</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance indicators</li> <li>On-time supplies</li> <li>Increase gross profit margin</li> <li>Reduced manufacturing services</li> </ul>			
	<b>Select query and provisioning basis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request quotations, Determine a provision programming, Determine delivery conditions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quotation sheets</li> <li>Acquisition orders</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quotation reports and detailed information about suppliers provisioning scheme</li> </ul>						
	<b>Evaluate supply offer</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate candidates according to previous experience and ratings</li> <li>Evaluate prices</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal cost estimation</li> <li>External cost estimation</li> <li>Bill of Materials</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rating documentation and supply contracts</li> </ul>						
4 Manufacturing and assembly	<b>Control machine set-up, labour and maintenance activities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Set-up machine programming, Set up processing sequences, Set up flow lines, Set up buffers and handling equipment, Implement labour shifts, Gather production data</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discrete Event Simulation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Production process documentation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Process, assemblies and storage documentation</li> <li>Actual vs expected performance assessment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Business process</li> <li>Order fulfillment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance indicators</li> <li>On-time deliveries</li> <li>Fewer downlins</li> <li>Reduced scrap and rejection rate</li> </ul>			
	<b>Control assembly, handling and storage activities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete assembly of components and sub-components, Handle finished parts, Store finished parts, Gather production data</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discrete Event Simulation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assemblies and storage documentation</li> </ul>						
	<b>Evaluate performance and quality</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate production data</li> <li>Compare performance to expected values</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discrete Event Simulation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report on actual vs expected performance and quality</li> </ul>						

Fig. 5. Product transfer particular instantiation map

Engineering activities such as evaluate a rearrangement plan, determine a production schedule, quality and costs analysis, along with a correct supplier selection, among



others, were used concurrently and iteratively in order to fulfil the production objectives. Furthermore, it allowed to reuse current equipment and apply the already mastered processing capabilities. Some tools used included: datasheets specs, PRIMA matrix, Value Stream Mapping (VSM), Cost estimators, suppliers catalogues and Discrete Event Simulation (DES). The latter was used to model production parameters to assess feasibility for the project as only 10% of the facility's capacity was available. Different scenarios forecasting allowed to reduce bottlenecks to accommodate new production requirements within the capacity of the plant. Project design vision assessment indicated increased strategic planning, more flexibility in the production line, increased knowledge and expertise, and better order fulfilment. It also impacted performance indicators such as increased running machine-hours, better manufacturing lead time, increased gross profit margin and reduced scrap and rejection rate. At the same time, positive strategic benefits went from and increased productivity to new product sales, to say a few.

#### **4.2 Technology transfer**

An SME specialising in removing processes was asked to produce a thousand pieces per month of three unique components. One required turning (available in the facility), the other required milling (also available), but the third one was based on plastic extrusion, a process not available so far. Flow diagram assessment detected a technology transfer case. The company decided that it was a unique opportunity to invest in some industrial 3D printing machines as some of their clients were requesting this service. However, production scenario valuation revealed that to achieve the objectives to produce the three new components partial outsourcing route was necessary. Engineering activities included determining part attributes, process selection, determining raw material, machine and tools suppliers, equipment routing and flowlines design, production scheduling and labour evaluation, saying a few. Particular instantiation allowed reusing current equipment while adding a new production line. Some tools used during the development included: OPITZ, CAD/CAM, PRIMA Matrix, cost estimators, bill of materials, process time charts, VSM, and DES. In this case, simulation modelling was used to assess the impact of installing the new production line, the integration of the outsourced parts in the assembly line, process availability times and whether it was justifiable to hire new personnel; thus, forecasting scenarios was necessary to optimise resources in the plant and assist decision making. After project vision assessment, some remarkable effects on the business processes, human and technological capital, and organisation structure were new process development, adapting top-notch manufacturing techniques, adapting to new production lines, and personnel experience gathering. There was also a high impact and improvement in performance indicators such as on-time supplies, better communication and decision making, optimised processes and increased flexibility in the production line. Last but not least, higher sales and higher value-added for invested capital were some of the reported economic benefits, while new product sales and reduced time for developing new products boosted the strategic advantage.

### 4.3 Manufacturing facility design

This case is about a Mexican manufacturing project focusing on the creation of versatile small-scale CNC machines. So far, the business strategy had been to outsource all manufacturing while engineering centres on the design and assembly of components. However, they had become interested in mounting a small facility for prototyping and testing small batches of their recently designed extrusion module. It included a whole set of processes beneficial for the scope of the project. After following flow diagrams, it became evident that the construction of the entire manufacturing facility could not be affordable due to the expenses of buying equipment. This fact led to a hybrid case where a facility was mounted, and likewise, outsourcing manufacturing was needed due to strategic reasons. Engineering activities focused on two branches. The first three stages allowed to structure the project, mainly relying upon information gathering and projections, then little investment was necessary. The fourth stage is all about plant construction and production ramp-up. As higher investments are necessary, then previous stages had to be thoroughly validated to measure the impact of project toll-gates per stage. The toolbox was extensive and included most of the tools used in the other cases. In this regard, DES was a milestone and succeeded in developing the digital model of all the stages while forecasting different scenarios to suit the production target. Computations allowed to determine the best equipment arrangement, labour optimization and machinery expected performance to fulfil the production. The instantiation outcome was the complete facility design (physical and virtual) to master the required processing capabilities of the project and the integration of outsourcing production in the assembly lines. Some remarkable business, human and technological effects using design vision were: projection of capabilities of the production line, better selection of equipment, strategic planning and optimised digital models. At the same time, positive performance indicators included less material and part expenses, less total machine set-ups, on-time supplies, reduced WIP inventory and reduced scrap and rejection rate. At the end of the day, new product sales and new investment feasibility were some strategic benefits, while better process costs, quality assurance and higher value-added for invested capital were some of the economic advantages.

## 5 Conclusions

IPPMD lightweight framework can be shaped to create partial instantiations that can be used to create particular case studies in different industrial environments. Entities and subentities allow for better classification of manufacturing systems according to the different characteristics, diverse development stages and specific business scopes to set the roadmap and improve strategic planning and resource management. Toll-gates deliver qualitative and quantitative parameters to allow performance measurement during the design for outcome validation. Some of the most critical metrics are obtained from DES in the toolbox. It allowed for graphical and numerical interpretation of the complex dynamics and interactions when designing an MS. This powerful tool can forecast different scenarios and design model optimization. Programming the parameters obtained during process design and administrative data using different

mathematical approaches expands understanding and sets real-life expectations. Thus, decision making is improved, and better results can be obtained with available resources.

Since necessities from large enterprises are very different to those from SMEs, it would be essential to future-proof the methodology by improving particular instantiations to accommodate a wider variety of manufacturing systems requirements. This strategy will include revising current engineering activities, a more extensive catalogue of the toolboxes and improved tollgates assessment. Furthermore, the inclusion of sensing, smart and sustainable indexes could directly align with current Industry 4.0 modelling trends to cope with increasingly rigid government regulations to include sustainable features spanning the entire product lifecycle.

## References

1. R. C. Allen, *The British industrial revolution in global perspective*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
2. K. Schwab, *The fourth industrial revolution*. Currency, 2017.
3. R. Sousa and G. J. C. da Silveira, "The relationship between servitization and product customization strategies," *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, 2019.
4. G. Chryssolouris, *Manufacturing systems: theory and practice*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
5. Q. Li, I. Chan, Q. Tang, H. Wei, and Y. Pu, "Rethinking of framework and constructs of enterprise architecture and enterprise modelling standardized by ISO 15704, 19439 and 19440," in *OTM Confederated International Conferences" On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems"*, 2017, pp. 46–55.
6. A. Molina and R. Carrasco, "The use of GERAM to Support SMEs Development in Mexico," in *Handbook on Enterprise Architecture*, 2003.
7. P. Bernus, O. Noran, and A. Molina, "Enterprise architecture: Twenty years of the GERAM framework," *Annu. Rev. Control*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.arcontrol.2015.03.008.
8. Y. Kamio, "Globeman21: Enterprise Integration for Global Manufacturing for The 21st Century," *IFAC Proc. Vol.*, 1998, doi: 10.1016/s1474-6670(17)40608-2.
9. H. Mauricio-Moreno, J. Miranda, D. Chavarria, M. Ramirez-Cadena, and A. Molina, "Design S3-RF (Sustainable x Smart x Sensing - Reference Framework) for the future manufacturing enterprise," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 58–63, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.06.058.
10. J. Miranda, R. Pérez-Rodríguez, V. Borja, P. K. Wright, and A. Molina, "Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development Reference Model to develop Cyber-Physical Production Systems - The Sensing, Smart and Sustainable Microfactory Case Study," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.2006.
11. D. Cortes et al., "Integrated Product, Process and Manufacturing System Development," 2018, doi: 10.1109/ICE.2018.8436275.
12. D. Cortés, J. Ramírez, D. Chavarría-Barrientos, J. Miranda, and A. Molina, "Integrated product, process and manufacturing system development reference model: Research Summer as case study," 2018, doi: 10.18687/LACCEI2018.1.1.278.
13. A. Molina, P. Ponce, J. Miranda, and D. Cortés, *Enabling Systems for Intelligent Manufacturing in Industry 4.0*. Springer, 2021.