

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Cognitive Map Based Analysis of Supply Chain Risks

Gülçin Büyüközkan, Celal Alpay Havle, Orhan Feyzioğlu

► To cite this version:

Gülçin Büyüközkan, Celal Alpay Havle, Orhan Feyzioğlu. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Cognitive Map Based Analysis of Supply Chain Risks. IFIP International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems (APMS), Sep 2021, Nantes, France. pp.634-643, 10.1007/978-3-030-85914-5_67. hal-03897843

HAL Id: hal-03897843 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03897843

Submitted on 14 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



This document is the original author manuscript of a paper submitted to an IFIP conference proceedings or other IFIP publication by Springer Nature. As such, there may be some differences in the official published version of the paper. Such differences, if any, are usually due to reformatting during preparation for publication or minor corrections made by the author(s) during final proofreading of the publication manuscript.

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Cognitive Map Based Analysis of Supply Chain Risks

Gülçin Büyüközkan^{1[0000-0002-2112-3574]}, Celal Alpay Havle^{1,2[0000-0001-7729-2206]} and Orhan Feyzioğlu^{1[0000-0002-8919-191X]}

¹ Galatasaray University, Department of Industrial Engineering, 34349, Istanbul, Turkey gbuyukozkan@gsu.edu.tr, ofeyzioglu@gsu.edu.tr ² Özyeğin University, Professional Flight Program, 34794, İstanbul, Turkey celal.havle@ozyegin.edu.tr

Abstract. Supply chains (SCs) are network-like structures and gigantic systems. Such systems are complex and multifaceted. Therefore, they contain many components and risk factors that are directly or indirectly related to each other. The importance of SCs, which has always been important for companies, is increasing day by day. Therefore, it is vital to focus on supply chain management (SCM) and the risk factors encountered in this management process. Plus, the investigation of the cause-effect relationships, evaluation, and analysis of these factors hold a critical role for SCM. Accordingly, this study aims to reveal the risk factors that should be considered in SCM and analyze these factors. Moreover, the causal relationships of these risk factors are aimed to be revealed. For this purpose, Cognitive Map (CM) approach is utilized. The classical CM approach is expanded to the intuitionistic fuzzy (IF) environment and used as the IFCM approach to eliminate the uncertainty and intuition involved in real-life problems and decision-making processes. SC risk factors' importance degrees and causal relations between the factors are displayed and visualized.

Keywords: Supply chain, supply chain risks, supply chain risk management, intuitionistic fuzzy cognitive map.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, manufacturing supply chains are disposed to be composed of global, complex relationships and flow between tens and hundreds and thousands of geographically dispersed companies and plants. A supply chain has various members such as facilities, distributors, retailers, suppliers, and their suppliers, installation internal and external logistics providers, and financial institutions [1]. The management of such a structure with many components is crucial. Supply chain management (SCM) is a structure that aims to integrate the management and resource control and flow and oversight of the entire system with multiple suppliers [2]. However, SCM is incorporated with risk management. SCM can be defined as managing risks raised from coordination and collaboration between supply chain members to ensure profitability and sustainability [3]. SC risk management (SRCM), having an influential role in managing business processes and supply chain risks, has many risk sources such as process, control, demand, supply, and environment. Therefore, this study proposes an evaluation framework for supply chain risk analysis and investigates the supply chain risks. The cognitive Map (CM) approach [4] is utilized to analyze these risks with the relationship assumption between criteria. To eliminate the uncertainty, intuition due to human perception, and hesitancy in real-life problems and decision-making processes, the classical CM approach has been expanded to an intuitionistic fuzzy (IF) environment [5]. Hence, the CM is applied as IFCM in this study. A case study is conducted to validate the proposed model in a manufacturing company in Turkey. Importance degree of risks are obtained, and IFCM models of SCRM are visualized.

This study consists of the following sections: Section 2 gives a brief literature survey. Section 3 illustrates the IFCM method. The conducted case study is provided in Section 4 followingly. Finally, the research is concluded in Section 5.

2 Literature Review

SCM is the management of the goods by the flow of finance and information within the network structure of organizations aiming to produce and deliver services or products for customers. Coordination and cooperation of different activities and processes between various functions such as purchasing, logistics marketing, finance and information flow, sales, production, production design are conducted within the network [3].

The SC performance is intrinsically unpredictable. Therefore, managers are often in search of safety measures to be protected from unforeseen situations. In the supply chain process, more efforts are made to check order status frequently. Moreover, distributing necessary inventory and determining the confidence interval of supply times are essential to speed up orders and keep the supply chain performance high. Furthermore, these stocks hide potential hazards until a severe problem arises between the partner due to limited information sharing. This prepares a sub-structure that leads to the formation of risk sources. These risk sources, including delays, disruptions, financial forecasting, intellectual property, purchasing, customers, inventory, and capacity [6], may arise from internal and external supply chains.

This study assumes the existence of relationships between criteria contrary to the principle of criteria independence. This assumption forms the basis for the use of multicriteria decision-making techniques. The IFCM approach deals with the causal relations between the criteria considering intuition and hesitation. It has a dynamical behavior, and therefore, different scenarios can be conducted for future conditions.

Various studies are dealing with SC risks in the academic literature. A stochastic model was proposed for risk management in global supply chain networks [7]. Supply chain risks are determined in the automotive and electronic industries, and SCRM implementation is emphasized [8]. The performance-based evaluation was performed under the impact of SCRM [9]. Table 1 shows some studies based on SC risks in academic literature.

Table 1. Some SC risks-based studies in the academic literature

Objective of the Study	Source
To make performance-based evaluation under the impact of supply chain risk	۲٥٦
management.	[2]
To examine that the research developments in supply chain risk management	[10]
(SCRM).	[IU]
To show the need for supply chain risk management as a management func-	[11]
tion.	[11]
To determine the suited techniques for risk assessment in complex environ-	[10]
ments in the aspect of the supply chain.	[12]
To investigate the assessment of operational risks-based supply chain.	[13]
To examine the efficiency to develop a model in the aspect of supply chain	F1 47
risk management.	[14]
To emphasize the lack of definition of risk in the perspective of the supply	F1 77
chain in literature.	[15]
To research the effect of visibility and risk on cost in the supply chain.	[16]
To reduce the risk mitigation in the supply chain with a proposed procedure.	[17]

However, there is a limited number of studies using IFCM applications in the literature concerning both SC and SC risks. In these studies, the SC configuration criteria were evaluated using IFCM [18]. A model was developed and analyzed using the IFCM method for sustainable hospital SCM [19]. The IFCM method was used based on a sustainable SCM framework for higher education laboratories [20]. Risks are analyzed concerning the digital supply chain [21]. Hence, this study utilizes the IFCM approach to analyze supply chain risks.

3 Proposed Methodology

This section briefly explains intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Following this, the proposed methodology is to be presented.

3.1 Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

IF sets were proposed by Atanassov in 1986 [5], and they can deal with obscurity, intuition, and hesitancy [22]. An IF set can be indicated in a finite set as $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), v_A(x) \rangle | x \in X \}$ where $\mu_A(x), v_A(x) : X \to [0,1] \mu_A(x)$ and $v_A(x)$ membership and non-membership functions, respectively and here,

$$0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1.$$
 (1)

The third component of an IF value which is $\pi_A(x)$ deals with hesitancy.

$$\pi_{A}(x) = 1 - \mu_{A}(x) - \nu_{A}(x) \quad \text{where } 0 \le \pi_{A}(x) \le 1, \forall x \in X.$$
(2)

3.2 Intuitionistic Fuzzy Cognitive Map Approach

Kosko proposed FCMs, which are network-like structures in 1986 [23], and these structures have causal relationships. Plus, they allow scenario analysis based on their dynamical behavior [24]. FCMs, consisting of nodes, arcs, and their weights, are based on experts' opinions. They are signed weighted graphs [24]. Since real-life problems and decision-making processes include uncertainty, hesitation, and intuition, fuzzy sets [25] may be insufficient to model and solve such issues. Therefore, FCM is extended to IF sets, and it is applied as IFCM [26].



Fig. 1. Computational steps of the IFCM approach

4

The third component of the IF sets deals with hesitancy in decision-making processes. Computational steps of the IFCM approach presented in Fig. 1 are given as follows [26]:

Step 1: Determine the DMs, identify the evaluation criteria and scales.

Step 2: Obtain the weights of the DMs. The weight of the k^{th} DM (λ_k) is calculated by Eq. (3) adopted from Boran et al. [15] using linguistic terms indicated in Table 2.

Linguistic terms	IF values (μ, υ, π)
Very Unimportant	(0.100, 0.800, 0.100)
Unimportant	(0.250, 0.600, 0.150)
Medium	(0.500, 0.400, 0.100)
Important	(0.750, 0.200, 0.050)
Very Important	(0.900, 0.050, 0.050)

Table 2. Linguistic terms to rate the DMs [22, 27].

$$\lambda_{k} = \frac{\left(\mu_{k} + \pi_{k}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{k} + \nu_{k}}\right)\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{l} \left(\mu_{k} + \pi_{k}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{k} + \nu_{k}}\right)\right)}, \sum_{k=1}^{l} \left(\lambda_{k}\right) = 1$$
(3)

Step 3: Obtain the judgments of DMs on each concept. Causal relationships and the degree of causalities are determined using the linguistic scale given in Table 3.

Table 3. Linguistic terms for the degree of causality [26].

Linguistic terms	IF values (μ, υ, π)
Negatively Very Strong (NVS)	(0.000, 0.950, 0.050)
Negatively Strong (NS)	(0.050, 0.900, 0.050)
Negatively Medium (NM)	(0.250, 0.700, 0.050)
Negatively Weak (NW)	(0.350, 0.600, 0.050)
Zero (Z)	(0.500, 0.500, 0.000)
Positively Weak (PW)	(0.600, 0.350, 0.050)
Positively Medium (PM)	(0.750, 0.200, 0.050)
Positively Strong (PS)	(0.900, 0.050, 0.050)
Positively Very Strong (PVS)	(0.950, 0.000, 0.050)

Step 4: Construct the aggregated weight matrix. Individual evaluations of the DMs are combined into a group decision matrix using the intuitionistic fuzzy weighted averaging (IFWA) operator proposed by Xu [28] indicated with Eq. (4).

$$w_{ij} = IFWA_{\lambda}\left(w_{ij}^{(1)}, w_{ij}^{(2)}, ..., w_{ij}^{(k)}\right) = \lambda_1 w_{ij}^{(1)} \oplus \lambda_2 w_{ij}^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k w_{ij}^{(k)}$$

$$= \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \mu_{ij}^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_{k}}, \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(\nu_{ij}^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_{k}}, \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \mu_{ij}^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_{k}} - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(\nu_{ij}^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_{k}}\right)$$
(4)

Step 5: Apply IFCM [26]. IFCM equation is applied to find the value of each criterion. Eq. (5) equation is used to calculate concept values at each iteration until convergence.

$$A_{i}^{(t+1)} = f\left(A_{i}^{(t)} + \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{(t)} \left(\mu_{ij}\left(w\right) - \pi_{ij}\left(w\right)\right)\right)$$
(5)

where $A_i^{(t+1)}$ indicates the value of the concept C_i at iteration (t+1), f(x) shows the threshold function, which is sigmoid $\left(f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha x}}\right)\right)$. Here, the growth rate is set to -0.1 ($\alpha = -0.1$). $A_i^{(t)}$ represents the initial concept value at iteration t. $A_j^{(t)}$ is the concept value at iteration t. $\left(\mu_{ij}(w) - \pi_{ij}(w)\right)$ is applied to reach the weight matrix.

Step 6: Determine concept values.

4 Case Study

A case study is conducted to analyze the SC risks in a manufacturing company. Due to privacy concerns and confidentially issues, the company will be named XYZ. An evaluation model is proposed based on three main dimensions: production and inventory, customer service and delivery, and plant production. Risk factors concerning the main dimensions are determined with the help of decision-makers (DMs). A group of experts, including DMs, is constructed by considering their experience levels, backgrounds, academic careers, positions in the company where they work, and the knowledge levels related to the SC risks. The DMs determined the causality degrees of relationships (edges) between the risk factors using Table 2. Only the evaluations belong to a single DM (DM1) for the risk factors of Production & Inventory are given in Table 4 due to page limitations.

Table 4. Englishe terms bused evaluation of TTTDs factors								
PI risk factors	C1	C_2	C3	C_4	C5	C6	C_7	C8
C_1	Ζ	PS	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ
C_2	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	PM	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ
C3	Ζ	NM	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	NS	Ζ	Ζ
C_4	Ζ	NW	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	NW	Ζ	Ζ
C5	Ζ	Ζ	PS	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ
C_6	Ζ	PW	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ
C_7	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	PM	Ζ	Ζ
C_8	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	Ζ	PVS	Ζ	Ζ

Table 4. Linguistic terms-based evaluation of PI risk factors

Visualized IFCM model of each main dimension with their sub-criteria are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 4. Visualization of the IFCM model is performed by Pajek Software. The aggregated weight matrix is used for this. Following that, the concept (risk factor) values are calculated using Eq. (5), and they are given in Table 5 with their ranked orders.

Table 5. Concept values of supply chain risks and their ranked order

Pro	duction &	Customer Service				Pla	ant		
Ir	Inventory		& Delivery Production						
C_2	0.44576	C_4	0.43776	C7	0.42849	C15	0.41548	C9	0.40593
C_6	0.44574	C_{11}	0.43364	C_5	0.42696	C_8	0.41406	C_{14}	0.40591
C_1	0.44472	C_3	0.43154	C_2	0.42637	C_2	0.40926	C_5	0.40589
C_4	0.44472	C_6	0.43055			C_6	0.40926	C_{10}	0.40588
C_7	0.44472	C_{10}	0.43004			C_{11}	0.40926	C_{12}	0.40588
C_8	0.44472	C_8	0.43003			C13	0.40926	C_{16}	0.40588
C_5	0.44257	C_1	0.42954			C_4	0.40878	C_1	0.40393
C3	0.44099	C9	0.42850			C3	0.40878	C_7	0.40300

The concept values in Table 5 show that the most critical risk factors for production and inventory are the desired inventory, desired production, and customer demand. Furthermore, when Fig 2 is examined, it is seen that high customer demand increases the desired production. This triggers production, and consequently, the inventory is influenced. At the same time, desired service level, desired inventory coverage, and plant reliability positively influence desired inventory. If the desired inventory high, then the desired production is increased.



Fig. 2. IFCM model of production & inventory risks

As Table 5 states, inventory, demand backlog, and order fulfillment risk factors have greater importance in customer service and delivery risks. When Fig 3 is examined, it is seen that inventory influences inventory carrying cost and order fulfillment. At the same time, if the order fulfillment level is high, then customer satisfaction is high. Customer satisfaction triggers customer demand, and it causes a demand backlog. Accordingly, the desired shipment will be influenced. On the other hand, high product prices

will affect customer satisfaction. However, the product price is determined based on immediate shipment, inventory, inventory carrying cost, and unit shipment cost, which is also influenced by the immediate shipment.



Fig. 3. IFCM model of customer service & delivery risks

Finally, the obtained results given in Table 5 shows that customer satisfaction, downtime, and desired production are the most critical factors in plant production risks. Furthermore, Fig 4 proves that product variety, product price, and product defects significant for customer satisfaction. But the variety of the production increases the setup time, and that increases the manufacturing lead time. The increase in the manufacturing lead time directly increases the unit production cost, and the increased unit production cost increases the price of the product. But the increased product price may influence customer satisfaction negatively.



Fig. 4. IFCM model of plant production risks.

Manufacturing companies should focus on these risk factors in their SCRM processes. Furthermore, when the IFCM models are examined, it is seen that the sub-models have common criteria such as *desired production*, *plant reliability*, *production*, *inventory*, *and customer satisfaction*. Since these risk factors connected these three subsystems as both influencing and influenced factors, it is possible to propose a general model for supply chain risks.

5 Conclusion and Perspectives

SCs are complex network-like structures with many components. These complex structures contain many risk factors. For managing these structures, companies need to focus on risk factors for their sustainability. Plus, profitability and determination of strategies concerning risks are also crucial for SCM. In this study, a model is proposed for the analysis of supply chain risk factors. A case study is conducted to validate the proposed model and risk factors in a manufacturing company in Turkey. IFCM approach is utilized for the analyzing process. The study reveals the importance of the risk factors for SCs, and the applied IFCM approach is helpful for such problems.

The proposed model can be developed by simultaneously analyzing all sub-criteria of the proposed to see the structure of the whole SC risk system. Interval-valued IF (IVIF) sets can be used to obtain more accurate and sensitive results. Furthermore, sensitivity and scenario analysis can be performed for future studies, and also the results can be compared.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to give their deep gratitude to industrial experts. This work has been supported by the Scientific Research Projects Commission of Galatasaray University.

References

- R.S. Gaonkar and N. Viswanadham, Analytical framework for the management of risk in Supply Chains. IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, 4(2), 265–273, (2007).
- R. Monczka, R. Trent and R. Handfield, Purchasing and Supply Chain Management, Cincinnati, OH: South-Western College Publishing, Chapter 8, (2015).
- C.S. Tang, Perspectives in Supply Chain Risk Management. International Journal of Production Economies, 103, 451–488, (2006).
- 4. E.C. Tolman, Cognitive maps in rats and men. Psychological review, 55(4), 189, (1948).
- 5. K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and Systems, 20(1), 87-96, (1986).
- S. Chopra and M.S. Sodhi, Managing risk to avoid supply-chain breakdown. MIT Sloan Management Review, 46(1), 53, (2004).
- 7. M. Goh, J.Y. Lim and F. Meng, A stochastic model for risk management in global supply chain networks. European Journal of Operational Research, 182(1), 164-173, (2007).
- M.F. Blos, M. Quaddus, H.M. Wee and K. Watanabe, Supply chain risk management (SCRM): a case study on the automotive and electronic industries in Brazil. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 14(4), 247-252, (2009).
- 9. J.H. Thun and D. Hoenig, An empirical analysis of Supply Chain Risk Management in the German automative industry. International Journal of Production Economics, 131, 242-249, (2011).

- O. Tang, and S.N. Musa, Identifying risk issues and research advancements in Supply Chain Risk Management. Int. J. Production Economics, 133, 25-34, (2011).
- Lavastre, O., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2012). Supply chain risk management in French companies", Decision Support Systems, 52, 828-838.
- C. Markmann, I-L. Darkow and H. v. d. Gracht, A Delphi-Based Risk Analysis-Identifying And Assessing Future Challenges For Supply Chain Security In A Multi-Stakeholder Environment". Technological Forecasting&Social Change, 80, 1815-1833, (2013).
- 13. F. Tazelaar and C. Snijdersb, Operational risk assessments by supply chain professionals: Process and performance. Journal of Operations Management, 31, 37–51, (2013).
- X. Xu, Z. Meng and R. Shen, A tri-level programming model based on conditional valueat-risk for three-stage supply chain management. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 66, 470-475, (2013).
- I. Heckmann, T. Comes and S. Nickel, A critical review on supply chain risk Definition, measure, and modeling. The International Journal of Management Science, PII. S0305-0483(14)00125-X, (2014).
- S.V. Nooraie and M.M. Parast, A multi-objective approach to supply chain risk management: Integrating visibility with supply and demand risk. Int. J. Production Economics, 161, 192-200, (2015).
- O. Kırılmaz and S. Erol, A proactive approach to supply chain risk management: Shifting orders among suppliers to mitigate the supply side risks. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 23(1), 54-65, (2017).
- M. Dursun and G. Gumus, Intuitionistic fuzzy cognitive map approach for the evaluation of supply chain configuration criteria. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 43(13), 7788-7801, (2020).
- S.H. Mirghafoori, A.M. Sharifabadi and S.K. Takalo, Development of causal model of sustainable hospital supply chain management using the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Cognitive Map (IFCM) method. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management (JIEM), 11(3), 588-605, (2018).
- M.R.A. Purnomo, A.R. Anugerah and B.T. Dewipramesti, Sustainable supply chain management framework in a higher education laboratory using intuitionistic fuzzy cognitive map. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 13(2), 417-429, (2020).
- G. Büyüközkan and F. Göçer, Digital supply chain risk analysis with intuitionistic fuzzy cognitive map. Data Science and Knowledge Engineering for Sensing Decision Support, 1385-1391, (2018).
- F.E. Boran, S. Genç, M. Kurt and D. Akay, A multi-criteria intuitionistic fuzzy group decision making for supplier selection with TOPSIS method. Expert Systems with Applications, 36(8), 11363-11368, (2009).
- B. Kosko, Fuzzy cognitive maps. International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 24(1), 65-75, (1986).
- G.Büyüközkan and Z. Vardaloğlu, Analyzing of CPFR success factors using fuzzy cognitive maps in retail industry. Expert Systems with Applications, 39(12), 10438-10455, (2012).
- 25. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3), 338-353, (1965).
- G. Büyüközkan, C.A. Havle, O. Feyzioğlu and F. Göçer, A combined group decision making based IFCM and SERVQUAL approach for strategic analysis of airline service quality. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 38(1), 859-872, (2020).
- G. Büyüközkan, O. Feyzioğlu and C.A. Havle, Intuitionistic Fuzzy AHP Based Strategic Analysis of Service Quality in Digital Hospitality Industry. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 52(13), 1687-1692, (2019).
- 28. Z. Xu, Intuitionistic preference modeling and interactive decision making. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (2014).

10