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Abstract
In the last decade, FPGAs appeared as a credible alternative for big data and high-performance computing applications. However, programming an FPGA is tedious: given a function to implement, the circuit must be designed from scratch by the developer. In this short paper, we address the compilation of data placement under parallelism and resource constraints. We propose an HLS algorithm able to partition the data across memory banks, so parallel accesses will target distinct banks to avoid data transfer serialization. Our algorithm is able to reduce the number of banks and the maximal bank size. Preliminary evaluation shows promising results.
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1 Introduction
Since the end of Dennard scaling, the energy efficiency (flop/J) of computers has become a major challenge as soon as the energy budget is limited. The best solution is to rely on specialized circuits, which ultimately trade energy efficiency for programmability. In the last decade, FPGAs appeared as a credible alternative for big data and high-performance computing applications. However, programming an FPGA is tedious: given a function to implement, the circuit configuration must be built from scratch by the developer. Hence the emergence of high-level circuit compilers (high-level synthesis, HLS) [1, 3, 6, 7, 9], able to translate a C program to an FPGA circuit configuration. Unlike software parallelisation, there is no parallel runtime to place the computation and the data among processing elements: all the parallelisation decisions must be taken at compile-time.

In this short paper, we outline a source-to-source transformation to address the multibanking problem: data are mapped to distinct memories (banks) so parallel access will target distinct banks to avoid data transfer serialization. Given a program and a schedule prescribing parallelism, we are able to infer a complete reorganization of the data into banks and to generate the transformed program accordingly. This problem has been investigated for simple kernels with perfect loop nests [4, 10, 11], usually on convolution-like kernels with different patterns. In a different context, approaches for false sharing removal [12] expose interesting ideas – though not directly transposable. We outline a general HLS algorithm which subsumes these approaches and makes the following contributions:

- We propose a novel and general formalization of the multibanking problem, which subsumes the previous approaches.
- We propose a complete algorithm to compute our multibanking transformation using the polyhedral model.
- Our approach reduces the number of banks and the maximal bank size, without hindering parallel accesses.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 illustrates the problem of multibanking on a motivating example. Section 3 outlines the main ideas of our algorithm for multibanking. For a complete description, the reader is referred to [8]. Section 4 outlines our preliminary results. Finally, Section 5 concludes this paper and outlines future work.

2 Multibanking
We illustrate the problem on the 2D convolution product, depicted in Figure 1(a). The loop is assumed to be executed in sequence. For each iteration, array accesses are done in parallel. Because of memory limitation, parallel references must be mapped to different banks. A solution depicted on Figure 1(b) is to put each reference in offset offset\text{in}(i, j) = i \mod N. In general, we seek affine mappings bank\text{a}: \tilde{i} \mapsto \phi_a(\tilde{i}) \mod \sigma(\tilde{N}) and offset\text{a}: \tilde{i} \mapsto \psi_a(\tilde{i}) \mod \tau(\tilde{N}) for each array a where \phi_a, \psi_a, \sigma and \tau are affine functions. These functions define an affine multibanking. Note that those re-allocation functions map different arrays to a common array memory organized with outer bank dimensions and inner offset dimensions. The size of that common memory is \Pi_d \sigma^d(\tilde{N}) \times \Pi_d \tau^d(\tilde{N}), where \sigma^d(\tilde{N}) denotes the d-th dimension of vector \sigma(\tilde{N}).

3 Overview of our multibanking algorithm
This section outlines our multibanking algorithm, we present the intuitions behind the derivation of the bank mapping and the offset mapping. For a deeper level of detail, reader is referred to [8].

IMPACT’22, June 20, 2022, Budapest, Hungary
2022.
We relax the formulation to postpone the computation of $w.r.t.$ the parallel execution prescribed by a schedule $\phi$. The coefficients of the affine form $\sigma$ might be minimized lexicographically, under the constraints of correctness exposed above. Iterating the process for each dimension yield a general, correct and efficient bank mapping. These constraints are turned to existentially guarded affine constraints thanks to the affine form of Farkas lemma, following the lines of [5], formalized as a domain-specific language in [2].

**Offset mapping** Finding the offset in a bank might be achieved by the same algorithm, on different constraints. An offset mapping $\text{offset}_a(i) = \psi_a(i) \mod \tau(N)$ is correct $w.r.t.$ a schedule $\theta$ iff two array cells $a(i)$ and $a(j)$ mapped to the same bank and whose liveness conflict $(a(i) \gg_0 a(j))$ are mapped to different offsets:

$$\text{offset}_a(i) = \text{bank}_a(i) \land a(i) \gg_0 b(j) \land i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{offset}_a(i) \neq \text{offset}_b(j)$$

The dimensions of $\psi_a$ and $\tau(N)$ are computed incrementally in the same way as the bank mapping, with similar modulo minimization constraints. All the details are given in [8].

### 4 Preliminary results

This section presents the preliminary results obtained with our multibanking approach.

We have applied our algorithm using the fkhc scripting tool [2] on the motivating kernel, assuming a sequential execution and the arrays references to be accessed in parallel for each iteration. The synthesis results were obtained using VivadoHLS 2019.1, targeting a Kintex 7 FPGA (xc6k70t-fbv676-1). We transformed each array reference into a 2D offset mapping $A[u(i)]$ as $\hat{A}([\text{bank}_a(u(i)), \text{offset}_a(u(i))])$. Then, we used the VivadoHLS array partitioning pragma on the bank dimension.

Our results are depicted on Table 1. The tool indicates the memory contention for the base kernel (base) are resolved on the transformed kernel (opt). The additional resources are due to the multibanking circuitry (steering logic). The overall latency is reduced from 79380 cycles to 31763 cycles. We suspect the speedup to be mitigated by the cost of the multibanking circuitry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel</th>
<th>Latency</th>
<th>BRAM</th>
<th>DSP</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>LUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>conv2D-simple original</td>
<td>79380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conv2D-simple opt</td>
<td>31763</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>1692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Synthesis results

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have outlined a unified, general HLS algorithm for multibanking, using the polyhedral model. Our approach reduces the overall size of memory banks, without hindering parallel memory accesses. Preliminary results encourage to pursue with this approach.

In the future, we plan to extend the field of experimental validation to more general linear algebra kernels under pipelining constraints. Also, we plan to explore how to minimize bank size separately as well as the trade-off surface/gain through a unified parametric formulation.
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