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1 Introduction
We give an overview of the SLOOP project. A synthetic view of its organization, and each component are presented. This presentation however, primarily focuses on the parallel object-oriented language and simulation aspects.

2 The SLOOP project
Our group (INRIA-I3S/CNRS-Univ. of Nice Sophia Antipolis) works in three main areas:

1. parallel and distributed discrete event simulations,
2. parallel object-oriented languages,
3. interconnection networks.

These three different research goals articulate one with another in the construction of the Sloop system: each level uses the primitives and possibilities of the layer just underneath; Figure 1 summarizes the system structure and topics.

The bottom layer deals with: communication algorithms, mapping strategies (both static and dynamic), and overlapping communication/computations.

These primitives are used to define and program the middle layer, a parallel object-oriented language (C++, an extension of C++), which in turn offers: reusability, flexibility, and extensibility in concurrent programming. We achieve these features with polymorphism between objects and processes: a variable statically defined with an object type (not a process) can dynamically reference a process.

Finally, the third level defines in C++ a library of classes to program the model to simulate, which provides a distributed execution of the simulation.

Altogether, SLOOP is not a self-contained project since we are using external components in order to program each level. At the bottom, the interconnection network layer uses communication primitives such as pvm [25], and hardware specific primitives for efficiency (shared memory, etc.). The simulations environment layer requires a statistics library in order to analyze results, persistence of data. Finally, the parallel object-oriented language level would benefit from replication for efficiency and possibly for resilience, atomicity, and transactions.

Our system uses such primitives, components, or libraries for its implementation, but in order to be as flexible as possible, we try to give the final user some control over the building blocks, and algorithms being used – in order to map the system on a specific architecture for instance. This trend is sometimes referred to under the name open implementation [20].

3 Parallel and Distributed Discrete Event Simulation
The simulation layer offers a set of simulation and modeling C++ classes (simulation classes are those dealing with the simulation phase whereas modeling classes are those used to build the model). To mask the simulation paradigm to the final user of the simulator, a programmer can define a set of classes for a specific field of application. These classes, gathered in libraries, allow to build a model at a higher description level than the one corresponding to the simulation paradigm, e.g. by manipulating cashiers instead of fifo...
servers in a supermarket simulation. This possibility to define high level libraries is crucial if we want the simulator to be usable by engineers of the simulation domain. In fact, the simulation paradigm itself is contained in the description and code of the simulation classes, and hence hidden. At the end, the model is built by describing a main class made of instances of user-defined and system classes.

For instance, in a supermarket simulation, the objects entrance, shelving1, ..., shelvingN, exit being servers, the behavior method of a customer (a supermarket client in that case) will be defined as:

```cpp
class Client: public Customer{
...
public:
Void behavior (...){
  entrance->enter (...);
  shelving1->serve (...)
  ...
  shelvingN->serve (...);
  exit->exiting (...);
}
...
```

We believe this inversion to allow for more reusability. For instance, the servers do not have the path followed by the customers embedded within their code, making both customers and servers classes more self-contained, and thus more reusable. Another important issue in simulation being statistics collection (server, end-to-end, and client statistics), the customer architecture provides the user a frame where client measurement can be placed: the client itself.

With the server architecture, these measure points have to be placed into the code of service methods of server objects, which is again incompatible with self-contained clients and servers.

Regarding the parallel and distributed execution, both Time-Warp and Chandy-Misra time management methods can be used. Parallelism is implemented in a transparent manner for the simulation programmers: their simulation classes do not have to take it into account at the modeling stage. The choice of sequential or parallel execution is only made at the final stage, thanks to some predefined classes which permit to obtain parallel execution when inheriting from them. These predefined classes and parallel behavior are programmed using the parallel object-oriented language described in the next section.

4 Parallel Object-Oriented Language

We defined an extension of C++ called C++//; this work extends the work done with the design of Eiffel// [14]. While Eiffel// offers a specific model of parallel programming, we defined with C++// a first layer which is a set of language primitives, independent of any parallel paradigm, and which permits us to build libraries of nearly all concurrent programming models. Indeed, such language primitives are a Meta-Object Protocol (mop).

There are various mops, with various goals, compile and run-time costs, and various levels of expres-
Within our context, we propose a reflection mechanism which uses reification. Reification is simply the action of transforming a call issued to an object into an object itself; we say that the call is "reified". From this transformation the call can be manipulated as a first class entity: stored in a data structure, passed as parameter, sent to another process, etc.

A mop mechanism for C++ being defined, various libraries of concurrent programming models can be designed and implemented. In the remainder of this section, we do not detail the mop, but we just give an overview of the parallel library we use for most of our applications, and especially for programming the simulation classes and their parallel execution.

**Processes**

This library implements a MIMD model, with sequential processes, asynchronous communications, wait-by-necessity [11] (implicit futures), and without shared objects. It is based on the introduction of a `Process` class.

All objects which are an instance of a class which publicely inherits from the `Process` class are processes (active object = process). Passive objects (ie. objects which are not active) belong at run-time to a process object, thus giving a distributed structure of active objects encapsulating passive objects.

**Syntax:**

```cpp
class Parallel_A : public A, Process{
    ...
    Parallel_A* p;
    p = New Parallel_A ( ... );
}
```

**Communication**

A communication between active objects is simply programmed as an asynchronous member function call.

The function calls between processes are implicitly (by default) asynchronous, thus allowing and encouraging parallel execution of objects (although a synchronous method call is also supplied but must be stated explicitly in the function call itself or in the process definition). Function calls between passive objects are implicitly (by default) synchronous as happens in standard sequential C++.

**Syntax:**

```cpp
p -> f ( parameters );
```

**Synchronization**

A simple rule permits to deal with asynchronous function calls: *wait-by-necessity*. This mechanism is an implicit (user transparent) future mechanism.

When starting an asynchronous function call, the caller does not wait for the return value until it is explicitly used for some computation. Should the value not have been returned at this point, a wait is automatically triggered until a value has been returned. This mechanism implicitly adds synchronization between processes. Two primitives (`Wait` and `Awaited`) provide for explicit synchronization.

**Syntax:**

```cpp
v = p -> f ( parameters );
...
```

**Sharing**

The semantics of communication between processes is a copy semantics for passive objects: all parameters are automatically transmitted by copy from one process to another. Of course, active objects are subject to a reference semantics: all processes are transmitted by reference from one process to another. As a consequence, shared objects (ie. passive objects which are shared between active objects) are not allowed as such. The implication of this is that any passive object which is to be shared between several active objects must be made an instance of a process heir. This is simply achieved by inheriting from the process class, which also provides an automatic fifo synchronization.

All the features of this library are programmed on top of the mop we defined for C++, without any compiler modification.

## 5 Interconnection Networks

The interconnection network layer is in charge of the actual transmission of messages (objects in fact, due to the reification mechanism) from one process to another, or one machine to another machine on the network.

We are currently merely using the PVM system. However, the intended goal is to define more sophisticated communication policies in order to take advantage of hardware capabilities. For instance, we are working on the definition and implementation of communication algorithms adapted to various network topologies and hardware, automatic or semi-automatic mapping and load balancing strategies, and overlapping of communication-computations.

The mop mechanism makes it possible to incorporate such optimisations within the libraries in a transparent manner for the final user.
6 Conclusion
The SLOOP project offers at the top a generic environment for distributed discrete event simulation. However, the two other parts of this three-layer system are also available as stand alone tools: the parallel object-oriented language C+++, and, in the future, a library of communication routines and mapping.
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