

### Efficient Solvers based on Hybrid High-Order (HHO) methods for flow simulations in fractured rocks

Alexandre Ern, Florent Hédin, Géraldine Pichot, Nicolas Pignet

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Ern, Florent Hédin, Géraldine Pichot, Nicolas Pignet. Efficient Solvers based on Hybrid High-Order (HHO) methods for flow simulations in fractured rocks. Journée contrat-cadre Inria-IFPEN, Nov 2021, Rueil-Malmaison, France. hal-03538747

#### HAL Id: hal-03538747 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03538747

Submitted on 21 Jan 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Journée contrat-cadre Inria-IFPEN 15 novembre 2021

# Efficient Solvers based on Hybrid High-Order (HHO) methods for flow simulations in fractured rocks.

Alexandre Ern<sup>2</sup>, Florent Hédin<sup>1</sup>, <u>Géraldine Pichot<sup>1</sup> and Nicolas Pignet<sup>3</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Inria SERENA & ENPC

<sup>2</sup> ENPC & Inria SERENA

<sup>3</sup>EDF

Work in collaboration with

Patrick Laug (Inria GAMMA3, Saclay)

Simon Legrand (Inria SED Paris)

Caroline Darcel and Romain Le Goc (ITASCA S.A.S)

Philippe Davy (Geosciences Rennes, CNRS)



### Context



#### Context

Subsurface is used in various applications covering environment and energy

- water extraction (for drinking, irrigation, industrial processes, ...)
- geothermal energy production
- oil and gas extraction, nuclear waste storage, CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration, ...



© ADEME

#### Context

#### Rock matrix

✓ rather low permeability

#### • Fractures

nnia

- ✓ usually high permeability
- $\checkmark$  diversity in size and organization
- play a key role in many physical and chemical phenomena (they cannot be neglected in the modelling)

#### Groundwater velocity:

- Gravel, sand: a few m / h
- fractured zones: up to several m / hour
- Clay: <1 m / 100 years and for some, a few cm / 10,000 years!

coupled physical and chemical phenomena



#### ARP 4: H = 35m, Area = 90m x 90m MAP 4: H = 35m, Area = 90m x 90m MAP 7: H = 370m, Area = 720m x 720m MAP 7: H = 370m, Area = 720m MAP 7: H = 370m MAP 7: H = 370

O Géosciences Rennes

HHO-DFN : a Hybrid High Order (HHO) method for the simulation of flow in large tridimensional Discrete Fracture Networks (DFN)

#### Challenges

- Challenging objective: realistic models and flow simulations of networks with thousands to millions of fractures (~10<sup>3</sup> to ~10<sup>6</sup>)
- Main goal: to develop strategies to reduce computational costs and increase robustness for accurate large scale numerical simulations
- A combination of expertise:
  - 1. Designing realistic models of fractured media
    - ✓ Géosciences Rennes
    - ✓ Itasca Consultants Lyon / Rennes
  - Advanced mesh generation: intersecting patches, mesh gradation, matching and non-matching meshes at the intersections
     ✓ Inria team GAMMA Saclay
  - Solving efficiently the flow problem: mixed hybrid methods, mortar techniques, domain decomposition methods
     ✓ Inria team SERENA Paris
    - Seek collaborations regarding the linear solvers (direct or iterative)

## Modelling









Flow simulations in large scale discrete fracture networks with the hybrid high-order (HHO) method.



L=100 m

L=150 m

L=200 m

Cube size L	# fractures	# intersections	Max(#inter per fracture)	Transmissivity range [m².s <sup>-1</sup> ]
100	152,405	302,907	1,022	[3.5e-06; 20.33]
150	508,339	1,031,231	4,930	[3.38-06; 25.8]
200	1,176,566	2,410,539	11,710	[3.35-06; 25.8]



#### **DFN test cases provided by the LabCom fractory**





#### The flow problem

#### **Assumptions:**

Innia

- The rock matrix is impervious: flow is only simulated in the fractures
- Study of steady state flow
- There is no longitudinal flux in the intersections of fractures

#### Flow equations within each fracture $f_i$ with $\kappa_i$ a positive definite tensor

$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) &= g_{i}(\mathbf{x}), & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in f_{i}, & (\text{Continuity equation}) \\ \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) &= -\kappa_{i} \, \nabla p_{i}(\mathbf{x}), & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in f_{i} . & (\text{Poiseuille's law}) \\ p_{i}(\mathbf{x}) &= p_{i}^{D}(\mathbf{x}), & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{D} \cap \Gamma_{i}, & (\text{Dirichlet boundary conditions}) \\ \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} &= q_{i}^{N}(\mathbf{x}), & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{N} \cap \Gamma_{i}, & (\text{Neumann boundary conditions}) \\ \mathbf{u}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0, & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{i} \setminus (\Gamma_{i} \cap (\Gamma_{D} \cup \Gamma_{N})). & (\text{Impervious rock matrix}) \end{split}$$

**Continuity conditions at each intersection**  $I_m$  with  $I_m$  the  $m^{\text{th}}$  intersection,  $m = 1, ..., N_I$  $N_I$  total number of intersections

$$p_k^{m,+} = p_l^{m,+} = p_k^{m,-} = p_l^{m,-}$$
, on  $I_{m,-}$ 

$$\sum_{\in S_m} \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j,m}^+ + \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j,m}^- = 0, \quad \text{on } I_m.$$

(Continuity of the hydraulic heads)

(Conservation of fluxes)

J. Erhel, J.-R. de Dreuzy, and B. Poirriez. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (2009)

J. Maryska, O. Severyn, and M. Vohralik, Computational Geosciences (2004)

### **Mesh generation**



#### **Mesh generation**

#### MODFRAC software (Inria Gamma & UTT)

H. Borouchaki, P. Laug, P.L. George, Parametric surface meshing using a combined advancing-front & generalized-Delaunay approach, Int. J. for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2000

#### Input: DFN (up to millions of disks), delimiting cube, mesh size specifications



L=150 m 508,339 fractures L=200 m 1,176,566 fractures



HHO-DFN : a Hybrid High Order (HHO) method for the simulation of flow in large tridimensional Discrete Fracture Networks (DFN)

#### Mesh generation of the test cases

MODFRAC software (Inria Gamma & UTT)

Cube size L	# fractures	#triangles	Min area	Mean(Q)	Min(Q)	Time hh:mm:ss
100	152,405	2,770,604	1.4e-09	0.66	3.1e-05	00:01:34
150	508,339	12,890,943	1.16e-11	0.77	1e-4	00:06:29
200	1,176,566	20,522,575	1.16e-11	0.63	9.25e-05	00:23:25



#### Matching surface mesh



#### Non-matching surface mesh

$$Q(T) := 4\sqrt{3} \frac{|T|}{l_1^2 + l_2^2 + l_3^2}$$

On a Laptop Intel Core i7 4 cores CPU 32GiB RAM

#### Parallelism using 4 POSIX-threads

H. Borouchaki, P. Laug, P.L. George, Parametric surface meshing using a combined advancing-front & generalized-Delaunay approach, Int. J. for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2000



## Numerical method: the HHO method



### The Hybrid High Order (HHO) method

- Discrete unknowns on each finite element are:
  - On mesh faces
  - Inside the elements
- HHO unknowns are polynomial coefficients (no physical interpretation)
  - ✓ On mesh faces of order k
  - $\checkmark$  Inside the elements of order *l*



In our DFN applications, we choose l=k+1 to get a simpler stabilization operator than the equal-order choice l = k.

• Algebraically, we obtain a Schur complement system for only the faces unknowns (static condensation) and known to be symmetric positive definite.



Di Pietro, D.A and Ern, A. and Lemaire, S. Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics (2014)

#### The Hybrid High Order (HHO) method

- HHO required two main ingredients:
  - Local gradient reconstruction from cell and face unknowns  $\checkmark$
  - A stabilization term ensures that the traces of the cell unknows and the face unknowns  $\checkmark$ match in a least-squares sense.
- Conservative fluxes are built from the sum of two terms
  - the normal component of the reconstructed gradient  $\checkmark$
  - $\checkmark$ A correction term coming from the stabilization
- Main advantages of HHO: •
  - ✓ Feature 1: Globally and locally mass conservative method (whatever  $k \ge 0$ )
  - ✓ Feature 2: Face polynomials of order  $k \ge 0$
  - ✓ Feature 3: Support general meshes (polygonal/polyhedral cells)
- Implementation:

Library Disk++ for HHO (open-source)

Cicuttin, M. and Di Pietro, D.A. and Ern, A. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics (2018)

Cockburn, B. and Di Pietro, D.A. and Ern, A. ESAIM: M2AN (2016)

Di Pietro, D.A and Ern, A. and Lemaire, S. Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics (2014)

Computational performance



## Computational performance of HHO method in the context of extremely large DFNs.

• Evaluation of the equivalent permeability of a DFN by running a permeameter test case



- ✓ Software:
- □ Library Disk++ for HHO (open-source)
- □ NEF++ (Inria) for an application to DFNs
- □ Prune (Inria) for automatic parameter studies

Inria Cluster CLEPS: 4x Intel Xeon E7-4860 v2; 12 cores, 2.6-3.2GHz; **3T RAM** 

- Objectives:
- Choice of the basis functions
- ✓ **Trade-off** between increasing the polynomial order and refining the mesh
- ✓ Can resources be saved by the means of polygonal cells ?

#### **Choice of the basis functions**

- HHO unknowns are polynomial coefficients
- On mesh faces: scaled monomials  $x_F^{\alpha}$ with  $\alpha = 0, ..., k$  and

$$x_F = 2 \, \frac{(x - \bar{x}_F)}{|F|}$$

On every mesh cell T: we compare two choices of monomials

$$\alpha, \beta = 0, \dots, l = k + 1, \alpha + \beta \le l$$

**[Cartesian]** 
$$x_T^{\alpha} y_T^{\beta}$$
  
 $x_T = 2 \frac{(x - \bar{x}_T)}{h_x^T}, \qquad y_T = 2 \frac{(y - \bar{y}_T)}{h_y^T}$ 

[Rotated] 
$$\xi_T^{\alpha} \zeta_T^{\beta}$$
  
 $\xi_T = 2 \frac{(x - \bar{x}_T, y - \bar{y}_T) \cdot a_1^T}{h_1^T}$   
 $\zeta_T = 2 \frac{(x - \bar{x}_T, y - \bar{y}_T) \cdot a_2^T}{h_2^T}$ 

Innia

nts		$ Q_{in,x} + Q_{out,x} $				
	k	[Cartesian]	[Rotated]			
L100	0	1.44e-07	9.82e-11			
	1	1.66e-4	5.26e-10			
	2	2.31e-2	4.63e-09			
	3	15.21	4.20e-08			
L150	0	3.12E-07	7.32e-10			
	1	1.58e-3	1.31e-08			
	2	38.22	1.85e-08			
	3	45.73	4.85e-08			
□ Mass	Mass conservation					

- - × [Cartesian]
  - ✓ [Rotated]



Global and local mass

conservation ensured whatever k

## Trade-off between polynomial order and mesh refinement

Evaluation of the equivalent permeability





#### **Recommendations**

 use a face polynomial order k at least equal to 1, instead of refining a mesh while keeping k = 0



# Trade-off between polynomial order and mesh refinement







#### Recommendations

✓ use a face polynomial order k at least equal to 1, instead of refining a mesh while keeping k = 0

 $\bigwedge$  choose k = 1 on a mesh fine rather than k > 1 on a coarser mesh

Flow simulations in large scale discrete fracture networks with the hybrid high-order (HHO) method.

## Trade-off between polynomial order and mesh refinement

Computational resources for approximately the same number of dofs

L150: 508,339 fractures								
k	#dofs	Total Time (hh:mm)	RAM (GiB)	Rel. Error <i>Kx</i>	DFN Mesh step			
0	43,531,537	01:51	133	4.8%	0.6			
1	39,998,460	01:47	176	2.0%	1			
2	45,222,504	02:15	203	1.5%	1.5			
3	46,930,868	02:18	253	2.3%	2			

#### **Comments**

✓ As expected, time and RAM increase with *k* 



### How to take advantage of polygonal cells?



•

- Vertices of the triangles
- Extra vertices to create a polygon



20,522,575 triangles All fractures → mesh step of 1.5				18,648,084 triangles <b>(-9%)</b> Most contributing fractures → step of 1.5 The others → mesh step of 2.					
k	#dofs	Total Time (hh:m)	RAM (GiB)	Rel. Error <i>Kx</i>	k	#dofs	Total Time (hh:m)	RAM (GiB)	Rel. Error <i>Kx</i>
0	31,711,430	1:21	128	10.7%	0	28,685,198	01:11	119	11.7%
1	63,422,860	2:49	297	2.6%	1	57,370,396	02:28	269	3.3%
2	95,134,290	04:33	551	0.9%	2	86,055,594	03:39	498	1.5%
3	126,845,720	06:08	869	0.3%	3	114,740,792	05:20	807	0.8%
4	158,557,150	08:48	1279	0.0%	4	143,425,990	07:41	1172	0.5%

Comparison with the fine mesh flow simulation: -12% to -20% time and -7% to -10% RAM

Flow simulations in large scale discrete fracture networks with the hybrid high-order (HHO) method.

#### Conclusion

- We have successfully tested the HHO method on large scale DFN (more than 1 million of fractures)
- □ The implementation of the method is locally and globally conservative (whatever k ≥0) thanks to the basis functions
- □ The use of high order is an advantage to compute a more accurate flow in DFN
- We have shown that the use of non-matching meshes, the channelling effect of DFN flow and the polygonal feature of the HHO method allows to reduce the number of #dofs and therefore the RAM requirements in DFN flow simulations.

#### **Perpectives**

- Further reduction of the #dofs by using the additional recent features of HHO (Unfitted HHO; merging triangles in polygons)
- Studying parallel direct or iterative (general and dedicated) solvers to reduce the RAM requirements

❑ PhD of Daniel Zegarra Vasquez, flow simulations in fractured porous media (2D/3D coupled flow problem)
 → Even larger linear systems to solve !

E. Burman and A. Ern, An unfitted hybrid high-order method for elliptic interface problems, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 56(3), 1525-1546 (2018)

A. Miraçi, J. Papež, and M. Vohralík (2020) A Multilevel Algebraic Error Estimator and the Corresponding Iterative Solver with *p*-Robust Behavior. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 58(5), 2856–2884.

