

Note on FastTwoSum with Directed Roundings

Paul Zimmermann

▶ To cite this version:

Paul Zimmermann. Note on FastTwoSum with Directed Roundings. 2023. hal-03798376v6

HAL Id: hal-03798376 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03798376v6

Preprint submitted on 19 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Note on FastTwoSum with Directed Roundings

Paul Zimmermann

September 19, 2023

Abstract

In [3], Graillat and Jézéquel prove a bound on the maximal error for the FastTwoSum algorithm with directed roundings. We improve that bound by a factor 2, even in the case of underflow.

We recall the FastTwoSum algorithm (note there are several possible variants, we choose the one from [3, Algorithm 1]):

Algorithm 1 (FastTwoSum)

Input: p-bit floating-point numbers a, b with $|a| \ge |b|$

Output: p-bit floating-point numbers x, y such that x + y approximates a + b

1: x = o(a + b)

2: z = o(x - a)

3: $y = \circ (b - z)$

It is well-known that FastTwoSum is exact, i.e., x+y equals a+b, when rounding is to nearest. However, the case of other rounding modes, in particular the IEEE 754 directed roundings (towards zero, towards $+\infty$ and towards $-\infty$) has been less studied. A precise error bound in that later case is mandatory to design corrected rounding routines as in the CORE-MATH project [5].

Here, we consider that all floating-point numbers have the same precision p, and that no overflow occurs during the computations (underflow can occur). The roundings for the three additions/subtractions can be any faithful rounding: for example in x = o(a + b), x is either the rounding towards $-\infty$ of a + b or the rounding towards $+\infty$ (in particular if a + b is exact there is only one possible result). This implies that the error x - (a + b) is bounded in two ways:

$$|x - (a+b)| < 2u|x|$$
 and $|x - (a+b)| < 2u|a+b|$,

where $u=2^{-p}$ (and similarly for the two subtractions). Also, as in [3], we can have different roundings for the three operations, for example towards $+\infty$ for $x=\circ(a+b)$, to nearest for $z=\circ(x-a)$ (note that this subtraction is always exact, see for example Theorem 3 from [2], or Lemma 2.5 from [1]), and towards $-\infty$ for $y=\circ(b-z)$.

We recall the result from [3, Proposition 3.2]:

Proposition 1 [3, Proposition 3.2] Let x and y be the floating-point addition of a and b and the correction both computed by Algorithm 1 using directed rounding. Let e be the error on x: a + b = x + e. Then:

$$|e - y| \le 4u^2|a + b|$$
 and $|e - y| \le 4u^2|x|$.

Assuming $2^{e_a-1} \leq |a| < 2^{e_a}$ and $2^{e_b-1} \leq |b| < 2^{e_b}$, we define the exponent of a to be e_a and that of b to be e_b , thus the exponent difference is $k := e_a - e_b$, which is non-negative since $|b| \leq |a|$. We prove the following improved result, where we denote the FastTwoSum error e - y by ε for simplicity:

Theorem 1 Let x and y be the output of Algorithm 1. Let ε be the corresponding error: $\varepsilon = (x+y) - (a+b)$. Then, assuming no overflow occurs:

$$|\varepsilon| \le 2u^2|a+b|$$
 and $|\varepsilon| \le 2u^2|x|$.

Moreover, if the exponent difference between a and b does not exceed p, Algorithm FastTwoSum is error-free.

The second statement of Theorem 1 extends Lemma 2.6 from [1], which proves that FastTwoSum is exact when the exponent difference does not exceed p-1. The second statement also follows from Remark 1 of [4], which translates for radix $\beta=2$ and our notations to: if $e_b \leq e_a \leq e_b + p$, and the first rounding x=o(a+b) is faithful, then FastTwoSum is exact. Nevertheless, to be self-content, we give an independent proof.

Proof: We first prove the second statement of the theorem. We can assume without lack of generality that a is non-negative. Let t be the unique integer such that $2^{t+p-1} \le a < 2^{t+p}$, then a is an integer multiple of 2^t . Taking the same notations as in [3], we denote by e the error in x = o(a+b): x = a+b-e. (Note that if underflow can happen, all floating-point numbers are integer multiples of the smallest positive number α , for example $\alpha := 2^{-1074}$ in double precision, and thus the error e is a integer multiple of α .) By Theorem 3 from [2], or Lemma 2.5 from [1], we know that the second operation z = o(x - a) is exact, thus z = b - e. It follows that y = o(e). By definition of the rounding, we have |e| < ulp(x). Let $k \leq p$ be the exponent difference between a and b, then b is an integer multiple of 2^{t-k} . (If $2^{t-k} < \alpha := 2^{\text{emin}}$, where 2^{emin} is the smallest positive floating-point number, we can decrease k such that $2^{t-k} = \alpha$, since b is necessarily an integer multiple of α .) Since a is also an integer multiple of 2^{t-k} , so is x = o(a+b). Then e = a+b-x is an integer multiple of 2^{t-k} : $e = m \cdot 2^{t-k}$ with m integer. We now distinguish two cases: $ulp(x) \leq 2^t$ or $\operatorname{ulp}(x) = 2^{t+1} (\operatorname{ulp}(x) \text{ cannot be larger than } 2^{t+1} \text{ since } |b| \le |a|)$. If $\operatorname{ulp}(x) \le 2^t$, then $|e| < \operatorname{ulp}(x)$ yields $|m| < 2^k \le 2^p$, thus e is exactly representable in precision p, and y = e. If $ulp(x) = 2^{t+1}$ and k < p, then |e| < ulp(x) yields $|m| < 2^{k+1} \le 2^p$, thus again e is exactly representable in precision p, and y = e. The last case $ulp(x) = 2^{t+1}$ and k = p can only occur when $0 < b < 2^t$ and $a = (2^p - 1) \cdot 2^t$. In that case $x = 2^{t+p} = a + 2^t$, $z = 2^t$, thus $y = o(b-z) = o(b-2^t)$. Since b is an integer multiple of 2^{t-p} , we have $b=m\cdot 2^{t-p}$ with $2^{p-1}\leq m<2^p$, thus $b-z=(m-2^p)\cdot 2^{t-p}$ with $-2^{p-1} \le m-2^p < 0$. Again, b-z is exactly representable, thus y=e.

To prove the first statement of the Theorem, we can thus assume the exponent difference k is at least p+1, otherwise $\varepsilon=0$. This means that $|b|<\operatorname{ulp}(a)/2=2^t/2$, thus x is either $a, a+2^t, a-2^t$ or $a-2^t/2$ (the latter case can only occur when $a=2^{t+p-1}$). If $b\neq 0$ (otherwise the theorem is trivial), this implies in particular that $t\geq \min+2$, otherwise there is no floating-point number satisfying $|b|<2^t/2$.

- If x = a, then z = 0 and y = b, thus FastTwoSum is exact.
- If $x = a + 2^t$, then $z = 2^t$, and $y = \circ(b 2^t)$; this can only occur when b > 0. Since $0 < b < 2^t/2$, we have $-2^t < b 2^t < -2^t/2$. thus when computing $y = \circ(b z) = \circ(b 2^t)$ the rounding error is less than $\text{ulp}(2^t/2)$, which is $2^{t-p} = 2^t \cdot u$ if $t p \ge \text{emin}$, and 2^{emin}

otherwise. In the first case $|\varepsilon| < 2^t \cdot u$, and since $|x| \ge |a+b| \ge 2^{t+p-1} = 2^t/(2u)$, we have $2^t \le 2u|a+b| \le 2u|x|$, thus $|\varepsilon| < 2u^2|a+b| \le 2u^2|x|$. In the case t-p < emin, since the rounding error is less 2^{emin} , and it has to be a multiple of 2^{emin} , it is necessarily zero.

- If $x=a-2^t$, then $z=-2^t$, and $y=\circ(b+2^t)$; this can only occur when b<0. Since $-2^t/2 < b < 0$, we have $2^t/2 < b+2^t < 2^t$, thus when computing $y=\circ(b-z)=\circ(b+2^t)$ the rounding error is less than $\text{ulp}(2^t/2)$, which is $2^{t-p}=2^t\cdot u$ if $t-p\geq \text{emin}$, and 2^{emin} otherwise. If t-p< emin, as in the above case the rounding error is necessarily zero. Otherwise $|\varepsilon|<2^t\cdot u$. This case can only occur when $a>2^{t+p-1}$, otherwise if $a=2^{t+p-1}$, the p-bit number $a-2^t/2$ would be closer to a-b. Thus $|x|, |a+b| \geq 2^{t+p-1} = 2^t/(2u)$, and we get the desired bound as above.
- The last case $a=2^{t+p-1}$ and $x=a-2^t/2$ can only occur when b<0. Then $z=-2^t/2$, and thus $y=\circ(b+2^t/2), -2^t/2 < b < 0$, thus $0< b+2^t/2 < 2^t/2$. When computing $y=\circ(b-z)=\circ(b+2^t/2)$ the rounding error is less than $\operatorname{ulp}(2^t/4)$, which is $2^{t-p-1}=2^t/2 \cdot u$ if $t-p-1 \geq \min$, and 2^{\min} otherwise. If $t-p-1 < \min$, as in the above cases the rounding error is necessarily zero. Otherwise $|\varepsilon|<2^t/2 \cdot u$, and since $|a+b|\geq |x|\geq 2^{t+p-2}=2^t/(4u)$, we deduce $2^t \leq 4u|x| \leq 4u|a+b|$, and thus $|\varepsilon|<2u^2|x|\leq 2u^2|a+b|$.

The bound of Theorem 1 is tight: if we consider $a=2^{p-1}$, $b=2^{p-1-k}$ for k>p, and rounding towards $+\infty$ for all operations, we get x=a+1, thus z=1, and $y=\circ(2^{p-1-k}-1)=-1+2^{-p}$, thus $x+y=2^{p-1}+2^{-p}$ whereas $a+b=2^{p-1}+2^{p-1-k}$. The error is $\varepsilon=2^{-p}-2^{p-1-k}$: $\varepsilon/|x|$ and $\varepsilon/|a+b|$ are very close to $2u^2$. This example also shows the tightness of the bound when all roundings are the same.

Theorem 1 was formally proven by Laurence Rideau using the Coq proof assistant, see lemma FastTwoSum_bound in the following repository:

https://github.com/thery/ExpFloat

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Jean-Michel Muller, Claude-Pierre Jeannerod and Laurence Rideau for their feedback on early versions of this note.

References

- [1] BOLDO, S., GRAILLAT, S., AND MULLER, J.-M. On the robustness of the 2Sum and Fast2Sum algorithms. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 44, 1 (2017).
- [2] Demmel, J., and Nguyen, H. D. Fast reproducible floating-point summation. In 21st IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic (Apr. 2013), pp. 163–172.
- [3] Graillat, S., and Jézéquel, F. Tight interval inclusions with compensated algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Computers* 69, 12 (2020), 1774–1783.
- [4] Lange, M., and Oishi, S. A note on Dekker's FastTwoSum algorithm. *Numerische Mathematik* 145, 2 (2020), 383–403.
- [5] SIBIDANOV, A., ZIMMERMANN, P., AND GLONDU, S. The CORE-MATH Project. In ARITH 2022 -29th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic (virtual, France, Sept. 2022). https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03721525.