



HAL
open science

Social Commerce Constructs and Trust as Influencers of Consumer Decision Making With Reference to Fashion E-Tailing

Sarulatha Neelankandan, Sasirekha Venkatesan

► **To cite this version:**

Sarulatha Neelankandan, Sasirekha Venkatesan. Social Commerce Constructs and Trust as Influencers of Consumer Decision Making With Reference to Fashion E-Tailing. International Working Conference on Transfer and Diffusion of IT (TDIT), Dec 2020, Tiruchirappalli, India. pp.371-383, 10.1007/978-3-030-64861-9_32 . hal-03744786

HAL Id: hal-03744786

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03744786>

Submitted on 3 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

SOCIAL COMMERCE CONSTRUCTS AND TRUST AS INFLUENCERS OF CONSUMER DECISION MAKING WITH REFERENCE TO FASHION E-TAILING

Dr. N. Sarulatha¹[0000-1111-2222-3333] and
Dr. V. Sasirekha²[0000-1111-2222-3333]

¹ Department of Business Administration, D. G. Vaishnav College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. sarulatha1811@gmail.com

² Sri Sai Ram Institute of Management Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. sasirekharamani@gmail.com

Abstract. The disruptive technology of fourth industrial revolution has built new business models. The gaining popularity of social networking sites has facilitated the e-tailers to capitalise the user's conversations. This gave rise to social commerce - ecommerce through social networking sites.

It is significant for marketers to understand the consumer decision making process in the social networking sites. In this framework, the impact of Trust & social commerce constructs - Recommendations & referrals, Forums & communities, Ratings & reviews are studied. Data was collected through structured questionnaire. The study was carried among active social networking site users who purchased fashion products online recently (Less than 6 months). Based on the 581 respondents, regression analysis is carried out to study the impact. The study revealed significant impact of trust and social commerce construct on the consumer decision making. The study provides a guideline for marketers comparing the role of trust & social commerce construct for marketers to strengthen and/or reinforce its usage.

Keywords: Social commerce constructs · Social networking sites · Trust.

1 INTRODUCTION

India witnesses a significant development in retailing with e-tailing (ET Retail, 2017). Increased internet diffusion, smart phone usage, ease of buying & payments, choice of products & services are steering growth in e-tailing. Investments in e-commerce companies are growing up. Also, the government initiatives to promote Digital India and inter-operability systems are facilitating the e-tailing. These scenarios have provided ample opportunities for e-tailers to establish themselves.

With increased internet penetration and popularity of social networking site, e-tailers are bringing out technology steered practices such as Social Commerce.

It originated with Amazon introducing Purchase Circles (Amazon, 1999). The term social commerce was introduced by Yahoo as Picklists and Shoposphere (Beach, (2005) & Rubel, (2005)). Yadav et al. (2013) defined social commerce as “exchange-related activities that occur in, or are influenced by, an individual’s social network in computer-mediated social environments, where the activities correspond to the need recognition, pre-purchase, purchase and post-purchase changes of a focal exchange.” In simple terms it is e-commerce happening in social networking sites.

Web 2.0 has brought new platforms Social commerce constructs such as Recommendations & referrals, Forums & communities, Ratings & reviews in social networking sites. Though technically slightly different, these are primarily content generators to facilitate interactions and promote word of mouth. The economic implication of these constructs is product sales (Forman et al., 2008) in e-tailing which is the ultimate destination for every marketer. Trust is also a very noteworthy factor in e-commerce (Gefen & Straub, 2004; Mutz, 2005; Pavlou, 2003) and also place a very significant role to facilitate social commerce (Hajli, 2015). The economic implication of these constructs is product sales (Forman et al., 2008) in e-tailing which is the ultimate destination for every marketer. Understanding the Trust & social commerce construct on consumer decision making is important for marketer to use appropriately and devise strategies accordingly. In this context, the study is carried out to research the impact of trust and social commerce construct across stages of consumer decision making.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Aljifri et al. (2003) identified Trust as the key barrier for adopting e-commerce. Senecal & Nantel (2004) studied on consumer choice based on product recommendation. Personal recommendation systems are highly significant among all the online recommendations. Hassanein & Head (2006) researched on impact of online consumer behaviour by individuals representing online environment. Trust has significant positive relationship with perceived social presence and impacts attitude. Park et al. (2007) identified online reviews are informants and recommenders for purchase decision making. Qualitative online reviews build consumers purchase intention. Also the purchase intention increases with increase in online reviews. DEI Worldwide (2008) reported 70% of consumers use social media among other online sources to seek information about a company and it influenced 67% consumers purchase decision. Swamynathan et al. (2008) studied the impact of social networks on e-commerce. Social networks have significant impact on e-commerce and satisfaction level of social network users was high. Lu et al. (2009) studied trust in social networks is high than C2C website. Also, the study revealed consumer intention to get information influences the purchase intention of the consumer. Personal recommendations & Online consumer opinions are the most trusted forms of advertisement among Internet Consumers according to “The Nielsen Global Online Consumer Survey”, Nielsen(2009). Hensel & Deis (2010) investigated social media to improve marketing & advertising. So-

cial media facilitates conversations among consumers and also build brand value. Hsiao et al. (2010) studied building trust through product recommendations and relationship between trust and purchase intention for shopping online. The study revealed trust built from product recommendation is comparatively higher than trust built from the product website. Moreover, trust built through product recommendation has a direct impact on purchase intention and indirect impact on intention to buy the product from the website. Armelini (2011) study revealed direct correlation of sales and number of conversations in social media. Curty & Zhang (2011) traced the evolution of social commerce before Yahoo in 2005 with Amazon & Epinions in August 1999. Amazon used “purchased circles” similar to recommendations & consumer communities. Consumers & visitors of the website are provided with the facility for wishlist and email their friends about products. Epinions provided ratings & reviews, member forums - internal social network and referred to it as “Community of trust.” The study focus was on the technology perspective of social commerce. The findings of the study identified two categories of social commerce websites - Direct sales & Referrals.

Anderson et al. (2011) researched social media to be used as a commerce channel. The real-time data collected when customers search, purchase, give ratings, recommend and purchase products aids companies to build strategies influencing consumer behavior. Fijalkowski & Zatoka (2011) proposed a recommender system for e-commerce based on user profiles on Facebook. Rad & Benyoucef (2011) developed a model of social commerce with reference to consumer decision-making process. The model was extended from the social commerce components (Social shopping, Ratings & Reviews, Recommendations & Referrals, Forums & Communities, Social Media, Social Advertising) identified by Fisher (2010) and included business. The study related the following social components across stages of consumer decision-making process : 1) Need Recognition Recommender systems. 2) Product brokerage - Trusted reviews. 3) Merchant brokerage - Synchronous shopping, 4) Purchase decision - Recommender system - Product bundling & Group purchase. 5) Purchase - Social Media to post status (Individual purchase / Group purchase) 6) Evaluation- Ratings & reviews. Hoffman (2012) studied social media and consumer behavior. The users review the user-generated content when they want to make a purchase intention or merely to spend time. The content generated by users directly influences the purchase intention. If the users are consuming user-generated information to spend time, it will influence users consequent attitudes and behavior. User-generated information online has several unique features such as popularity, longer carryover effects on consumer behavior especially with users of same group. Wang et al. (2012) analyzed the impact of peer communication on purchase intention. The peer communication about products has a direct (conformity with peers) and indirect (reinforcing product involvement) in purchase decision making. Based on past reviews and social support theory Hajli (2013) developed a model for social commerce. The study identified social commerce conceptual elements with social commerce constructs (SCCs) - Ratings & Reviews, Forums & Communities, and Referrals & Recommendations. The social commerce constructs (SCCs) en-

hances trusts and results in purchase intention. Yadav et al. (2013) carried out a study to leverage social media and sell products. The authors stated the proposition & facilitating the role of social networks for the 4 different stages of decision making viz., Need Recognition, Pre-purchase activities, Purchase decision and post-purchase activities. Maity & Dass (2014) studied the impact of consumer decision making across modern and traditional channels. The findings revealed consumer prefer e-commerce channels for searching product information. Hajli (2014a) studied the impact of social media on consumers. Based on the technology acceptance model (TAM) a social commerce adoption model was developed. The study concludes consumer interactions through online forums, communities, ratings, reviews, and recommendations in social media have given rise to social commerce. Consumers are empowered as content generators which aid in sharing information & experiences in their networks and facilitate social interactions. Consequently, it builds trust and hence users intention to buy. Hajli et al. (2014d) build a trust model for new products & services in the context of social commerce. The study identified the social commerce constructs - Ratings & Reviews, Recommendations & Referrals, Forums & communities and the impact of trust in new products and services. Hajli (2015) built a model for social commerce consumer behaviour with social commerce constructs (Ratings & reviews, Recommendations & referrals, Forums & communities), Trust and Intention to buy. The social commerce constructs (SCCs) has a direct impact on intention to buy and indirectly on intention to buy through Trust.

3 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Based on the above literature Trust and the social commerce constructs have significantly influenced the consumer. The study aims to establish the influence of Trust and social commerce constructs for fashion e-tailing. It also bring out the extent of influence by the social commerce constructs & Trust for fashion e-tailing across stages of consumer decision making viz., Need Recognition, Pre-Purchase, Purchase Decision and Post Purchase. The conceptual framework developed is represented below:

Fig. 1. Relationship of Trust & Social commerce constructs (SCCs) on Consumer decision making stages

4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study focussed to identify the impact of Trust & Social commerce construct (SCCs) across four stages of consumer decision making viz., Need Recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchase decision and Post-Purchase for fashion e-tailing. The following objective and the related hypothesis are laid for the study as follows:

Objective 1: To study the impact of Trust on stages of consumer decision making for shopping fashion products in social networking sites.

Hypothesis 1 (H1): There is a significant relationship between trust across stages of consumer decision making for fashion e-tailing.

Objective 2: To study the impact of Social commerce constructs (Recommendations & Referrals, Forums & Communities, Ratings & Reviews) on stages of consumer decision making for shopping fashion products in social networking sites.

Hypothesis 2 (H2) : There is a significant relationship between social commerce constructs (Recommendations & referrals, Forums & Communities, Ratings& Reviews) across stages of consumer decision making for fashion e-tailing

The data was collected through structured questionnaire online & offline. The respondents were active social networking site user who purchased fashion products recently (Less than 6 months) based in Chennai. A pilot study was conducted among 42 respondents in Chennai. The reliability test score with Cronbach alpha was 0.81 i.e., 81% reliability. The data collection was carried out during the period Jan 2017 to June 2017.

Convenience sampling, a type of non-probability sampling was used for the study. 600 questionnaires were circulated for data collection. With 3.1% questionnaire rejected, 581 questionnaires were finally used for the study.

The questionnaire consists of statements related to social commerce constructs (Recommendations & referrals, Forums & communities, Ratings & reviews) and Trust. This was measured using the instrument developed initially by Nick Hajli (2015). It consists of 10 items relating to each social commerce construct and trust measured in a five-point Likert type scale. Equal importance was given to all the statements, and the opinion about every social commerce construct and trust was obtained for fashion e-tailing. Also, the questionnaire with other set of statements related to the facilitative role of social commerce across stages of consumer decision making was included. This was measured using the instrument developed initially by M.S.Yadav et al., (2013). It comprises of 11 items relating to four stages of consumer decision making namely Need recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchase decision and Post purchase. These are measured in a five-point Likert type scale. All the items were given the same importance, and the respondent's opinion about the facilitative role of social commerce for fashion e-tailing was obtained.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regression analysis used to investigate the extent of trust impact and social commerce constructs impact (Recommendations & Referrals, Forums & Communities, Ratings & Reviews) on various stages of consumer decision making (Need Recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchase decision, Post- purchase).

Source: Computed from primary data

*denotes significance at 1% level

Table 1. Mode Summary for Impact of Trust & Social commerce construct on stages of consumer decision making

Independent Variable	Dependent Variable	Multiple R Value	R Square Value	F Value	p value
Need Recognition (X_1)	Trust	0.041	0.048	7.257	<0.001**
	Recommendation & Referrals	0.095	0.101	16.217	<0.001**
Pre-purchase (X_2)	Forums & Communities	0.042	0.048	7.288	<0.001**
Purchase decision (X_3)					
Post purchase (X_4)	Ratings & Reviews	0.103	0.109	17.620	<0.001**

From table 1, based on the R square value for the dependent variable trust, recommendations & referrals, forums & communities, ratings & reviews establishes 4.8%, 10.1%, 4.8%, 10.9% variance respectively on the stages of consumer decision making. Also, statistically significant at 1% level i.e., Trust, recommendations & referrals, forums & communities, ratings & reviews is well related with various stages of consumer decision making. This leads to the determination of trust & social commerce constructs impact on each stage of consumer decision making - Need Recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchase decision, Post purchase.

Table 2. Coefficient table for Impact of Trust on stages of consumer decision making

Variables	Unstandardized coefficient	SE of B	Standardized coefficient	t value	LOS
Need Recognition (X_1)	-0.261	0.062	-0.248	-4.226	0.000**
Pre-purchase (X_2)	0.054	0.071	0.052	0.770	0.442*
Purchase decision (X_3)	-0.016	0.076	-0.017	-0.211	0.833*
Post purchase (X_4)	0.196	0.064	0.142	3.039	0.002**
Constant	3.389	0.197		17.169	0.000

Source : Computed from primary data

*denotes significance at 1% level and * denotes significance at 5% level

From Table 2, the multiple regression equation is

$$Y = 3.389 - 0.261X_1 + 0.054X_2 - 0.016X_3 + 0.196X_4$$

Here the coefficient of X_1 is -0.261 represents the partial effect with Need Recognition on Trust, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is negative and Trust score would decrease by 0.261 for every unit increase in need recognition. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_2 is 0.054 represents the partial effect of Pre-purchase on Trust, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Trust score would increase

by 0.054 for every unit increase in pre-purchase. Also, the coefficient value is not significant at the 5% level.

The coefficient of X_3 -0.016 represents the partial effect with purchase decision on Trust, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is adverse and Trust score would decrease by 0.016 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is not significant at the 5% level.

The coefficient of X_4 is 0.196 represents the partial effect of Post purchase on Trust, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Trust score would increase by 0.196 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

Hence, it is inferred that there is a considerable impact on the Trust in Need Recognition and Post-purchase stage. The impact of Trust in Need recognition stage is high and exhibits inverse relationship compared with Post-purchase stage exhibiting positive relationship

Table 3. Coefficient table for Impact of Recommendations & Referrals on stages of consumer decision making

Variables	Unstandardized coefficient	SE of B	Standardized coefficient	t value	LOS
Need Recognition (X_1)	-0.296	0.065	-0.260	-4.562	0.000**
Pre-purchase (X_2)	0.238	0.074	0.212	3.213	0.001**
Purchase decision (X_3)	0.021	0.079	0.021	0.270	0.787*
Post purchase (X_4)	-0.313	0.068	-0.210	-4.622	0.000**
Constant	4.200	0.207		20.246	0.000

Source: Computed from primary data

*denotes significance at 1% level and * denotes significance at 5% level

From Table 3, the multiple regression equation is

$$Y = 4.200 - 0.296X_1 + 0.238X_2 + 0.021X_3 - 0.313X_4$$

Here the coefficient of X_1 is -0.296 represents the partial effect with Need Recognition on Recommendations & Referrals, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is adverse and Recommendations & Referrals score would decrease by 0.296 for every unit increase in need recognition. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_2 is 0.238 represents the partial effect of Pre-purchase on Recommendations & Referrals, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Recommendations & Referrals score would increase by 0.238 for every unit increase in pre-purchase. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_3 0.021 represents the partial effect with purchase decision on Recommendations & Referrals, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Recommendations & Referrals score would increase by 0.021 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. However, the coefficient value is not significant at the 5% level.

The coefficient of X_4 is -0.313 represents the partial effect of Post purchase on Recommendations & Referrals, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is adverse and Recommendations & Referrals score would decrease by 0.313 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

Hence, it can be inferred that there is a significant impact of the Recommendations and referrals specifically with the Need recognition; Pre and Post-purchase stages of consumer decision making. The impact of Recommendations & referrals is high with Post purchase followed by Need Recognition and Pre-purchase. The impact is negative with Post Purchase & Need Recognition and confidence with the Pre-purchase stage of consumer decision making

Table 4. Coefficient table for Impact of Forums & Communities on Stages of consumer decision making

Variables	Unstandardized coefficient	SE of B	Standardized coefficient	t value	LOS
Need Recognition (X_1)	0.027	0.076	0.021	0.356	0.722*
Pre-purchase (X_2)	0.354	0.086	0.278	4.097	<0.001**
Purchase decision (X_3)	-0.449	0.093	-0.385	-4.838	<0.001**
Post purchase (X_4)	0.100	0.079	0.059	1.264	0.207*
Constant	2.983	0.242	12.325	<0.001**	

Source: Computed from primary data

*denotes significance at 1% level and * denotes significance at 5% level

The multiple regression equation is

$$Y = 2.983 + 0.027X_1 + 0.354X_2 - 0.449X_3 + 0.100X_4$$

Here the coefficient of X_1 is 0.027 represents the partial effect with Need Recognition on Forums & Communities, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Forums & Communities score would increase by 0.027 for every unit increase in Need recognition. However, the coefficient value is not significant at the 5% level.

The coefficient of X_2 is 0.354 represents the partial effect with Pre-purchase on Forums & Communities, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and

Forums & Communities score would increase by 0.354 for every unit increase in pre-purchase. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_3 -0.449 represents the partial effect with purchase decision on Forums & Communities, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is negative and Forums & Communities score would decrease by 0.449 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_4 is 0.100 represents the partial effect of Post purchase on Forums & Communities, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Forums & Communities score would increase by 0.100 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. However, Forums & Communities the coefficient value is not significant at the 5% level.

Hence, it can be inferred that there is a significant impact of Forums & Communities on Pre- and Purchase decision in the study region. The impact is elevated with Purchase decision compared with Pre-purchase. However, Purchase decision exhibits a negative impact and Pre- purchase exhibits a positive relationship with Forums & Communities.

Table 5. Coefficient table for Impact of Ratings & reviews on stages of consumer decision making

Variables	Unstandardized coefficient	SE of B	Standardized coefficient	t value	LOS
Need Recognition (X_1)	0.221	0.054	0.232	4.084	<0.001**
Pre-purchase (X_2)	0.126	0.062	0.133	2.029	0.043*
Purchase decision (X_3)	-0.484	0.066	-0.562	-7.286	<0.001**
Post purchase (X_4)	0.160	0.057	0.128	2.831	0.005*
Constant	3.175	0.173	18.309	<0.001**	

Source: Computed from primary data

*denotes significance at 1% level and * denotes significance at 5% level.

From Table 5, the multiple regression equation is

$$Y = 3.175 + 0.221X_1 + 0.126X_2 - 0.484X_3 + 0.160X_4$$

Here the coefficient of X_1 is 0.221 represents the partial effect with Need Recognition on Ratings & reviews holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Ratings & reviews score would increase by 0.221 for every unit increase in need recognition. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_2 is 0.126 represents the partial effect of Pre-purchase on Ratings & reviews, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Ratings &

reviews score would increase by 0.126 for every unit increase in pre-purchase. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 5% level.

The coefficient of X_3 -0.484 represents the partial effect with purchase decision on Ratings & reviews, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated negative sign implies that such effect is adverse and Ratings & reviews score would decrease by 0.484 for every unit increase in a purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

The coefficient of X_4 is 0.160 represents the partial effect of Post purchase on Ratings & reviews, holding other stages of consumer decision making constant. The estimated positive sign implies that such effect is positive and Ratings & reviews score would increase by 0.160 for every unit increase in the Post-purchase decision. Also, the coefficient value is significant at the 1% level.

Hence, it can be inferred that there is a significant impact of Ratings & reviews on all stages of consumer decision making. The various stages of consumer decision making includes need Recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchases decision, and Post purchase. The impact on purchase decision is high with purchase decision followed by need recognition, Post-purchase and low with Pre-purchase. However, the impact of ratings & reviews is negative on purchase decision compared with a positive impact on need recognition stage, Pre-purchase and Post purchase.

Table 6. Summary - Trust & Social commerce construct (SCCs) impact on Stages of consumer decision making

Trust & SCC/Consumer decision making stages	Relationship			
	Need Recognition	Pre-purchase	Purchase Decision	Post Purchase
Trust	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive
Recommendation & Referrals	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative
Forums & Communities	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive
Ratings & Reviews	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive

From the above results, it is concluded that both Trust & Social commerce construct (SCCs) exhibit significant relationship across all the stages of consumer decision making namely Need Recognition, Pre-purchase, Purchase decision & Post-purchase. Hence, both the hypothesis statement, Hypothesis (H1) : There is a significant relationship between trust across stages of consumer decision making for fashion e-tailing and Hypothesis 2 (H2) : There is a significant relationship between social commerce constructs (Recommendations & referrals, Forums & Communities, Ratings& Reviews) across stages of consumer decision making for fashion e- tailing is established.

6 IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study brings out the variations in the extent of impact of trust & social commerce constructs (SCCs) on stages of consumer decision making. Table 6 provides a guideline for the marketers with regard to right usage of social commerce construct & building Trust in accordance with stages of consumer decision making and strategies to build thereon. The results inferred establish significant relationship of Trust & social commerce construct (SCCs) with stages of consumer decision making. However, they are in very inceptive stages. The amplitude of usage of Social commerce construct (SCCs) exhibiting positive relationship has to be strengthened further and those exhibiting negative relationship has to be built upon. The usage of social commerce constructs (SCCs) in Pre-purchase stage can be reinforced further & build Trust thereon. Comparatively, both forums & communities and ratings & reviews have to strengthen to turn out to be constructive social commerce construct (SCCs). Also, Trust in the stages of Need Recognition and Purchase Decision has to be straightened out as positive trend.

7 LIMITATIONS & SCOPE OF FURTHER STUDY

The study was confined to four social networking sites viz., Facebook, Instagram, Google Plus and Twitter. Also, the study was limited to active social networking site users who purchased fashion products online recently (less than 6 months) based in Chennai. The study provides further scope to carry out preferences of social commerce construct(s) & trust factor across fashion product categories. It also provides further scope of research to carry out social networking site specific research.

References

1. Afrasiabi Rad, A., Benyoucef, M.: A model for understanding social commerce. *Journal of Information Systems Applied Research* **4**(2), 63 (2011). Retrieved from 12 September 2017 from <http://jisar.org/2011-4/N2/JISARv4n2p63.pdf>
2. Aljifri, H. A., Pons, A., Collins, D.: Global e-commerce: a framework for understanding and overcoming the trust barrier. *Information Management & Computer Security*, **11**(3), 130–138 (2003). Retrieved 13 September 2017 from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/09685220310480417>
3. Amazon: Amazon.com Introduces 'Purchase Circles(TM),' Featuring Thousands of Bestseller Lists for Hometowns, Workplaces, Universities, and More [Press Release] (1999). Retrieved from <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=176060&p=irol-newsArticle&ID=502903>
4. Anderson, M., Sims, J., Price, J., Brusa, J.: Turning “Like” to “Buy” social media emerges as a commerce channel. *Booz & Company Inc.*, **2**(1), 102–128 (2011). Retrieved 07 October 2017 from <http://boletines.prisadigital.com/Like.to.Buy.pdf>

5. Armelini, G., Villanueva, J.: The power of Word of Mouth: Adding Social Media to the Marketing Mix (2011). Retrieved 20 July 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/276137132_Adding_Social_Media_to_the_Marketing_Mix_The_Power_of_Word_of_Mouth
6. Beach: Yahoo! Shopping Pick Lists &TheShoposphere [Blog post] (2005). Retrieved 13 October 2017 from http://www.itsbeach.com/blog/2005/11/yahoo_shopping_.html
7. Curty, R. G., Zhang, P.: Social commerce: Looking back and forward. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **48**(1), 1–10 (2011). Retrieved 11 September 2017 from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/meet.2011.14504801096/pdf> on 11/09/2017
8. DEI Worldwide. The impact of social media on purchasing behaviour. Engaging Consumers online. Retrieved 19 July 2018 from <https://themarketingguy.files.wordpress.com/2008/12/dei-study-engaging-consumers-online-summary.pdf>
9. Fijalkowski, D., Zatoka, R.: An architecture of a Web recommender system using social network user profiles for e-commerce. In *Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, 2011 Federated Conference on (pp. 287-290). IEEE (2011). Retrieved 07 October 2017 from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1470/15d51787c8414b0541d04e0e720e83ab8a37.pdf>
10. Forman, C., Ghose, A., Wiesenfeld, B.: Examining the relationship between reviews and sales: The role of reviewer identity disclosure in electronic markets. *Information Systems Research*, **19**(3), 291–313 (2008)
11. Forman, C., Ghose, A., Wiesenfeld, B.: Examining the relationship between reviews and sales: The role of reviewer identity disclosure in electronic markets. *Information Systems Research*, **19**(3), 291–313 (2008)
12. Gefen, D., Straub, D. W.: Consumer trust in B2C e-Commerce and the importance of social presence: experiments in e-Products and e-Services. *Omega*, **32**(6), 407–424 (2004)
13. Haji, M.: A research framework for social commerce adoption. *Information Management & Computer Security*, **21**(3), 144–154 (2013). Retrieved on 14 September 2017 from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Nick_Hajli2/publication/257941706_A_research_framework_for_social_commerce_adoption/links/565d7e9f08aeafc2aac7bf65.pdf
14. Hajli, M.N.: A study of the impact of social media on consumers. *International Journal of Market Research*, **56**(3), 387–404 (2014). Retrieved 20 July 2018 from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b311/27c1dbfc90fe5430d3c57dcf445343614de4.pdf>
15. Hajli, N.: Social commerce constructs and consumer’s intention to buy. *International Journal of Information Management*, **35**(2), 183–191 (2015)
16. Hassanein, K., Head, M.: Manipulating perceived social presence through the web interface and its impact on attitude towards online shopping. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, **65**(8), 689–708 (2007). Retrieved 19 July 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Khaled_Hassanein2/publication/220108449_Manipulating_perceived_social_presence_through_the_web_interface_and_its_impact_on_attitude_towards_online_shopping/links/541ff6380cf203f155c28e5f/Manipulating-perceived-social-presence-through-the-web-interface-and-its-impact-on-attitude-towards-online-shopping.pdf
17. Hensel, K., Deis, M. H.: Using social media to increase advertising and improve marketing. *The Entrepreneurial Executive*, **15**, 87 (2010). Retrieved 07 October 2017 from <http://www.alliedacademies.org/articles/eevol1512010.pdf#page=95>

18. Hoffman, D. L., Novak, T. P., Stein, R.: The digital consumer (pp. 28-38) (2013). Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group. Retrieved 10 October 2017 from <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203105306.ch3>
19. Hsiao, K.L., Chuan-Chuan Lin, J., Wang, X. Y., Lu, H. P., Yu, H.: Antecedents and consequences of trust in online product recommendations: An empirical study in social shopping. *Online Information Review*, **34**(6), 935–953 (2010)
20. India replaces China as top retail destination in 2017: Study. Retrieved from (2017, September, 21). <https://retail.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/e-commerce/e-tailing/india-replaces-china-as-top-retail-destination-in-2017-study/60773884>
21. Lu, Y., Zhao, L., Wang, B.: From virtual community members to C2C e-commerce buyers: Trust in virtual communities and its effect on consumers' purchase intention. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, **9**(4), 346–360 (2010)
22. Maity, M., Dass, M.: Consumer decision-making across modern and traditional channels: E-commerce, m-commerce, in-store. *Decision Support Systems*, **61**, 34–46 (2014)
23. Mutz, D. C.: Social trust and e-commerce: Experimental evidence for the effects of social trust on individuals' economic behavior. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, **69**(3), 393–416 (2005)
24. Nielsen: Nielsen global online consumer survey: Trust, value and engagement in advertising. *Ad week Media* (2009). Retrieved 08 October 2017 from http://www.nielsen.com/content/dam/corporate/us/en/newswire/uploads/2009/07/pr_global-study_07709.pdf
25. Park, D. H., Lee, J., Han, I.: The effect of on-line consumer reviews on consumer purchasing intention: The moderating role of involvement. *International journal of electronic commerce*, **11**(4), 125–148 (2007)
26. Pavlou, P. A.: Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International journal of electronic commerce*, **7**(3), 101–134 (2003)
27. Rubel, S.: 2006 Trends to Watch Part II: Social Commerce [Blog post] (2005). Retrieved from <https://digitalintelligencetoday.com/steve-rubels-original-2005-social-commerce-post/>
28. Senecal, S., Nantel, J.: The influence of online product recommendations on consumers' online choices. *Journal of retailing*, **80**(2), 159–169 (2004)
29. Swamynathan, G., Wilson, C., Boe, B., Almeroth, K., Zhao, B. Y.: Do social networks improve e-commerce?: a study on social marketplaces. In *Proceedings of the first workshop on Online social networks* (pp. 1-6) (2008). ACM. Retrieved 20 September 2017 from http://onemvweb.com/sources/sources/social_marketplaces.pdf
30. Wang, X., Yu, C., Wei, Y.: Social media peer communication and impacts on purchase intentions: A consumer socialization framework. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, **26**(4), 198–208 (2012)
31. Wang, Y., Hajli, M. N.: Co-creation in branding through social commerce: The role of social support, relationship quality and privacy concerns (2014)
32. Yadav, M. S., De Valck, K., Hennig-Thurau, T., Hoffman, D. L., Spann, M.: Social commerce: a contingency framework for assessing marketing potential. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, **27**(4), 311–323 (2013)