

Towards Safety and Security-Related Testing of Crisis Management Solutions

Todor Tagarev, Petya Ivanova, Laurent Dubost, Cyril Dangerville

► To cite this version:

Todor Tagarev, Petya Ivanova, Laurent Dubost, Cyril Dangerville. Towards Safety and Security-Related Testing of Crisis Management Solutions. 5th International Conference on Information Technology in Disaster Risk Reduction (ITDRR), Dec 2020, Sofia, Bulgaria. pp.216-234, 10.1007/978-3-030-81469-4_18. hal-03761639

HAL Id: hal-03761639 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03761639

Submitted on 26 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



This document is the original author manuscript of a paper submitted to an IFIP conference proceedings or other IFIP publication by Springer Nature. As such, there may be some differences in the official published version of the paper. Such differences, if any, are usually due to reformatting during preparation for publication or minor corrections made by the author(s) during final proofreading of the publication manuscript.

Towards Safety and Security-related Testing of Crisis Management Solutions

Todor Tagarev¹, Petya Ivanova², Laurent Dubost³ and Cyril Dangerville³

¹ Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 2, Sofia 1113, Bulgaria
² Procon Ltd., 3, Razluka Str., ap. 20, Sofia 1111, Bulgaria

³ Thales Communications & Security S.A., 20-22 Grange Dame Rose, 78141 Vélizy, France

Abstract. A number of research and innovation projects aim to develop and demonstrate the benefits of novel solutions, in the particular case analysed in this paper – in the field of disaster or crisis management. The focus of the assessment of these solutions is on the benefits they bring by increasing the effectiveness and/or the performance of crisis management actors in a controlled environment. As a rule, little attention is given to safety and security considerations related to their intended use beyond trials and demonstrations, in an actual crisis management context. To speed up market uptake and innovation, this paper presents a technology-based classification of existing and potential solutions and a structure of their possible impact on safety and security. On that basis the authors identify pertinent 'technology-impact' combinations, list some relevant norms and standards for each such combination, and provide the outlines of three illustrative test cases. The paper concludes by a discussion on the implementation of the presented approach.

Keywords: Crisis management, Disaster management, Preparedness, Demonstration, Trial, Safety, Security, Test case, Innovation, DRIVER+.

1 Introduction

Modern societies face diverse risks of natural, industrial and human-caused disasters and catastrophes and the related human and economic losses once a disaster occurs [1, 2]. With the growing speed of communication facilitated by modern media, including social networks, citizen's expectations towards public authorities are also on the increase [3, 4]. To respond to these expectations, first responders' organisations, other public authorities and stakeholders need to develop a comprehensive set of capabilities to mitigate risks, prepare for, perform a variety of functions in a crisis, manage the consequences and adapt to climate and other changes [5]. One approach to develop the requisite capabilities is to implement the so-called "capabilities-based planning" [6, 7, 8]. A complementary approach involves the development of novel concepts and experimentation with promising technological and other solutions [9, 10].

The latter approach facilitates innovation and allows to speed up the capability development process [11]. Innovations are not always based on most advanced technologies and necessarily expensive; by accounting for context and building on social science insights, they can provide effective and prompt responses and contribute to disaster risk reduction [12].

The DRIVER+ project—Driving Innovation in Crisis Management for European Resilience—built on the idea of experimentation with crisis management concepts and potential solutions for current and future challenges posed by natural disasters, human-caused emergencies, and terrorist threats. It aimed to facilitate the development and market uptake of innovative solutions with account of the operational needs of crisis management practitioners and through their participation in the organisation of trials and demonstrations and the evaluation of the trialled solutions [13].

Crisis management solutions, developed and/or trialled in the DRIVER+ project aim to fill-in identified crisis management gaps, enhance resilience to disaster risks or increase the effectiveness or the efficiency in performing crisis management operations in a resource-constraint framework. Solution providers, often developing innovative ideas or exploiting emerging technological opportunities, aim to demonstrate new effects or more efficient use of limited crisis management resources in a realistic trial setting. Less attention at this stage has been paid to additional considerations that might influence the wider use of a solution in an actual crisis management context.

The aim of the research presented here was to fill in this gap by providing a framework and a knowledge base for safety and security testing of crisis management solutions. This paper starts with the outline of the methodological approach. Section 3 presents a technology-based classification of existing and potential solutions, followed by the structuring of their possible impact on safety and security in Section 4. On that basis the authors identify pertinent 'technology-impact' combinations, list some relevant norms and standards for each such combination, and provide the outlines of three illustrative test cases. The paper concludes by a discussion on the implementation of the presented approach.

2 Methodological Approach

The study aimed to set the ground for examining safety and security considerations in the use of solutions in a real crisis management environment. It followed the approach outlined on Figure 1.

The task is to assist practitioners and solution providers in defining safety and security related requirements to crisis management solutions of interest and demonstrate how to develop respective test cases. Towards that purpose this section of the report provides:

- a classification scheme used to classify crisis management solutions on the basis of the underlying technology used;
- structure of the safety and security considerations, i.e., the type of negative impact a solution may have;
- identification of couples "technology type of impact" where one has, or can realistically expect, concerns for the safe and secure use of a crisis management solution;
- identification of applicable norms (standards, directives, regulations, etc.) for each "technology type of impact" couple;
- design of illustrative test cases.



Fig. 1. Safety and security related testing of Crisis Management Solutions: Methodological approach.

Each of the enumerated issues is examined in a dedicated section of this paper. The final section outlines the envisioned implementation and future use of this approach to the safety and security related testing of crisis management solutions.

3 Technology-based Classification of Solutions

To categorise crisis management solutions in terms of the underlying technology and in view of their potential impact on safety and security, we analysed three main taxonomies:

- STACCATO security taxonomy [14];
- CRISP Taxonomy of Security Products, Systems and Services [15];
- EDA Technology Taxonomy [16].

and developed a classification scheme with nine main categories:

1. Sensors and navigation systems and networks

passive (Optical, IR, magnetic, acoustic, UW, electrical and electro-chemical sensors, magnetometers and magnetic gradiometers, gravity meters and gravity gradiometers) and active sensors (radar, ladar, lidar, sonars, X-ray, Gamma sensors, Active IR sensors), chemical and biological substances detectors, radiological and nuclear detectors, other sensors.

2. Communications

Radio communications and networks; cable communications and networks; mass emergency notification systems; early warning and alerting systems; targeted emergency

notification systems; secured, wireless broadband systems; rapidly deployable communication system (rescue services mobile communication system); emergency information hotlines.

3. Computer-based systems

data bases and database management systems; decision support systems; training, modelling & simulation systems and environments; ...

4. Specialised software applications

Personnel management software; material reserves management software; supply chain management software, information management & dissemination software; privacy and data protection software; electronic tagging systems; volunteers registries and management software, crowd sourcing/ crowd tasking systems.

5. Transportation vehicles and equipment

ground, air, river, and maritime vehicles, ambulances, transportation containers and structures, etc.

6. Remotely controlled systems and autonomous vehicles and systems

Remotely Piloted Vehicles (RPVs) and systems (RPAS), air, ground, surface, sub-surface vehicles.

7. Fire extinguishers and decontamination devices and substances

Fire retardants, decontamination devices and substances for radiation sources, biological materials, chemical sources and poisons; other substances.

8. Specialised disaster management equipment

protective clothing and equipment, (mobile) shelters, Mobile livestock shelters, mobile field hospitals, mobile energy systems and electricity generators, mobile water purification equipment, access control and electronic authentication systems, training ranges, physical obstacles (e.g., to stop flooding), waste management systems, logistics tracking, transportation management systems, other related equipment.

9. Training and personnel services

Education and skills training systems; Psycho-social support systems; Exercises; Manuals; Distance learning (e-Learning, m-Learning); Fatigue and stress observation, analysis and coping system.

4 Types of Potential Safety and Security Impact of CM Solutions

The implementation of crisis management solutions is expected to contribute to reduction of risks and more effective and efficient operations. However, they may have potential undesired side effects on the safety and security of personnel, property, infrastructure and the environment.

International Safety Standards define "safety" as freedom from unacceptable risk of physical injury or of damage to the health of people, either directly or indirectly as a result of damage to property or to the environment. Standards IEC 61508 and

IEC 61511-1:2016 refer to this also as "functional safety." In the discussion of crisis management solutions, the analysis of security concerns can start from the definition utilised by the International Society of Automation (ISA), i.e., "security" means prevention and protection from illegal or unwanted penetration, interference with proper operation or inappropriate access to confidential information regardless of motivation (intentional or unintentional) or consequence (result) [17].

Starting from these definitions, and accounting for societal and environmental concerns, this study examines the potential negative impact of crisis management solutions on:

- people, both those involved in crisis management and others who happen to be at or near the crisis scene;
- the equipment and/or the data and information used in crisis management;
- the functioning of critical infrastructures, e.g., energy, transport [18], digital infrastructure and the delivery of essential services, e.g., food, water, financial services [19], etc.
- the environment, i.e., on the animals, the vegetation, air, soil, and water quality.

Respectively, we consider here only direct impact, not taking into account possible cascading effects, e.g., software breech leading to a drone crash and injury of first responders. The reason is that testing will be conducted to assure that a crisis management solution meets the requirements of certain safety and security norms, while potential secondary effects may be studied via more complex models or trial scenarios.

Hence, seven types of negative impact, marked from A to G, are taken into consideration:

• Impact on humans (professional responders and other crisis management personnel, volunteers, service providers, other people in the area of the crisis or its vicinity):

A. *Physical* (injury, poisoning, blinding, death, ...)

B. Psychological impact; impact on the perceptions

C. Breech of sensitive personal data

- Temporary of lasting impact on crisis management materiel, data, and information (equipment, communications, information, ...)
 - D. Obstructing the use of CM *equipment* (e.g., by physical damage, radio-electronic interference, etc.)
 - E. '*CIA*' impact on the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information (including malicious attempts to manipulate information)
 - F. Impact on critical infrastructures and/or the provision of essential services
 - G. Environmental impact (flora, fauna, soil, air, water)

5 Pertinent 'Technology-Impact' Combinations

There are 63 possible combinations among the nine categories of solutions and the seven types of impact (see Table 1 below). Not all combinations are possible, i.e. certain categories of solutions cannot have a particular type of impact (only direct impact is considered here; possible cascading effects are not subject of this study). For example, a software application is highly unlikely to cause physical injury, transportation vehicles and decontamination devices are unlikely to infringe on the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information, etc.

In Table 1, the cells of such unlikely combinations are marked with grey background colour. The remaining 39 combinations "solution's underlying technology – negative impact on safety and security" are considered pertinent. Respectively, the next section provides standards and other norms for each pertinent combination, followed by provide illustrative test cases for the combinations marked with 'X' in Table 1.

By 'X' in the table are marked "technology-impact" combinations for which this paper presents illustrative test cases.

Potential impact on/	Humans Humans CM ma- teriel, data, and infor- mation		tures				
Solutions	A. Physical	 Psychological, perceptions 	C. Personal data	 Materiel 	 CIA of infor- mation 	F. Critical infrastruc	G. Environment
1. Sensors and navigation systems and networks : passive (optical, IR, magnetic, acoustic, UW, electrical and electro-chemical sensors, magnetome- ters and magnetic gradiometers, gravity meters and gravity gradiometers) and ac- tive sensors (radar, ladar, lidar, sonars, X-ray, Gamma sensors, active IR sen- sors), chemical and biological sub- stances detectors, radiological and nu- clear detectors,							
2. Communications: Radio commu- nications and networks; cable communi- cations and networks; mass emergency notification systems; early warning and alerting systems; targeted emergency notification systems; secured, wireless broadband systems; rapidly deployable							

Table 1. 'Technology-Impact' Combinations.

communication system (rescue services					
mobile communication system); emer-					
gency information hotlines			 		
5. Computer-based systems: data					
tems: decision support systems: training				v	
modelling & simulation systems and en-				Λ	
vironments.					
4. Specialised software applica-					
tions: Personnel management software:					
material reserves management software;					
supply chain management software, in-					
formation management and dissemina-		v	v		
tion software; privacy and data protec-		Δ	Λ		
tion software; electronic tagging sys-					
tems; volunteers registries and manage-					
ment software, crowd sourcing/ crowd					
tasking systems					
5. Transportation vehicles and					
equipment: ground, air, river, and mar-					
itime vehicles, ambulances, transporta-					
tion containers and structures,					
6. Remotely controlled systems and					
PDVs/PDAS air ground surface sub					
Kr v S/Kr AS, all, glound, surface, sub-					
7 Fire extinguishers and decon-					
tamination devices and substances					
Fire retardants, decontamination devices					
and substances for radiation sources, bi-					
ological materials, chemical sources and					
poisons					
8. Specialised disaster manage-					
ment equipment: protective clothing					
and equipment, (mobile) shelters, mo-					
bile livestock shelters, mobile field hos-					
pitals, mobile energy systems and elec-					
tricity generators, mobile water purifica-					
tion equipment, access control and elec-					
tronic authentication systems, training					
ranges, physical obstacles (e.g., to stop					
flooding), waste management systems,					
logistics tracking, transportation man-					
9 Training and parsonnal sarvices:					
Education and skills training systems:					
Psycho-social support systems: Fyer-					
cises: Manuals: Distance learning (e-					
Learning, m-Learning): Fatigue and					
stress observation, analysis and coping					
system					

6 Sample Safety and Security Norms for Pertinent 'Technology-Impact' Combinations

Selected regulations on safety and security of crisis management solutions are presented in Table 2 below.

This table included in this paper is illustrative. The study did not deliver a comprehensive list of norms as well; yet, it intentionally included a broad variety of sources, such as international (ISO) and European standards, national standards, potential standards /under development/, i.e., CEN Workshop Agreements (CWAs), EU Directives, regulations, recommendations and guidelines by UN bodies such as ITU and IAEA, good practices identified by industry associations and non-governmental organisations, etc.

A number of these norms are relevant to more than one "technology-impact" combination. Such norms are listed ones, with the respective remark on applicability.

Of general relevance is how testing fits into the development of a strategic crisis management capability, addressed in CEN/TS 17091:2018 "Crisis management – Guidance for developing a strategic capability."

Document	Relevance
(1-A) Sensors and navigation syste	ms and networks – Physical impact
EN ISO 15367-2:2005 (WI=00123043) Lasers	Power (energy) density distribution, widths
and laser-related equipment - Test methods	and divergence angles of laser beams.
for determination of the shape of a laser beam	
wavefront - Part 2: Shack-Hartmann sensors	
(ISO 15367-2:2005).	
BS EN 50270 Electromagnetic compatibility -	This document applies to apparatus in-
Electrical apparatus for the detection and	tended for use in variety of settings, includ-
measurement of combustible gases, toxic	ing hazardous areas which could contain ex-
gases or oxygen	plosive or potentially explosive atmos-
	pheres. It specifies requirements for immun-
	ity tests in relation to continuous and transi-
	ent, conducted and radiated disturbances,
	including electrostatic discharges, and also
	for emission tests.
Radiation Protection of the Public and the En-	This Safety Guide provides guidance on the
vironment, IAEA Safety Standards Series No.	implementation of the requirements in the
GSG-8	International Basic Safety Standards, IAEA
[applicable to 1-G]	Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 3, in
	relation to protection of the public and the
	environment against radiation risks. It pro-
	vides generic guidance on the application of
	the radiation protection principles of justifi-
	cation, of optimization of protection and
	safety, and of dose limits. The publication
	covers the protection of the public and the

Table 2. Illustrative set of norms for pertinent 'Technology-Impact' combinations.

	environment in all exposure situations, in-			
(1 D) Sensors and residention suctors and	cluding in emergency.			
(1-B) Sensors and navigation systems and networks – Psychological impact, impact on perceptions				
ISO 27048:2011 Radiation protection — Dose	This standard specifies the minimum re-			
assessment for the monitoring of workers for	quirements for the evaluation of data from			
internal radiation exposure	the monitoring of those occupationally ex-			
	posed to the risk of internal contamination			
	by radioactive substances. It presents proce-			
	dures and assumptions for the standardised			
	interpretation of monitoring data, in order to			
	achieve acceptable levels of reliability.			
	Among others, it addresses assumptions for			
	the selection of dose-critical parameter val-			
	ues; criteria for determining the significance			
	of monitoring results; their interpretation;			
	uncertainties arising from sampling, meas-			
	tions, interpretation of multiple data arising			
	from different measurement methods at dif-			
	ferent times handling data below the deci-			
	sion threshold, rogue data.			
(1-G) Sensors and navigation systems	and networks – Environmental impact			
Guide for the Selection of Explosives Detec-	The Guide presents a broad spectrum of			
tion and Blast Mitigation Equipment for Emer-	sensing technologies and techniques, with			
gency First Responders Preparedness Direc-	their advantages and disadvantages, of vis-			
torate Office of Grants and Training, Guide	ual detection and blast mitigation equip-			
105-07, US Department of Homeland Secu-	ment, as well as methods and results of the			
rity, February 2008	evaluation of concrete products.			
[applicable to 1-A, 8-A, 8-D, 8-F]				
(2-A) Communicatio	ons – Physical impact			
Security in Telecommunications and Infor-	This manual provides a broad introduction			
mation Technology: An overview of issues	to the ICT security work of the ITU, with			
and the deployment of existing 11U-1 Recom-	key areas and a discussion of the basic re-			
Geneva: ITLLT Telecommunication Stand	quirements for the protection of ICT appli-			
ardization Bureau 2015) 206 pp	architectures and management. An 8 page			
[applicable to groups 2, 3 and 4]	annex provides a list of relevant ITU recom-			
[appreable to groups 2, 5 and 4]	mendations and standards.			
Directive 2013/35/EU of the European Parlia-	This Directive lays down minimum require-			
ment and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on	ments for the protection of workers from			
the minimum health and safety requirements	risks to their health and safety arising, or			
regarding the exposure of workers to the risks	likely to arise, from exposure to electromag-			
arising from physical agents (electromagnetic	netic fields during their work. It covers all			
fields) and repealing Directive 2004/40/EC	known direct biophysical effects and indi-			
	rect effects caused by electromagnetic fields			
	and provides exposure limit values (ELVs)			

	with scientifically well-established links be-
	tween short-term direct biophysical effects
	and exposure to electromagnetic fields.
(2-B) Communications – Psycholog	gical Impact, impact on Perceptions
ISO 22322:2015: Societal security —	This International Standard provides
Emergency management — Guidelines for	guidelines for developing, managing, and
public warning	implementing public warning before, dur-
[applicable to 2-A, 2-F, 2-G]	ing, and after incidents.
(2-E) Communication	s – CIA of Information
ETSI/TS 119 312 Electronic Signatures and	The Technical Specifications provide guid-
Infrastructures (ESI): Cryptographic Suites	ance on selection of cryptographic suites
minastructures (ESI), Cryptographic Suites	with particular emphasis on interoperabil
	ity. The present decument is based on the
	ity. The present document is based on the
	of the SOC IS Crumto Evoluation Scheme
	of the SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme
	[15]. The SOG-IS Crypto we is in charge
	of providing requirements and evaluation
	procedures related to cryptographic aspects
	of Common Criteria security evaluations of
	11 products.
(2-F) Communications -	- Critical infrastructures
ITU-T K.87 (06/2016) Guide for the appli-	This document outlines electromagnetic
cation of electromagnetic security require-	security risks of telecommunication equip-
ments – Overview	ment and illustrates how to assess and pre-
[applicable to 2-A and 2-E, 3-E and 3-F]	vent those risks, in order to manage infor-
	mation security management systems
	(ISMS) in accordance with Recommenda-
	tion ITU-T X.1051. Major electromagnetic
	security risks addressed in this Recommen-
	dation are as follows: natural electromag-
	netic (EM) threats (e.g., lightning); uninten-
	tional interference (i.e., electromagnetic in-
	terference, EMI); intentional interference
	(i.e., intentional electromagnetic interfer-
	ence, IEMI); deliberate EM attacks; infor-
	mation leakage from EM emanation (i.e.,
	electromagnetic security, EMSEC); and
	mitigation methods against electromagnetic
	security threats.
(2-G) Communications	– Environmental impact
Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofre-	This Standard specifies fundamental limits
quency Fields —3 kHz to 300 GHz, Radiation	that correlate most closely with the es-
Protection Series Publication No. 3 (Austral-	tablished biological effects for which pro-
ian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety	tection is required. Therefore, a set of indic-
Agency, 2002).	ative levels called 'reference levels' have
[applicable to 2-A]	been provided as an alternative means for
	determining compliance This rationale

		does provide a broad overview of the scien-
		tific and philosophical considerations that
		lead to the derivation of the exposure limits.
	Physicians for Safe Technology, Environment	A compilation of norms and studies of the
	and Wildlife Effects, https://mdsafetech.org/	harmful effects of radio, microwave com-
	environmental-and-wildlife-effects/	munication and magnetic fields on wildlife
	environmental and whence enects	and the environment
	(3-B) Computer-based systems - Psych	and the environment.
	(3-B) Computer-based systems – I sych	The desuments review the literature ellow
	Eva Flaspoler et al., The human machine inter-	ing to forecast multi-fortaginal right (a poly
	face as an emerging risk (European Agency for	ing to foresee multi-factorial risks (e.g. due
	Safety and Health at Work, 2010).	to combined effects of poor ergonomic de-
	[applicable to 4B]	sign, poor work organisation, mental and
		emotional demands); complexity of new
		technologies, new work processes and hu-
		man-machine interface (HMI) leading to in-
		creased mental and emotional strain; poor
		ergonomic design of non-office visual dis-
		play unit workplaces; and poor design of
		HMI (excessively complex or requiring
		high forces for operation).
	(3-C) Computer-based	systems – Personal Data
	ISO/IEC 27018:2019 Information technology	This standard establishes commonly ac-
	Security techniques Code of practice for	cepted control objectives, controls and
	protection of personally identifiable infor-	guidelines for implementing measures to
	mation (PII) in public clouds acting as PII pro-	protect Personally Identifiable Information
	cessors	in line with the privacy principles in
	CC35015	ISO/IEC 20100 for the public cloud compu
		ting anyironment
	(2 E) Commuter based and	ting environment.
	(3-E) Computer-based sys	
	ISO/IEC 15408-1:2009 Information technol-	The standard establishes the general con-
	ogy — Security techniques — Evaluation cri-	cepts and principles of 11 security evalua-
	teria for IT security	tion and specifies the general model of eval-
		uation of security properties of IT products.
		Parts 2 and 3 defines operations for tailoring
		functional and assurance components.
	(3-F) Computer-based syste	ms – Critical infrastructures
	Directive (EU) 2016/1148 of the European	This Directive lays down measures with a
	Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 2016	view to achieving a high common level of
	concerning measures for a high common level	security of network and information sys-
	of security of network and information sys-	tems To that end, this Directive lays
	tems across the Union	down obligations;; establishes secu-
ļ		rity and notification requirements for oper-
		ators of essential services and for digital ser-
		vice providers:
ļ	(4-B) Specialised software applications –	Psychological Impact, impact on Percen-
ļ	tion	s
ļ	Eva Flaspöler et al. The human machine inter-	The documents review the literature allow-
ļ	face as an emerging risk (European A geney for	ing to foresee multi-factorial risks (e.g. due
I	The as an emerging risk (Buropean Ageney 101	ing to foresee multi-ractorial risks (e.g. uuc
	Safety and Health at Work 2010)	

[applicable to 3B]	to combined effects of poor ergonomic de- sign, poor work organisation, mental and emotional demands); complexity of new technologies, new work processes and hu- man-machine interface (HMI) leading to in- creased mental and emotional strain; poor ergonomic design of non-office visual dis- play unit workplaces; and poor design of HMI (excessively complex or requiring high forces for operation).
(4-C) Specialised software a	applications – Personal Data
Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with re- gard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation, GDPR), <i>Official Journal</i> L 119, 4 May 2016	Defines principles relating to and lawful- ness, and conditions of processing of per- sonal data
(4-E) Specialised software app	lications – CIA of Information
ISO/IEC 27002:2013 "Information technol- ogy Security techniques Code of practice for information security controls" [applicable to 3-C, 3-E, 3-F, 4-F, 9-E] (4-F) Specialised software applie NIST Special Publication 800-53 "Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations", revision 4, April 2014 [applicable to 3-C, 3-E, 3-F, 4-F, 9-E]	The standard gives guidelines for organiza- tional information security standards and in- formation security management practices including the selection, implementation and management of controls taking into consid- eration the organization's information secu- rity risk environment. cations – Critical infrastructures The document provides a holistic approach to information security and risk manage- ment by providing organizations with the breadth and depth of security controls nec- essary to fundamentally strengthen their in- formation systems and the environments in which those systems operate—contributing to systems that are more resilient in the face of cyber and other threats.
(5-A) Transport vehicles and	equipment – Physical Impact
CEN/TR 1459-6:2015 (WI=00150078) Rough-terrain trucks - Safety requirements and verification	Explains the risk assessment methodology followed to determine the Performance Level required, for specific safety related parts of control system (SRP/CS) of rough- terrain variable-reach trucks. Part 6 exam- ines the application of EN ISO 13849-1 to slewing and non-slewing variable-reach rough-terrain trucks.
(5-D) Transport vehicles	and equipment – Materiel
ISO 19116:2019 Geographic information — Positioning services	This document specifies the data structure and content of an interface that permits

[applicable to 5-A, 5-F, 6-A, 6-D, 6-F]	communication between position-providing
	device(s) and position-using device(s) ena-
	bling the position-using device(s) to obtain
	and unambiguously interpret position infor-
	mation and determine based on a measure
	of the degree of reliability, whether the re
	of the degree of femality, whether the re-
	suiting position information meets the re-
(5 C) Transmort richtalag and an	quirements of the intended use.
(5-G) Transport vehicles and eq	uipment – Environmental impact
Directive 2008/68/EC of the European Parlia-	The Directive applies to the transport of
ment and of the Council of 24 September 2008	dangerous goods by road, by rail or by in-
on the inland transport of dangerous goods	land waterway within or between Member
[applicable to 5-A and 5-D]	States, including the activities of loading
	and unloading, the transfer to or from an-
	other mode of transport and the stops neces-
	sitated by the circumstances of the
	transport.
(6-A) Remotely controlled systems and au	tonomous vehicles and systems – Physical
Imp	act
Ludovic Apyrille et al., Autonomous Drones	The paper presents a tool (SvsML-
for Disasters Management: Safety and Secu-	Sec/TTool) that can be used for formally
rity Verifications AT-RASC 2015	verifying the safety and security of an au-
	tonomous drone mission and flight based
	on an architecture developed within
	dronodu project
(6 D) Domotoly controlled systems and av	tonomous vahiolos and systems Material
(0-D) Remotery controlled systems and at	This CWA mustides manufacture defines to
CWA 17557:2019 Urban search and rescue	This CWA provides recommendations to
(USaR) robotic platform technical and proce-	enable interoperability between USaR ro-
dural interoperability – Guide	botic platforms and the equipment, sensors
[applicable to 6-A]	and tools that are attached to them; princi-
	ples for enabling USaR robotic platforms to
	operate in all ground search environments.
(6-F) Remotely controlled systems and an	tonomous vehicles and systems – Critical
infrastru	ictures
CEN - PREN 16803-2 Space – Use of GNSS-	This document proposes testing procedures
based positioning for road Intelligent	to assess the basic performance of any
Transport Systems (ITS) – Part 2: Assessment	GNSS-based positioning terminal for a
of basic performances of GNSS-based posi-	given use case described by an operational
tioning terminals	scenario. These tests address the basic per-
[applicable to 6-A and 6-D]	formance features Availability, Continuity,
	Accuracy and Integrity of the Position. Ve-
	locity and Time (PVT) information.
(7-A) Fire extinguishers and decontamina	tion devices and substances – Physical Im-
pac	n
EN 3-10:2009 Portable fire extinguishers Pro-	European standard EN 3 specifies require-
visions for evaluating the conformity of a port-	ments for portable fire extinguishers. Com-
able fire extinguisher to FN 3-7 (characteris-	pliance with the standard is legally required
tics performance requirements and test meth	in the FU
ods)	m uie EO.

(7-D) Fire extinguishers and decontamin	nation devices and substances – Materiel
Phillip Carson and Clive Mumford, Haz-	The Handbook presents a variety of haz-
ardous Chemicals Handbook, Second edition	ardous chemicals, including radioactive
(Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann, 2002)	chemicals, safety by design principles, op-
619 pp.	erating procedures, transport, impact on the
[applicable to the whole group 7]	environment, monitoring and protection. It
	includes selected topics of testing and eval-
	uation.
(7-F) Fire extinguishers and decontamina	ation devices and substances – Critical in-
frastruc	tures
CEN/TS 16595:2013 CBRN – Vulnerability	This Technical Specification is based on an
Assessment and Protection of People at Risk	all-hazards approach, with a specific focus
[applicable also to 7-A, 7-D, 7, 7-G]	on terrorism and other security related risks.
	Looking at the combination of threats, vul-
	nerabilities and values to be protected,
	threats may be terrorist attacks with chemi-
	cal, explosive and biological agents, or nu-
	clear waste materials, or with conventional
	means on CBRN plants, causing a similar
	devastating effect on a potentially large
	scale. It can serve to guide the development
	of safety and security test cases.
(8-A) Specialised disaster manager	ment equipment – Physical Impact
Group of standards ISO 13.340 Protective	The group includes standards for protective
equipment	equipment in general, protective clothing,
	head protective equipment (helmets, eye-
	protectors, hearing protectors, ear muffs,
	teeth protectors and hoods), respiratory pro-
	tective devices, hand and arm, leg and foot
	protection, etc.
(8-C) Specialised disaster manag	ement equipment – Personal data
CEN/IR 166/0:2014 Information technology	This Technical Report consider the threats,
- RFID (Radio-Frequency IDentification)	vulnerabilities and mitigation methods asso-
threat and vulnerability analysis	ciated with specific characteristics of RFID
See also CEN/IR 100/4:2014 – Analysis	decomology in a system. In particular the
of privacy impact assessment methodologies	document should be a tool used by RFID
relevant to KFID	system integrators, to improve security as-
(PD) Specialized disaster man	pects using a privacy by design approach.
(8-D) Specialised disaster main	This standard alaboratas fundamental re-
ISO/IEC 29197.2013 Information technol-	automatic for planning and execution of
montal influence in biometric system perfor	quitements for plaining and execution of
mental influence in biometric system perior-	biometria systems based on scenario and
mance	operational test methodologies respective
	specifications baseling performance and
	procedures for carrying out the overall avail
	uation
(8-E) Specialised disaster manageme	ent equipment – CIA of Information

CEN/TS 15291:2006 Identification card sys- tem – Guidance on design for accessible card- activated devices [applicable to 8-A, 8-D and 8-F]	This document provides guidance for the design and location of card-activated devices and the immediate environment, to facilitate access for the widest possible range of users (all/most members of the community), subject to conditions of adequate privacy and security.
(8-F) Specialised disaster managemen	t equipment – Critical infrastructures
CWA 17260:2018 Guidelines on evaluation systems and schemes for physical security products [applicable to 8-A and 8-D]	This CWA provides guidelines on how to design certification systems and schemes for physical security products and presents a framework in which these systems and schemes can be upheld. Physical security products include products which provide protection of people, property and infra- structure from acts of malicious intent, such as physical attacks
(PC) Specialized disaster management	as physical attacks.
(8-G) Specialised disaster management	
wastes, including radioactive wastes, hospital wastes, carcasses, electrical, electronic equip- ment and other hazardous wastes	see ISO/DIS 16640 Monitoring radioactive gases in effluents from facilities producing positron emitting radionuclides and radio- pharmaceuticals; ISO/DIS 22450 Elements recycling –Communication formats for providing recycling information on rare earth elements in industrial waste and end of life products: etc.
(9-A) Training and personn	el services – Physical Impact
ISO 22398:2013 – Societal security — Guide- lines for exercises.	This International Standard describes the el- ements of a generic approach to planning, conducting and improving exercise pro- grammes and projects. It introduces the "ex- ercise safety officer" position for a person tasked with ensuring that any actions during the exercise are performed safely.
(9-B) Training and personnel services –	Psychological Impact, impact on Percep-
tion	IS
IASC Guidelines on Mental Health and Psy- chosocial Support in Emergency Settings (Ge- neva: Inter-Agency Standing Committee, 2007 & 2008).	The Guidelines present good practice in planning, establishing and coordinating a set of minimum multi-sectoral responses to protect and improve people's mental health and psychosocial well-being in the midst of an emergency. The 2008 edition provides a Checklist for Field Use.

7 Illustrative Test Cases

This section outlines three illustrative test cases for testing safety and security of crisis management solutions that have participated in one or more of the DRIVER+ project trials:

- The Social Media Analysis Platform, trailed in Trial France
- The CrisisSuite solution, trailed in Trials France and The Netherlands, and in the Final Demo
- The Test-bed infrastructure with the Common Information Space, and its embedded security features.

The role and the guidelines for preparing test cases are described in DRIVER+ deliverable D934.21 – Solution Testing Procedure, March 2019 [20].

Test Case 1 "Personal Data Protection in the Social Media Analysis Platform"

The Social Media Analysis Platform is presented in the DRIVER+ Portfolio of Solutions at https://pos.driver-project.eu/en/PoS/solutions/62.

This test case illustrates the couple 4-C, i.e., the potential negative impact of specialised software applications on personal data.

General norms: Regulation (EU) 2016/679

Specific norms: OASIS / Common Alerting Protocol Version 1.2

During Trial 2 of the DRIVR+ project, the Social Media Analysis Platform (SMAP) solution was identified as requiring a GDRP analysis. The solution collects and exploits Social Media post which are considered as "personal data." The analysis which was conducted with the support of Thales Legal Department is reproduced in the Annex 2 of D942.22 Report on the application of solutions in the Trial 2. In short, this analysis concluded that due to the fact that the purpose of the collection and processing of these personal data was clearly aiming at improving Social Resilience, and thus was in the interest of the persons, they were legitimate, and consequently authorized. Yet, due to the specific nature of the data, some restrictions regarding the access to the data needed to be limited (through authentication of a single user) and their retention over time also. In addition to these measures, the anonymisation of the pseudos (which often contain names in clear) was recommended and implemented. This analysis is a good basis to foresee the requirements which could derive for such a Social Media Analysis Platform if it were to become an operational system.

Test Case 2 "Providing confidentiality, integrity and availability of information in CrisisSuite"

The CrisisSuite solution is presented in the DRIVER+ Portfolio of Solutions at https://pos.driver-project.eu/en/PoS/solutions/179.

This test case illustrates the couple 4-E, i.e., the potential negative impact of using specialised software applications to exchange information among units participating in a crisis management operation on its confidentiality, integrity and availability.

General norms: The ISO 27000 family of standards

The CrisisSuite solution. CrisisSuite was trailed three times during DRIVER+ - in two trials and the Final Demonstration. The example which is the most meaningful with regards to the requirements concerning safety and security is the one of the Final Demonstration. In that demonstration, information was shared thanks to CrisisSuite which is deployed at three levels, from EUCPM modules (the tactical level), then at EUCPT level (the operational coordination level), and ERCC, the strategic coordination at European level. The security problems which were faced during the final demonstration related to the right to know (confidentiality) of information: ERCC does not want modules to be able to read the information they share with EUCPT.

During the DRIVER+ Final Demonstration, Warsaw, November 2019, this requirement was implemented by creating two 'crises' in CrisisSuite. This implementation was a work around which actually was satisfying for the table top Trial, but would require other types of implementation if the solution was to be operationally deployed at ERCC, EUCPT and Modules.

Test Case 3 "Security of digital infrastructure in the Common Information Space"

The Test-bed infrastructure is presented in detail in the deliverables from Work Package 923 of the DRIVER+ project.

This test case illustrates the couple 3-E, i.e., the potential negative impact of computer-based systems on critical infrastructures; in this case – on the digital infrastructure of a crisis management operation. Although the illustration relates to trial settings, the approach can be of value in testing actual digital infrastructure.

General norms: The ISO 27000 family of standards

Specific norms: SSL/TLS security protocol

The Common Information Space (CIS) is a software module of the Test-bed infrastructure which enables the exchange of information between Solutions in DRIVER+ Trials. This CIS can be made available on-line which facilitates on-line testing of solutions, or the use of the on-line Test-bed during a Trial. Making such a software available on-line makes it vulnerable to potential cyber intentional attacks or non-intentional interference. A solution that would either by mistake or malicious intention connect to an instance of the CIS during a Trial could disturb the whole trial by sending unintended messages for example. For this reason, it is very important to fully master what solution is able to connect to the CIS and when. In DRIVER+ this level of security was introduced by distributing security certificates which enforced a strong authentication mechanism on the CIS by encrypted security codes: each solution (of each organization) is issued a security certificate by a Certificate Authority of the Test-bed, and the CIS broker requires every connecting solution to authenticate with such certificate (SSL/TLS protocol). This guarantees that the solutions connecting to the CIS are indeed properly identified and authorized to do so.

Besides, the use of SSL/TLS security protocol on the CIS broker also guarantees the confidentiality and integrity of the messages exchanged within the CIS, i.e. it prevents an unauthorized user to intercept, alter, replace or replay messages maliciously. The next security requirement addressed in DRIVER+ is topic-based access control. Indeed,

depending on the sensitivity or criticality of certain CIS topics, only one or more specific solutions should be authorized to publish or read data from these topics. The previous paragraph gives a relevant example where ERCC is exchanging information with EUCPT, which could be done in a specific CIS topic, but does not want the EUCPM modules to read this information. To address this requirement, DRIVER+ provides an access control plugin for the CIS broker that allows to enforce a fine-grained access control policy (defined via the Test-bed's Admin Tool) that consists of rules such as: permit solution X to READ/WRITE from/to topic Y (and deny such rights by default). Although this feature has not been used yet in a Trial, it is available in the Test-bed software repository and tested by the Test-bed infrastructure staff.

In the perspective of an operational use of the CIS, other security measures would be required in order to reduce its vulnerability to potential cyberattacks: the use of one single port to connect to the internet, or the use of a proxy to hide the actual IP addresses of the CIS servers from the outside.

The full securing of the CIS would also depend on the actual physical and logical infrastructure on which the servers would be deployed: the presence of a DMZ zone, firewalls, etc., which can only be examined when all these constraints are known.

8 Conclusions

Assuring safety and security of new crisis management solutions depends on the way practitioners' organisations define their requirements. Solution providers or third parties are expected to warrant that these requirements are met. It is possible also to jointly design and conduct tests to verify the extent to which requirements are met.

The study presented in this paper goes beyond the framework for preparing trials, demonstrations, experiments or tests of innovative crisis management solutions. It is intended to support the process of the uptake of solutions by presenting a framework for dealing with safety and security concerns in the use of crisis management solutions in actual crisis context, which would be of use to both crisis management practitioners and solution providers.

While this framework is comprehensive, the list of normative documents delivered in the study and illustrated above is subject to continuous review, updates and amendment. This also applies to illustrative test cases. An increasing number of test cases and results will contribute to the body of knowledge on the safe and secure use of solutions in actual crisis management context.

Acknowledgement

The research leading to these results was performed by the Centre for Security and Defence Management, Institute of ICT, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences and Thales Communications & Security, France, as part of the DRIVER+ project and has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no. 607798.

References

- 1. Shi, P.: Hazards, Disasters, and Risks. In: Disaster Risk Science, pp. 1-48. IHDP/Future Earth-Integrated Risk Governance Project Series. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6689-5_1.
- Stäubli, A., Nussbaumer, S.U., Allen, S., Huggel, C., Wymann von Dach, S. Diverse natural hazards – high human and economic losses. In: Wymann von Dach, S., Bachmann, F., Alcán-tara-Ayala, I., Fuchs, S., Keiler, M., Mishra, A., Sötz, E. (eds.) Safer lives and livelihoods in mountains: making the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction work for sustainable mountain development, pp. 14-19. Centre for Development and Environment, University of Bern, Bern (2017).
- Chamlee-Wright, E., Storr, V.H.: Expectations of government's response to disaster. Public Choice 144(1/2), 253–274 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-009-9516-x.
- Jong, W., Dückers, M.L.A.: The Perspective of the Affected: What People Confronted with Disasters Expect from Government Officials and Public Leaders. Risk, Hazards & Crisis in Public Policy 10(1), 14-31 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/rhc3.12150.
- 5. Tagarev, T., Ratchev, V.: A Taxonomy of Crisis Management Functions. Sustainability 12(12), 5147 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125147.
- Caudle, S.: Homeland Security Capabilities-Based Planning: Lessons from the Defense Community. Homeland Security Affairs 1, Article 2 (2005). https://www.hsaj.org/articles/178.
- Keim, M.E.: An innovative approach to capability-based emergency operations planning. Disaster Health, 1(1), 54-62 (2013), https://doi.org/10.4161/dish.23480.
- Bradley, D.J.: Applying the THIRA to Special Events: A Framework for Capabilities-Based Planning Adoption in Local Governments. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, (2018), https://www.hsaj.org/articles/14939.
- Nikolov, O.: Distributed Training, CAX and Experimentation in support of Crisis Management. Information & Security: An International Journal 27(2), 138-146 (2011). http://dx.doi.org/10.11610/isij.2713.
- Nikolov, O., Tomov, N., Nikolova, I.: M&S Support for Crisis and Disaster Management Processes and Climate Change Implications. In: Murayama, Y., Velev, D., Zlateva, P., Gonza-lez, J.J. (eds.) Information Technology in Disaster Risk Reduction. ITDRR 2016. IFIP Ad-vances in Information and Communication Technology, vol 501, 240-253. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68486-4_19.
- Angevine, R.G.: Time to Revive Joint Concept Development and Experimentation. War on the Rocks, 23 January 2020, https://warontherocks.com/2020/01/time-to-revive-joint-concept-development-and-experimentation, las accessed 2020/10/26.
- Izumi, T., Shaw, R., Ishiwatari, M., Djalante, R., Komino, T.: 30 innovations for disaster risk reduction. IRIDeS, Keio University, the University of Tokyo, UNU-IAS, CWS Japan, Japan (2019).
- 13. What is DRIVER+? https://www.driver-project.eu/driver-project/, last accessed 2020/10/24.
- 14. AeroSpace and Defence Industries Association of Europe: STACCATO Final Taxonomy, Deliverable 1.2.2, STACCATO (Stakeholders Platform for Supply chain Mapping, Market condition Analysis and Technologies Opportunities) project (2007).
- Sveinsdottir, T., et al.: Taxonomy of Security Products, Systems and Services, Deliverable 1.2, CRISP (Project title: Evaluation and Certification Schemes for Security Products) project (2014).

- European Defence Agency: EDA Technology Taxonomy Overview (n.d.), https://www.eda.europa.eu/docs/default-source/procurement/eda-technology-taxonomy.pdf, last accessed 2020/10/26.
- Duran, L.: Safety and Security Preventing cybersecurity attacks in safety systems. Hazardex (2015), http://www.hazardexonthenet.net/article/105606/Safety-and-Security-Preventing-cybersecurity-attacks-in-safety-systems.aspx, last accessed 2020/10/26.
- Council Directive 2008/114/EC of 8 December 2008 on the identification and designation of European critical infrastructures and the assessment of the need to improve their protection, Official Journal L 345 (2008), 75–82, http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2008/114/oj.
- Directive (EU) 2016/1148 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 2016 concerning measures for a high common level of security of network and information systems across the Union, Official Journal L 194 (2016), pp. 1–30, http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/1148/oj.
- Laurent Dubost et al.: Solution testing procedure. DRIVER+ Deliverable D934.21, https://www.driver-project.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/DRIVERPLUS_D934.21_Solution-testing-procedure.pdf, last ac-cessed 2020/10/26.