



HAL
open science

On packing time-respecting arborescences

Romain Chapoullié, Zoltán Szigeti

► **To cite this version:**

Romain Chapoullié, Zoltán Szigeti. On packing time-respecting arborescences. *Discrete Optimization*, 2022, 45, pp.100702. 10.1016/j.disopt.2022.100702 . hal-03654954

HAL Id: hal-03654954

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03654954>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

On packing time-respecting arborescences

Romain Chapoullié and Zoltán Szigeti,
Ensimag and GSCOP,
Grenoble

Abstract

We present a slight generalization of the result of Kamiyama and Kawase [7] on packing time-respecting arborescences in acyclic pre-flow temporal networks. Our main contribution is to provide the first results on packing time-respecting arborescences in non-acyclic temporal networks. As negative results, we prove the NP-completeness of the decision problem of the existence of 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences and of a related problem proposed in this paper.

1 Introduction

Temporal networks were introduced to model the exchange of information in a network or the spread of a disease in a population. We are given a directed graph D and a time label function τ on the arcs of D , the pair (D, τ) is called a temporal network. Intuitively, for an arc a of D , $\tau(a)$ is the time when the end-vertices of a communicate, that is when the tail of a can transmit a piece of information to the head of a . Then the information can propagate through a path P if it is time-respecting, meaning that the time labels of the arcs of P in the order they are passed are non-decreasing. For a nice introduction to temporal networks, see [8].

Problems about packing arborescences in temporal networks were investigated in [7]. An arborescence is called time-respecting if all the directed paths it contains are time-respecting. The main result of [7] provides a packing of time-respecting arborescences, each vertex belonging to many of them, if the network is pre-flow and acyclic. Here pre-flow means intuitively that each vertex different from the root has at least as many arcs entering as leaving, while acyclic means that no directed cycle exists. Kamiyama and Kawase [7] presented examples to show that these conditions can not be dropped.

Two questions naturally arise from these results: Must all kinds of directed cycles be forbidden? Does high time-respecting root-connectivity imply the existence of 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences in a non-pre-flow temporal network?

Let us now present our contributions that give an answer to those questions.

We first propose a generalized version of the result of [7] with a simplified proof in Theorem 2.

Our main result, Theorem 4, is about packing time-respecting arborescences in pre-flow temporal networks that may contain directed cycles. The condition in Theorem 4 is that the arcs in the same strongly connected component must have the same τ -value. If this condition holds then our intuition would be to use regular arborescences in the strongly connected components and then to try to extend them to obtain a packing of time-respecting arborescences in the temporal network. This idea is a step in the right direction, however the exact process used in the proof is a bit more complex, see Section 4.

By the famous result of Edmonds [3], we know that k -root-connectivity implies the existence of a packing of k spanning s -arborescences. The authors of [8] show that for any positive integer k , time-respecting k -root-connectivity does not imply the existence of 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences in a temporal network. To explain this construction (or more precisely, a slightly modified version of it), we point out and recall in Section 5 the close relation between packings of spanning time-respecting arborescences, packings of Steiner arborescences and proper

2-colorings of hypergraphs. We remark in Theorem 12 that the decision problem, whether there exist 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences, is NP-complete.

We show in Theorem 11 that time-respecting $(n - 1)$ -root-connectivity implies the existence of a packing of 2 spanning time-respecting s -arborescences in an arbitrary temporal network on n vertices. This result becomes more interesting if we note that the examples of Figure 1 show that time-respecting $(n - 3)$ -root-connectivity is not enough.

Finally, in Theorem 13, we show that in an acyclic temporal network (D, τ) , it is NP-complete to decide whether there exists a spanning arborescence whose directed paths consist of arcs of the same τ -value.

2 Definitions

Let $D = (V \cup s, A)$ be a directed graph with a special vertex s , called *root*, such that no arc enters s . The set of arcs entering, leaving a vertex set X of D is denoted by $\rho_D(\mathbf{X})$, $\delta_D(\mathbf{X})$, respectively. Sometimes we use $\rho_A(X)$ for $\rho_D(X)$ and similarly $\delta_A(X)$ for $\delta_D(X)$. We denote $|\rho_D(X)|$ and $|\delta_D(X)|$ by $d_D^-(\mathbf{X})$ and $d_D^+(\mathbf{X})$, respectively. We call the directed graph D *acyclic* if D contains no directed cycle. If $d_D^-(v) = d_D^+(v)$ for all $v \in V$, then D is called *Eulerian*. We say that D is *pre-flow* if $d_D^-(v) \geq d_D^+(v)$ for all $v \in V$. A subgraph $F = (V' \cup s, A')$ of D is called an *s-arborescence* if F is acyclic and $d_F^-(v) = 1$ for all $v \in V'$. We say that F is *spanning* if $V' = V$. For $U \subseteq V$, F is called a *Steiner s-arborescence* or an (s, U) -*arborescence* if F is an s -arborescence and it contains all the vertices in U . A *packing* of arborescences means a set of arc-disjoint arborescences. For $v \in V$, a path from s to v is called an (s, v) -*path* and $\lambda_D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v})$ denotes the maximum number of arc-disjoint (s, v) -paths in D . For some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that D is *k-root-connected* if $\lambda_D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) \geq k$ for all $v \in V$. For some $U \subseteq V$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that D is *Steiner k-root-connected* if $\lambda_D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) \geq k$ for all $v \in U$. We call a directed graph $D' = (V \cup \{s, t\}, A')$ *almost Eulerian* if $d_{D'}^-(v) = d_{D'}^+(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $d_{D'}^-(s) = 0 = d_{D'}^+(t)$.

For a function $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{N} = (D, \tau)$ is called a *temporal network*. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\rho_{\mathbf{N}}^i(\mathbf{v}) := \{a \in \rho_D(v) : \tau(a) \leq i\}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{N}}^i(\mathbf{v}) := \{a \in \delta_D(v) : \tau(a) \leq i\}$. We call the temporal network \mathbf{N} *acyclic* if D is acyclic. We say that \mathbf{N} is *pre-flow* if $|\rho_{\mathbf{N}}^i(\mathbf{v})| \geq |\delta_{\mathbf{N}}^i(\mathbf{v})|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $v \in V$. Note that if a temporal network (D, τ) is pre-flow, then the directed graph D is pre-flow. We say that (D, τ) is *consistent* if arcs of different τ -values cannot belong to the same strongly connected component of D . In this case in each strongly connected component Q of D that contains at least one arc, each arc has the same τ -value, that we denote by $\tau(\mathbf{Q})$. A directed path P of D , consisting of the arcs a_1, \dots, a_ℓ in this order, is called *time-respecting* or τ -*respecting* if $\tau(a_i) \leq \tau(a_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. An s -arborescence F of D is called *time-respecting* or τ -*respecting* if for every vertex v of F , the unique (s, v) -path in F is τ -respecting. For $v \in V$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v})$ denotes the maximum number of arc-disjoint τ -respecting (s, v) -paths in D . We say that \mathbf{N} is *time-respecting k-root-connected* if $\lambda_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) \geq k$ for all $v \in V$. If $\mathbf{N}' = (D', \tau')$ is a temporal network where $D' = (V \cup \{s, t\}, A')$ is almost Eulerian, then for a vertex $v \in V$, we call a bijection μ'_v from $\delta_{D'}(v)$ to $\rho_{D'}(v)$ τ' -*respecting* if $\tau'(\mu'_v(f)) \leq \tau'(f)$ for all $f \in \delta_{D'}(v)$.

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ is defined by its vertex set V and its hyperedge set \mathcal{E} where a hyperedge is a subset of V . For some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the hypergraph \mathcal{H} is called *r-uniform* if each hyperedge in \mathcal{E} is of size r and *r-regular* if each vertex in V belongs to exactly r hyperedges. A 2-coloring of the vertex set V is called *proper* if each hyperedge in \mathcal{E} contains vertices of both colors, in other words no monochromatic hyperedge exists in \mathcal{E} . We call $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ an *exact cover* of \mathcal{H} if each vertex in V belongs to exactly one hyperedge in \mathcal{E}' .

3 Packing time-respecting arborescences in acyclic pre-flow temporal networks

The aim of this section is to generalize the following result of Kamiyama and Kawase [7] on packing time-respecting arborescences in acyclic pre-flow temporal networks.

Theorem 1 ([7]) *Let $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ be an acyclic pre-flow temporal network and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a packing of k τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v in V belongs to $\min\{k, \lambda_N(s, v)\}$ of them.*

Note that Theorem 1 implies that in a time-respecting k -root-connected acyclic pre-flow temporal network there exists a packing of k spanning time-respecting s -arborescences.

We now present our first result, a slight extension of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ be an acyclic temporal network and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\min\{k, |\rho_N^i(v)|\} \geq \min\{k, |\delta_N^i(v)|\} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for all } v \in V. \quad (1)$$

There exists a packing of k τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v in V belongs to $\min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$ of them.

We will partially follow the proof of [7] but we will point out that Lemmas 3 and 4 in [7] are not needed to prove Theorem 2. Hence the proof of Theorem 2 is simpler than that of Theorem 1. The following algorithm is a slightly modified version of the algorithm of Kamiyama and Kawase [7]. Its input is an acyclic temporal network $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (1) is satisfied. Its output is a packing of τ -respecting s -arborescences T_1, \dots, T_k such that each vertex v in V belongs to $\min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$ of them. For every $v \in V$, let $I(v)$ be a set of arcs of smallest τ -values entering v of size $\min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$. The algorithm will use arcs only in $\bigcup_{v \in V} I(v)$. The algorithm heavily relies on the fact that the network is acyclic. It is well-known that a directed graph D is acyclic if and only if a *topological ordering* v_1, \dots, v_n of its vertex set exists, that is if $v_i v_j$ is an arc of D then $i > j$. Since no arc enters s , we may suppose that in a topological ordering $v_n = s$.

Algorithm "PACKING TIME-RESPECTING ARBORESCENCES"

Let $v_n = s, \dots, v_1$ be a topological ordering of $V \cup s$.

Let $A_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

For $j = 1$ to $n - 1$, let

$$I = \{1 \leq i \leq k : \delta_{A_i}(v_j) \neq \emptyset\},$$

a_i be an arc in $\delta_{A_i}(v_j)$ of minimum τ -value for all $i \in I$,

$\{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{|I|}\}$ be an ordering of $\{a_i : i \in I\}$ such that $\tau(\bar{a}_1) \leq \dots \leq \tau(\bar{a}_{|I|})$,

$\pi : I \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |I|\}$ be the bijection such that $a_i = \bar{a}_{\pi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$,

J be a subset of $\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus I$ of size $|I(v_j)| - |I|$,

$\sigma : J \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |J|\}$ be a bijection,

$\{e_1, \dots, e_{|I|}, f_1, \dots, f_{|J|}\}$ be an ordering of $I(v_j)$ such that

$$\tau(e_1) \leq \dots \leq \tau(e_{|I|}) \leq \tau(f_1) \leq \dots \leq \tau(f_{|J|}),$$

$A_i = A_i \cup e_{\pi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$,

$A_i = A_i \cup f_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in J$.

Let $T_i = (V_i, A_i)$ where V_i is the vertex set of the arc set A_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Stop.

Theorem 3 *Given an acyclic temporal network $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (1) is satisfied, Algorithm PACKING TIME-RESPECTING ARBORESCENCES outputs a packing of k τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v in V belongs to $\min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$ of them.*

Proof For all $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, in the j^{th} iteration of the algorithm, by the definition of I , (1) and the definition of $I(v_j)$, we have $|I| \leq \min\{k, d_A^+(v_j)\} \leq \min\{k, d_A^-(v_j)\} = |I(v_j)|$. This implies that J exists. By construction, the digraphs T_1, \dots, T_k are pairwise arc-disjoint and the in-degree of each vertex $v_j \in V_i - s$ is 1 in T_i . Then, since N is acyclic, T_i is an s -arborescence for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, $|\{1 \leq i \leq k : v_j \in V_i\}| = |I| + |J| = |I(v_j)| = \min\{k, d_A^-(v_j)\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. To see that T_i is time-respecting for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, let v_j be a vertex in $V_i - s$ and $a \in \delta_{A_i}(v_j)$. Then $e_{\pi(i)} \in \rho_{A_i}(v_j)$. Suppose on the contrary that $\tau(e_{\pi(i)}) > \tau(a)$. Since $\tau(g) \geq \tau(e_{\pi(i)}) > \tau(a)$ for all $g \in \rho_{A_i}(v_j) \setminus \{e_1, \dots, e_{\pi(i)-1}\}$, we have $|\rho_N^{\tau(a)}(v_j)| \leq |\{e_1, \dots, e_{\pi(i)-1}\}| = \pi(i) - 1$. Since $\tau(a) \geq \tau(a_i) = \tau(\bar{a}_{\pi(i)}) \geq \tau(\bar{a}_\ell)$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq \pi(i)$ and $\pi(i) \leq |I| \leq k$, we have $\pi(i) =$

$|\{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{\pi(i)}\}| \leq \min\{|\delta_N^{\tau(a)}(v_j)|, k\}$. Thus $|\rho_N^{\tau(a)}(v_j)| < \min\{|\delta_N^{\tau(a)}(v_j)|, k\}$ that contradicts (1). This contradiction completes the proof. ■

Note that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2. Note also that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. Indeed, if N is pre-flow, then (1) is satisfied, so, by Theorem 2, there exists a packing of k τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v in V belongs to exactly $\min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$ of them. This implies that $\min\{k, \lambda_N(s, v)\} = \min\{k, d_A^-(v)\}$ and hence Theorem 1 follows.

4 Packing time-respecting arborescences in non-acyclic pre-flow temporal networks

In [7], Kamiyama and Kawase provide an example of 7 vertices and 12 arcs that shows that in Theorem 1 one can not delete the condition that D is acyclic. Here we provide a smaller example with 5 vertices and 7 arcs, see the first temporal network in Figure 1. Note that this temporal network contains a directed cycle whose arcs are not of the same τ -values and hence the temporal network is not consistent.




Figure 1: Three temporal networks N where the τ -value of an arc is presented on the arc. The first two are non-acyclic pre-flow, the second one is consistent. The third one is acyclic but not pre-flow. They contain no 2 arc-disjoint τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v belongs to $\min\{2, \lambda_N(s, v)\}$ of them.

The second temporal network in Figure 1 is another example that shows that in Theorem 1 one can not delete the condition that D is acyclic. Here the temporal network contains one directed cycle C and all the arcs of C are of the same τ -values and hence the temporal network is consistent. Note that in this example there exists a packing of three τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v belongs to exactly $\lambda_N(s, v)$ of them.

Kamiyama and Kawase [7] also provide an example of 7 vertices and 12 arcs that shows that in Theorem 1 one can not delete the condition that D is pre-flow. Here we provide a smaller example with 5 vertices and 8 arcs, see the third temporal network in Figure 1.

We now present the main result of this paper on packing of time-respecting arborescences in consistent pre-flow temporal networks where only the natural upper bound is given on the number of arborescences.

Theorem 4 *Let $N = (D = (V \cup \{s, t\}, A), \tau)$ be a consistent pre-flow temporal network. There exists a packing of $d_D^+(s)$ τ -respecting s -arborescences, each vertex v in V belonging to $\lambda_N(s, v)$ of them.*

To prove Theorem 4, we need an easy observation on almost Eulerian acyclic pre-flow temporal networks. A similar result has already been presented in [7].

Proposition 1 *If $N = (D = (V \cup \{s, t\}, A), \tau)$ is an almost Eulerian acyclic temporal network and μ_v is a τ -respecting bijection from $\delta_D(v)$ to $\rho_D(v)$ for all $v \in V$, then D decomposes into $d_D^+(s)$ τ -respecting (s, t) -paths such that each vertex $v \in V$ belongs to $d_D^-(v)$ of them.*

Proof We prove the claim by induction on $d_D^+(s)$. If $d_D^+(s) = 0$, then, since D is almost Eulerian and acyclic, we have $d_D^-(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and we are done. Otherwise, there exists an arc leaving s . Then, using the bijections μ_v^{-1} and the facts that D is acyclic and μ_v is a τ -respecting,

we find a τ -respecting directed (s, t) -path P . By deleting the arcs of P and applying the induction, the claim follows. ■

We also need the following result of Bang-Jensen, Frank, Jackson [2].

Theorem 5 ([2]) *Let $D = (V \cup s, A)$ be a pre-flow directed graph. There exists a packing of s -arborescences, each vertex $v \in V$ belonging to $\lambda_D(s, v)$ of them.*

We are ready to prove our main result.

Proof (of Theorem 4) First we transform the instance into another one $N' = (D', \tau')$ as follows. The directed graph $D' = (V \cup \{s, t\}, A \cup A')$ is obtained from D by adding a new vertex t and $d_D^-(v) - d_D^+(v)$ parallel arcs from v to t for all $v \in V$ and we define $\tau'(a)$ to be equal to $\tau(a)$ if $a \in A$ and to M if $a \in A'$, where $M = \max\{\tau(a) : a \in A\}$. Since N is pre-flow, so is D , that is $d_D^-(v) - d_D^+(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$ and hence the construction is correct. This way we get an instance which remains consistent ($\{t\}$ is a new strongly connected component) and pre-flow (by the definition of M) and D' is almost Eulerian.

For each vertex $v \in V$, let us fix orderings of $\rho_{D'}(v)$ and $\delta_{D'}(v)$ such that $\tau'(e_1) \leq \dots \leq \tau'(e_{d_{D'}^-(v)})$ and $\tau'(f_1) \leq \dots \leq \tau'(f_{d_{D'}^+(v)})$, respectively. Then $\mu'_v(f_j) = e_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d_{D'}^+(v)$ is a τ' -respecting bijection for all $v \in V$. Indeed, if there exists j such that $\tau'(e_j) = \tau'(\mu'_v(f_j)) > \tau'(f_j) =: i$, then $|\rho_{N'}^i(v)| \leq j - 1 < j \leq |\delta_{N'}^i(v)|$ that contradicts the fact that N' is pre-flow.

To reduce the problem to an easy acyclic problem that can be treated by Proposition 1 and some problems that can be treated by Theorem 5, let us denote the strongly connected components of D' by Q'_1, \dots, Q'_ℓ . Let U_j denote the vertex set of Q'_j for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then the directed graph D'' obtained from D' by contracting each Q'_j into a vertex q''_j is acyclic. By changing the indices if it is necessary, we may suppose that $q''_\ell = s, \dots, q''_1 = t$ is a topological ordering of the vertices of D'' . Let $N'' = (D'', \tau'')$ be the temporal network where $\tau''(a) = \tau'(a)$ for all $a \in A(D'')$. Note that since D' is almost Eulerian, so is D'' . Indeed, we have $d_{D''}^-(q''_j) - d_{D''}^+(q''_j) = d_{D'}^-(U_j) - d_{D'}^+(U_j) = \sum_{v \in U_j} (d_{D'}^-(v) - d_{D'}^+(v)) = 0$ for all $2 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$. Note also that $d_{D''}^+(s) = d_{D'}^+(s) = d_{D''}^+(s)$.

To define a convenient τ'' -respecting bijection μ''_j from $\delta_{D''}(q''_j) = \delta_{D'}(U_j)$ to $\rho_{D''}(q''_j) = \rho_{D'}(U_j)$ for all $2 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$, let us fix such a j and let us define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^1 &= \{vw \in \delta_{D'}(U_j) : \tau'(\mu'_v(vw)) > \tau'(Q'_j)\}, \\ R_j^2 &= \{vw \in \delta_{D'}(U_j) : \tau'(vw) < \tau'(Q'_j)\}, \\ R_j^3 &= \delta_{D'}(U_j) \setminus (R_j^1 \cup R_j^2), \\ S_j^1 &= \{\mu'_v(vw) : vw \in R_j^1\}, \\ S_j^2 &= \{\mu'_v(vw) : vw \in R_j^2\} \text{ and} \\ S_j^3 &= \rho_{D'}(U_j) \setminus (S_j^1 \cup S_j^2). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 $\{R_j^1, R_j^2, R_j^3\}$ is a partition of $\delta_{D'}(U_j)$ and $\{S_j^1, S_j^2, S_j^3\}$ is a partition of $\rho_{D'}(U_j)$.

Proof If $vw \in R_j^1$, $v'w' \in R_j^2$, $uv = \mu'_v(vw) \in S_j^1$ and $u'v' = \mu'_{v'}(v'w') \in S_j^2$, then, since μ'_v and $\mu'_{v'}$ are τ' -respecting bijections, we have $\tau'(vw) \geq \tau'(\mu'_v(vw)) = \tau'(uv) > \tau'(Q'_j) > \tau'(v'w') \geq \tau'(\mu'_{v'}(v'w')) = \tau'(u'v')$. Thus $vw \neq v'w'$ and $uv \neq u'v'$, so $R_j^1 \cap R_j^2 = \emptyset$ and $S_j^1 \cap S_j^2 = \emptyset$. By the definition of R_j^1 and R_j^2 , we have $R_j^1 \cup R_j^2 \subseteq \delta_{D'}(U_j)$. If $vw \in R_j^1$, then $\tau'(\mu'_v(vw)) > \tau'(Q'_j)$. If $vw \in R_j^2$, then, since μ'_v is a τ' -respecting bijection, we get $\tau'(\mu'_v(vw)) \leq \tau'(vw) < \tau'(Q'_j)$. Then, using that each arc in Q'_j has τ' -value $\tau'(Q'_j)$, we have $S_j^1 \cup S_j^2 \subseteq \rho_{D'}(U_j)$. By the definition of R_j^3 and S_j^3 , Claim 1 follows. ■

We now start to define μ''_j . For $vw \in R_j^1 \cup R_j^2$, let $\mu''_j(vw) = \mu'_v(vw)$. Since each μ'_v is τ' -respecting, we have $\tau''(vw) = \tau'(vw) \geq \tau'(\mu'_v(vw)) = \tau''(\mu''_j(vw))$. Note that for all $xy \in R_j^3$ and for all $uv \in S_j^3$, $\tau'(xy) \geq \tau'(Q'_j) \geq \tau'(uv)$. However, we cannot take an arbitrary bijection from R_j^3 to S_j^3 because we have to guarantee that the vertices in Q'_j also belong to the required number of arborescences. In order to do this, let us define the temporal network $N'_j = (D'_j, \tau'_j)$ where the directed graph D'_j is obtained from D' by contracting $\bigcup_{i>j} U_i$ into a vertex s_j , contracting $\bigcup_{i<j} U_i$ into a vertex t_j and deleting the arcs from s_j to t_j and $\tau'_j(a) = \tau'(a)$ for all $a \in A(D'_j)$.

Claim 2 N'_j satisfies the following.

- (a) D'_j is almost Eulerian,
- (b) $\lambda_{D'_j}(s_j, t_j) = d_{D'_j}^-(t_j)$,
- (c) $\lambda_{N'_j}(s_j, v) \geq \lambda_{N'}(s, v)$ for all $v \in U_j$.

Proof (a) Since D' is almost Eulerian, so is D'_j . Indeed, we have $d_{D'_j}^-(v) = d_{D'}^-(v) = d_{D'}^+(v) = d_{D'_j}^+(v)$ for all $v \in U_j$.

(b) By (a) and $d_{D'_j}^-(s_j) = 0 = d_{D'_j}^+(t_j)$, (b) easily follows. Indeed, let $r_j = d_{D'_j}^-(t_j)$ and let us define D_j^* by adding r_j arcs $\{h_1, \dots, h_{r_j}\}$ from t_j to s_j in D'_j . Then, by (a), D_j^* is Eulerian. Thus it decomposes into directed cycles. Let C_1, \dots, C_{r_j} be the arc-disjoint directed cycles that contain the arcs h_1, \dots, h_{r_j} . Then $P_1 = C_1 - h_1, \dots, P_{r_j} = C_{r_j} - h_{r_j}$ are arc-disjoint directed (s_j, t_j) -paths. Hence $r_j \leq \lambda_{D'_j}(s_j, t_j) \leq r_j$, and we have (b).

(c) For all $v \in U_j$, any τ' -respecting (s, v) -path in N' provides a τ'_j -respecting (s_j, v) -path in N'_j , and (c) follows. ■

To be able to use normal arborescences (not time-respecting ones), we have to modify D'_j . No τ -respecting directed path in D may contain an arc in S_j^1 and an arc in Q'_j , hence the corresponding arcs in R_j^1 and S_j^1 will be deleted from D'_j . A τ -respecting s -arborescence in D may contain an arc $\mu'_v(vw)$ in S_j^2 (where $vw \in R_j^2$) and an arc in Q'_j , but this arborescence must contain vw . To guarantee this property we use a trick: we replace the corresponding two arcs in R_j^2 and S_j^2 in D'_j by two convenient arcs. More precisely, let H_j be obtained from D'_j by deleting s_jv and vt_j that correspond to $\mu'_v(vw)$ and vw for all $vw \in R_j^1$ and replacing s_jv and vt_j that correspond to $\mu'_v(vw)$ and vw for all $vw \in R_j^2$ by $e_{vw} = s_jt_j$ and $f_{vw} = t_jv$. Let $E_j = \{e_{vw} : vw \in R_j^2\}$ and $F_j = \{f_{vw} : vw \in R_j^2\}$.

Claim 3 H_j satisfies the following.

- (a) H_j is pre-flow,
- (b) $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, t_j) = d_{H_j}^-(t_j)$,
- (c) $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v) \geq \lambda_{N'_j}(s_j, v) - d_{S_j^1}^-(v)$ for all $v \in U_j$.

Proof (a) By Claim 2(a), D'_j is almost Eulerian. Then, by $\delta_{D'_j}(t_j) = \emptyset$, D'_j is pre-flow. By deleting from D'_j the arcs s_jv and vt_j that correspond to $\mu'_v(vw)$ and vw for all $vw \in R_j^1$, we decreased the in-degree and the out-degree of each vertex by the same value so the directed graph obtained this way remained pre-flow. By replacing s_jv and vt_j that correspond to $\mu'_v(vw)$ and vw for all $vw \in R_j^2$ by s_jt_j and t_jv , we may decrease the out-degrees of the vertices in Q'_j but the in-degrees remained unchanged. Further, $d_{H_j}^+(t_j) = d_{D'_j}^+(t_j) + |F_j| = |E_j| \leq d_{H_j}^-(t_j)$. It follows that H_j is pre-flow.

(b) Note that for all $t_j \in X \subseteq U_j \cup t_j$, $d_{H_j}^-(X) = d_{D'_j}^-(X) - |R_j^1|$. Then, by Claim 2(b), we have $d_{H_j}^-(t_j) \geq \lambda_{H_j}(s_j, t_j) \geq \lambda_{D'_j}(s_j, t_j) - |R_j^1| = d_{D'_j}^-(t_j) - |R_j^1| = d_{H_j}^-(t_j)$ and (b) follows.

(c) On the one hand, by deleting the arcs corresponding to $\rho_{S_j^1}(v)$, we destroyed at most $d_{S_j^1}^-(v)$ τ'_j -respecting (s_j, v) -paths in N'_j and we did not destroy a τ'_j -respecting (s_j, u) -path in N'_j for $u \in U_j \setminus v$ because each arc in Q'_j has τ'_j -value $\tau'_j(Q'_j)$ and each arc in $\rho_{S_j^1}(v)$ has τ'_j -value strictly larger than $\tau'_j(Q'_j)$. On the other hand, if a τ'_j -respecting (s_j, u) -path P contains s_jv (corresponding to $\mu'_v(vw)$ for some $vw \in R_j^2$) in N'_j then $P - s_jv + e_{vw} + f_{vw}$ is a directed (s_j, u) -path in H_j . These arguments imply (c). ■

By Claim 3(a) and Theorem 5, there exists a packing \mathcal{B}_j of s_j -arborescences T_j^i in H_j , each vertex $v \in U_j \cup t_j$ belonging to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v)$ of them. Let us choose such a packing \mathcal{B}_j that minimizes the size of the set $F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$ of the arcs $f_{vw} \in F_j$ such that an arborescence $T_j^{f_{vw}}$ in \mathcal{B}_j contains f_{vw} but not e_{vw} .

Claim 4 \mathcal{B}_j satisfies the following.

- (a) $d_{H_j}^+(s_j) = |\mathcal{B}_j| = d_{H_j}^-(t_j)$,
- (b) $F_{\mathcal{B}_j} = \emptyset$,
- (c) $\{T_j^i - s_j - t_j : T_j^i \in \mathcal{B}_j\}$ is a packing of arborescences in Q_j' , each vertex $v \in U_j$ belonging to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v)$ of them.

Proof (a) By Claim 3(b), t_j belongs to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, t_j) = d_{H_j}^-(t_j)$ of the s_j -arborescences in \mathcal{B}_j . Thus each arc entering t_j belongs to some s_j -arborescence in \mathcal{B}_j and $d_{H_j}^-(t_j) \leq |\mathcal{B}_j|$. Moreover, by construction and since D_j' is almost Eulerian, we have $d_{H_j}^-(t_j) = d_{D_j'}^-(t_j) - |R_j^1| = d_{D_j'}^+(s_j) - |S_j^1| = d_{H_j}^+(s_j) \geq |\mathcal{B}_j|$, and (a) follows.

(b) Suppose that $F_{\mathcal{B}_j} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}_j} = \{e_{vw} : f_{vw} \in F_{\mathcal{B}_j}\}$. By (a), every $e_{vw} \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$ is contained in an s_j -arborescence $T_j^{e_{vw}}$ in \mathcal{B}_j .

First suppose that for some $e_{vw} \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$, $T_j^{e_{vw}}$ contains only the arc e_{vw} . Note that $T_j^{f_{vw}} - f_{vw}$ consists of an s_j -arborescence T_j' and a v -arborescence T_j'' . Let \mathcal{B}_j' be obtained from \mathcal{B}_j by replacing $T_j^{f_{vw}}$ by T_j' and $T_j^{e_{vw}}$ by $e_{vw} + f_{vw} + T_j''$. Then \mathcal{B}_j' is a packing of s_j -arborescences in H_j such that each vertex $v \in U_j \cup t_j$ belongs to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v)$ of them. Moreover, f_{vw} and e_{vw} belong to the same s_j -arborescence in \mathcal{B}_j' , that is $|F_{\mathcal{B}_j'}| < |F_{\mathcal{B}_j}|$ and we have a contradiction.

We may hence suppose that for every $e_{vw} \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$, $T_j^{e_{vw}}$ contains another arc, so by (a), contains an arc in $F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Let \mathcal{B}_j' be the set of those s_j -arborescences in \mathcal{B}_j that contain an arc of $F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Then $|F_{\mathcal{B}_j}| = |E_{\mathcal{B}_j}| \leq |\mathcal{B}_j'| \leq |F_{\mathcal{B}_j}|$. Hence we have equality everywhere. It follows that every s_j -arborescence in \mathcal{B}_j' contains exactly one arc from both $F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Then for every $f_{vw} \in F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$, $T_j^{f_{vw}}$ contains an arc $e_{v'w'} \in E_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Let \mathcal{B}_j'' be obtained from \mathcal{B}_j by replacing $e_{v'w'}$ by $e_{vw} \in E_{\mathcal{B}_j}$ in $T_j^{f_{vw}}$ for every $f_{vw} \in F_{\mathcal{B}_j}$. Then \mathcal{B}_j'' is a packing of s_j -arborescences in H_j such that each vertex $v \in U_j \cup t_j$ belongs to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v)$ of them. Moreover, $F_{\mathcal{B}_j''} = \emptyset$ and we have a contradiction.

(c) follows from the definition of \mathcal{B}_j , (a) and (b). ■

We now finish the definition of μ_j'' . Let $vw \in R_j^3$. Then vw corresponds in H_j to an arc $g_{vw} = vt_j$ entering t_j . By Claim 4(a), g_{vw} belongs to an s_j -arborescence $T_j^{g_{vw}}$ in \mathcal{B}_j . Let us define $\mu_j''(vw) \in S_j^3$ to be the arc xq_j'' of D'' that corresponds to the arc $s_j u$ in H_j of the unique (s_j, t_j) -path of $T_j^{g_{vw}}$. Then $\tau_j''(vw) = \tau_j'(vw) \geq \tau_j'(Q_j') \geq \tau_j'(xq_j'') = \tau_j''(\mu_j''(vw))$ for all $vw \in R_j^3$.

By the definition of μ_j'' and Claim 1, we have a τ'' -respecting bijection μ_j'' from $\delta_{D''}(q_j'')$ to $\rho_{D''}(q_j'')$ for all $2 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$. Recall that D'' is acyclic and almost Eulerian. Then, by Proposition 1 and $d_D^+(s) = d_{D''}^+(s)$, D'' decomposes into τ'' -respecting (s, t) -paths $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{d_D^+(s)}$ such that each vertex $q_j'' \neq s$ belongs to $d_{D''}^-(q_j'')$ of them. These paths can be extended, using from Claim 4(c) the arborescences $T_j^i - s_j - t_j$ in Q_j' for $1 \leq i \leq d_{H_j}^+(s_j)$ and $2 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$, to get s -arborescences in D' such that each vertex $v \in V$ belongs to $\lambda_{H_j}(s_j, v) + d_{S_j^1}^-(v) \geq \lambda_{N_j'}(s_j, v) \geq \lambda_{N'}(s, v)$ of them, by Claims 3(b) and 2(c). Since the directed paths $P_1, \dots, P_{d_D^+(s)}$ are τ'' -respecting, that is τ' -respecting and D' is consistent, the arborescences constructed are τ' -respecting. Hence N' has a packing of τ' -respecting s -arborescences $\mathbf{T}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}'_{d_D^+(s)}$ such that each vertex v of D' distinct from s and t belongs to $\lambda_{N'}(s, v) = \lambda_N(s, v)$ of them, and hence $\{\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}'_1 - t, \dots, \mathbf{T}_{d_D^+(s)} = \mathbf{T}'_{d_D^+(s)} - t\}$ is a packing of τ -respecting s -arborescences such that each vertex v of D distinct from s belongs to $\lambda_N(s, v)$ of them. ■

5 Arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences

Edmonds' arborescence packing theorem [3] states that k -root-connectivity from s implies the existence of a packing of k spanning s -arborescences. The following observation of [8] shows that the natural extension of Edmonds theorem for $k = 1$ is true for temporal networks.

Theorem 6 ([8]) *Any τ -respecting root-connected temporal network $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ contains a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence.*

The authors of [8] show that high time-respecting root-connectivity of a temporal network does not imply the existence of 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences.

Theorem 7 ([8]) *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exist temporal networks $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ such that $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq k$ for all $v \in V$ and no packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences exists in N .*

Their construction contains directed cycles but it can be easily modified to get an acyclic example. This acyclic example for $k = 2$ is presented in Figure 2 in [7].

We now relate the spanning time-respecting arborescence packing problem to known problems, namely the Steiner arborescence packing problem and the hypergraph proper 2-coloring problem. To do that we explain how the above mentioned modified construction can be obtained in 3 steps. First, take a k -uniform hypergraph without proper 2-coloring. Then construct a directed graph that is Steiner k -root-connected without 2 arc-disjoint Steiner arborescences. Finally, construct an acyclic temporal network that is time-respecting k -root-connected without 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences.

There exist many constructions for k -uniform hypergraphs without proper 2-coloring, see [1], [8] and Exercice 13.45(b) of [9]. We mention that, by a result of Erdős [4], all examples contain exponentially many hyperedges in k .

Theorem 8 [4] *Any k -uniform hypergraph without a proper 2-coloring contains at least 2^{k-1} hyperedges.*

We now show that starting from an arbitrary k -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_k = (V_k, \mathcal{E}_k)$ without proper 2-coloring how to construct an acyclic directed graph D_k and a vertex set U_k such that $\lambda_{D_k}(s, u) = k$ for all $u \in U_k$ and there exists no packing of two (s, U_k) -arborescences in D_k . Let $\mathbf{G}_k := (V_k, U_k; E_k)$ be the bipartite incidence graph of the hypergraph \mathcal{H}_k , where the elements of U_k correspond to the hyperedges in \mathcal{E}_k . Let $\mathbf{D}_k = (V_k \cup U_k \cup s, A_k)$ be obtained from G_k by adding a vertex s and an arc sv for all $v \in V_k$ and directing each edge of E_k from V_k to U_k . By construction D_k is acyclic. Since \mathcal{H}_k is k -uniform, we have $\lambda_{D_k}(s, u) = k$ for all $u \in U_k$.

Theorem 9 *D_k has no packing of two (s, U_k) -arborescences.*

Proof Suppose that there exists a packing of 2 (s, U_k) -arborescences F_1 and F_2 in D_k . Using this packing, we can define a 2-coloring of V_k : let $v \in V_k$ be colored by 1 if $sv \in A(F_1)$ and by 2 otherwise. Since each vertex in U_k belongs to both F_1 and F_2 , no hyperedge of \mathcal{E}_k is monochromatic, that is the above defined 2-coloring of \mathcal{H}_k is proper. This contradicts the fact that \mathcal{H}_k has no proper 2-coloring. ■

As a next step, we show that starting from the acyclic directed graph D_k and the vertex set U_k , how to construct a temporal network N_k such that $\lambda_{N_k}(s, v) = k$ for all vertices v and no packing of 2 spanning time-respecting s -arborescences exists in N . Let us define $\mathbf{N}_k := (D_k^*, \tau_k^*)$ as follows: \mathbf{D}_k^* is obtained from D_k by adding the set of arcs \mathbf{A}_k^* consisting of $k - 1$ parallel arcs from s to all $v \in V_k$ and we define $\tau_k^*(a) = 1$ if $a \in A_k$ and 2 if $a \in \mathbf{A}_k^*$. Note that since D_k is acyclic, so is D_k^* . Then a spanning s -arborescence F^* of D_k^* is τ_k^* -respecting if and only if $F^* - \mathbf{A}_k^*$ is an (s, U_k) -arborescence in D_k . Thus a packing of 2 spanning τ_k^* -respecting s -arborescences in D_k^* would provide a packing of 2 (s, U_k) -arborescences in D_k . Hence, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 9.

Theorem 10 *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exist acyclic temporal networks $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ such that $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq k$ for all $v \in V$ and no packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences exists in N .*

These examples of acyclic temporal networks that are time-respecting k -root-connected without 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences contain, by Theorem 8, exponentially many vertices in k . In other words, $k \leq \log(n)$ where n is the number of vertices. In the light of this

fact, it is natural to ask whether there exist 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences in a temporal network if k is linear in n . The examples of Figure 1 show that time-respecting $(n-3)$ -root-connectivity does not imply the existence of 2 arc-disjoint spanning time-respecting arborescences. We propose the first steps in this direction. We first remark that n -root-connectivity is enough.

Claim 5 *Let $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ be a temporal network on $n \geq 1$ vertices such that $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq n$ for all $v \in V$. Then there exists a packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences in N .*

Proof Since $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq n \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$, there exists, by Theorem 6, a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence \mathbf{F} in N . Further, there exist n arc-disjoint τ -respecting (s, v) -paths $\mathbf{P}_1^v, \dots, \mathbf{P}_n^v$ for all $v \in V$. By deleting the arcs of F , we can destroy at most $|A(F)|$ of the (s, v) -paths $\mathbf{P}_1^v, \dots, \mathbf{P}_n^v$ for all $v \in V$. Since $|A(F)| = n - 1$, this implies that $\lambda_{N-A(F)}(s, v) \geq n - (n - 1) = 1$ for all $v \in V$. Then, there exists, by Theorem 6, a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence \mathbf{F}' in $N - A(F)$, and we are done. ■

With some effort we can improve the previous result by 1.

Theorem 11 *Let $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ be a temporal network on $n \geq 2$ vertices such that $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq n - 1$ for all $v \in V$. Then there exists a packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences in N .*

Proof Since $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq n - 1 \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$, there exists, by Theorem 6, a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence \mathbf{F} in N . Let $\mathbf{F}(v)$ be the unique arc of F entering v for all $v \in V$. Note that $A(F) = \{\mathbf{F}(v) : v \in V\}$. If $\lambda_{N-A(F)}(s, v) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$ then there exists, by Theorem 6, a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence in $N - A(F)$, and we are done.

Otherwise, $\lambda_{N-A(F)}(s, u) = 0$ for some $u \in V$. By assumption, there exist $n - 1$ arc-disjoint τ -respecting (s, u) -paths $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{n-1}$. Then, since $|V| = n - 1$, there exists a bijection π from V to $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $\mathbf{F}(v)$ is contained in $P_{\pi(v)}$ for all $v \in V$. It follows that no arc leaves u in F . Let $w \in V - u$ be a vertex for which $\tau(\mathbf{F}(w))$ is maximum. Let the last arc of $P_{\pi(w)}$ be denoted by xu . Then, since $\mathbf{F}(u)$ is the last arc of the path $P_{\pi(u)}$ and the paths are arc-disjoint, $\mathbf{F}(u) \neq xu$. By the choice of w and since $P_{\pi(w)}$ is τ -respecting, we have $\tau(\mathbf{F}(x)) \leq \tau(\mathbf{F}(w)) \leq \tau(xu)$. We obtain that $\mathbf{F}' := F - \mathbf{F}(u) + xu \neq F$ is also a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence in N .

By assumption and $|A(F) - \mathbf{F}(u)| = n - 2$, we have $\lambda_{N-(A(F) - \mathbf{F}(u))}(s, v) \geq (n - 1) - (n - 2) = 1$ for all $v \in V$. Then, by Theorem 6, there exists a spanning τ -respecting s -arborescence \mathbf{F}'' in $N - (A(F) - \mathbf{F}(u))$. Since \mathbf{F}'' contains a unique arc entering u , it does not contain either $\mathbf{F}(u)$ or xu . Thus, \mathbf{F}'' is arc-disjoint from either F or \mathbf{F}' , and we are done. ■

We conjecture that the following is true.

Conjecture 1 *Let $N = ((V \cup s, A), \tau)$ be an acyclic temporal network on $n \geq 4$ vertices such that $\lambda_N(s, v) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $v \in V$. Then a packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences exists in N .*

The third example presented in Figure 1 is of 5 vertices, acyclic, time-respecting 2-root-connected and has no packing of 2 spanning τ -respecting s -arborescences. It follows that time-respecting $\frac{2n}{5}$ -root-connectivity is not enough to have a packing of 2 spanning time-respecting s -arborescences in acyclic temporal networks.

6 Complexity results

Lovász [10] proved that the problem of 2-colorings of k -uniform hypergraphs is NP-complete. This implies that the problem of packing 2 Steiner arborescences is also NP-complete. An easier way to see this is to use the NP-complete problem of two arc-disjoint directed paths in a directed graph D , one from r to t and the other from t to r . (See [6].) Construct D' from D by adding a new vertex s and the two arcs sr and st . Then D has an (r, t) -path and a (t, r) -path that are arc-disjoint if and only if D' has a packing of 2 $(s, \{r, t\})$ -arborescences. This with the construction presented in the previous section finally imply the following.

Theorem 12 *The problem of packing k spanning time-respecting arborescences is NP-complete even for $k = 2$.*

Let us check what happens if we replace the inequality with equality in the definition of time-respecting directed paths and we consider the values of τ as colors. Then we get monochromatic directed paths. We may hence study the following problem MOCHPASPAR:

Problem 1 *Given a directed graph $D = (Z \cup s, A)$ and a coloring c of the arcs, decide whether there exists a spanning s -arborescence containing only monochromatic directed paths.*

We show that this decision problem is difficult. We will reduce the exact cover in 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraphs problem (RXC3) to our problem. In RXC3, we are given a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$, and the problem consists of determining whether there exists a subset \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} such that each vertex in V occurs in exactly one hyperedge in \mathcal{E}' . Gonzalez proved in [5] that RXC3 is NP-complete.

Theorem 13 *The problem MOCHPASPAR is NP-complete even for acyclic directed graphs and for two colors.*

Proof It is clear that MOCHPASPAR is in NP. Let us take an instance of RXC3, that is let \mathcal{H} be a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph. We construct a polynomial size instance (D, c) of MOCHPASPAR such that \mathcal{H} has an exact cover if and only if (D, c) has a spanning s -arborescence containing only monochromatic directed paths. Since \mathcal{H} is a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph, the number of vertices of \mathcal{H} and the number of hyperedges of \mathcal{H} coincide. Let us denote the vertices of \mathcal{H} by $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$ and the hyperedges of \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{E} = \{H_1, \dots, H_h\}$.

Let $D = (Z \cup s, A)$ be the directed graph where $Z = U \cup V \cup W$ and $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$ with $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_h\}$, $W = \{w_{i,j} : H_i \cap H_j \neq \emptyset\}$, $A_1 = \{e_i^1 = su_i : 1 \leq i \leq h\}$, $A_2 = \{e_i^2 = su_i : 1 \leq i \leq h\}$, $A_3 = \{u_i v_j : u_i \in U, v_j \in V, v_j \in H_i\}$ and $A_4 = \{u_i w_{i,j}, u_j w_{i,j} : u_i, u_j \in U, w_{i,j} \in W\}$. Let $c(a)$ be equal to black if $a \in A_1 \cup A_3$ and grey if $a \in A_2 \cup A_4$. Note that D is acyclic and c uses only two colors. For an example see Figure 2.




Figure 2: A 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph and the constructed colored directed graph for it.

The size of D is polynomial in h . Indeed, since \mathcal{H} is a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph, $|W| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot h$, so $|Z \cup s| = |U| + |V| + |W| + 1 \leq h + h + 3h + 1 = 5h + 1$ and $|A| = |A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3| + |A_4| \leq h + h + 3h + 2 \cdot 3h = 11h$.

Suppose first that \mathcal{H} has an exact cover \mathcal{H}' . Let Z' be the set of vertices of D that can be reached from s by a black directed path starting with an arc su_i with $H_i \in \mathcal{H}'$ and Z'' by a grey directed path starting with an arc su_i with $H_i \notin \mathcal{H}'$. Since \mathcal{H}' is a cover, we have $Z' = V \cup \{u_i : H_i \in \mathcal{H}'\}$. Since the hyperedges in \mathcal{H}' are disjoint, we have $Z'' = \{u_i : H_i \notin \mathcal{H}'\} \cup W$. Since $Z' \cap Z'' = s$, the desired spanning s -arborescence containing only monochromatic directed paths exists. In the example of Figure 2, $\mathcal{H}' = \{H_1, H_6\}$, $Z' = V \cup \{u_1, u_6\}$, $Z'' = \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\} \cup W$ and the arborescence is represented by bold arcs.

Now suppose that (D, c) has a spanning s -arborescence F containing only monochromatic directed paths. Let $\mathcal{H}' = \{H_j : u_j \in U, v_i \in V, u_j v_i \in F\}$. Since F is a spanning s -arborescence, each vertex v_i has exactly one black arc $u_j v_i$ in F entering. This implies that \mathcal{H}' covers V . Let H_j, H_k ($j < k$) be hyperedges in \mathcal{H}' . If $w_{j,k} \in W$, then, since the directed paths are monochromatic in F , su_j and su_k are black and hence $u_j w_{j,k}$ and $u_k w_{j,k}$ are not contained in F that contradicts the fact that F is a spanning s -arborescence. Thus H_j and H_k are disjoint. It follows that \mathcal{H}' is an exact cover. ■

References

- [1] H.L. Abbot, L. Moser, On a combinatorial problem of Erdős and Hajnal, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 7 (1964) 177–181.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen, A. Frank, B. Jackson, Preserving and augmenting local edge-connectivity in mixed graphs, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* Vol. 8 No. 2 (1995) 155–178.
- [3] J. Edmonds, Edge-disjoint branchings, in: B. Rustin (ed.), *Combinatorial Algorithms*, Academic Press, New York (1973) 91-96.
- [4] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, *Nordisk Math. Tidski.* 2 (1963) 5–10.
- [5] T. F. Gonzalez, Clustering to minimize the maximum intercluster distance, *Theoretical Compute Science* 38 (1985) 293–306.
- [6] S. Fortune, J. E. Hopcroft, J. Wyllie, The directed subgraph homeomorphism problem, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 10 (1980) 111–121.
- [7] N. Kamiyama, Y. Kawase, On packing arborescences in temporal networks, *Information Processing Letters* 115 (2015) 321–325.
- [8] D. Kempe, J. Kleinberg, A. Kumar, Connectivity and inference problems for temporal networks, *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* 64 (4) (2002) 820–842.
- [9] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, North Holland, 1993.
- [10] L. Lovász, Coverings and colorings of hypergraphs, *Proceedings of the Fourth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing*, (1973) 3–12.