



HAL
open science

The Role of Dynamical Synapses in Retinal Surprise Coding

Simone Ebert, Semichan Sermet, Thomas Buffet, Olivier Marre, Bruno Cessac

► **To cite this version:**

Simone Ebert, Semichan Sermet, Thomas Buffet, Olivier Marre, Bruno Cessac. The Role of Dynamical Synapses in Retinal Surprise Coding. MOMI2021: Le Monde des Mathématiques Industrielles, Mar 2021, Virtual, France. hal-03521415

HAL Id: hal-03521415

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03521415>

Submitted on 17 Jun 2022


HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The Role of Dynamical Synapses in Retinal Surprise Coding

1 Background

- The Retina transforms visual information into spike trains transmitted to the rest of the brain.
- It processes information in **parallel pathways** selectively responding to specific features of the visual input:
 - The **ON pathway** responds to bright light,
 - The **OFF pathway** responds to dark stimuli.
- The retina also contains **predictions** of its future input and detects **violations of its expectation** with high precision.
- An example of this 'surprise signal' is the **Omitted Stimulus Response (OSR)** (box 1).



Box 1: Omitted Stimulus Response (OSR)



2 Motivation

The Retina can recognize patterns and signal its 'surprise' when these patterns are violated, with a high level of precision.


How can a local circuit perform such complex computations to detect 'surprise' and which cellular mechanisms enable these computations?

We combine **electrophysiological experiments** in which we pharmacologically **inactivate specific cell types** in the retina, to identify key components of a dynamic response to changes, with **computational modelling** to investigate the potential role and location of **short-term plasticity**.

3 Experiments


Methodology

- Microelectrode Array Recordings:




- Stimulation of a dissected retina with a sequence of periodic dark flashes.
- Extracellular spike recordings.

- Identification of necessary components via pharmacological inhibition of synaptic transmission onto:




- ON bipolar cells via mGluR6 receptor antagonist LAP-4.
- OFF bipolar cells via AMPA receptor antagonist ACET.

Results



ON BC inhibition cancels the OSR.



OFF BC inhibition has no direct effect on the OSR.

Conclusions

- The ON pathway is required for the OSR to dark flashes whereas the OFF pathway is not directly required, but could still be activated by lateral input
- This asymmetric contribution of ON and OFF pathway could be caused by:


- a network effect via **directed lateral connections** (amacrine cells),
- an **intrinsic mechanism** specific to ON bipolar cells.

4 Modeling surprise coding in the Retina

Existing Models

Gao et al., 2007, *Computation in Neural Systems*

- Existing Models explained the OSR via two antagonistic (ON-OFF) parallel pathways and a resonance mechanism in ON bipolar cells;
- This mechanism could not be experimentally verified and cannot account fully for the predictive timing to flash frequency (box 1).




We will test a model of synaptic adaptation *in silico* to explore the hypothesis that dynamical synapses cause the rapid frequency adaptation.

Linear-Nonlinear-Kinetic (LNK) Model

Kastner et al., 2017, *Current Biology*

- Two parallel pathways that together provide input to one ganglion cell,
- Directed lateral connection before summation,
- Short-term plasticity in bipolar cell and amacrine cell synapses.



Processing Steps:

- Step 1:** Convolution of stimulus and a temporal kernel simulates the integration of the stimulus into bipolar cell voltage.
- Step 2:** Applying a nonlinearity simulates rectification within bipolar cells.

$$u(t) = N_{LNK} \left(\int F_{LNK}(t - \tau) s(\tau) d\tau \right). \quad (1)$$

- Step 3:** Kinetics of synaptic vesicle release are simulated as a dynamical system of Markov type (box 2).


- Step 4:** Summation and second nonlinearity simulates transformation of the response into a ganglion cell output firing rate.

Box 2: Short-term plasticity (STP)

- Synaptic vesicles can occupy 1 out of 4 different States:

- R**: readily releasable
- A**: active (released)
- I1**: inactive (empty)
- I2**: inactive (refilled)

- $P = (P_R, P_A, P_{I1}, P_{I2})$ are probabilities to occupy each state



- Transitions** between states are controlled by **rate constants**:

- k_a : vesicle activation
- k_{fi}, k_{si} : fast/slow inactivation
- k_{fr}, k_{sr} : fast/slow recovery

- fixed or **vary in time**, scaled by bipolar cell voltage $u(t)$ (1).

$$\frac{dP^T(t)}{dt} = P^T(t) * \begin{pmatrix} -u(t) * k_a & u(t) * k_a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_{fi} & -k_{fi} & 0 \\ k_{fr} & 0 & -(k_{fr} + k_{si}) & k_{si} \\ 0 & 0 & u(t) * k_{sr} & -u(t) * k_{sr} \end{pmatrix}$$

The change in probability is described by a set of **first-order differential equations**

The **output** of the synapse will be determined by the probability of the **active** state, $P_{A(t)}$

5 Perspectives

Can the predictive latency of the OSR be explained via the model of STP?

Can a similar mechanism, embedded in a **large scale network** account for **more complex pattern recognition**, such as motion reversal?

We aim to build new hypothesis at the limits of biological experiments & understand computational paradigms at work.

In future we will experimentally test if:

- A lateral amacrine connection is involved in the OSR
- The OFF pathway contributes indirectly to the OSR

6 Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the Neuromod Institute at Université Cote d'Azur.

- All experiments were performed in the team of Olivier Marre at Institut de la Vision.

6 References

- Greg Schwartz, Rob Harris, David Shrom, and Michael J Berry. Detection and prediction of periodic patterns by the retina. *Nature Neuroscience*, 10(5): 552-554, May 2007.
- Kastner DB, Ozuyosal Y, Panagiotakos G, Baccus SA. Adaptation of Inhibition Mediates Retinal Sensitization. *Curr Biol*. 2019 Aug 19;29(16):2640-2651.e4
- Baden, T., Euler, T. & Berens, P. Understanding the retinal basis of vision across species. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 21, 5-20 (2020).
- Juan Gao, Greg Schwartz, Michael J. Berry II & Philip Holmes (2009) An oscillatory circuit underlying the detection of disruptions in temporally-periodic patterns, *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 20:2, 106-135.